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#### Abstract

In Residue Number Systems (RNS), an integer X is represented by its residues $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$ modulo a base of relatively prime numbers $\left\{m_{0}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right\}$. Thus a large number can be represented as a set of small integers. Addition and multiplication can be easily parallelized, there is no carry propagation. The time is reduced to the evaluation of these operations with small numbers. This representation is useful in cryptography and digital signal processing.

Furthermore, in these two domains, modular multiplication $(A \times B \bmod N)$ is frequently used. So, in 1998, we have presented in IEEE journal of transactions on computers, ${ }^{20}$ a new modular multiplication algorithm in RNS. This algorithm is based on the Montgomery algorithm, using the associated Mixed Radix representation, for the weighted digits. It was the first algorithm of this type.

In this paper, we present two remarks. First, if we develop the different expressions due to the algorithm, we obtain some mathematical simplifications that allow us to suppress some Mixed Radix occurrence in the basic iteration simply with a new initialization of our variables. Thus, in this new version, the complexity of each basic iteration, becomes equivalent to two products of small integers instead of three.

The second remark is that, most of the time, modular multiplications are done with the same modulo N . We can precompute some values and reduce the complexity of each basic iteration to one multiplication of two small integers. Thus, the basic iteration is three time faster, and the global computation, due to the initialization, is $8 / 5$ time faster than the original version.

Sometime after the last basic iteration a Mixed Radix conversion can be needed. Classical parallel methods are linear. We propose an logarithmic parallel algorithm for this translation from RNS to Mixed Radix. For this, we use a result that comes from an RNS division algorithm, we published in Journal of VLSI signal processing systems $1998 .{ }^{3}$ We obtain in a logarithmic time an approximation of the Mixed radix representation. The correct representation is then established in a logarithmic time too.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Modular multiplication with very large numbers is used in many cryptosystems. ${ }^{13,5,10}$ Different algorithms have been proposed in the literature. ${ }^{2,8,19,17,16,11}$ Most of them use redundant radix number systems and Montgomery's modular multiplication. ${ }^{9}$ Recently, using the properties of Residue Number Systems, a modular multiplication algorithm based on the Montgomery's algorithm has been proposed. ${ }^{20}$

A limitation of this algorithm is that an operand has to be expressed in Mixed Radix System, while the others are expressed in RNS. We present in this paper improvements of this algorithm which make all the operands to be expressed in RNS. Furthermore, the step amount for computing the modular multiplication is appreciably reduced.

The final step of the algorithm presented in [20] requires a comparison which can be improved using the principle described in [3]. A generalisation of this method is given in this paper.

Section 2 introduce the notations and the concepts used in the paper. The RNS Montgomery's algorithm is shortly introduced in section 3 and its improvements are described in section 4. Finally a new conversion algorithm generalizing the method of [3] is given in section 5 .

## 2. RESIDUE NUMBER SYSTEM AND MIXED RADIX SYSTEM

Residue number system (RNS) is not similar to positional number system where each digit is related to a specified weight. ${ }^{15,7,18}$ This property enables that additions and multiplications are very fast in those systems. The RNS systems are defined as follow:

- The vector $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}\right\}$ forms a set of moduli, called the RNS-base $\mathcal{B}_{n}$, where the $m_{i}$ 's are relatively prime.
- $M$ is the value of the product $\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$.
- The set $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ is the RNS representation of $X$, an integer less than $M$, where

