On the Maximal Length of Two Sequences of Integers in Arithmetic Progressions with the Same Prime Divisors

By

R. Balasubramanian, Madras, M. Langevin, Lille, T. N. Shorey, Bombay, and M. Waldschmidt, Paris

(Received 28 November 1994; in revised form 17 July 1995)

Abstract. In this paper we consider an analogue of the problem of Erdős and Woods for arithmetic progressions. A positive answer follows from the *abc* conjecture. Partial results are obtained unconditionally.

1. For a positive integer n, we denote u(n) for the greatest square free divisor of n i.e.

$$u(n)=\prod_{p\mid n}p,$$

P(n) for the greatest prime factor of n and $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n. We understand that u(1) = P(1) = 1 and $\omega(1) = 0$. Erdős and Woods (see [1] for related literature) conjectured that there exists a positive integer k with the following property: if x, y are positive integers such that, for $1 \le i \le k$, the two numbers x + i and y + i have the same prime factors, then x = y. The solution of this problem would be of interest in logic. The only known example of positive integers (x, y, k) with $1 \le x < y, k \ge 2$ and

$$u(x+i) = u(y+i) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le k \tag{1}$$

arise from

$$u(2^h-2)=u(2^h(2^h-2)), \quad u(2^h-1)=u(2^h(2^h-2)+1), \quad (h \ge 2)$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B25. Secondary 11J86, 11N25. Key words: Greatest prime factor, divisors, arithmetic progression, Erdős Woods, abc conjecture, linear forms in logarithms.

and

$$u(75) = u(1215) = 15$$
, $u(76) = u(1216) = 38$.

It is proved in [1] that the relations (1) imply that

$$\log k \le c_1 (\log x \log \log x)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x \ge 3,$$

$$y - x > \exp(c_2 k(\log k)^2 / \log \log k) \quad \text{for } k \ge 3,$$

and

$$v - x > (k \log \log v)^{c_3 k \log \log y (\log \log \log y)^{-1}} \quad \text{for } v \ge 27.$$
 (4)

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are effectively computable absolute positive constants.

In this paper, we consider an analogue of the problem of Erdös and Woods for arithmetic progressions. For each quadruple (x, y, d, d') of positive integers satisfying

$$(x, d) \neq (y, d')$$
 and $gcd(x, d) = gcd(y, d') = 1,$ (5)

we denote by K = K(x, y, d, d') the largest positive integer K for which

$$u(x+id) = u(y+id') \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le K-1.$$

For instance K(2, 2, 1, 7) = 3 and K(8, 4, 1, 23) = 3, corresponding to the arithmetic progressions

We observe

$$\gcd(x+id,y+id')=\gcd(x+id,d'x-dy)$$

which, together with (6) and $d'x - dy \neq 0$, implies that

$$P(x+id) = P(y+id') \le |d'x - dy| \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le K-1.$$

On the other hand, we apply estimates on linear forms in logarithms to d'(x+id)-d(y+id') for showing that P(x+id)=P((x+id)(y+id')) tends to infinity with i, see [1, p. 228]. Thus, we secure the existence of the maximal integer K. We show that a positive answer to the arithmetic progression analogue of the problem of Erdös and Woods follows from the abc conjecture of Masser and Oesterlé, see Lang [3, Chap. IV §7, p. 196].

Conjecture abc: For each $\varepsilon > 0$ three exists a constant $\kappa(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if a, b, c are three positive rational integers with a + b = c and

gcd(a, b, c) = 1, then

$$u(abc) \geqslant \kappa(\varepsilon)c^{1-\varepsilon}$$
.

The following two consequences of *abc* conjecture will be proved in Section 2.

Proposition 1. Assume the abc conjecture is true. Then for each pair (d, d') of positive integers, the set of pairs (x, y) satisfying (5) and K(x, y, d, d') > 2 is finite.

Proposition 2. Assuming the abc conjecture holds, the set of quadruples (x, y, d, d') satisfying (5) and K(x, y, d, d') > 4 is finite.

It would be interesting to know whether there exist examples with K(x, y, d, d') = 4.

