

# Useful Representation Systems for Cryptographic Implementations

The French Connection

Jean Claude Bajard and Thomas Plantard

LIP6 CNRS-UPMC Sorbonne Universités  
University of Wollongong



# Outline

## Residue Systems

- Residue Number System
- Polynomial Residue Representations
- Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

- Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases
- Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

- Addition Chains
- Double base

## Conclusions



# Residue Systems

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Residue Systems

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Residue Number System

Svoboda-Valach'57, Garner'59, Szabo-Tanaka'67, (CRT) Ch'in Chiu-Shao 1247

## RNS Base

- ▶ A set of coprime numbers  $(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ , with  $M = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$

## Representation in RNS

- ▶  $A$  represented by its residues  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  with  $a_i = |A|_{m_i}$

## Operations

- ▶ Full parallel operations  $(\text{mod } M)$  with  $M = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$   
 $(|a_1 \circ b_1|_{m_1}, \dots, |a_n \circ b_n|_{m_n}) \rightarrow A \circ B \pmod{M}$



# Residue Number System: example

RNS Base:

$$\mathcal{B} = (3, 7, 13, 19) \quad M = 5187$$

Representations:

$$\begin{array}{llll} X = 147 & Y = 31 & Z = 124 \\ X_{RNS} = (0, 0, 4, 14) & Y_{RNS} = (1, 3, 5, 12) & Z_{RNS} = (1, 5, 7, 10) \end{array}$$

Operations:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{RNS} +_{RNS} Y_{RNS} &= (|0 + 1|_3, |0 + 3|_7, |4 + 5|_{13}, |14 + 12|_{19}) \\ &= (1, 3, 9, 7) \\ &= 178 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{RNS} \times_{RNS} Y_{RNS} &= (|0 \times 1|_3, |0 \times 3|_7, |4 \times 5|_{13}, |14 \times 12|_{19}) \\ &= (0, 0, 7, 16) \\ &= 4557 \end{aligned}$$



# Residue Systems

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Lagrange representations in $GF(p^k)$ with $k \leq p$

B.-Imbert-Negre 2006<sub>ieeeTC</sub>

## Extension of a finite field

Elements of  $GF(p^k)$ :  $GF(p)$  polynomials of degree lower than  $k$ .

## Lagrange representation

- ▶ is defined by  $k$  different points  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  in  $GF(p)$ . ( $k \leq p$ )
- ▶ A polynomial  $A(X) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} X^{k-1}$  over  $GF(p)$  is given in Lagrange representation by:

$$(a_1 = A(e_1), \dots, a_k = A(e_k)).$$

- ▶ Remark:  $a_i = A(e_i) = A(X) \bmod (X - e_i)$ .

## Operations

are made independently on each  $A(e_i)$  modulo  $m_i(X)$

$m_i(X) = (X - e_i)$  (as for FFT or Tom-Cook or Karatsuba).





# Example

## Finite Field

- ▶  $GF(23^5)$  defined by an irreducible polynomial  $I := x^5 + 2x + 1$
- ▶ Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two elements of  $GF(23^5)$  in polynomial forms:  $A := 2x^4 + x + 3$  and  $B := x^2 + 5x + 4$

## Lagrange representation

- ▶ We consider  $GF(23^5)$  and the two sets of points:  
 $e = (2, 4, 6, 8, 10)$  and  $e' = (3, 5, 7, 9, 11)$ .
- ▶ Then, elements are defined by:  
 $A_e = (14, 13, 2, 15, 3)$  or  $A_{e'} = (7, 16, 5, 1, 17)$   
 $B_e = (18, 17, 1, 16, 16)$  or  $B_{e'} = (5, 8, 19, 15, 19)$



# Trinomial residues in $GF(2^n)$

B.-Imbert-Jullien 2005<sub>ARITH17</sub>

## Finite Field

Elements of  $GF(2^n)$  are considered as  $GF(2)$  polynomials of degree lower than  $n$ .

## Trinomial representation

- ▶ is defined by a set of  $k$  coprime trinomials  
 $m_i(X) = X^d + X^{t_i} + 1$ , with  $k \times d \geq n$ ,
- ▶ an element  $A(X)$  is represented by  $(a_1(X), \dots, a_k(X))$  with  
 $a_i(X) = A(X) \bmod m_i(X)$ .
- ▶ This representation is equivalent to RNS.

