

# Definable Henselian Valuations

Franziska Jahnke

WWU Münster

18.11.2013

# Table of contents

## 1 Valuations

- Basics and Examples
- Definable Valuations

## 2 Conditions on the residue field

- When is a henselian valuation definable?
- Applications and Examples

# Valued Fields

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\Gamma_v$  an ordered abelian group.

# Valued Fields

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\Gamma_v$  an ordered abelian group. Recall that a **valuation** on  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_v \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$v(x) = \infty \iff x = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), \quad (2)$$

$$v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y)). \quad (3)$$

# Valued Fields

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\Gamma_v$  an ordered abelian group. Recall that a **valuation** on  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_v \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$v(x) = \infty \iff x = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), \quad (2)$$

$$v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y)). \quad (3)$$

Recall that the ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , i.e. for all  $x \in K$  we have  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  or  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

# Valued Fields

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\Gamma_v$  an ordered abelian group. Recall that a **valuation** on  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_v \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$v(x) = \infty \iff x = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), \quad (2)$$

$$v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y)). \quad (3)$$

Recall that the ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , i.e. for all  $x \in K$  we have  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  or  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

We say that  $v$  is **non-trivial** if  $v|_{K^\times} \neq 0$  or, equivalently,  $\mathcal{O}_v \neq K$ .

# Valued Fields

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\Gamma_v$  an ordered abelian group. Recall that a **valuation** on  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_v \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$v(x) = \infty \iff x = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), \quad (2)$$

$$v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y)). \quad (3)$$

Recall that the ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , i.e. for all  $x \in K$  we have  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  or  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

We say that  $v$  is **non-trivial** if  $v|_{K^\times} \neq 0$  or, equivalently,  $\mathcal{O}_v \neq K$ .

A valuation ring has a unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$ , we call the quotient  $K_v := \mathcal{O}_v / \mathfrak{m}_v$  the residue field of  $(K, v)$ . We usually denote  $vK := \Gamma_v$ .

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ .



## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ .

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ . Here: value group  $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ ,

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ . Here: value group  $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ , valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = F[t]_{(t)}$ ,

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ . Here: value group  $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ , valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = F[t]_{(t)}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_v = t \cdot F[t]_{(t)}$ ,

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ . Here: value group  $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ , valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = F[t]_{(t)}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_v = t \cdot F[t]_{(t)}$ , residue field  $K_v = F$ .

## Example

For a field  $F$ , consider the polynomial ring  $F[t]$ . Then there is a natural valuation  $v$  on  $F[t]$  via

$$v\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i\right) = \min\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . We can extend  $v$  to  $K = F(t)$  via

$$v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

for  $f, g \in F[t] \setminus \{0\}$ . Here: value group  $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ , valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v = F[t]_{(t)}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_v = t \cdot F[t]_{(t)}$ , residue field  $Kv = F$ .

Furthermore,  $v$  extends to the power series field  $F((t))$  by setting

$$v\left(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i t^i\right) = \min\{m \leq i \leq \infty \mid a_i \neq 0\}.$$

# Some Remarks on Valued Fields

- ▶ Algebraic extensions of finite fields are the only fields which admit no non-trivial valuations.

## Some Remarks on Valued Fields

- ▶ Algebraic extensions of finite fields are the only fields which admit no non-trivial valuations.
- ▶ If  $(K, v)$  is a non-trivially valued field and  $K \subset F$ , then there is a non-trivial valuation  $w$  on  $F$  with  $w|_K = v$ .



## Some Remarks on Valued Fields

- ▶ Algebraic extensions of finite fields are the only fields which admit no non-trivial valuations.
- ▶ If  $(K, \nu)$  is a non-trivially valued field and  $K \subset F$ , then there is a non-trivial valuation  $w$  on  $F$  with  $w|_K = \nu$ .
- ▶ Let  $(K, \nu)$  be a valued field, consider  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[X]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$ . Then  $f(a) = 0$  implies  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$ .

