## A THEOREM OF CARAYOL AND APPLICATIONS

## Carayol's theorem.

Let A be a complete noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite field. We consider a continuous representation of a Galois group  $\Gamma$  (local or global) with values in a semilocal extension A' of A. Thus  $A' = \prod A'_i$  where each  $A'_i$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  and residue field  $k'_i$ , an extension of k, and we have a continuous map

$$\rho':\Gamma{\rightarrow}GL(n,A')=\prod_iGL(n,A'_i)$$

that defines a continuous map of A-algebras

$$\prod \rho'_i: R = A[\Gamma] \to \prod_i M(n, A'_i).$$

By linearity this extends to a map of A'-algebras

$$\prod \rho'_i: R' = A'[\Gamma] \rightarrow \prod_i M(n, A'_i) = M(n, A').$$

We make the following

**Hypothesis 1.** For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the trace  $tr(\gamma) \in A'$  in fact belongs to the subring A.

In particular, for each i, the residual representation

$$\bar{\rho}_i': k_i'[\Gamma] \to M(n, k_i')$$

has the property that  $tr(\rho'_i(\gamma)) \in k$  and in particular  $\chi_i(\gamma) = tr(\rho'_i(\gamma))$  is independent of *i* for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Hypothesis 2.** For some  $i, \bar{\rho}'_i$  is absolutely irreducible.

We will see in the corollary to Theorem 2 below that this implies that all the  $\bar{\rho}'_i$  are absolutely irreducible.

**Theorem 1.** Under Hypotheses 1 and 2, there exists a representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(n, A)$  such that  $\rho'$  is equivalent to  $\rho \otimes A'$ . Moreover,  $\rho$  is unique up to equivalence.

It is explained in Carayol's article [C] that several of these hypotheses are unnecessary. In particular, it is enough that A be henselian. But in the applications, we will assume A complete.

As a necessary first step, we prove

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\rho$ ,  $\rho'$  be two representations of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in A. Suppose  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible and  $tr(\rho) = tr(\rho')$  as functions on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\bar{\rho}'$  are equivalent. It suffices by general principles (Hilbert's Theorem 90) to prove this after passage to the algebraic closure of k, so we may assume k algebraically closed. If k is of characteristic zero, then  $\bar{\rho}$  is determined by its trace, and the claim is clear. If char(k) = p > 0, we need

to use that the traces of distinct irreducible representations of  $R \otimes k$  are linearly independent functions on R (cf. Curtis-Reiner, (27.8)). Now  $\bar{\rho}'$  is not necessarily semisimple, but its semisimplification  $(\bar{\rho}')^{ss}$  can be written as a finite sum  $\oplus n_{\pi}\pi$ where the  $\pi$  are irreducible and mutually distinct. It follows from equality of traces

that  $n_{\bar{\rho}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $n_{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $\pi \neq \bar{\rho}$ . But  $\dim \bar{\rho} = \dim \bar{\rho'}$  which means that there is no room for any more than a single copy of  $\bar{\rho}$  in  $(\bar{\rho'})^{ss}$ . Now we replace A by  $A_d = A/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ ,  $d = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , and we show by induction

Now we replace A by  $A_d = A/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ , d = 0, 1, 2, ..., and we show by induction that  $\rho_d = \rho \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^d}$  is equivalent to  $\rho'_d$  for all d. We have already shown this for d = 0; suppose we know it for d - 1. Thus, after conjugating by an appropriate element of GL(n, A), we may assume that

$$\rho(r) \equiv \rho'(r) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^d}, \forall r \in R.$$

Thus

$$\rho'_d(r) \equiv \rho_d(r) + \delta(r), \ \delta(r) \in M(n, \mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1})$$

It is clear that  $\delta$  is A-linear, hence factors through  $R \otimes_A k = \overline{R}$ . Since  $\rho'_d$  and  $\rho_d$  are homomorphisms, one checks that the map  $\delta$  satisfies

$$\delta(r_1 r_2) = \bar{\rho}(r_1)\delta(r_2) + \delta(r_1)\bar{\rho}(r_2).$$

Moreover, since  $tr(\rho) = tr(\rho')$ , we know that  $tr \circ \delta$  vanishes identically.