## Operations

are made independently on each  $a_i(X)$  modulo  $m_i(X)$



# Trinomial residues

Example in  $GF(2^n)$

We consider  $d = 16$  and  $k = 3$ , thus  $n \leq 48$ :

▶  $base1 = (x^{16} + 1, x^{16} + x + 1, x^{16} + x^2 + 1)$

▶  $A := x^{18} + 1 \quad B := x^{23} + 1$

▶  $A_{base1} := (x^2 + 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1, x^4 + x^2 + 1)$

$B_{base1} := (x^7 + 1, x^8 + x^7 + 1, x^9 + x^7 + 1)$

$$AB_{base1} := (x^9 + x^2 + x^7 + 1, x^{11} + x^3 + x^9 + x^2 + x^8 + x^7 + 1, x^{13} + x^4 + x^2 + x^7 + 1)$$

$$A \times B := x^{41} + x^{23} + x^{18} + 1$$



# Residue Systems

## Advantages

- ▶ Efficient Addition and Multiplication.
- ▶ Parallelization (GPU, multicore, ...).
- ▶ Small moduli.
- ▶ Side-Channel: Error Correction, Randomisation.

## Drawbacks

- ▶  $M$  smooth, not useful for Cryptography.
- ▶ Problems: modular reduction, euclidean division, comparison.
- ▶ Tool: Base conversion.



# Residue Systems

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

**Modular Reduction**

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Residue version of Montgomery Reduction

Montgomery 1985, Posh and Posh 1995, B.-Didier-Kornerup 1997

## Residue Montgomery algorithm

1.  $Q = -(Ap^{-1}) \bmod M$  (calculus in base  $M$ )
2. **Extension** of the representation of  $Q$  to the base  $M'$
3.  $R = (A + Qp) \times M^{-1}$  (calculus in base  $M'$ )
4. **Extension** of the representation of  $R$  to the base  $M$

## Remarks

$R \equiv A \times M^{-1} \bmod p$  with  $R < 2p$

Auxiliary bases  $M'$ ,  $M'$  and  $M$  coprime (exact product, and existence of  $M^{-1}$ ),  $p < M, M'$  (or  $\deg l(X) \leq \deg M(X), \deg M'(X)$ )

## Montgomery notation

$A' = A \times M \bmod p$  and  $\text{Montg}(A' \times B', M, M', p) \equiv (A \times B) \times M \pmod{p}$



## Extension of Residue System Bases

- ▶ The extensions are similar to the polynomial interpolations.
- ▶ We consider  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  the residue representation of  $A$  in base  $M$ .
- ▶ The Lagrange interpolation gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left( a_i \times \left[ \frac{M}{m_i} \right]_{m_i}^{-1} \right) \times \frac{M}{m_i} = A + \alpha M$$

One has  $\alpha = 0$  for polynomials. For integers  $\alpha$  can be, according to the cases, neglected or computed.

# Extension in RNS Montgomery

B. - Didier - Kornerup 2001, Shenoy - Kumaresan 1989, Posh - Posh 1995, Kawamura - Koike - Sano - Shimbo 2000

- ▶ The extension of  $Q$  from  $M$  to  $M'$  does not need to be exact,  $Q$  is multiplied by  $p$
- ▶ The second extension of  $R$  from  $M'$  to  $M$  must be exact. Hence  $\alpha$  must be determined
  - ▶ an extra modulo can be used

$$\alpha = \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| a_i \times \left[ \frac{M}{m_i} \right]_{m_i}^{-1} \times \frac{M}{m_i} \right\|_{m_{extra}} - a_{extra} \right\|_{m_{extra}} \times M^{-1} \right\|_{m_{extra}}$$

- ▶ or we use the integer part of  $\sum_{i=1}^k \left\| a_i \times \left[ \frac{M}{m_i} \right]_{m_i}^{-1} \times \frac{1}{m_i} \right\|$



# Exact Extension of Residue System Bases

Newton interpolation, H.L. Garner 1958, B. - Kaihara - Plantard 2009

We first translate in an intermediate representation Mixed Radix Systems (MRS):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \zeta_1 = a_1 \\ \zeta_2 = (a_2 - \zeta_1) m_1^{-1} \bmod m_2 \\ \zeta_3 = \left( (a_3 - \zeta_1) m_1^{-1} - \zeta_2 \right) m_2^{-1} \bmod m_3 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_n = \left( \dots \left( (a_n - \zeta_1) m_1^{-1} - \zeta_2 \right) m_2^{-1} - \dots - \zeta_{n-1} \right) m_{n-1}^{-1} \bmod m_n. \end{array} \right.$$

