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## 1 Introduction.

Recently, close connections have been established between simultaneous diophantine approximation and algebraic independence. A survey of this topic is given by M. Laurent in these proceedings [7]. These connections are one of the main motivations to investigate systematically the question of algebraic approximation to transcendental numbers. In view of the applications to algebraic independence, a special attention is paid to the dependence on the degree.

To each qualitative transcendence result telling:
one at least of the numbers $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$ is transcendental
one can associate a quantitative refinement, which is a lower bound for

$$
\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\theta_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right|
$$

when $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ are algebraic numbers. Such estimates will depend on two parameters: the degree $\left[\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right): \boldsymbol{Q}\right]$ of the number field generated by the algebraic approximations, and the height

$$
\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathrm{~h}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)
$$

Here it will be convenient to use the absolute logarithmic height $\mathrm{h}(\gamma)$ of an algebraic number $\gamma$, which has several equivalent definitions (see for instance [12], Chap. 3). One of these is

$$
\mathrm{h}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{d} \log \mathrm{M}(\gamma),
$$

where $d=[\boldsymbol{Q}(\gamma): \boldsymbol{Q}]$ is the degree of $\gamma$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\mathrm{M}(\gamma)$ is Mahler's measure of $\gamma$ : if $f \in \boldsymbol{Z}[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of $\gamma$ over $\boldsymbol{Z}$, with leading coefficient $a_{0}>0$ and roots $\gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(d)}$, so that

$$
f(X)=a_{0}\left(X-\gamma^{(1)}\right) \cdots\left(X-\gamma^{(d)}\right)
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{M}(\gamma)=a_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \max \left\{1,\left|\gamma^{(i)}\right|\right\}=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \log \left|f\left(e^{2 i \pi t}\right)\right| d t\right) .
$$

Another equivalent definition for $\mathrm{h}(\gamma)$ is

$$
\mathrm{h}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{[K: \boldsymbol{Q}]} \sum_{v \in M_{K}} D_{v} \log \max \left\{1,|\gamma|_{v}\right\}
$$

when $K$ is any number field containing $\gamma, M_{K}$ denotes the set of (normalized) places of $K$ and $D_{v}$ denotes the local degree at $v \in M_{K}$. The normalization is done in such a way that the product formula reads

$$
\prod_{v \in M_{K}}|\gamma|_{v}^{D_{v}}=1
$$

for any non zero $\gamma \in K$.
In the classical theory of simultaneous rational approximation, given a tuple $\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{m}\right)$ of real numbers, Khinchine's transference theorem ([2] Chap. V $\S 3$ Th. IV) exhibits a duality between lower bounds for

$$
q \longmapsto \min _{\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{m}} \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\vartheta_{i}-\frac{p_{i}}{q}\right|
$$

and for

$$
\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right) \longmapsto \min _{q \in \boldsymbol{Z}}\left|p_{1} \vartheta_{1}+\cdots+p_{m} \vartheta_{m}+q\right| .
$$

It is not known whether there is a similar transference theorem in the context of algebraic diophantine approximation.

Here, we shall consider both questions: measures of simultaneous algebraic approximation and measures of linear independence.

Such a study is worth of consideration in a general context; just to give an example, the situation concerning almost all tuples (either in $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}$ or in $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$, for Lebesgue's measure) is not yet described in a satisfactory way (see [1] for recent results on this context).

For simplicity, we shall restrict here our attention to a special case, where we assume that the numbers $e^{\theta_{i}}$ are algebraic. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{L}=\exp ^{-1}(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}})=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C} ; e^{z} \in \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\times}\right\}
$$

the set of complex logarithms of algebraic numbers. It is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-vector space, which contains numbers like $i \pi, \log 2, \log 3 \ldots$.