$$
x_{i}=|X|_{m_{i}}=X \bmod m_{i}
$$

Any integer $X$ less than $M$ has one and only one RNS-representation thank to the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Addition and multiplication modulo $M$ can be implemented in parallel in linear space $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and performed in one single step, by defining $+_{R N S}$ and $\times_{R N S}$ as component-wise operations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A+_{R N S} B \sim\left|a_{j}+b_{j}\right|_{m_{j}}, \text { for } j \in\{1, \cdots, n\} \\
& A \times_{R N S} B \sim\left|a_{j} \times b_{j}\right|_{m_{j}}, \text { for } j \in\{1, \cdots, n\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Mixed Radix System (MRS) is a weighted positional number representation which can be associated with a RNS sharing the same base of moduli. Assuming that $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right), 0 \leq x_{i}^{\prime}<m_{i}$ is the MRS representation of $X(X<M)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} m_{1}+x_{3}^{\prime} m_{2} m_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}^{\prime} m_{1} \cdots m_{n-1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the weight associated to a position is the product of the previous weight by a new radix.
Conversion from RNS into MRS representation is often used for comparison of RNS numbers, but the MRS system is not well suited for computations.

## 3. RNS MONTGOMERY MODULAR MULTIPLICATION

In [20] an RNS modular multiplication algorithm is presented. This algorithm is inspired from the original Montgomery modular multiplication ${ }^{9}$ where one reduction is performed at each iteration of the multiplication. The advantage of this algorithm is that it maintains during the computation all the numerical values less than $2 N$. Furthermore, the only needed operations are additions and multiplications by a digit. It computes $S=A B \times \beta^{-k} \bmod N$ using standard radix $\beta$ arithmetic. We obtain $A B \bmod N$ using the same algorithm with as inputs, the previous result and $\beta^{2 k}$.

Noticing that at each iteration of this algorithm, $q_{i}$ is computed so that $S+a_{i} \times B+q_{i} \times N$ is a multiple of $\beta$, the division by $\beta$ corresponds to a shift. The result obtained is the integer value $S=\frac{A B+Q N}{\beta^{k+1}}$ where $Q=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q_{i} \beta^{i}$.

Since the RNS is not a radix representation, the main problem in adapting the algorithm to RNS arithmetic is to compute $Q$ from the least significant digits of the variables. This difficulty is avoided with the use of a mixed radix system associated (with the same set of moduli).

## Algorithm 1 (RNS Modular Multiplication).

## Function: RNS_Modular_Multiplication

Stimulus: A residue base $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}\right\}$, where $M=\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$
A modulus $N$ expressed in $R N S$ with $G C D(N, M)=1$, and satisfying
$0 \leq N<\frac{M}{3 \max _{i \in\{1, \cdots, n\}}\left(m_{i}\right)}$
Integer A given in MRS

$$
A=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\prime} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} m_{j}
$$

Integer $B$ given in RNS
Response: An integer $R<2 N$ expressed in $R N S$, such that:

$$
R \equiv A B M^{-1} \bmod N
$$

Method: $\quad R \leftarrow 0$
For $i=1$ to $n$ do
$q_{i}^{\prime} \leftarrow\left(r_{i}+a_{i}^{\prime} \times b_{i}\right) \times\left(m_{i}-n_{i}\right)_{i}^{-1} \bmod m_{i}$
$R \leftarrow R+_{R N S} a_{i}^{\prime} \times_{R N S} B+_{R N S} q_{i}^{\prime} \times_{R N S} N$
$R \leftarrow R \div_{R N S} m_{i}$
End

At each step a MRS digit of $q_{i}^{\prime}$ of a number $Q$ is computed and a new value of $R$ using $q_{i}^{\prime}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}$ is determined in RNS. At each step $R$ is computed so that it is a multiple of $m_{i}$. The moduli are relatively prime numbers. Dividing $R$ by $m_{i}$ is equivalent to multiplying each residue of $R$ by the modular inverse of $m_{i}$. But this division cannot be computed for the $i^{t h}$ residue.