We evaluate  $A$ , with Horner's rule, as

$$A = (\dots ((\zeta_n m_{n-1} + \zeta_{n-1}) m_{n-2} + \dots + \zeta_3) m_2 + \zeta_2) m_1 + \zeta_1.$$



# Some conclusions about RNS

B. - Duquesne - Ercegovac - Meloni 2006, Szerwinski - Güneysu 2008, Guillermin 2010, Antão - B. - Sousa 2010

- ▶ RNS is well adapted to parallel architectures (GPU, Multicore,...).
- ▶ Modular reductions stay costly.
- ▶ For ECC or Pairing it is possible to reduce the number of modular reductions since  $A \times B + C \times D$  needs only one reduction.
- ▶ As for the interpolation, the choice of the bases is important. Does there exist an FFT like approach for RNS?



# Modular Positional Arithmetics

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Modular Positional Arithmetics

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Positional Number Systems and Modular Operations

- ▶ Number system: **radix  $\beta$  and a set of digits  $\{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}$ .**
- ▶ We denote by  $p$  the modulo, with  $p < \beta^n$

$$\beta^n \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{p}, \text{ with } \varepsilon = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i \beta^i, \varepsilon_i \in \{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}$$

- ▶ A modular operation (ex.: modular multiplication)
  1. Polynomial operation:  $W(X) = A(X) \times B(X)$
  2. Polynomial reduction:  $V(X) = W(X) \bmod (X^n - \varepsilon(X))$ 
    - ▶ Pseudo-Mersenne properties for the reduction.
    - ▶ The coefficients of  $V(X)$  can be larger than  $\beta - 1$  the maximal digit.
  3. Coefficient reduction:  $M(X) = \text{Reductcoeff}(V(X))$

# Modular Reduction with pseudo-Mersenne numbers

$$p = \beta^n - \varepsilon \quad \text{avec} \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon < \beta^{n/2}$$

- ▶ In this kind of reduction we have two products by  $\varepsilon$ 
  - ▶  $\varepsilon$  **very small**, for example  $\varepsilon < \beta$ , for having a product by a digit
  - ▶  $\varepsilon$  **very sparse** (most of the digits are equal to zero) then the product is replaced by some shift-and-adds.
- ▶ There are only very few such Pseudo-Mersenne numbers.
- ▶ The question is: **Is it possible to have a number system where  $p$  is a Pseudo-Mersenne number?**



# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Th. Plantard PhD 2005

## The main idea

- ▶ Representation of  $A$ :

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \gamma^i \pmod{\rho}, \text{ with } a_i \in \{0, \dots, \rho - 1\} \text{ and } \rho < \rho^n.$$

- ▶  $\gamma$  can be huge, but  $\rho$  is small (redundancy).
- ▶  $(\rho, n, \gamma, \rho)$  defines the MAAB system.

## Modular reduction

- ▶ For the polynomial reduction:  $\gamma^n \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\rho}$  with  $\varepsilon$  small.
- ▶ For the coefficient reduction different approaches.



# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

B. - Imbert - Plantard 2004<sub>SAC</sub>

## First approach (find $P$ and $\gamma$ )

- ▶ The construction of the system giving some features:  $n = 8$ , and  $\rho = 2^{32}$  with  $p < \rho^8$  determine the size of the problem.
- ▶ The property  $\gamma^8 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$  for the polynomial reduction.
- ▶ The coefficient reduction is given by  $2^{32} \equiv \gamma^5 + 1 \pmod{p}$

Thus  $V = 2^{32}V_1 + V_0 = 2^{32}Id.V_1 + V_0 \equiv M.V_1 + V_0 \pmod{p}$  with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2^{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2^{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^{32} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^{32} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2^{32} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$





# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

B. - Imbert - Plantard 2004<sub>SAC</sub>

## Remarks and construction

- ▶  $2^{32}Id - M = 0 \pmod p$  defines a lattice.
- ▶  $p$  divides  $\det(2^{32}Id - M)$ , a factorization gives:

$p = 115792089021636622262124715160334756877804245386980633020041035952359812890593$

which corresponds to the expected size.

- ▶ The value of  $\gamma$  is deduced as a solution of  $\gcd(X^8 - 2, 2^{32} - X^5 - 1)$  modulo  $p$ :

$\gamma = 14474011127704577782765589395224532314179217058921488395049827733759590399996$

- ▶ Generally,  $M$  is found with coefficients lower than  $2^{k/2}$ , which means that three rounds are sufficient.



# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

B. - Imbert - Plantard 2005<sub>ARITH</sub>

## Second approach (find $\rho$ and $\gamma$ )

Consider the modulo  $p = 53$ , and  $n = 7$  for the digit size,  $p < \rho^7$ , and we expect a small value for  $\rho$  like  $\rho = 2$ .

We look for a radix with Pseudo-Mersenne property, we find  $\gamma = 14$ , such that  $\gamma^7 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ .

We consider the carry propagation lattice modulo  $p$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \\ V_4 \\ V_5 \\ V_6 \\ V_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -14 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -14 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -14 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 1 \\ 53 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

B. - Imbert - Plantard 2005<sub>ARITH</sub>

## Remarks and construction

- ▶ This lattice  $L$  admits as short vector

$$(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = v_6 + 14 * v_5 + 14^2 * v_4 + 14^3 * v_3 + 14^4 * v_2 + (14^5 + 1) * v_1 + 142067 * v_7.$$

- ▶ With  $\gamma^7 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ , we construct a sublattice  $L'$ .

$$\Rightarrow L' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Hence,  $\rho$  can be chosen equal to 2.
- ▶ Coefficient reduction becomes a closest vector problem.



# Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

## Conclusions

- ▶ First approach: efficient coefficient reduction but reduced choice of moduli.
- ▶ Second approach: we can choose the moduli but complexity of the coefficient reduction.



# Modular Positional Arithmetics

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Ostrowski Bases

## Continued Fraction Expansion of $\frac{a}{m}$

$$\blacktriangleright \frac{a}{m} = k_0 + \frac{1}{k_1 + \frac{1}{k_2 + \frac{1}{k_3 + \dots}}} \quad \text{et} \quad \frac{p_i}{q_i} = k_0 + \frac{1}{k_1 + \frac{1}{k_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{k_i}}}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \theta_i = a q_i - m p_i$$

$\blacktriangleright$  Recursive computation

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i+2} &= k_{i+2} q_{i+1} + q_i & q_0 &= 1 & q_{-1} &= 0 \\ \theta_{i+2} &= k_{i+2} \theta_{i+1} + \theta_i & \theta_0 &= a - m k_0 & \theta_{-1} &= -m \end{aligned}$$

## Ostrowski representations base $(q_i)$ and base $(\theta_i)$

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i q_i, \quad \text{with } b_0 < k_1, 0 \leq b_i \leq k_{i+1}, b_i = 0 \text{ if } b_{i+1} = k_{i+2}$$

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \theta_i, \quad \text{with } x_0 < k_1, 0 \leq x_i \leq k_{i+1}, x_i = 0 \text{ if } x_{i+1} = k_{i+2}$$

# Ostrowski Bases

## Example

### Continued Fraction Expansion of $\frac{3238}{7741}$

- ▶  $\frac{3238}{7741} = [0; 2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 3]$
- ▶ Ostrowski base  $(q)$

$$B_q := [1, 2, 5, 7, 12, 43, 55, 153, 667, 820, 2307]$$

- ▶ Consider  $b = 6000$  in Ostrowski representation

$$b_{B_q} := [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 3, 0, 1, 2]$$

- ▶  $x := [1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3]$  represents 7740 the largest value

# Ostrowski Bases

## Example

### Continued Fraction Expansion of $\frac{3238}{7741}$

- ▶  $\theta$  base

$$B_\theta := [3238, -1265, 708, -557, 151, -104, 47, -10, 7, -3, 1]$$

- ▶ Decreases and Alternates
- ▶  $x := [1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3]$  represents 4503 the largest value
- ▶  $y := [0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 4, 0, 2, 0]$  represents  $-3237$  the smallest value
- ▶ Remark:  $x - y = 7740$





# Ostrowski Bases and Multiplication

M. Gouicem PhD 2013

## Computation of $a \times b \pmod m$

1. Evaluation of  $q_i$  and  $\theta_i$  from  $\frac{a}{m}$ .
2. Representation of  $b$  in the Ostrowski base  $(q_i)$ .