## Some Remarks on Valued Fields

- ▶ Algebraic extensions of finite fields are the only fields which admit no non-trivial valuations.
- ▶ If  $(K, \nu)$  is a non-trivially valued field and  $K \subset F$ , then there is a non-trivial valuation  $w$  on  $F$  with  $w|_K = \nu$ .
- ▶ Let  $(K, \nu)$  be a valued field, consider  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[X]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$ . Then  $f(a) = 0$  implies  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$ .
- ▶ Language of valued fields:  $\mathcal{L}_{ring} \cup \{\mathcal{O}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a unary relation symbol.

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to every algebraic extension of  $K$ .

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to every algebraic extension of  $K$ .
2.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ .

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to every algebraic extension of  $K$ .
2.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ .
3. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $\nu$  extends uniquely to every algebraic extension of  $K$ .
2.  $\nu$  extends uniquely to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ .
3. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[X]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

If  $(K, \nu)$  satisfies one of the conditions in the theorem, the valuation  $\nu$  is called **henselian**.

# Henselian Valued Fields

## Theorem (Hensel's Lemma)

For a valued field  $(K, \nu)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $\nu$  extends uniquely to every algebraic extension of  $K$ .
2.  $\nu$  extends uniquely to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ .
3. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\nu[X]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

If  $(K, \nu)$  satisfies one of the conditions in the theorem, the valuation  $\nu$  is called **henselian**. The field  $K$  is called **henselian**, if there exists some non-trivial henselian valuation on  $K$ .



# Example

With the valuation  $v$  defined as before,  $(F(t), v)$  is **not henselian**:

## Example

With the valuation  $v$  defined as before,  $(F(t), v)$  is **not henselian**:

Consider the polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 - (t + 1) \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$ . Then  $f$  does not have a zero in  $F(t)$ , but there exists an  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  such that the reduction  $\bar{a}$  is a simple zero of  $\bar{f} = X^2 - 1$ .

## Example

With the valuation  $v$  defined as before,  $(F(t), v)$  is **not henselian**:

Consider the polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 - (t + 1) \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$ . Then  $f$  does not have a zero in  $F(t)$ , but there exists an  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  such that the reduction  $\bar{a}$  is a simple zero of  $\bar{f} = X^2 - 1$ .

On the other hand,  $(F((t)), v)$  is **henselian** as it is complete.

# The Ax-Kochen/Ersov principle

## Ax-Kochen/Ersov Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  and  $(L, w)$  be henselian valued fields with  $\text{char}(Kv) = 0$ . Then

$$(K, v) \equiv (L, w) \iff Kv \equiv Lw \text{ and } vK \equiv wL.$$

# The Ax-Kochen/Ersov principle

## Ax-Kochen/Ersov Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  and  $(L, w)$  be henselian valued fields with  $\text{char}(Kv) = 0$ . Then

$$(K, v) \equiv (L, w) \iff Kv \equiv Lw \text{ and } vK \equiv wL.$$

- ▶ Essentially, the theorem says that if the residue characteristic is 0, then any (elementary) statement about  $(K, v)$  can be reduced to statements about  $Kv$  and  $vK$ .

# The Ax-Kochen/Ersov principle

## Ax-Kochen/Ersov Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  and  $(L, w)$  be henselian valued fields with  $\text{char}(Kv) = 0$ . Then

$$(K, v) \equiv (L, w) \iff Kv \equiv Lw \text{ and } vK \equiv wL.$$

- ▶ Essentially, the theorem says that if the residue characteristic is 0, then any (elementary) statement about  $(K, v)$  can be reduced to statements about  $Kv$  and  $vK$ .
- ▶ There are also versions for positive characteristic.

# Definable Valuations

We call a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  **definable** if there is some  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula with parameters from  $K$  defining the valuation ring.

# Definable Valuations

We call a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  **definable** if there is some  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula with parameters from  $K$  defining the valuation ring.