One solution is, as $R$ is obtained in a reduced base (the standard base with $m_{i}$ excluded) to reconstruct the value $x_{i}$ using the Shenoy-Kumaresan method. ${ }^{14}$ This linear time method can be pipelined easily on a ring of processors. ${ }^{20}$

Another solution is to extend the modular system with an auxiliary base $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\tilde{n}}=\left\{\widetilde{m}_{1}, \widetilde{m}_{2}, \cdots, \widetilde{m}_{\tilde{n}}\right\}$. In this system the RNS and MRS representations of an integer $X$ are:

$$
X_{R N S}=\left\{\widetilde{x}_{1}, \widetilde{x}_{2}, \cdots, \widetilde{x}_{\tilde{n}}\right\} \text { and } X_{M R S}=\left\{\widetilde{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \widetilde{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widetilde{x}_{\widetilde{n}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

The intermediate value $R$ is expressed both in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$. Thus, $R$ is correctly represented in the tail of the main base and in the auxiliary base. After the $n$ steps of the algorithm, the final value of $R$ is only expressed in the extended base $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$.

## Algorithm 2.

## Function: RNS_Modular_Multiplication_Listed

Stimulus: A residue base $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}\right\}$, where $M=\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$
A residue base $\left\{\tilde{m}_{1}, \tilde{m}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{m}_{\tilde{n}}\right\}$, where $\tilde{M}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{m}_{i}$
A modulus $N$ expressed in RNS in the two bases, with $G C D(N, M)=1$,
and satisfying $0 \leq N<2 M, 2 \tilde{M}$
Integer $A$ given in MRS in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$
Integer $B$ given in RNS in the two RNS bases
Response: An integer $R<2 N$ expressed in $R N S$, such that:
$R \equiv A B M^{-1} \bmod N$
Method: $\quad R \leftarrow 0$
For $i=1$ to $n$ do $q_{i}^{\prime} \leftarrow\left(r_{i}+a_{i}^{\prime} \times b_{i}\right) \times\left(m_{i}-n_{i}\right)_{i}^{-1} \bmod m_{i}$ In parallel for each $j>i$
$r_{j} \longleftarrow\left(r_{j}+a_{i}^{\prime} * b_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * n_{j}\right) \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}$

$$
\text { In parallel for each } j
$$

$\tilde{r}_{j} \longleftarrow\left(\tilde{r}_{j}+a_{i}^{\prime} * \tilde{b}_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * \tilde{n}_{j}\right) \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}$

## End

The modular multiplication algorithm computes $A B M^{-1} \bmod N$ in RNS, the result being obtained in the auxiliary base $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$. In order to eliminate the extra factor $M^{-1}$, it is possible to use the same algorithm from the auxiliary base to the base $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. The previously computed result $R=A B M^{-1} \bmod N$ takes the place of the operand $A$ and the constant $M \widetilde{M} \bmod N$ takes place of $B$.

In this algorithm, the conversion of operand $A$ and the extension of operand $B$ into an extended base require $n$ additions and $n$ multiplications steps. At each iteration, the processor $i$ computing the digit $q_{i}^{\prime}$ performs two multiplications and one addition while the $2 n-i$ others perform three multiplications and two additions (see figure 1, the first version).


Figure 1. Representation of the computation of the different versions on a bus of processors ( $n+\tilde{n}$ processors)

This algorithm can easily be implemented on parallel architectures. In [20], implementations on a bus(with
auxiliary base) and on a ring (with Shenoy-Kumaresan) of processors are presented, where space and time complexities are linear. The scheduling of several RNS modular multiplications on such architecture makes possible the computation of modular exponent for large values using relatively small residues. Thus, it is possible to perform computations for cryptographic systems with standard processors more easily.

## 4. IMPROVEMENTS OF THE ALGORITHM

### 4.1. First remark

It is possible to initialize the computation of $R$ with the RNS product of $A$ by $B$. We accumulate in residues $r_{j}$ and $\tilde{r}_{j}$, the products $a_{i}^{\prime} * b_{j} \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime} * \tilde{b}_{j} \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}$. In other words, after the last iteration the value accumulated in the residue $r_{j}$ is:

$$
\begin{array}{rc} 
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} m_{k}\right)\right) * b_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j} \\
= & A \bmod m_{j} \times b_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j} \\
= & a_{j} b_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} m_{k}\right)$ is the operand $A$ expressed in MRS and $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}$ is the extra factor accumulated at each iteration. Similarly the residue $\tilde{r}_{j}$ in the auxiliary basis is:

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} m_{k}\right)\right) * b_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j} \\
= & A \bmod \tilde{m}_{j} \times b_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j} \\
= & \tilde{a}_{j} \tilde{b}_{j} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, it is possible to initialize the residues of the accumulated value $R$ with $a_{i} * b_{i} \bmod m_{i}$ and $\tilde{a}_{i} *$ $\tilde{b}_{i} \bmod \tilde{m}_{i}$. This leads to the algorithm:

Algorithm 3 (RNS Modular Multiplication With First Remark).
Function: RNS_Modular_Multiplication_Modif1
Stimulus: A residue base $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}\right\}$, where $M=\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$
$A$ modulus $N$ expressed in $R N S$ with $G C D(N, M)=1,0 \leq N<2 M, 2 \tilde{M}$
Integer $A$ and $B$ given in $R N S, A B<M N, \tilde{M} N$
Response: An integer $R<2 N$ expressed in $R N S$, such that:
$R \equiv A B M^{-1} \bmod N$
Method: In parallel for each $i$
$r_{i} \longleftarrow a_{i} * b_{i} \bmod m_{i}$
$\tilde{r}_{i} \longleftarrow \tilde{a}_{i} * \tilde{b}_{i} \bmod \tilde{m}_{i}$
For $i=1$ to $n$ do
$q_{i}^{\prime} \longleftarrow\left(r_{i}\right)\left(m_{i}-n_{i}\right)_{i}^{-1} \bmod m_{i}$
In parallel for each $j>i$
$r_{j} \longleftarrow\left(r_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * n_{j}\right) \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}$
In parallel for each $j$

$$
\tilde{r}_{j} \longleftarrow\left(\tilde{r}_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * \tilde{n}_{j}\right) \times\left(m_{i}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}
$$

End

The main gain of this improvement is that we don't use the MRS the presentation of the operand $A$. The product $A B$ is made in one step during the initialization. Thus we save one multiplication and one addition in the main iteration (see the second version figure 1). Furthermore, the computation of the value $q_{i}^{\prime}$ is simplified. But the representation of $A$ must be extended to the auxiliary RNS base.

### 4.2. Second remark

It possible to improve again the previous algorithm. Indeed, considering the residues $r_{j}$ and $\tilde{r}_{j}$, we have after the last step:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{j}= & a_{i} * b_{i} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1}+q_{1} * n_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1}+\ldots \\
& \ldots+q_{t} * n_{j} \prod_{k=t}^{j-1}\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1}+\ldots+q_{j-1} * n_{j} *\left(m_{j-1}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} \\
q_{j}^{\prime}= & r_{j} *\left(m_{j}-n_{j}\right)_{j}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}_{j}= & \tilde{a}_{i} * \tilde{b}_{i} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(m_{k}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1}+q_{1} * \tilde{n}_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(m_{k}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1}+\ldots \\
& \ldots+q_{t} * \tilde{n}_{j} \prod_{k=t}^{n}\left(m_{k}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1}+\ldots+q_{n} * \tilde{n}_{j} *\left(m_{n}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that the values $\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1}$ and $\left(m_{n}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1}$ are related only to the main and the auxiliary modular basis and are consequently constant values. Considering that the operand $N$ is rather unchanging in the most common application of modular multiplication, we can assume that $N$ is a constant that can be stored in look-up table.