In Section 4, we shall prove inequalities analogous to (2), (3) and (4). We shall always assume that x, y, d and d' are positive integers satisfying (5). Further, we put

$$\Delta = \left| \frac{d'x - dy}{\gcd(d, d')} \right|.$$

By (5), we observe that $\Delta \neq 0$ and $\Delta = |x - y|$ whenever d = d'. We prove the next result under the assumption

$$P(x+id) = P(y+id') \quad \text{for } 0 \le i < k. \tag{7}$$

This is a weaker assumption than

$$u(x+id) = u(y+id') \quad \text{for } 0 \le i < k \tag{8}$$

already considered. If (7) holds, we observe $P(x+id)|\Delta$ for $0 \le i < k$ which imply that $P(x+id) \le \Delta$ for $0 \le i < k$. If (8) holds, then $u(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x+id))$ divides Δ and in particular, $u(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x+id)) \le \Delta$.

Proposition 3. Assume (7). There exists an effectively computable absolute constant c_4 such that for $k \ge c_4$, we have

$$\Delta \geqslant k^{k-\pi(k)-3}. (9)$$

We write

$$\chi_1 = x + (k-1)d$$
, $\chi_2 = y + (k-1)d'$, $\chi = \max(\chi_1, \chi_2, e^e)$.

If χ is very large as compared with k, we sharpen (9) as follows.

Proposition 4(a). If (7) holds with $k \ge 3$, then

$$\log \Delta \geqslant c_5 k \log \log \log \chi, \tag{10}$$

where $c_5 > 0$ is an effectively computable absolute constant.

(b) Assume (8) with $k \ge 3$. Then

$$\log \Delta \geqslant c_6 k \log \log \chi \tag{11}$$

for some effectively computable absolute constant $c_6 > 0$.

From the next result, we shall deduce a lower bound for x and y in the special case d = d'.

Proposition 5. Let $k \ge 2$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, assume that

$$x > d^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad y > d'^{1+\varepsilon}.$$
 (12)

If (8) holds, then

$$\min \left\{ \log \left(\frac{d'x}{\gcd(d,d')} \right), \log \left(\frac{dy}{\gcd(d,d')} \right) \right\} \ge c_7 (\log k)^2 (\log \log k)^{-1},$$

where $c_7 > 0$ is an effectively computable number depending only on ε .

If d = d', the assertion of Proposition 5 simplifies to

$$\min(\log x, \log y) \geqslant c_7(\log k)^2(\log \log k)^{-1}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3 is elementary and the proofs of Propositions 4 and 5 depend on the theory of linear forms in logarithms.

2. The proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 depend on the following result.

Lemma A. Assume the abc conjecture holds. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for positive integers x, d with gcd(x, d) = 1, we have

$$u(x(x+d)(x+2d)) \geqslant \kappa(\varepsilon)(x+d)^{2-2\varepsilon}d^{-1}$$
 (13)

and

$$u(x(x+d)\cdots(x+4d)) \geqslant \frac{\kappa(\varepsilon)}{8}(x+2d)^{3-3\varepsilon}.$$
 (14)

Proof. We consider the three relatively prime positive integers

$$a = (x + d)^2 - d^2$$
, $b = d^2$, $c = (x + d)^2$.

We observe that a + b = c and

$$u(abc) = u(dx(x+d)(x+2d)) \leqslant du(x(x+d))(x+2d).$$

Then, the inequality (13) follows immediately from abc conjecture.

For inequality (14), we observe that

$$a = x^{2}(x + 3d), \quad b = (x + d)(x + 4d)^{2}, \quad c = 2(x + 2d)^{3}$$

satisfy a + b = c and write $\delta = \gcd(a, b)$. If d is even, then x is odd, $\delta = 1$ and we deduce from the abc conjecture that

$$2u(x(x+d)\cdots(x+4d)) = u(2x(x+d)\cdots(x+4d)) \geqslant$$
$$\geqslant \kappa(\varepsilon)2^{1-\varepsilon}(x+2d)^{3-3\varepsilon}$$

which implies (14). If d is odd, then δ | 16 and we apply the abc conjecture to

$$x^{2}(x+3d)\delta^{-1}$$
, $(x+d)(x+4d)^{2}\delta^{-1}$, $2(x+2d)^{3}\delta^{-1}$

for concluding that

$$u(x(x+d)\cdots(x+4d)) \geqslant \kappa(\varepsilon)\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}(x+2d)^{3-3\varepsilon} \geqslant \frac{\kappa(\varepsilon)}{8}(x+2d)^{3-3\varepsilon}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1. We assume that K > 2. Then, we derive from (5) and (6) that u(x(x+d)(x+2d)) = u(y(y+d')(y+2d')) divides |d'x - dy|. Now, we derive from (13) with $\varepsilon = 1/4$ and $d'x - dy \neq 0$ that

$$\kappa(1/4) \max\{(x+d)^{3/2}d^{-1}, (y+d')^{3/2}d'^{-1}\} \le |d'x-dy|$$

which implies that max(x, y) is bounded by a number depending only on d and d'.