**Idea:** Capture the AK/E picture within  $\text{Th}(K)$ .



# Definable Valuations

We call a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  **definable** if there is some  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula with parameters from  $K$  defining the valuation ring.

**Idea:** Capture the AK/E picture within  $\text{Th}(K)$ .

## Example

The  $t$ -adic valuation is definable on  $K((t))$  by the formula  $\phi(x) \equiv \exists y y^2 - y = tx^2$ .

# Definable Valuations

We call a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  **definable** if there is some  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula with parameters from  $K$  defining the valuation ring.

**Idea:** Capture the AK/E picture within  $\text{Th}(K)$ .

## Example

The  $t$ -adic valuation is definable on  $K((t))$  by the formula  $\phi(x) \equiv \exists y y^2 - y = tx^2$ .

**Note that** separably and real closed fields **do not** admit any non-trivial definable valuations.

# Definable Valuations

We call a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  **definable** if there is some  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula with parameters from  $K$  defining the valuation ring.

**Idea:** Capture the AK/E picture within  $\text{Th}(K)$ .

## Example

The  $t$ -adic valuation is definable on  $K((t))$  by the formula  $\phi(x) \equiv \exists y y^2 - y = tx^2$ .

**Note that** separably and real closed fields **do not** admit any non-trivial definable valuations.

**From now on, all fields  $K$  are neither real nor separably closed.**

# Some Facts about Definable Valuations

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is definable (Delon and Farré, see [1]).

# Some Facts about Definable Valuations

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is definable (Delon and Farré, see [1]).
- ▶ If  $(K, v)$  is henselian and  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable, then the same formula defines a non-trivial henselian valuation ring in any  $L \equiv K$ .

# Some Facts about Definable Valuations

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is definable (Delon and Farré, see [1]).
- ▶ If  $(K, v)$  is henselian and  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable, then the same formula defines a non-trivial henselian valuation ring in any  $L \equiv K$ .
- ▶ Admitting a non-trivial henselian valuation is not an elementary property in  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$  (Prestel and Ziegler, see [7]).

# Some Facts about Definable Valuations

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is definable (Delon and Farré, see [1]).
- ▶ If  $(K, v)$  is henselian and  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable, then the same formula defines a non-trivial henselian valuation ring in any  $L \equiv K$ .
- ▶ Admitting a non-trivial henselian valuation is not an elementary property in  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$  (Prestel and Ziegler, see [7]).
- ▶ Not every henselian valued field admits a  $\emptyset$ -definable non-trivial henselian valuation.

# Some Questions about Definable Valuations

## Questions

- ▶ Does every (not separably nor real closed) henselian valued field admit a definable henselian valuation?



# Some Questions about Definable Valuations

## Questions

- ▶ Does every (not separably nor real closed) henselian valued field admit a definable henselian valuation?
- ▶ Can we classify which henselian fields admit  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuations?

# Some Questions about Definable Valuations

## Questions

- ▶ Does every (not separably nor real closed) henselian valued field admit a definable henselian valuation?
- ▶ Can we classify which henselian fields admit  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuations?
- ▶ Which henselian valuations are (existentially/universally) definable?

# Some Questions about Definable Valuations

## Questions

- ▶ Does every (not separably nor real closed) henselian valued field admit a definable henselian valuation?
- ▶ Can we classify which henselian fields admit  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuations?
- ▶ Which henselian valuations are (existentially/universally) definable?
- ▶ Which henselian valuations are  $\emptyset$ -definable?

# Some Questions about Definable Valuations

## Questions

- ▶ Does every (not separably nor real closed) henselian valued field admit a definable henselian valuation?
- ▶ Can we classify which henselian fields admit  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuations?
- ▶ Which henselian valuations are (existentially/universally) definable?
- ▶ Which henselian valuations are  $\emptyset$ -definable?
- ▶ Does every (not real nor separably closed) NIP field with small absolute Galois group admit a non-trivial definable valuation?