It will be convenient to introduce the following definition.
Definition. Let $\underline{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ be a tuple of complex numbers. A function $\varphi: \boldsymbol{N} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{>0} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a simultaneous approximation measure for $\underline{\theta}$ if there exist a positive integer $D_{0}$ together with a real number $h_{0} \geq 1$ such that, for any integer $D \geq D_{0}$, any real number $h \geq h_{0}$ and any $m$-tuple $\underline{\gamma}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$ of algebraic numbers satisfying

$$
[\boldsymbol{Q}(\underline{\gamma}): \boldsymbol{Q}] \leq D \quad \text { and } \quad \max _{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathrm{~h}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq h,
$$

we have

$$
\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\theta_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right| \geq \exp \{-\varphi(D, h)\}
$$

## 2 Main Conjectures.

Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ be logarithms of algebraic numbers with $\alpha_{i}=e^{\lambda_{i}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Let $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ be algebraic numbers. Denote by $D$ the degree of the number field $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$. Finally let $h \geq 1 / D$ satisfy

$$
h \geq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m} h\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \quad h \geq \frac{1}{D} \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad h \geq \max _{0 \leq j \leq m} h\left(\beta_{j}\right) .
$$

Conjecture 1. Assume $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{i}-\beta_{i}\right| \geq \exp \left\{-c_{1} m D^{1+(1 / m)} h\right\}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a positive absolute constant.
Conjecture 2. Assume that the number

$$
\Lambda=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{m} \lambda_{m}
$$

is non zero. Then

$$
|\Lambda| \geq \exp \left\{-c_{2} m D^{2} h\right\}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is a positive absolute constant.
These conjectures are very simple and describe the situation in a clear way. On the opposite, as we shall see, known results are more complicated to state, so far.

In case $m=1$, both conjectures 1 and 2 coincide:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda-\beta| \geq \exp \left\{-c D^{2} h\right\} \tag{?}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $D=1$ (and $m=1$ ), this is an open problem of Mahler [8]:
Does there exist an absolute constant $c>0$ such that, for any positive rational integers $a$ and $b$,

$$
\left|e^{b}-a\right| \geq a^{-c} ?
$$

If $\left|e^{b}-a\right|$ is small, then $b$ and $\log a$ are of the same order of magnitude, hence one can replace $a^{-c}=e^{-c \log a}$ in the right hand side by $e^{-c b}$. For the same reason, since $\left|e^{b}-a\right| / a=\left|e^{b-\log a}-1\right|$ is close to $|b-\log a|$, one can replace $\left|e^{b}-a\right|$ in the left hand side by $|b-\log a|$ (replacing at the same time $c$ by $c+1$ in the right hand side).

The best known estimates on this question are due to K. Mahler [8]:

$$
\left|e^{b}-a\right| \geq b^{-c b}
$$

and

$$
|b-\log a| \geq a^{-c \log \log a} \quad \text { for } \quad a \geq 3
$$

Mahler found a sharp explicit numerical value for $c$, namely $c=33$ (for both estimates), provided that $a$ (hence also $b$ ) is sufficiently large. A refinement is due to Franck Wielonsky [13]: for sufficiently large $a$, these last two estimates hold with $c=20$.

Stronger estimates than Conjecture 2 are suggested in [6] in the special case $D=1$ and $\beta_{0}=0$. When $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are positive rational numbers and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are rational numbers, one can remove the logarithms from the statement, replacing

$$
b_{1} \log a_{1}+\cdots+b_{m} \log a_{m}
$$

by the number

$$
\left|a_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots a_{m}^{b_{m}}-1\right|
$$

which is a close aproximation:
Conjecture 3. For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C(\epsilon)>0$ such that, for any non-zero rational integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ with $a_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots a_{m}^{b_{m}} \neq 1$,

$$
\left|a_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots a_{m}^{b_{m}}-1\right| \geq \frac{C(\epsilon)^{m}}{B^{m-1+\epsilon} A^{m+\epsilon}},
$$

where $A=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|a_{i}\right|$ and $B=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|b_{i}\right|$.
Links between measures of linear independence of logarithms and the $a b c$ conjecture are discussed in [9].