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i q_i, \quad \text{with } b_0 < k_1, 0 \leq b_i \leq k_{i+1}, b_i = 0 \text{ if } b_{i+1} = k_{i+2}$$

3. Return  $R = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \theta_i = a \cdot b \pmod m$ , with  $(-m < R < m)$

Proof: 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \theta_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i (a q_i - m p_i) = a \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i q_i + \alpha m$$



# Ostrowski Bases

## Example

### Multiplication of $3238 \times 6000 \pmod{7741}$

▶  $\frac{3238}{7741} = (0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 3)$

$B_q := [1, 2, 5, 7, 12, 43, 55, 153, 667, 820, 2307]$

$B_\theta := [3238, -1265, 708, -557, 151, -104, 47, -10, 7, -3, 1]$

- ▶ Consider  $b = 6000$  in Ostrowski representation

$b_{B_q} := [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 3, 0, 1, 2]$

- ▶ We obtain in  $\theta$  base

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 * (-1265) + 1 * (-557) + 1 * (-104) + 1 * 47 + 3 * (-10) + 1 * (-3) + 2 * 1) \\ & = (-1910) \equiv 5831 \equiv 3238 \times 6000 \pmod{7741} \end{aligned}$$



## Conclusions

- ▶ Quadratic complexity in the size of the modulo.
- ▶ Division: the  $\theta$  representation provides the division in Ostrowski representation.
- ▶ Allow to perform inversion, multiplication and division with the same circuit.
- ▶ Multiplications and/or divisions by the same number  $a$  becomes efficient

# Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Addition Chains: Fibonacci - Zeckendorf

## Representation of Zeckendorf - 1972 (1939)

- ▶ Fibonacci Series:  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ , with  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$   
1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, ...
- ▶ Representation with  $q_i = F_{i+2}$

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i q_i, \quad \text{with } b_i \in \{0, 1\}, b_i = 0 \text{ if } b_{i+1} = 1$$

## Remarks

- ▶ It is the Ostrowski representation using the continued fraction expansion of the golden ratio.
- ▶ Example:  $k := 1117 = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]_{\mathcal{Z}} = F_3 + F_5 + F_9 + F_{11} + F_{16} = 2 + 5 + 34 + 89 + 987$

# Addition Chains: Fibonacci - Zeckendorf

$kP$  with an efficient  $P + Q$ .

► Algorithm:

1. Decomposition in the Fibonacci representation
2. Recursive computing with respect to the decomposition

► Example: Evaluation right to left of  $1117.P$  using  $[0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]_Z$  with 18 Additions

1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
1	1	2	3	5	8										
					9	14	23								
							24	38	62	100	162				
											163	263	426		
													427	690	1117



# Addition Chains: Fibonacci - Zeckendorf

E. B. Burger et al. 2012<sub>ActaAr.</sub>

## Properties

- ▶ Length:  $k$  such that  $F_k \leq n < F_{k+1}$
- ▶ Ratio of ones:  $\frac{\psi(k)}{k} \rightarrow \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{10} = 0.2763$

## Pros and cons

- ▶ Advantage: only additions
- ▶ Drawback: more digits than in binary: ratio =  $\frac{\ln 2}{\ln \varphi} \sim 1.44$  with  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$
- ▶ Tool: Greedy Algorithm





# Euclidean Addition Chains

N. Meloni PhD 2007, Herbaut-Liardet-Meloni-Teglia-Veron 2010 INDOCRYPT

## Definition

A Euclidean addition chain (EAC) of length  $s$  for an integer  $k$  is a sequence  $(c_i)_{i=1\dots s}$  with  $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

The computation of  $k$  is obtained from the sequence  $(v_i, u_i)_{i=0\dots s}$

$$v_0 = 1, u_0 = 2$$

$$(u_i, v_i) = (v_{i-1} + u_{i-1}, v_{i-1}) \text{ if } c_i = 1 \text{ (small step),}$$

$$(u_i, v_i) = (v_{i-1} + u_{i-1}, u_{i-1}) \text{ if } c_i = 0 \text{ (big step).}$$

Then we denote  $\chi(c) = v_s + u_s = k$ .

## Properties

- ▶ Euclidean algorithm scheme
- ▶  $\chi(0_n) = F_{n+4}$ ,  $\chi(1_n) = n + 3$



# Euclidean Addition Chains

N. Meloni PhD 2007, Herbaut-Liardet-Meloni-Teglia-Veron 2010 INDOCRYPT

## Example

We can find shortest chains for 1117 with 15 additions:

[1117, 648], [648, 469], [469, 179],  
[290, 179], [179, 111], [111, 68], [68, 43], [43, 25], [25, 18], [18, 7],  
[11, 7], [7, 4], [4, 3], [3, 1],  
[2, 1], [1, 1]

$\chi(01000100000010) = 1117$

## Construction of keys

How to construct a set of keys with efficient EAC representations?



# Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

## Residue Systems

Residue Number System

Polynomial Residue Representations

Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases

Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

Addition Chains

Double base

## Conclusions



# Double base

Dimitrov-Jullien-Miller 1999<sub>IEEE TC</sub>, Dimitrov-Imbert-Mishra 2005<sub>ASIACRYPT</sub>

## Double Base

- ▶ Representation:  $X = \sum x_{ij} 2^i 3^j$ ,  $x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ Example:  $127 = 1111111_b = 2^3 3^2 + 2^1 3^3 + 2^0 3^0 = 72 + 54 + 1$

## $kP$ with $2P$ and $3P$

1. Decomposition in double base, find a path.
2. Return  $2^{i_0} 3^{j_0} P + 2^{i_1} 3^{j_1} P + 2^{i_2} 3^{j_2} P + \dots$

## Advantages and Drawbacks

- ▶ Sparse representation
- ▶ Redundancy and optimal representation



# Double base

Berthé - Imbert 2009<sub>DMTCS</sub>, Tijdeman 1974<sub>CompMath</sub>

## Construction

- ▶ How to find the nearest  $2^a 3^b$  to a given number  $N$ ?
- ▶ Then a greedy algorithm can be used.
- ▶ Number of non-zero digits is in  $O(\log N / \log \log N)$

## Method

- ▶ We minimize:  $a * \ln 2 + b * \ln 3 - \ln N$  or  $a \log_3 2 + b - \log_3 N$
- ▶ Considering the fractional part we have  
 $(a \log_3 2 - \log_3 N) \bmod 1$



# Double base

Berthé - Imbert 2009<sub>DMTCS</sub>

## Method using Ostrowski

- ▶ We consider the continued fraction expansion of  $\log_3 2$   
[0; 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 5, 2, 23, 2, ...]

- ▶ The Ostrowski bases are constructed

- ▶  $\theta_i = q_i * \log_3 2 - p_i$

- ▶ Recursive computation

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i+2} &= k_{i+2}q_{i+1} + q_i & q_0 &= 1 & q_{-1} &= 0 \\ \theta_{i+2} &= k_{i+2}\theta_{i+1} + \theta_i & \theta_0 &= \log_3 2 - k_0 & \theta_{-1} &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $a$  is found in two steps

- ▶ Representation of  $\log_3 N \bmod 1$  in  $\theta$  base:

$$(\log_3 N) \bmod 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n_i \theta_i$$

- ▶ We have  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n_i q_i$



# Double base

Berthé - Imbert 2009<sub>DMTCS</sub>

## Example for $N = 2000$

- ▶ We consider the continued fraction expansion of  $\log_3 2$ :

$$[0; 1, 1, 1, 2, 2]$$

and the bases:  $B_q = [1, 1, 2, 3, 8, 19]$

$$B_\theta = [0.63, -0.369, 0.26, -0.1, 0.047, -0.012]$$

- ▶ we consider  $T = (\log_3 N - \lfloor \log_3 N \rfloor) = 0.918639575$

- ▶  $T_\theta = [1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0] = 0.8927892604$

- ▶ In the base  $B_q$ :  $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0] = 3 = a$

- ▶ Then  $\lfloor \log_3(N/2^3) \rfloor = 5 = b$

- ▶ We verify that:

$2^1 3^0$	$2^3 3^5$	$2^4 3^4$	$2^6 3^3$	$2^7 3^2$	$2^9 3^1$	$2^{10} 3^0$
1458	1944	1296	1728	1152	1536	1024



# Conclusions

## Residue Systems

- Residue Number System
- Polynomial Residue Representations
- Modular Reduction

## Modular Positional Arithmetics

- Modular Arithmetic Adapted Bases
- Ostrowski Bases

## Exponent representations (ECC $kP$ )

- Addition Chains
- Double base

## Conclusions





# Tools and open problems

## Residue Systems

- ▶ Chinese Remainder Theorem, Polynomial interpolations
- ▶ Find good bases (base extension)

## Modular Positional representations

- ▶ Lattice reduction, Shortest vector, Closest vector
- ▶ Continued Fraction Expansion, Ostrowski representation

## Exponent representation

- ▶ Fibonacci series, Zeckendorf, Euclid algorithm
- ▶ Shortest addition chains, Ostrowski approximation