# An Application of Definable Valuations

For a field  $K$ , the **absolute Galois group** of  $K$  is the group

$$G_K := \text{Aut}(K^{sep}/K) \cong \varprojlim \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

where  $L$  ranges over all finite Galois extensions of  $K$ .

# An Application of Definable Valuations

For a field  $K$ , the **absolute Galois group** of  $K$  is the group

$$G_K := \text{Aut}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \cong \varprojlim \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

where  $L$  ranges over all finite Galois extensions of  $K$ .

## Theorem (Neukirch-Efrat-Koenigsmann-Pop)

Let  $K$  be a field. If  $G_K$  and  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  are isomorphic as profinite groups, then  $K \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

# Value group vs. residue field

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is  $\emptyset$ -definable, so we need to add conditions on  $(K, v)$ !

# Value group vs. residue field

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is  $\emptyset$ -definable, so we need to add conditions on  $(K, v)$ !
- ▶ Conditions on the value group  $vK$  are discussed in work of Koenigsmann ([6]) and Hong ([2]).



# Value group vs. residue field

- ▶ Not every henselian valuation is  $\emptyset$ -definable, so we need to add conditions on  $(K, v)$ !
- ▶ Conditions on the value group  $vK$  are discussed in work of Koenigsmann ([6]) and Hong ([2]).
- ▶ For the remainder of this talk, we will focus on conditions on the residue field  $Kv$ .

# Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree.

# Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

## Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

### Theorem

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

## Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

### Theorem

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .

## Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

### Theorem

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .
2. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$  which splits in  $K(p)$  and each  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

## Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

### Theorem

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .
2. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$  which splits in  $K(p)$  and each  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

We say that  $(K, v)$  is  **$p$ -henselian** if one of the above conditions hold.

## Main ingredient

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field. Then we define  $K(p)$  as the compositum of all Galois extensions of  $K$  of  $p$ -power degree. Note that  $K \neq K(p)$  iff  $K$  admits some Galois extension of  $p$ -power degree.

### Theorem

For a valued field  $(K, v)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .
2. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$  which splits in  $K(p)$  and each  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_v$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}$ .

We say that  $(K, v)$  is  **$p$ -henselian** if one of the above conditions hold. We call  $K$   **$p$ -henselian** if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.



# Main ingredient

**Recall:**  $(K, v)$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .  $K$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.

# Main ingredient

**Recall:**  $(K, v)$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .  $K$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.

$K$  is called **euclidean** if  $[K(2) : K] = 2$ .

## Main ingredient

**Recall:**  $(K, v)$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .  $K$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.

$K$  is called **euclidean** if  $[K(2) : K] = 2$ .

### Proposition

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv \neq Kv(p)$ . If  $p = 2$ , assume that  $Kv$  is not euclidean. If  $Kv$  is not  $p$ -henselian, then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

## Main ingredient

**Recall:**  $(K, v)$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .  $K$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.

$K$  is called **euclidean** if  $[K(2) : K] = 2$ .

### Proposition

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv \neq Kv(p)$ . If  $p = 2$ , assume that  $Kv$  is not euclidean. If  $Kv$  is not  $p$ -henselian, then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea of the proof:** In the context of the Proposition,  $v$  is the canonical  $p$ -henselian valuation and thus  $\emptyset$ -definable.

## Main ingredient

**Recall:**  $(K, v)$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $v$  extends uniquely to  $K(p)$ .  $K$  is  $p$ -henselian if  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation.

$K$  is called **euclidean** if  $[K(2) : K] = 2$ .

### Proposition

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv \neq Kv(p)$ . If  $p = 2$ , assume that  $Kv$  is not euclidean. If  $Kv$  is not  $p$ -henselian, then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea of the proof:** In the context of the Proposition,  $v$  is the canonical  $p$ -henselian valuation and thus  $\emptyset$ -definable.