Thus, it is possible to precalculate the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases}c_{j, 0} & = \\
\cdot & \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} *\left(m_{j}-n_{j}\right)_{j}^{-1} \bmod m_{j} \\
c_{j, t} & = \\
\cdot & n_{j} \prod_{k=t}^{j-1}\left(m_{k}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} *\left(m_{j}-n_{j}\right)_{j}^{-1} \bmod m_{j} \\
c_{j, j-1} & = \\
\cdot & n_{j} *\left(m_{j-1}\right)_{m_{j}}^{-1} *\left(m_{j}-n_{j}\right)_{j}^{-1} \bmod m_{j}\end{cases} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{c}_{j, 0} & = & \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(m_{k}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\tilde{c}_{j, t} & = & \tilde{n}_{j} \prod_{k=t}^{n}\left(m_{k}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\tilde{c}_{j, n} & = & \tilde{n}_{j}\left(m_{n}\right)_{\tilde{m}_{j}}^{-1} \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to the following algorithm.

```
Algorithm 4 (RNS Modular Multiplication With Second Remark).
    Function: RNS_Modular_Multiplication_Modif2
    Stimulus: A residue base \(\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}\right\}\), where \(M=\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\)
    A modulus \(N\) expressed in \(R N S\) with \(G C D(N, M)=1,0 \leq N<2 M, 2 \tilde{M}\)
    Integer \(A\) and \(B\) given in \(R N S\) in \(\mathcal{B}_{n}\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n}\)
    Response: An integer \(R<2 N\) expressed in \(R N S\), such that:
    \(R \equiv A B M^{-1} \bmod N\)
    Method: In parallel for each \(i\)
            \(r_{i} \longleftarrow a_{i} * b_{i} * c_{i, 0} \bmod m_{i}\)
            \(\tilde{r}_{i} \longleftarrow \tilde{a}_{i} * \tilde{b}_{i} * \tilde{c}_{i, 0} \bmod \tilde{m}_{i}\)
    For \(i=1\) to \(n\) do
        \(q_{i}^{\prime} \longleftarrow r_{i}\)
        In parallel for each \(j>i\)
            \(r_{j} \longleftarrow\left(r_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * c_{j, i}\right) \bmod m_{j}\)
        In parallel for each \(j\)
        \(\tilde{r}_{j} \longleftarrow\left(\tilde{r}_{j}+q_{i}^{\prime} * \tilde{c}_{j, i}\right) \bmod \tilde{m}_{j}\)
    End
```

The constants $c_{j, 0}$ and $\tilde{c}_{j, 0}$ are included in the initialization of the residues of $R$. Consequently, no addition and no multiplication are needed for the computation of $q_{i}^{\prime}$. The main iteration is reduced only to one multiplication and one addition.

We can remark that, in this version (figure 1) that the reduction part of the multiplication (RNS Mult Red) can be done always in the same RNS base, the auxiliary base for example. Thus the number of precomputed value can be reduced by half.

### 4.3. Complements

All those remarks are also available for the implementation proposed in [20] using the reconstruction of Shenoy-Kumaresan. This kind of algorithms can be also useful for a sequential implementation. In [12] the author proposed an implementation to boost a crypto-processor close to the third version proposed here.

## 5. A NEW CONVERSION ALGORITHM

In [3], we proposed to compute an approximation of an RNS number in order to perform a division. In this section we propose a generalization of this method which enable us to compute an approximation of each MRS digit of a given RNS number. By this way, we can do a base extension in order to recover the lost moduli (after a division by $m_{i}$ ) or for a base extension (from $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$ ) or exact comparisons (for example the comparison between $R$ and $N$ at the end of the RNS modular multiplication algorithm).

### 5.1. Computing the approximation of one digit

We suppose in this section that $\forall i, m_{i} \geq 4$. We note :

$$
M^{i}=\prod_{k=1}^{i} m_{i} \quad M_{k}^{i}=\frac{M^{i}}{m_{k}} \quad X^{i}=X \quad\left(\bmod M^{i}\right)
$$

$X^{i}$ is the number represented in the reduced base $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i}\right)$ by the $i$ first RNS digits of $X=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$. We want to compute $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ the standard mixed radix representation associated to $\mathcal{B}_{n}$, such that:

$$
X=x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} m_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}^{\prime} m_{1} \cdots m_{n-1}
$$

Standard MRS conversion computes the least significant digits first [7]. We want to compute the digits in every order using the Chinese remainder theorem and the values $X^{i}=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}\right\}$. By definition (1) of the MRS, we have for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$
X^{i}=x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} m_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}^{\prime} m_{1} \cdots m_{i-1}
$$

The most significant MRS digit of $X^{i}$ is also the $i^{\text {th }}$ MRS digit of $X$. Thus, if we are able to find this digit, we can compute every MRS digit of $X$ in the same time.

