Lecture 4

Random graphs II

4.1 Automorphisms

It turns out that a typical regular graph on a large number of vertices does not have any non-trivial symmetries. This is originally due to Bollobás [Bol82] and independently McKay and Wormald [MW84]. Exercise 4.3 follows a proof due to Wormald [Wor86].

Theorem 4.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>3}$. We have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{n,k} \left[|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| \right] = 1.$$

Proof. See Exercise 4.3.

4.2 The number of simple graphs

Given $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \cdot k$ is even, let $\mathcal{U}_{n,k}$ denote the set of ismorphism classes of simple k-regular graphs on n vertices. The following count is independently due to Bender and Canfield [BC78], Bollobás [Bol80] and Wormald [Wor78].

Theorem 4.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>3}$. Then:

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| \sim \frac{e^{-(k^2-1)/4}(n \cdot k)!!}{(k!)^n \cdot n!}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$ consisting of configurations that give rise to a simple graph. We have an obvious map $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{U}_{n,k}$ that consists of forgetting the labels. This map is far from injective. However, it will turn out the cardinality of the fibers depends only on n, k and the number of automorphisms. As such, Theorem 4.1 tells us that up to a small error, we may assume that this cardinality is constant.

Let us work this idea out. The first thing we will do is add an intermediate step to the map $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{U}_{n,k}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{n,k}$ denote the set of k-regular graphs with vertex set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. We obtain maps

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{V}_{n,k} \to \mathcal{U}_{n,k}$$

by first forgetting the labels of the half-edges and then the labels on the vertices.

First note that the map $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$ is constant to 1. Indeed, the number of pre-images of an element $G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$ is equal to the number of ways to label the half edges at every vertex (note that this uses that G has no loops and no multiple edges). As such $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$ is $(k!)^n$ to 1.

We have a natural action of $\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$, where \mathfrak{S}_n denotes the symmetric group on n letters, by permuting the labels of the vertices. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{U}_{n,k} = \mathcal{V}_{n,k}/\,\mathfrak{S}_n$$
 .

By Burnside's lemma (see Exercise 4.1), we have

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} |\{G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}; \ \pi \cdot G = G\}|$$

Regrouping the terms sum, we get

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}} |\operatorname{Aut}(G)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{a=1}^{n!} a \cdot |\{G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = a\}|$$

Now we use that the map $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^* \to \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$ is constant to 1 to obtain

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| = \frac{1}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} \sum_{a=1}^{n!} a \cdot |\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = a\}|$$

Hence

$$\frac{\left|\left\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = 1\right\}\right|}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} \le |\mathcal{U}_{n,k}|$$

and

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| \le \frac{|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*|}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} + \frac{1}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} \sum_{a=2}^{n!} a \cdot |\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = a\}|.$$

Let us now first work out the lower bound:

$$\frac{\left|\left\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = 1\right\}\right|}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} \ge \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*\right| - \left|\left\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| > 1\right\}\right|}{(k!)^n \cdot n!}.$$

Note that a configuration $C \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}$ gives rise to a simple graph if and only if $X_{n,k,1}(C) = X_{n,k,2}(C) = 0$. As such Corollary 3.8 implies that

$$|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}^*| = \mathbb{P}_{n,k} [X_{n,k,1}(C) = X_{n,k,2}(C) = 0] \cdot |\mathcal{G}_{n,k}| \sim e^{-\lambda_{k,1} - \lambda_{k,2}} \cdot |\mathcal{G}_{n,k}|$$

as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| > 1\}| / |\mathcal{G}_{n,k}| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

For the upper bound we note that

$$\sum_{a=2}^{n!} a \cdot \frac{|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = a\}|}{|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}|} = \mathbb{E}_{n,k} [|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|] - \mathbb{P}_{n,k} [|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = 1].$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=2}^{n!} a \cdot \frac{|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}; |\operatorname{Aut}(G)| = a\}|}{|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}|} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ by Theorem 4.1.

Putting all of the above together, we see that

$$|\mathcal{U}_{n,k}| \sim e^{-\lambda_{k,1} - \lambda_{k,2}} \cdot \frac{|\mathcal{G}_{n,k}|}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} = \frac{e^{-(k-1)/2 - (k-1)^2/4} (n \cdot k)!!}{(k!)^n \cdot n!} = \frac{e^{-(k^2 - 1)/4} (n \cdot k)!!}{(k!)^n \cdot n!}.$$

4.3 Expansion

This section is mainly based on [HLW06].