### Corollary

Let  $(K, v)$  be henselian such that  $Kv$  is finite. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

# Hilbertian fields

## Definition

*Let  $K$  be a field and let  $T$  and  $X$  be variables. Then  $K$  is called hilbertian if for every polynomial  $f \in K[T, X]$  which is separable, irreducible and monic when considered as a polynomial in  $K(T)[X]$  there is some  $a \in K$  such that  $f(a, X)$  is irreducible in  $K[X]$ .*

# Hilbertian fields

## Definition

*Let  $K$  be a field and let  $T$  and  $X$  be variables. Then  $K$  is called hilbertian if for every polynomial  $f \in K[T, X]$  which is separable, irreducible and monic when considered as a polynomial in  $K(T)[X]$  there is some  $a \in K$  such that  $f(a, X)$  is irreducible in  $K[X]$ .*

**Examples** include all infinite finitely generated fields.

# Hilbertian fields

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $T$  and  $X$  be variables. Then  $K$  is called *hilbertian* if for every polynomial  $f \in K[T, X]$  which is separable, irreducible and monic when considered as a polynomial in  $K(T)[X]$  there is some  $a \in K$  such that  $f(a, X)$  is irreducible in  $K[X]$ .

**Examples** include all infinite finitely generated fields.

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is hilbertian. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.



# Hilbertian fields

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $T$  and  $X$  be variables. Then  $K$  is called *hilbertian* if for every polynomial  $f \in K[T, X]$  which is separable, irreducible and monic when considered as a polynomial in  $K(T)[X]$  there is some  $a \in K$  such that  $f(a, X)$  is irreducible in  $K[X]$ .

**Examples** include all infinite finitely generated fields.

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is hilbertian. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea:** (for  $\text{char}(K) \neq p$ ) Consider  $f(T, X) = X^p - mT - 1$  for  $m \in \mathfrak{m}_v$ . Find  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  such that  $f(a, X)$  is irreducible. Then  $f(a, X)$  splits in  $K(p)$ , has a simple zero in  $Kv$  but not zero in  $K$ .

# PAC fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **PAC** if every absolutely irreducible variety over  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

# PAC fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **PAC** if every absolutely irreducible variety over  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

**Examples** include pseudofinite fields.

# PAC fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **PAC** if every absolutely irreducible variety over  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

**Examples** include pseudofinite fields.

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is PAC and not separably closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

# PAC fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **PAC** if every absolutely irreducible variety over  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

**Examples** include pseudofinite fields.

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is PAC and not separably closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea:** Use a  $p$ -henselian analogue of Frey-Prestel: PAC + henselian implies separably closed.

# PAC fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **PAC** if every absolutely irreducible variety over  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

**Examples** include pseudofinite fields.

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is PAC and not separably closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea:** Use a  $p$ -henselian analogue of Frey-Prestel: PAC + henselian implies separably closed.

## Corollary

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is PRC and not real closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

# Simple fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **simple** if the  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -theory  $\text{Th}(K)$  is simple, i.e. no formula has the tree property.

# Simple fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **simple** if the  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -theory  $\text{Th}(K)$  is simple, i.e. no formula has the tree property.

Note that if a formula has the strict order property, then the theory is not simple.



# Simple fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **simple** if the  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -theory  $\text{Th}(K)$  is simple, i.e. no formula has the tree property.

Note that if a formula has the strict order property, then the theory is not simple.

## Proposition

Let  $(K, v)$  be a non-trivially henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is simple and not separably closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

# Simple fields

## Definition

A field  $K$  is called **simple** if the  $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -theory  $\text{Th}(K)$  is simple, i.e. no formula has the tree property.

Note that if a formula has the strict order property, then the theory is not simple.

## Proposition

Let  $(K, v)$  be a non-trivially henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is simple and not separably closed. Then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

**Idea of the proof:** Any  $p$ -henselian field admits a  $V$ -topology with a uniformly definable base of neighbourhoods of zero. This can be used to construct a definable family of strictly decreasing sets (wrt inclusion).