So, considering the formula of the demonstration of the Chinese Remainder Theorem [7] applied to $X_{i}$, we obtain:

$$
X^{i}=\left.\left.\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left|x_{k}\right| M_{k}^{i}\right|_{m_{k}} ^{-1}\right|_{m_{k}} M_{k}^{i} \quad\left(\bmod M^{i}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}} & =\operatorname{frac}\left(\left.\left.\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left|x_{k}\right| M_{k}^{i}\right|_{m_{k}} ^{-1}\right|_{m_{k}} \frac{1}{m_{k}}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\text { and } \quad x_{i}^{\prime} & =\left\lfloor m_{i} \times \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}\right\rfloor \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

As the floating point like notation in [3], we don't compute the exact value of $\frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}$ which require a large precision, but an approximation with $p$ digits, $p$ being an integer close to $\log \left(m_{i}\right)$. The value of $p$ will be given in the following.

Our goal is to obtain the value of $x_{i}^{\prime}$. In other words, we want to obtain $m_{i} \times \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}$ with an error less than $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus it will be possible to know $x_{i}^{\prime}$ up to one. To assume this precision, we need to compute $\frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}$ with an error less than $2^{-\left\lceil\log m_{i}\right\rceil-2}$.

We note $R_{i}^{p}$ the value obtained from the sum $\left.\left.\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left|x_{k}\right| M_{k}\right|_{m_{k}} ^{-1}\right|_{m_{k}} \frac{1}{m_{k}}$ computed by a $p$ digits adder taking into account the $p$ most significant digits of the fractional part. The values $x_{k} \times \frac{\left|M_{k}\right|_{m_{k}}^{-1}}{m_{k}}$ are similarly obtained on $p$ digits from a multiplication by a $p$-digits approximated value of $\frac{\left|M_{k}\right|_{m_{k}}^{-1}}{m_{k}}$.

Thus for $p$ large enough, we will obtained $R_{i}^{p}$ or $1-R_{i}^{p}$ close to $\frac{X^{i}}{M^{2}}$. As we use a rounding to zero, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}-\left(R_{i}^{p} \text { or } 1-R_{i}^{p}\right) \leq 2^{-p+\lceil\log (i)\rceil} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (4), we consider two cases. The specific case $1-R_{i}^{p}$ takes into account the fact that $\frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}}$ could be close to zero. As we are rounding to zero, $R_{i}^{p}$ could be close to 1 since the integer part is not considered. The regular case $R_{i}^{p}$ is straightforward.

Supposing that $p \geq\lceil\log (i)\rceil+\left\lceil\log \left(m_{i}\right)\right\rceil+2$, we obtain two cases:

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
0 & \leq \quad m_{i} \frac{X}{M^{i}}-m_{i} R_{i}^{p} & \leq \frac{1}{4}  \tag{5}\\
0 & \leq m_{i} \frac{X}{M^{i}}-\left(m_{i} R_{i}^{p}+1-m_{i}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{4}
\end{array}
$$

The last inequation corresponds to the specific case of inequation (4). Using this, we obtain an approximation $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}$ (don't confused with auxiliary base) of the number $x_{i}^{\prime}$. Indeed:

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}} \\
\text { or } \\
\left|\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+1\right|_{m_{i}}
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 2. The approximate value of $x_{n}^{\prime}$

Furthermore we know that if $\operatorname{frac}\left(m_{i} R_{i}^{p}\right)$ is in $\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right]$ then $x_{i}^{\prime}=\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}$. Else the value of $x_{i}^{\prime}$ depend on the value of $x_{i-1}^{\prime}$ (see figure 2).