## 3 Results: Simultaneous Approximation.

Here is the state of the art concerning Conjecture 1. Until recently, only N.I. Fel'dman considered such a question [3] and [4]; see also [5] Th. 3.34:

Theorem 1 (Fel'dman) . Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ be $\boldsymbol{Q}$-linearly independent logarithms of algebraic numbers. There exists a positive constant $c=c\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
c D^{2+1 / m}(h+\log D)(\log D)^{-1}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$.
Further estimates have been produced more recently [10], [11], [12]. We select a few examples.

A rather general statement is the following (cf. Chap. 16 of [12]).
Theorem 2. Let $m$ and $n$ be two positive rational integers. Define

$$
c=2^{23} m^{3} n^{2}(2 m)^{m / n} .
$$

Let $\lambda_{i j}(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ be elements of $\mathcal{L}$, $K$ a number field of degree $D=[K: \boldsymbol{Q}]$ such that the algebraic numbers $\alpha_{i j}=e^{\lambda_{i j}}$ belong to $K^{\times}$, $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{m}^{\prime}$ elements of $K, A_{i j}(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n), B, B^{\prime}$ and E positive real numbers satisfying, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i j}\right) \leq \log A_{i j}, \quad\left|\lambda_{i j}\right| \leq \frac{D}{E} \log A_{i j} \\
& \mathrm{~h}\left(1: \beta_{1}: \cdots: \beta_{n}\right) \leq \log B, \quad \mathrm{~h}\left(1: \beta_{1}^{\prime}: \cdots: \beta_{m}^{\prime}\right) \leq \log B^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B \geq e, \quad B^{\prime} \geq e, \quad B \geq D \log B^{\prime}, \quad B^{\prime} \geq D \log B
$$

and

$$
1 \leq \log E \leq D \log A_{i j} \leq \min \left\{B, B^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Assume that the $m \times n$ matrix $\left(\log A_{i j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ has rank 1 :

$$
\log A_{i j} \log A_{11}=\log A_{i 1} \log A_{1 j}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.
Define

$$
U_{2}^{m n}=D^{m n+m+n}(\log B)^{n}\left(\log B^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \log A_{i j}\right)(\log E)^{-m-n}
$$

Assume further that for any $\underline{t} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $\left|t_{i}\right| \leq\left(c U_{2}\right)^{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$
t_{1} \beta_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+t_{m} \beta_{m}^{\prime} \neq 0
$$

and that for any $\underline{s} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $\left|s_{j}\right| \leq\left(c U_{2}\right)^{2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$
s_{1} \beta_{1}+\cdots+s_{n} \beta_{n} \neq 0
$$

Assume furthermore

$$
\begin{gathered}
D \log B \leq U_{2}, \quad D \log B^{\prime} \leq U_{2} \\
D \log B^{\prime} \log A_{11} \cdots \log A_{1 n} \geq\left(\log A_{1 j}\right)^{n} \log E
\end{gathered}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and

$$
D \log B \log A_{11} \cdots \log A_{m 1} \geq\left(\log A_{i 1}\right)^{m} \log E
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i j}-\beta_{j} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq e^{-c U_{2}}
$$

In the special case $m=1$ the statement is slightly simpler:
Corollary 1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Define

$$
c=2^{24} n^{2}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be algebraic numbers, let $D$ be the degree of the number field they generate, and let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}, A, B, B^{\prime}, E$ be real numbers which satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
B \geq e, \quad B^{\prime} \geq e, \quad A=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} A_{j}, \\
\mathrm{~h}\left(\alpha_{j}\right) \leq \log A_{j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq n) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{h}\left(1: \beta_{1}: \cdots: \beta_{n}\right) \leq \log B .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq n$, assume that the number $\alpha_{j}$ is non zero, choose $\lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $e^{\lambda_{j}}=\alpha_{j}$ and assume

$$
\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \leq \frac{D}{E} \log A_{j}
$$

Let $U$ be a positive real number satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
U \geq D^{2+(1 / n)}(\log B)\left(\log B^{\prime} \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n}\right)^{1 / n}(\log E)^{-1-(1 / n)} ; \\
U \geq D^{2}(\log B)(\log A)(\log E)^{-1-(1 / n)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Further, assume