4.3.1 Definition

Loosely speaking, an expander graph is a sequence of graphs that is both sparse and well-connected. There are many applications for these sequences of graphs. One of the earliest contexts in which they came up is in a problem from computer science: building a large network of computers in which it's not possible to disconnect a large piece of the network by cutting a small number of cables (well-connectedness) but not connecting too many computers to each other (sparseness).

There are two things to be made precise: sparseness and well-connectedness of a graph. A good candidate for the notion of sparseness is of course a uniform bound on the degree. We will set a stronger condition and assume k-regularity for some fixed k.

The idea of well-connectedness can be made precise with the Cheeger constant. In the following definition, given a graph G and a set of vertices $U \subset V(G)$, we will denote the set of edges that connect U to $V(G) \setminus U$ by ∂U .

Definition 4.3. Let G be a finite connected graph. The *Cheeger constant* or *Expansion ratio* of G is given by:

$$h(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|\partial U|}{|U|}; \ U \subset V(G), \ |U| \le |V(G)|/2 \right\}.$$

An expander graph will be a sequence of graphs that is both sparse and well-connected:

Definition 4.4. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$. An expander graph is a sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of connected k-regular graphs so that

$$|V(G_n)| \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$ and there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$h(G_n) > \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4.3.2 Eigenvalues

There exists an equivalent characterisation of expander graphs in terms of eigenvalues. We first need to define what an adjacency matrix is.

Definition 4.5. Given a graph G on the vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

 $A(G)_{ij} = m$ if and only if i and j share m edges.

Note that A(G) is a self-adjoint matrix and as such has real eigenvalues, let us denote these by $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n(G)$. Note that these eigenvalues do not depend on the labelling of the vertices. As such it makes sense to speak of the eigenvalues associated to a graph G.

Lemma 4.6. Let G be a finite k-regular graph

- (a) $\lambda_1(G) = k$.
- (b) G is connected if and only if $\lambda_1(G) > \lambda_2(G)$.

Proof. Exercise 4.2. \Box

This lemma implies that, given a connected regular graph, the first non-trivial eigenvalue is given by

$$\lambda(G) = \lambda_2(G).$$

4.4 Exercises

Exercise 4.1. (Burnside's lemma) Let G be a finite group and X a finite set so that $G \curvearrowright X$. Prove that

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\{x \in X; \ g \cdot x = x\}|.$$

Exercise 4.2.

(a) Let G be a graph and A(G) its adjacency matrix. Show that

$$(A^r)_{ij}$$

records the number of walks of length r between vertices i and j.

(b) Prove Lemma 4.6. Hint for part (b) of the lemma: consider the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\mathrm{Id}_n - \frac{1}{k}A(G),$$

where Id_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix. In particular: show that the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 of this matrix are constant on connected components.

Exercise 4.3. <u>Disclaimer:</u> This exercise is here for completeness, it will not be part of the material for the exam.

In this exercise we prove Theorem 4.1. It states that for $k \geq 3$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{n,k} \left[|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| \right] = 1.$$

We will go through the proof of [Wor86] step by step.

In what follows, K_n will denote the complete graph (every vertex is connected to every other vertex) on vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and \mathfrak{S}_n the symmetric group on n letters. Note that $\mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright K_n$.

(a) Given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $A(\sigma)$ denote its support and let

$$\mathcal{H}(\sigma) = \left\{ H \text{ graph on } \{1, \dots, n\}; \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{every edge of H has at least one} \\ \text{end in } A(\sigma), \ \deg_H(v) \leq k \text{ for all} \\ v \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with equality for} \\ \text{all v not fixed by σ and $\sigma \cdot H = H$} \end{array} \right\}$$

Note that $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is and automorphism of G(C) for some $C \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}$ if and only if G(C) contains one of the graphs in $\mathcal{H}(\sigma)$ as a subgraph.

Given $H \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma)$), let $X_H : \mathcal{G}_{n,k} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the random variable that counts the number of appearances of H in a configuration. Note that H comes with labelled vertices, but not with labelled half edges. Show that there exists a C > 0, independent of n so that

$$\mathbb{E}_{n,k}\left[|\mathrm{Aut}(G)|\right] \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma)} \mathbb{E}_{n,k}\left[X_H\right] \leq 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus \{\mathrm{Id}\}} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma)} (C/n)^{e(H)},$$

where e(H) denotes the number of edges in H and $\mathrm{Id} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ denotes the identity element.