As $\operatorname{frac}\left(R_{i}^{p}\right) \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]$ involves $m_{i} \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}} \in\left[\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+\frac{3}{4}, \widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+1+\frac{1}{4}\right]$, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { either } \\
x_{i}^{\prime}=\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}
\end{array} & \Longrightarrow m_{i} \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}} \in\left[\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+\frac{3}{4}, \widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+1[ \right. & \text { or } \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{\frac{x_{i}^{\prime}}{}=\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+1\right. & \Longrightarrow m_{i} \frac{X^{i}}{M^{i}} \in\left[\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+1, \widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{4}\right] \\
& \Longrightarrow\left[\frac{3 m_{i-1}}{4}\right\rfloor \leq x_{i-1}^{\prime} \leq m_{i-1}-1 \\
& \Longrightarrow 0 \leq \widetilde{x_{i-1}^{\prime}} \leq m_{i-1}-1 &
\end{array}
$$

Unfortunately, we may not be able to compute the value of $x_{i}^{\prime}$ from $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}$ and $\widetilde{x_{i-1}^{\prime}}$. This characterization depends of the value $x_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Thus, if we know the value of $x_{i-1}^{\prime}$ or if $\widetilde{x_{i-1}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i-1}-1$, then we know the value of $x_{i}^{\prime}$. In the other case, if we know the value of $x_{i-2}^{\prime}$ or if $\widetilde{x_{i-2}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i-2}-1$, then we know the value of $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $x_{i-1}^{\prime}$, etc.

This method leads to two conversions from RNS to MRS. The first method computes all the values $\left(R_{i}^{p}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in parallel using $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ floating point adders and multipliers. The result may be obtained trough $O(\log (n \overline{)})$ steps. The second method needs only $O(n)$ adders and multipliers. It gives the result after $O(n \log (n))$ steps. Those two algorithms are explain in [1].

Since our multiplication algorithm need exact comparisons and base extensions, we will detail this two operations.

### 5.2. Comparison

Let consider two RNS numbers $X$ and $Y$ :

$$
X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\} \quad Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}\right\}
$$

We compute the approximate MRS digits $\widetilde{x_{n}^{\prime}}, \widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}, \widetilde{x_{n-1}^{\prime}}, \widetilde{y_{n-1}^{\prime}}, \cdots, \widetilde{x_{1}^{\prime}}, \widetilde{y_{1}^{\prime}}$ ordered from most to least significant. The algorithm to compare $X^{i}$ and $Y^{i}$ is the following:

- If we know both $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$ then
- if $x_{i}^{\prime}=y_{i}^{\prime}$ then return $X^{i-1}<Y^{i-1}$
- else return $x_{i}^{\prime}<y_{i}^{\prime}$
- elseif we know $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and not $y_{i}^{\prime}$ then
- if $\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ we must compute the exact MRS digit $y_{i}^{\prime}$ in order to continue
- elseif $x_{i}^{\prime}=\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}$ or $\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}+1$ we must compute the exact MRS digit $y_{i}^{\prime}$ in order to continue
- else return $x_{i}^{\prime}<\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}$.
- elseif we know $y_{i}^{\prime}$ and not $x_{i}^{\prime}$ then
- if $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ we must compute the exact MRS digit $x_{i}^{\prime}$ in order to continue
- if $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}=y_{i}^{\prime}$ or $y_{i}^{\prime}-1$ we must compute the exact MRS digit $x_{i}^{\prime}$ in order to continue
- else return $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}<y_{i}^{\prime}$.
- elseif we don't know both $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$
- if $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ or $\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ or $\left|\tilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}-\tilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}\right| \leq 1$ then we must compute the exact MRS digits $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$ in order to continue
- else return $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}<\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}$.