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \leq \log E \leq D \log A_{j} \leq B, \quad \log B^{\prime} \leq D \log A \\
B^{\prime} \geq D \log A, \quad U \geq D \log B \\
\log E \leq D \log B \leq B^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \log E \leq D \log B^{\prime} \leq B
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore, assume

$$
s_{1} \beta_{1}+\cdots+s_{n} \beta_{n} \neq 0
$$

for any $\underline{s} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ with

$$
0<\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|s_{j}\right| \leq(c U)^{2}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{j}-\beta_{j}\right| \geq e^{-c U}
$$

Before giving a few examples, we introduce the following definition.
Definition. A tuple $\underline{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}$ of complex numbers satisfies a linear independence measure condition if, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $S_{0}>0$ such that, for any $S \geq S_{0}$ and any $\underline{s} \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{n}$ satisfying $0<\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|s_{j}\right| \leq S$, we have

$$
\left|s_{1} \theta_{1}+\cdots+s_{n} \theta_{n}\right| \geq e^{-S^{\epsilon}}
$$

The following three examples are easily deduced from Corollary 1.
Example 1. Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a tuple of complex numbers which satisfies a linear independence measure condition. There exists a positive constant $c=$ $c\left(n, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that the function

$$
c D^{2+(1 / n)} h(h+\log D)(\log h+\log D)^{-1}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the $2 n$ numbers

$$
x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, e^{x_{1}}, \ldots, e^{x_{n}}
$$

Example 2. Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ be $\boldsymbol{Q}$-linearly independent algebraic numbers. There exists a positive constant $c=c\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$ such that the function

$$
c D^{1+(1 / m)} h(\log h+D \log D)(\log h+\log D)^{-1}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the numbers $e^{\beta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\beta_{m}}$.
Example 3. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ be non zero algebraic numbers. For $1 \leq$ $i \leq m$, let $\lambda_{i}$ be a determination of the logarithm of $\alpha_{i}$. Assume the numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ are $\boldsymbol{Q}$-linearly independent. Then there exists a positive constant $c=c\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
c D^{2+1 / m}(h+\log D)(\log h+\log D)^{1 / m}(\log D)^{-1-1 / m}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$.

The next three examples are consequences of Theorem 2.
Example 4. Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be positive integers, $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ be a m-tuple of complex numbers satisfying a linear independence measure condition, and $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be also a n-tuple of complex numbers satisfying a linear independence measure condition. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that a simultaneous approximation measure for the $m+n+m n$ numbers

$$
x_{i}, \quad y_{j}, \quad e^{x_{i} y_{j}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)
$$

is

$$
c D^{1+\frac{m+n}{m n}} h(h+\log D)^{\frac{m+n}{m n}}(\log h+\log D)^{-\frac{m+n}{m n}} .
$$

Example 5. Let $K$ be a number field of degree $D, \beta, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}$ be elements of $K, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ elements in $\mathcal{L}$ such that the algebraic numbers

$$
\alpha_{1}=e^{\lambda_{1}}, \alpha_{2}=e^{\lambda_{2}}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}=e^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}=e^{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}
$$

are in $K$. Assume $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\beta$ is irrational. Let $B \geq e$ and $B^{\prime} \geq e$ be real numbers with

$$
\mathrm{h}(\beta) \leq \log B, \quad \mathrm{~h}\left(1: \beta_{1}^{\prime}: \beta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq \log B^{\prime} .
$$

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}$ be positive numbers, all $\geq e^{2}$, and $E$ a real number $\geq e$, which satisfy

$$
\log A_{1} \log A_{2}^{\prime}=\log A_{2} \log A_{1}^{\prime}
$$

and, for $i=1,2$,

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \log A_{i}, \quad \mathrm{~h}\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right) \leq \log A_{i}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq \frac{D}{E} \log A_{i}, \quad\left|\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{D}{E} \log A_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Assume