# Help from the absolute Galois Group

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field. We say that  $G_K$  is **universal** if for every finite group  $G$  there are finite Galois extensions  $L \subseteq M$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(M/L) \cong G$ .

# Help from the absolute Galois Group

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field. We say that  $G_K$  is **universal** if for every finite group  $G$  there are finite Galois extensions  $L \subseteq M$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(M/L) \cong G$ .

## Examples

- ▶ pro-soluble absolute Galois groups are non-universal.

# Help from the absolute Galois Group

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field. We say that  $G_K$  is **universal** if for every finite group  $G$  there are finite Galois extensions  $L \subseteq M$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(M/L) \cong G$ .

## Examples

- ▶ pro-soluble absolute Galois groups are non-universal.
- ▶  $G_K$  is non-universal if  $K$  is NIP of positive characteristic.

# Help from the absolute Galois Group

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field. We say that  $G_K$  is **universal** if for every finite group  $G$  there are finite Galois extensions  $L \subseteq M$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(M/L) \cong G$ .

## Examples

- ▶ pro-soluble absolute Galois groups are non-universal.
- ▶  $G_K$  is non-universal if  $K$  is NIP of positive characteristic.
- ▶  $G_K$  is universal if  $K$  is hilbertian.

# Help from the absolute Galois Group

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a field. We say that  $G_K$  is **universal** if for every finite group  $G$  there are finite Galois extensions  $L \subseteq M$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(M/L) \cong G$ .

## Examples

- ▶ pro-soluble absolute Galois groups are non-universal.
- ▶  $G_K$  is non-universal if  $K$  is NIP of positive characteristic.
- ▶  $G_K$  is universal if  $K$  is hilbertian.

## Observation

Let  $(K, v)$  be henselian. Then  $G_K$  is non-universal if and only if  $G_{K_v}$  is non-universal.

# Non-universal absolute Galois group

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is not separably nor real closed and admits no henselian valuation. If  $G_K$  is non-universal then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.



# Non-universal absolute Galois group

## Theorem

Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is not separably nor real closed and admits no henselian valuation. If  $G_K$  is non-universal then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

## Corollary

Let  $(K, v)$  be henselian such that  $\text{char}(K) > 0$  and  $K$  is not separably closed. If  $K$  is NIP then  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuation.

# Non-universal absolute Galois group

## Theorem








Let  $(K, v)$  be a henselian valued field such that  $Kv$  is not separably nor real closed and admits no henselian valuation. If  $G_K$  is non-universal then  $v$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

## Corollary

Let  $(K, v)$  be henselian such that  $\text{char}(K) > 0$  and  $K$  is not separably closed. If  $K$  is NIP then  $K$  admits a non-trivial  $\emptyset$ -definable henselian valuation.

Thank you for your attention.

# References

-  [DeFa] Françoise Delon and Rafel Farré.  
Some model theory for almost real closed fields,  
J. Symbolic Logic 61 (1996), no. 4, 1121–1152.
-  [Hon] Jizhan Hong.  
Definable Non-divisible Henselian Valuations,  
Preprint 535 on Modnet Preprint Server, 2012.
-  [JahKoe] Franziska Jahnke and Jochen Koenigsmann.  
Definable Henselian Valuations,  
Preprint, available on ArXiv, 2012.
-  [Jah] Franziska Jahnke.  
Definable Henselian Valuations and Absolute Galois Groups,  
DPhil thesis, 2013.
-  [Koe1] Jochen Koenigsmann.  
 $p$ -Henselian Fields,  
Manuscripta Math. 87 (1995), no. 1, 89–99.
-  [Koe2] Jochen Koenigsmann.  
Elementary characterization of fields by their absolute Galois group,  
Siberian Adv. Math. 14 (2004), no. 3, 16–42.
-  [PZ] Alexander Prestel and Martin Ziegler.  
Model-theoretic methods in the theory of topological fields  
J. Reine Angew. Math., 299(300) (1978), 318–341.