- (b) We need to introduce some notation. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma)$.
 - For $2 \le i \le 6$, let $s_i(\sigma)$ denote the number of *i*-cycles in σ .
 - Let $a(\sigma)$ the cardinality of the support $A(\sigma)$ of σ in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$.
 - Let $r(H, \sigma)$ denote the number of edges of $H_{A(\sigma)}$, the restriction of the graph H to $A(\sigma)$
 - Let $e_1(H, \sigma)$ denote the number of edges of H fixed by σ .
 - Let $m(H, \sigma) = r(H, \sigma) f(H, \sigma)$, where $f(H, \sigma)$ denotes the number of orbits of σ on the set of edges $E(K_n)$ contained in H (equivalently these are the number of orbits of σ on the edges of H that have both of their endpoints in $A(\sigma)$).

Prove the following bounds on the number of choices for a permutation with parameters given:

- The number of subsets $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size of $a(\sigma)$ is bounded from above by

$$\frac{n^{a(\sigma)}}{a(\sigma)!}$$

- Given such a subset $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with support A and s_i *i*-cycles for $i = 2, \ldots, 6$ is at most

$$\frac{a(\sigma)!}{\prod_{i=2}^6 s_i!}.$$

- (c) Now prove the following bounds for the number of graphs H:
 - Given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with support $A(\sigma)$ and $s_2(\sigma)$ 2-cycles. The number of choices for the $e_1(H,\sigma)$ edges on $A(\sigma)$ that are fixed by σ is at most

$$2^{a(\sigma)}$$

- The remaining $r(H) - e_1(H, \sigma)$ edges of H with both their endpoints in A form $f(H, \sigma) - e_1(H, \sigma)$ full orbits of σ (otherwise the graph H would not be fixed by σ). Prove that there are at most

$$\frac{a(\sigma)^{2(r(H.\sigma)-m(H,\sigma)-e_1(H,\sigma))}}{(r(H,\sigma)-m(H,\sigma)-e_1(H,\sigma))!}$$

choices for these orbits.

- Prove that after these edges, there are $k \cdot a(\sigma) 2 \cdot r(H, \sigma)$ edges in H left.
- Prove that these remaining edges lie in at most $(k \cdot a(\sigma) 2 \cdot r(H, \sigma))/2$ orbits.
- Prove that there are at most

$$(n-a)^{(k\cdot a(\sigma)-2\cdot r(H,\sigma))/2}$$

ways to choose these orbits

(d) Use (b) and (c) to show that the contribution T of all pairs (σ, H) with all the parameters $s_2, \ldots, s_6, a, r, e_1$ and m fixed to the sum in (a) can be bounded by

$$T \le \frac{B^a \cdot a^{2(r-m-e_1)} \cdot n^{(2-k)a/2}}{(r-m-e_1)! \prod_{i=2}^6 s_i^{s_i}}$$

where B > 0 is some constant independent of n. Hint: use that there exists a constant B' > 0 so that

$$B'^p \cdot p^p \le p! \le p^p$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (This uniform constant B' > 0 exists by Stirling's approximation).

(e) Show that this implies that

$$T < C \cdot n^{-C \cdot a}$$

(e) Conclude that by summing over all the possible values of the parameters $s_2, \ldots, s_6, a, r, e_1$ and m we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{n,k}\left[|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|\right] = 1 + O\left(n^{-C}\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Bibliography

- [Alo86] N. Alon. Eigenvalues and expanders. *Combinatorica*, 6(2):83–96, 1986. Theory of computing (Singer Island, Fla., 1984).
- [AM85] N. Alon and V. D. Milman. λ_1 , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 38(1):73-88, 1985.
- [BC78] Edward A. Bender and E. Rodney Canfield. The asymptotic number of labeled graphs with given degree sequences. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 24(3):296–307, 1978.
- [Bol80] Béla Bollobás. A probabilistic proof of an asymptotic formula for the number of labelled regular graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 1(4):311–316, 1980.
- [Bol82] Béla Bollobás. The asymptotic number of unlabelled regular graphs. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 26(2):201–206, 1982.
- [Dod84] Jozef Dodziuk. Difference equations, isoperimetric inequality and transience of certain random walks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 284(2):787–794, 1984.
- [HLW06] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.), 43(4):439–561, 2006.
- [MW84] B. D. McKay and N. C. Wormald. Automorphisms of random graphs with specified vertices. *Combinatorica*, 4(4):325–338, 1984.
- [Wor78] N. C. Wormald. Some Problems in the Enumeration of Labelled Graphs. PhD thesis, University of Newcastle, 1978.

[Wor86] Nicholas C. Wormald. A simpler proof of the asymptotic formula for the number of unlabelled r-regular graphs. Indian J. Math., $28(1):43-47,\ 1986.$