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log E \leq D \log A_{i} \leq \min \left\{B, B^{\prime}\right\}, \quad \log E \leq D \log A_{i}^{\prime} \leq \min \left\{B, B^{\prime}\right\} \\
\log E \leq D \log B^{\prime}, \quad \log B^{\prime} \leq B, \quad \log B \leq B^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\log E \leq D \log B \frac{\log A_{1}}{\log A_{2}}, \quad \log E \leq D \log B \frac{\log A_{2}}{\log A_{1}}
$$

Define

$$
U=D^{2}(\log B)^{1 / 2}\left(\log B^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\log A_{1} \log A_{2} \log A_{1}^{\prime} \log A_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 4}(\log E)^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}-\beta_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\lambda_{2}-\beta_{2}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\beta \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\beta \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right|>\exp \left\{-2^{30} U\right\} .
$$

Example 6. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ be two elements of $\mathcal{L}$ which are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and let $\theta$ be a complex irrational number which satisfies a linear independence measure condition. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that the function

$$
c D^{2}(h+\log D) h^{1 / 2}(\log D)^{-1}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the five numbers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \theta$, $e^{\theta \lambda_{1}}, e^{\theta \lambda_{2}}$.

Theorem 2 can be extended: in place of $\beta_{j} \beta_{i}^{\prime}$, on may consider more generally algebraic numbers $\beta_{i j}$. Here is an example dealing with simultaneous approximation of logarithms of algebraic numbers (compare with [11] § 10, Th. 10.1 and remark p. 423-424).

Theorem 3. Let $d_{1}$ and $\ell_{1}$ be positive integers and let $M=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ be a $d_{1} \times \ell_{1}$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. Let $r$ be the rank of $M$. Assume the the $d_{1} \ell_{1}$ numbers $\lambda_{i j}$ are linearly independent. Set $\kappa=\left(1 / d_{1}\right)+\left(1 / \ell_{1}\right)$. Then, there exists a positive constant $c$ such that the function

$$
c D^{r k+1}(h+\log D)^{r k}(\log D)^{-r \kappa}
$$

is a simultaneous approximation measure for the $d_{1} \ell_{1}$ numbers $\lambda_{i j}\left(1 \leq i \leq d_{1}\right.$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell_{1}$ ).

## 4 Results: Measures of Linear Independence.

The story concerning Conjecture 2 is quite rich. We refer to [5] and [12] for extensive references, including works of A.O. Gel'fond, N.I. Fel'dman and A. Baker, just to name a few.

Here is the state of the art on this topic.
Theorem 4. For each $m \geq 1$ there exists a positive number $C(m)$ with the following property. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ be logarithms of algebraic numbers, define $\alpha_{j}=\exp \left(\lambda_{j}\right) \quad(1 \leq j \leq m)$, and let $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ be algebraic numbers. Denote by $D$ the degree of the number field $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Further, let $B, E, E^{*}$ be positive real numbers, each $\geq e$ and let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ be positive real numbers. Assume

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{j} \geq \max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{j}\right), \frac{E\left|\lambda_{j}\right|}{D}, \frac{\log E}{D}\right\} \quad(1 \leq j \leq m) \\
\log E^{*} \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{D} \log E, \log \left(\frac{D}{\log E}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $B \geq E^{*}$. Further, assume either
(i) (general case)

$$
B \geq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{D \log A_{i}}{\log E} \quad \text { and } \quad \log B \geq \max _{0 \leq i \leq m} \mathrm{~h}\left(\beta_{i}\right)
$$

or
(ii) (homogeneous rational case)

$$
b_{0}=0, \quad \beta_{i}=b_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m), \quad b_{m} \neq 0
$$

and

$$
B \geq \max _{1 \leq j \leq m-1}\left\{\frac{\left|b_{m}\right|}{\log A_{j}}+\frac{\left|b_{j}\right|}{\log A_{m}}\right\} .
$$

If the number

$$
\Lambda=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{m} \lambda_{m}
$$

is non zero, then

$$
|\Lambda|>\exp \left\{-C(m) D^{m+2}(\log B)\left(\log A_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\log A_{m}\right)\left(\log E^{*}\right)(\log E)^{-m-1}\right\} .
$$

A discussion of the explicit value for $C(m)$ is given in Chapter 12 of [12].
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