Take  $Y \in \ker(\bar{\rho}), r \in \bar{R}$ . We have

$$\delta(rY) = \bar{\rho}(r)\delta(Y)$$

and since the kernel is an ideal in R,  $tr(\bar{\rho}(r)\delta(Y)) = 0$ . Now we apply Burnside's theorem: since  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible,  $\bar{\rho} : \bar{R} \to M(n,k)$  is *surjective*. It follows that for all  $X \in M(n,k)$ ,  $tr(X\delta(Y)) = 0$ , hence  $\delta(Y) = 0 \forall Y \in \ker(\bar{\rho})$ . Thus  $\delta$  factors through a derivation

$$d: \bar{R}/\ker(\bar{\rho}) = M(n,k) \rightarrow M(n,\mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}) = M(n,k)^a$$

where  $a = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ . In other words,  $\delta$  is a sum of derivations from M(n,k) to itself. Now it is known that any derivation of M(n,k) is inner, i.e. there exists a matrix  $U \in M(n, \mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1})$  such that

$$\delta(r) = \bar{\rho}(r)U - U(\bar{\rho}(r)), \forall r \in R.$$

Hence

$$\rho'_{d}(r) = \rho_{d}(r) + \bar{\rho}(r)(U) - U\bar{\rho}(r) = (1 - U)\rho_{d}(r)(1 + U)$$

Here  $\bar{\rho}$  is not well-defined in  $M(n, A_d)$  but its product with U is, and indeed

$$U\rho_d(r) = U\bar{\rho}(r)$$

depends only on  $\bar{\rho}(r)$ . Thus  $\rho_d$  and  $\rho'_d$  are equivalent for all d by induction. By continuity, this implies that there is a convergent sequence of matrices  $M_d \in GL(n, A)$  such that, if  $M = \lim_d M_d$  then

$$\rho'(r) = M\rho(r)M^{-1}$$

for all  $r \in R$ .

**Corollary.** Under Hypothesis 2, all  $\bar{\rho}'_i$  are absolutely irreducible and equivalent when the  $k'_i$  are embedded in a common field.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $S' = M(n, A') = \prod_i M(n, A'_i)$  and let  $S = \rho(R) \subset S'$ . Thus for all  $s \in S$ ,  $Tr(s) \in A$ .

Now for each  $i, \bar{\rho'_i}: k'_i[\Gamma] \to M(n, k'_i)$  is surjective by Burnside's theorem. Since  $\bar{\rho'_i}$  is deduced from a map of  $k[\Gamma]$ , it follows that there exists a sequence  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  of elements of R such that, for each i, the  $\bar{\rho'_i}(r_j \otimes 1)$  form a  $k'_i$ -basis of  $M(n, k'_i)$ . Let  $e_j = \rho'(r_j \otimes 1)$ . By Nakayama's Lemma, the projections of  $e_j$  on each  $S'_i = M(n, A'_i)$  forms a system of generators of the free module  $S'_i$ , and by comparing ranks we see they even form a basis.

I claim the  $e_j$  form a basis of S as A-module. Indeed, for any  $s \in S$ , we can write  $s = \sum \alpha_j e_j$  with  $\alpha_j \in A'$ . Now for any  $1 \le \ell \le n^2$ ,

(\*) 
$$tr(s \cdot e_{\ell}) = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} tr(e_{j} e_{\ell}) \in A$$

Now for any basis  $e_j$  of a matrix algebra, the determinant of the matrix  $tr(e_j e_\ell)$  is invertible. (This is clear over a field, the trace being a non-degenerate bilinear form, and so it follows easily over a semilocal ring.) Now the matrix  $tr(e_j e_\ell)$  is invertible over A' but it has coefficients in A, hence the inverse also has coefficients in A. The system of equations (\*) for  $\alpha_j$  thus can be inverted to show that  $\alpha_j \in A$  for all j. This proves the claim.

Now S is a free A-module of rank  $n^2$ , and the isomorphism  $S \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} S'$  induces isomorphisms for each *i*:

$$(S \otimes_A k) \otimes_k k'_i \xrightarrow{\sim} S' \otimes_{A'_i} k'_i = M(n, k'_i).$$

Hence  $\overline{S} = S \otimes_A k$  is a central simple algebra over k. Thus S is an Azumaya algebra over A, i.e. a twisted matrix algebra.