Proof of Proposition 2. We assume that K > 4. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 1, we derive from (14) with $\varepsilon = 1/6$ that

$$\frac{\kappa(1/6)}{8}\max\{(x+2d)^{5/2},(y+2d')^{5/2}\} \leqslant |d'x-dy|$$

which implies that there are only finitely many possibilities for x, y, dand d'.

3. In this section, we shall prove lemmas for Propositions 3–5. We start with the following very useful Lemma.

Lemma 1. For positive integers x and d with gcd(x, d) = 1, we put $S = \{x, x + d, \dots, x + (k-1)d\}$. Let p be a prime which divides the number

$$x(x+d)\cdots(x+(k-1)d)=\prod_{n\in\mathbb{S}}n.$$

Choose an $i_p \in \{0, 1, ..., k-1\}$ such that

$$|x+i_p d|_p^{-1} = \max(|n|_p^{-1}, n \in S).$$

Then

$$\prod_{i\neq i_p}|x+id|_p\geqslant |(k-1)!|_p.$$

Proof. For $i \neq i_p$ we have

$$|x+id|_p \geqslant |i-i_p|_p$$

hence

$$\prod_{i \neq i_p} |x + id|_p \ge |i_p!(k - 1 - i_p)!|_p \ge |(k - 1)!|_p.$$

Remarks. 1. This argument is due to Sylvester [5, Ch. 72, p. 698].

2. Since

$$|x + i_p d|_p = |\text{lcm}(x, x + d, ..., x + (k-1)d)|_p$$

we deduce that for positive integers x and d with gcd(x, d) = 1, the number $x(x + d) \cdots (x + (k - 1)d)$ divides

$$(k-1)! \operatorname{lcm}(x, x+d, \dots, x+(k-1)d).$$

3. If we denote by S_1 the subset of S obtained by deleting from S all i_n with $p \le k$, then

$$\prod_{n \in S_1} \prod_{p \leqslant k} |n|_p^{-1} \text{ divides } (k-1)!.$$

Compare with Erdös' result which is quoted in [1, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2. For positive integers x and d with gcd(x,d) = 1, let S_2 be a subset of $\{x, x+d, \ldots, x+(k-1)d\}$. Denote by τ the number of all integers $n \in S_2$ such that $P(n) \le k$. Then

$$\tau \leqslant k \frac{\log k}{\log s} + \pi(k)$$

where s is the least element of S_2 .

Proof. By deleting at most $\pi(k)$ elements from the set $\{n \in S_2, P(n) \le k\}$, we derive from Lemma 1 that $s^{\tau - \pi(k)} \le k^k$ and the assertion follows.

The next lemma of SHOREY and TIJDEMAN [4] is on the existence of a prime divisor exceeding k of an element of $\{x, x+d, \ldots, x++(k-1)d\}$.

Lemma 3. For integers $x \ge 1$, $d \ge 2$ and $k \ge 3$ with gcd(x, d) = 1, we have

$$P(x(x+d)\cdots(x+(k-1)d)) > k$$

unless

$$x = 2$$
, $d = 7$, $k = 3$.

We apply Lemma 3 to derive the following estimate for Δ .

Lemma 4. Let k > 6. For positive integers x, y, d, d' satisfying $\max(d, d') > 1$, (5) and (7), we have

$$2\Delta > k^2. \tag{15}$$

Proof. We assume that d' > 1 and we prove (15). The proof for (15) when d > 1 is similar. By Lemma 3 and k > 3, there exists i_0 with $0 \le i_0 < k$ such that $p = P(y + i_0 d') > k$. Then, we see from (7) that $p = P(x + i_0 d)$. Consequently, we derive from (5) that $p|\Delta$. If $i_0 < k/2$, we observe that $k - 1 - i_0 > (k/2) - 1 > 2$ for deriving from Lemma 3 that

$$P((y+(i_0+1)d')\cdots(y+(k-1)d')) \ge k-i_0 > k/2.$$

If $i_0 \ge k/2 > 3$, we apply again Lemma 3 to obtain

$$P(y(y+d')\cdots(y+(i_0-1)d')) \ge i_0+1 > k/2.$$

Consequently, there exists i_1 with $0 \le i_1 < k$ and $i_1 \ne i_0$ such that $p' = P(y + i_1 d') > k/2$. As above, we derive that $p' | \Delta$. Since p > k and $i_0 \ne i_1$, we observe that $p \ne p'$. Consequently $pp' | \Delta$ which implies that $\Delta \ge pp' > k^2/2$.