The worst case of this algorithm is when $X=Y$, all the exact mixed radix digits of $X$ and $Y$ must be generated. In this case the algorithm needs to do $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ operations. But generally, to compare $X^{i}$ and $Y^{i}$, we only need to have the two most significant digits $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}$ and $\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}$. The other approximated digits is only needed if we have to compute the exact MRS digits or if we want to do the comparison $X^{i-1}<Y^{i-1}$. This means that at each step we will have to compute the next approximated digits $\left(\widetilde{x_{i-1}^{\prime}}\right.$ and $\left.\widetilde{y_{i-1}^{\prime}}\right)$ only if $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ or $\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}}=m_{i}-1$ or $\widetilde{x_{i}^{\prime}}=\widetilde{y_{i}^{\prime}} \pm 1$.

### 5.3. Base extension

Suppose that we have an incomplete representation ${ }^{6}$ of $X\left(0 \leq X \leq \frac{M_{n}}{2}\right) X=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, \bullet\right\}$. The problem is to recover the last residue.

Let $Y$ be the number represented by $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, 0\right\}$, we have $Y=X+k M_{n}$ such that $x_{n}=m_{n}-k M_{n}$ $\left(\bmod m_{n}\right)$. And the mixed radix representation of $Y$ is:

$$
Y=x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} M^{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{\prime} M^{n-2}+k M_{n}
$$

If we compute

$$
R_{n}^{p}(Y)=\left.\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|x_{k}\right| M_{k}\right|_{m_{k}} ^{-1}\right|_{m_{k}} M_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{n}^{p}(Y)=m_{n} R_{n}^{p}
$$

with $p \geq\lceil\log (i)\rceil+\left\lceil\log \left(m_{i}\right)\right\rceil+2$, we obtain $\widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}$ such that $\widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}=k$ or $k-1$. In this case we can know directly the exact value of $k$. Indeed, if $\operatorname{frac}\left(A_{n}^{p}\right) \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\left[\right.\right.$ then $m_{i} \frac{Y}{M} \in \widetilde{\left[y_{n}^{\prime}\right.}, \widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}+1\left[\right.$ and $k=\widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}$. If $\operatorname{frac}\left(A_{n}^{p}\right) \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]$ then $m_{i} \frac{Y}{M} \in \widetilde{\left[y_{n}^{\prime}\right.}-\frac{1}{4}, \widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}+1+\frac{1}{4}\left[\right.$. But, $m_{i} \frac{Y}{M} \in \widetilde{\left[y_{n}^{\prime}\right.}-\frac{1}{4}, \widetilde{y_{n}^{\prime}}+1[$ is not possible because this mean $\frac{3}{4} \leq m_{i} \frac{Y}{M}-k=\frac{X}{M_{n}} \leq 1$ and we have supposed that $0 \leq X \leq \frac{M_{n}}{2}$. With $n-1$ multiplications and $m-2$ additions, we can compute $k$ and so $x_{n}=m_{n}-k M \quad\left(\bmod m_{n}\right)$.

This algorithm is very close to the Shenoy and Kumaresan one [14]. Their algorithm needs a redundant modulus (which means than $0 \leq X \leq \frac{M_{n}}{2}$ ) and they use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to extend the base. Our algorithm uses larger operators (on $p$ digits such that $\left.p \geq\lceil\log (n)\rceil+\left\lceil\max _{i}\left(\log \left(m_{i}\right)\right)\right\rceil+2\right)$ but need only $n$ multiplications and $n$ additions to found $x\left(\bmod m_{n}\right)$. The Shenoy and Kumaresan algorithm needs $2 n$ multiplications and $2 n$ additions to compute the same value with smaller operator (only $\left\lceil\max _{i}\left(\log \left(m_{i}\right)\right)\right\rceil$ digits).

## 6. CONCLUSION

This improvement makes the algorithm suited for Digital Signal Processors which are designed to perform multiplication-accumulations very fast.
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