But since A is Henselian, any Azumaya algebra over A is determined by its reduction mod  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since k is finite, the only central simple algebras over k are the matrix algebras. Thus there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi: S \xrightarrow{\sim} M(n, A).$$

Now define  $\rho(r) = \phi(\rho'(r \otimes 1))$ . This defines a representation of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in A. It remains to show that  $\rho \otimes_A A'$  is equivalent to  $\rho'$ . But consider

$$M(n,A') = S' = S \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes 1} M(n,A')$$

This is an automorphism of M(n, A'), and any automorphism of M(n, A') is inner (because  $M(n, A') = \prod M(n, A'_i)$  and this is true for any local ring), say is given by conjugation by a matrix  $\beta \in M(n, A')$ . This conjugation defines the desired equivalence.

## Applications to deformation rings.

I follow the article of de Smit and Lenstra [dS-L] that proves a more general version of Mazur's theorem on existence of deformation rings without appealing to Schlessinger's criterion. The next few paragraphs are copied from the lecture on Schlessinger's theorem, to which I refer as [Sch].

In what follows, G is either (i)  $Gal(K_S/K)$ , where K is a number field, S is a finite set of places of K, and  $K_S$  is the maximal extension of K unramified outside S, or (ii)  $Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ , where K is a p-adic field. In case (i), if L is a finite extension of K, let  $L_S$  be the maximal extension of L unramified outside the primes of L above S. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an  $\ell$ -adic integer ring with finite residue field k. We let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}$  be the category of artinian local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with residue field k (such that the structure map  $\mathcal{O} \mapsto A$  induces the identity map on residue fields), and  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  the category of complete noetherian local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with residue field k as above.

**Lemma 1.** For any finite extension L/K, let  $G_L = Gal(L_S/L)$  in case (i), resp.  $G_L = Gal(\bar{K}/L)$  in case (ii). Then  $Hom(G_L, k)$  is a finite set.

The proof is in [Sch].

Now let  $\bar{r}: G \to GL(n,k)$  be a finite-dimensional representation. For A in C, a *lifting* of  $\bar{r}$  to A is a homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to GL(n, A); \rho = \overline{r} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$$

For all N, let  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_A^N)$  be the principal congruence subgroup of GL(n, A):

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_A^N) = \{ \gamma \in GL(n, A) \mid \gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A^N} \}.$$

A deformation of  $\bar{r}$  to A is an equivalence class of liftings  $\rho$ , where  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ are equivalent if there exists a matrix  $\gamma \in GL(n, A)$ , with  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_A)$ , such that  $\rho_2 = \gamma \circ \rho_1 \circ \gamma^{-1}$ . Define the functor  $Def(\bar{r})$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  for which  $Def(\bar{r})(A)$  is the set of deformations of  $\bar{r}$  to A.

The functor of liftings is more or less obviously prorepresentable by some sort of ring (take generators and relations). Here is the construction, following [dS-L]. First let G' be a finite quotient of G. Let  $\mathcal{O}[G, n]$  be the commutative  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with generators  $X_{i,j}^g, g \in G', 1 \leq i, j, \leq n$  and relations

$$X_{i,j}^e = \delta_{ij}; \ X_{i,j}^{gh} = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{ik}^g X_{kj}^h.$$

This is just the ring of coordinates of homomorphisms from G' to GL(n); more precisely, there is an obvious canonical bijection

$$Hom_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}[G,n],A) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom(G,GL(n,A))$$

for any  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra A. In particular,  $\bar{r}$  corresponds to a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}[G, n]$  to the finite field k, whose kernel is a maximal ideal  $m_{\bar{r}}$ , and we let  $R_b$  denote the completion of  $\mathcal{O}[G, n]$  at  $m_{\bar{r}}$ . Then  $R_b$  is an object in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , and

Lemma 2. The natural map

$$Hom_{\hat{c}}(R_b, A) \rightarrow Hom_{\bar{r}}(G, GL(n, A))$$

is a bijection for any A in  $\hat{C}$ .