We denote by t the number of all integers $n \in \{x, x+d, ..., x++(k-1)d\}$ such that $P(n) \le k$. We observe that t is also the number of all integers $n \in \{y, y+d', ..., y+(k-1)d'\}$ such that $P(n) \le k$ whenever (7) holds. In the next result, utilise Lemma 2 to sharpen (15) for large k.

Lemma 5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that positive integers x, y, d, d' satisfy (5) and (7). There exists an effectively computable number c_8 depending

only on ε such that for $k \ge c_8$, we have

$$\Delta \geqslant k^{(1-\varepsilon)k}.\tag{16}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $\varepsilon < 1/3$. By (3), we may suppose that $\max(d, d') > 1$ so that (15) is valid. We may assume that k exceeds a sufficiently large effectively computable number depending only on ε . By (5), we observe that $dy \neq d'x$. We prove the lemma when dy > d'x and the proof for the other case is similar. Then

$$\Delta < dv. \tag{17}$$

If $y \ge k^{3/2}$, we derive from Lemma 2 with x = y, d = d' and $S_2 = \{y, y + d', \dots, y + (k-1)d'\}$ that

$$t \leqslant \left(\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon\right)k. \tag{18}$$

If $y < k^{3/2}$, then we see from (15) and (17) that $2d > k^{1/2}$. We apply again Lemma 2 with $S_2 = \{x + \lfloor \varepsilon k/2 \rfloor d, \ldots, x + (k-1)d\}$ and $t \le (\varepsilon k/2) + \tau$ for deriving (18). Thus, the estimate (18) is always valid. There are at least k - t elements of $\{x, x + d, \ldots, x + (k-1)d\}$ whose greatest prime factors exceed k. Further, we observe from (7) that the product of these pairwise distinct at least k - t primes divide Δ . Thus

$$\Delta > k^{k-t}$$
.

From (18) we deduce

$$\Delta > k^{(1/3 - \varepsilon)k}.\tag{19}$$

If $d > k^{k/6}$, we repeat the previous argument: we apply Lemma 2 with

$$S_2 = \{x + [\varepsilon k/2]d, \dots, x + (k-1)d\}$$

and deduce

$$\tau \leqslant 6 + \pi(k)$$
 and $t \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} + \tau \leqslant \varepsilon k$;

since $\Delta > k^{k-t}$, this implies (16).

If $d \le k^{k/6}$, then from (17) and (19) we obtain

$$y > k^{k/6-\varepsilon}$$

and we use once more Lemma 2 with x = y, d = d' and

П

$$S_2 = \{y, y + d', \dots, y + (k-1)d'\}$$
 to derive

$$t \leqslant \frac{6}{1 - 6\varepsilon} + \pi(k)$$

which again yields (16).

Finally, we state a particular case of an estimate of Györy [2] for integer solutions of Thue-Mahler equations. For distinct integers α_1 , α_2 and α_3 , we put

$$F(X, Y) = (X - \alpha_1 Y)(X - \alpha_2 Y)(X - \alpha_3 Y).$$

Let H(F) be the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of F. Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be distinct prime numbers with $P = \max(p_1, \ldots, p_s)$ and A some non-zero rational integer. Then

Lemma 6. All solutions of the Thue-Mahler equation

$$F(x, y) = A p_1^{z_1} \cdots p_s^{z_s}$$

in integers x, y, z_1, \dots, z_s with $gcd(x, y) = 1, z_1 \ge 0, \dots, z_s \ge 0$ satisfy

$$\log(\max(|x|,|y|)) \leq (s+1)^{C(s+1)} P^2 (1 + \log(|A|H(F))),$$

where C is an effectively computable absolute constant.

4. Proof of Proposition 3. We may assume that k exceeds a sufficiently large effectively computable absolute constant. We prove the result when dy > d'x and the proof for the other case is similar. Then (17) is valid. For the proof of (9), we argue as in the proof of Lemma 5 for observing that it suffices to show that

$$t \leqslant \pi(k) + 3. \tag{20}$$

Let $\varepsilon = 1/25$. If $y \ge k^{(1/2+\varepsilon)k}$, we derive from Lemma 2 that $t \le \pi(k) + 1$. Thus, we may assume that $y < k^{(1/2+\varepsilon)k}$ which, together with (16) and (17), implies that $d > k^{(1/2-2\varepsilon)k}$. Now, we apply again Lemma 2 for $S_2 = \{x+d,\ldots,x+(k-1)d\}$ to conclude (20).

Proof of Proposition 4. We write c_9, \ldots, c_{13} for effectively computable absolute constants. By applying Lemma 6 to F(x,d) and F(y,d') where F is the binary form X(X+Y)(X+2Y), the estimate (10) follows from (7) and the estimate (11) follows from (8) whenever k is bounded. Therefore, we may assume that k exceeds a sufficiently large effectively computable absolute constant. Further, we may suppose

304

that

$$k < \log \chi \tag{21}$$

otherwise Proposition 4 follows from Proposition 3. We assume that $\chi_2 \geqslant \chi_1$ and the proof for the other case is similar. We write

$$y + id' = M_i M_i'$$
 for $0 \le i \le k$

where $P(M_i) \le k$ and every prime factor of M_i exceeds k. By Lemma 2 and (21), the set of i with $0 \le i \le k$ and $M'_i = 1$ has at most $\pi(k) + 2\log k$ elements. Define $I = \{i, 0 \le i < k, M_i > k^{20}\}$. Using Lemma 1, we deduce that there is a subset J of I with Card $J \ge \text{Card}$ $I - \pi(k)$ and

$$\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{p \le k} |y + id'|_p^{-1} \le k!.$$

The left-hand side is nothing else than $\prod_{i \in J} M_i$, hence is at least $k^{20 \, \text{Card} J}$. Therefore

Card
$$J \leqslant \frac{k}{20}$$
 and Card $I \leqslant \pi(k) + \frac{k}{20}$

Therefore, we find integers i_j with $1 \le j \le \mu$ and $\mu = [9k/10]$ in [0, k)such that

$$M_{ii} \leqslant k^{20}, M'_{ii} > k$$
 for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mu$.

Furthermore, we may suppose that there are at least $\lceil 3k/4 \rceil$ integers j such that

$$P(M'_{ij}) \leqslant (\log \chi)^{1/3},$$

otherwise Proposition 4 follows from (7). We arrange these integers as $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{u'}$ with $\mu' = [3k/4]$. Let j be an integer in the range $1 \le j \le \mu' - 2$; we apply Lemma 6 to F(y, d'), where F is the binary

$$F(X, Y) = (X + i_j Y)(X + i_{j+1} Y)(X + i_{j+2} Y),$$

with

$$A = M_{ii}M_{ii+1}M_{ii+2}, \quad s = \omega(M'_{ii}M'_{ii+1}M'_{ii+2})$$

to conclude that

$$\omega(M_{ij}') \geqslant c_9 (\log\log\chi) (\log\log\log\chi)^{-1}$$

for at least $\lfloor k/8 \rfloor$ integers j with $1 \le j \le \mu'$. Therefore

$$P(M'_{ij}) \geqslant c_{10} \log \log \chi \tag{22}$$

for at least $\lfloor k/8 \rfloor$ integers j with $1 \le j \le \mu'$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu'} \omega(M'_{ij}) \geqslant c_{11} k(\log\log\chi)(\log\log\log\chi)^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

If (7) holds, we derive from (22) that

$$(c_{10}\log\log\chi)^{c_{12}k}\leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{\mu'}P(M'_{ij})\leqslant \Delta$$

which implies (10). If (8) holds, we obtain from (23) that

$$(\log \chi)^{c_{13}k} \leqslant u \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\mu'} M'_{ij} \right) \leqslant \Delta$$

and (11) follows.

Proof of Proposition 5.

Put $D = \gcd(d, d')$ and $W = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \omega(y + id')$. We prove Proposition 5 under the assumption d'x < dy, i.e. we prove

$$\log\left(\frac{d'x}{D}\right) \geqslant c_7(\log k)^2(\log\log k)^{-1}.$$
 (24)

The proof of Proposition 5 for the other case d'x > dy is similar. We may assume that k exceeds a sufficiently large effectively computable absolute constant, otherwise (24) follows immediately. Then, we derive from Proposition 3 and d'x < dy that

$$k^{k-\pi(k)-3} \leqslant \Delta < \frac{dy}{D}.$$

We may assume that $d/D < k^{\pi(k)}$, otherwise (24) follows from (12). Thus

$$y > k^{k-2\pi(k)-3}. (25)$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$. We assume that

$$\log(x + (k - 1)d) < \varepsilon_1 \log k \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y}$$
 (26)

and we shall choose ε_1 a suitable effectively computable absolute constant for arriving at a contradiction. From this contradiction, we

see from (12) and (25) that

$$\log\left(\frac{d'x}{D}\right) \geqslant \log x \geqslant \varepsilon_1(\log k)^2(\log\log k)^{-1} - \log k,$$

implying (24).