Here  $Hom_{\bar{r}}$  means continuous liftings of  $\bar{r}$ . Given a lift  $\rho$  on the right, it defines a map from  $\mathcal{O}[G, n]$  that obviously extends to a map  $f = f_{\rho}$  of completions at  $m_{\bar{r}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  respectively, but A is already complete at  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ . Since the elements  $X_{i,j}^g$  are dense in  $R_b$  and their images under f are determined by  $\rho$ , this shows that f is unique. The identification in the other direction is just as easy.

Now if we write  $G = \underline{\lim} G_i$  with  $G_i$  finite, we get rings  $R_{b,i}$  as above in  $\hat{C}$ , with maps between them corresponding to maps between the  $G_i$ , and let  $R_b = \underline{\lim} R_{b,i}$ . This is not necessarily in  $\hat{C}$ , in particular it is not obviously noetherian. We can fix this. Let  $H = \ker(\bar{r}), G' = G/H$ , which is a finite quotient of G. Obviously  $R_b$  is a finite  $R_b^H$ -algebra. If we can show  $R_b^H$  is noetherian, then it follows that so is  $R_b$ . So we may replace G by H and assume  $\bar{r}$  is trivial. Now in [Sch] I prove that

$$t_{R_b} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(H, Ad(\rho)) = Hom(H, k) \otimes Ad(\rho)$$

which is finite-dimensional by Lemma 1. On the other hand,

$$t_{R_b} = Hom_{cont}(R_b, k[\varepsilon]) = \varinjlim_i Hom_{\mathcal{O}}(R_{b,i}, k[\varepsilon])$$
$$= \varinjlim_i Hom_k(m_i/(m_i^2 + \mathfrak{m}R_{b,i}, k))$$

where  $m_i$  is the maximal ideal of  $R_{b,i}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover, the transition maps in the inductive limit are injective. So it follows that  $\dim(m_i/m_i^2)$  is bounded. Now it is easy to show (cf. [dS-L], (5.3)) that this boundedness implies that  $R_b$  is noetherian as well as a complete local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra.

We now apply a variant of Carayol's theorem. Let  $\rho_b$  be the universal lifting of  $\bar{r}$ over  $R_b$ , and let  $R \subset R_b$  be the closed subring generated by  $Tr(\rho_b)(g)$ . In [dS-L] it is proved that, as long as  $\bar{r}$  is irreducible, then  $\rho_b$  is obtained from a representation of G on a free R-module of rank n. The proof is elementary and does not require that R or  $R_b$  be noetherian, only that they are both projective limits of Artin algebras; k does not even have to be finite. Admitting this result, let  $A \in C$  and  $\rho_A$ be a lift of  $\bar{r}$  to A, i.e.  $\rho_A \in Hom_{\bar{r}}(G, GL(n, A))$ . Thus there is a map  $f_b : R_b \to A$ classifying  $\rho_A$  in the sense that  $\rho_A = f_b \circ \rho_b$ . But  $\rho_b = \rho \otimes_R R_b$ , so  $\rho_A = f \circ \rho$ where f is the restriction of  $f_b$  to R. Now it suffices to show that f is uniquely determined by  $\rho_A$  up to isomorphism. But  $Tr(\rho_A)(g) = f(Tr(\rho)(g))$  for all  $g \in G$ . Of course  $Tr(\rho_A)(g)$  is determined by the equivalence class of  $\rho_A$ , so the elements  $f(Tr(\rho)(g) \in A$  are determined by the equivalence class of  $\rho_A$ . Since the traces are dense in R and f is continuous, it follows that f is determined uniquely by the equivalence class of  $\rho_A$ , and this proves that  $(R, \rho)$  represents  $Def_{\bar{r}}$  on C.

A slightly more complicated proof, due to Faltings, shows that this works (for a slightly bigger R) provided  $End_G(\bar{r}) = k$ , which is possible even if  $\bar{r}$  is reducible.

[C] H. Carayol, Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet, *Contemp. Math.*, **165** (1994) 213-237.

[dS-L] B. de Smit and H.W. Lenstra, Jr., Explicit construction of universal deformation rings, in G. Cornell, J. Silverman, and G. Stevens, eds. *Modular Forms* and Fermat's Last Theorem, Springer-Verlag (1997), 313-326.