For an integer i with $0 \le i < k$, we denote by $\omega'(y + id')$ the number of prime divisors of y + id' which are greater than k. From (8) we deduce $\omega'(y + id') = \omega'(x + id)$. Since

$$k^{\omega'(y+id')} \leqslant x + id \leqslant x + (k-1)d,$$

we deduce from (26) that

$$\omega'(y + id') \le \varepsilon_1 \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y}$$
.

Also, for $0 \le i < k$, we have

$$\log P(y + id') \le \log k \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y}.$$

Now, in view of (12), we apply the theory of linear forms in logarithms as in the proof of Proposition 4.11 of [1] for deriving

$$W \leqslant c_{14}k \log \log k + \varepsilon_1 k \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y}$$

and

$$W \geqslant c_{15}k \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y},$$

where c_{14} and c_{15} are positive effectively computable absolute constants. Finally, we choose $\varepsilon_1=(2c_{15})^{-1}$ to obtain from the estimates for W that

$$c_{15} \frac{\log \log y}{\log \log \log y} < 2c_{14} \log \log k,$$

which, by (25), is not possible if k is sufficiently large.

This work has been made possible thanks to the support of IFCEPAR for the first and the third author, the support of IHES for the third author and the support of NBHM for the fourth author.

References

- [1] BALASUBRAMANIAN, R., SHOREY, T. N., WALDSCHMIDT, M.: On the maximal length of two sequences of consecutive integers with the same prime divisors. Acta Math. Hung. 54, 225-236 (1989).
- [2] GYÖRY, K.: Explicit upper bounds for the solutions of some Diophantine equations. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. 5, 3-12 (1980).
- [3] LANG, S.: Algebra, 3rd edn. Reading, Mass.: Addison Wesley. 1993.
- [4] SHOREY, T. N., TUDEMAN, R.: On the greatest prime factor of an arithmetical progression. In: A Tribute to Paul Erdős (A. Baker, B. Bollobas, A. Hajnal, eds.) pp. 385-389. Cambridge: Univ. Press. 1990.
- [5] SYLVESTER, J. J.: On arithmetical series. Messenger of Math. 21, 1-19 and 87-120; Math. Papers IV, pp. 686-731. Cambridge. 1912.

R. BALASUBRAMANIAN
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Madras 600 113
India

M. LANGEVIN
Université Lille 1 Math.
U.F.R. Mathématique (M 2)
F-59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex
France

T. N. SHOREY School of Mathematics Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005 India M. WALDSCHMIDT Université P. et M. Curie (Paris VI) Mathématiques, T. 45-46, Sème Et., Case 247 4, Place Jussieu F-75252 Paris Cedex 05 France

On the Maximal Length of Two Sequences of

Integers in Arithmetic Progressions wi...

Balasubramanian, R.; Langevin, M.; Shorey, T.N.; Waldschmidt, M.

pp. 295 - 308

UNIVERSITÄTSBIBLIOTHEK GÖTTINGEN

Terms and Conditions

The Göttingen State and University Library provides access to digitized documents strictly for noncommercial educational, research and private purposes and makes no warranty with regard to their use for other purposes. Some of our collections are protected by copyright. Publication and/or broadcast in any form (including electronic) requires prior written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library. Each copy of any part of this document must contain there Terms and Conditions. With the usage of the library's online system to access or download a digitized document you accept there Terms and Conditions. Reproductions of material on the web site may not be made for or donated to other repositories, nor may be further reproduced without written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library For reproduction requests and permissions, please contact us. If citing materials, please give proper attribution of the source.

Contact:

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Digitalisierungszentrum 37070 Goettingen Germany

Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de

Purchase a CD-ROM

The Goettingen State and University Library offers CD-ROMs containing whole volumes / monographs in PDF for Adobe Acrobat. The PDF-version contains the table of contents as bookmarks, which allows easy navigation in the document. For availability and pricing, please contact:

Niedersaechisische Staats- und Universitaetsbibliothek Goettingen - Digitalisierungszentrum 37070 Goettingen, Germany, Email: gdz@www.sub.uni-goettingen.de