

THE HAAGERUP PROPERTY FOR LOCALLY COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

MATT DAWS, PIERRE FIMA, ADAM SKALSKI, AND STUART WHITE

ABSTRACT. Haagerup property (or a-T-menability) for locally compact groups is generalised to the context of locally compact quantum groups, with several equivalent characterisations in terms of the unitary representations and positive-definite functions established. In particular it is shown that a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if its mixing representations form a dense G_δ set in the space of all unitary representations (on a fixed infinite-dimensional Hilbert space). For discrete \mathbb{G} the Haagerup property is proved to be equivalent to the existence of a symmetric proper conditionally negative functional on the dual quantum group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and further, if \mathbb{G} is also unimodular, to the Haagerup approximation property for the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. These characterisations extend the classical results of Akemann, Walter and Jolissaint and provide a connection to the recent work of Brannan, who showed the Haagerup approximation property for von Neumann algebras of the free orthogonal and free unitary quantum groups. They are then applied to prove that the Haagerup property is preserved under taking the free product of discrete quantum groups.

The Haagerup property of locally compact groups has its origins in Haagerup's fundamental paper [Haa], which establishes that the length function on the free group \mathbb{F}_n is conditionally negative-definite and uses this to prove the surprising result that the reduced group C^* -algebra, $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ has the complete metric approximation property. For a locally compact group G , the key ingredient from [Haa] has subsequently been shown to be equivalent to a number of conditions (see [AkW, Jo₁, CCJGV]) which are used to define the *Haagerup property*:

- G has the Haagerup property if it admits a mixing unitary representation which weakly contains the trivial representation;
- G has the Haagerup property if there is a proper, continuous conditionally negative definite function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$;
- G has the Haagerup property if there is a normalised sequence of continuous, positive definite functions vanishing at infinity which converges uniformly to 1 on compact subsets of G ;
- G has the Haagerup property if there is a proper continuous affine action of G on a real Hilbert space.

The Haagerup property can also be defined for von Neumann algebras (see [Jo₂], for example) and Choda has shown that for a discrete group G the Haagerup property is a von Neumann property of the group: G has the Haagerup property if and only if the group von Neumann algebra $VN(G)$ has the Haagerup property ([Cho]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L53, Secondary 22D10, 46L09, 46L65, 46L87.

Key words and phrases. Haagerup approximation property; locally compact quantum group; mixing representation; completely positive multipliers; free product of discrete quantum groups.

The Haagerup property is often interpreted as a weak form of amenability. Indeed, the left regular representation of an amenable group is mixing (i.e. its matrix coefficients vanish at infinity) and weakly contains the trivial representation, so amenable groups have the Haagerup property. Haagerup’s original result shows that free groups have the Haagerup property. Other examples include finitely generated Coxeter groups, $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $SO(1, n)$ and $SU(1, n)$; moreover the Haagerup property is preserved under taking free products. Striking applications include Higson and Kasparov’s proof of Baum-Connes conjecture in the presence of the Haagerup property ([HK]), and Popa’s deformation-rigidity approach to structural properties of type II₁ factors ([Po₁, Po₂]). We refer to the book [CCJGV] for the equivalence of the various formulations of the Haagerup property, examples and applications.

In this paper we undertake a systematic study of the Haagerup property in the setting of locally compact quantum groups. The theory of *topological* quantum groups has developed rapidly in the last twenty years with the satisfactory notion of a compact quantum group introduced by Woronowicz in [Wo₂] and that of a locally compact quantum group by Kustermans and Vaes in [KV₁]. The theory of the latter, using the language of operator algebras, provides a generalisation of the classical theory of locally compact groups, offers a full duality extending the Pontriagin duality for locally compact abelian groups, and encompasses a large class of examples. As is familiar in ‘non-commutative mathematics’, a “locally compact quantum group” \mathbb{G} is studied via its “algebras of functions”: depending on the framework one works either with the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ or with the C^* -algebra $C_0(\mathbb{G})$, corresponding respectively to the algebra of all essentially bounded (with respect to the Haar measure) functions on a locally compact group G and to the algebra of continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity. As the theory has reached a certain level of maturity, it became natural to investigate questions relating quantum groups to noncommutative probability, non-commutative geometry, or analysing the actions of quantum groups on classical and quantum spaces. In particular a study of approximation-type/geometric properties such as amenability ([BeT] and references there) or property (T) ([Fi₁], [KSo]) has recently been initiated, often with a special focus on the case of discrete quantum groups. Recently Brannan proved in [Br₁] that the von Neumann algebras associated to free orthogonal and unitary quantum groups possess the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup approximation property (an analogous result was proved by Brannan in [Br₂] for the von Neumann algebras associated to certain quantum automorphism groups, and by Lemeux in [Lem] for quantum reflection groups). Within the theory of quantum groups, $VN(G)$ is interpreted as the algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$, with \widehat{G} being the dual quantum group of G . Thus, by analogy with the fact that the Haagerup property is a von Neumann property of a discrete group [Cho], Brannan’s result can be viewed as the statement that the dual quantum groups of the free orthogonal and unitary quantum groups have the Haagerup property. Note here that recently it was shown in [Voi] that the duals of free orthogonal groups satisfy an appropriate version of the Baum-Connes conjecture.

The papers [Br₁, Br₂] study the Haagerup approximation property for $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, where \mathbb{G} is a *discrete unimodular* quantum group. The preprint [Lem] proposes this property as a definition of the Haagerup property for $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. This definition is not only not phrased intrinsically in terms of the properties of \mathbb{G} , but, more importantly, is problematic for more general \mathbb{G} , as then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ will not admit a finite faithful trace. By contrast, our starting point in the study of the Haagerup property for a locally compact second countable quantum group \mathbb{G} is the classical definition in terms of the existence of a mixing representation which weakly contains the trivial representation. We translate this definition into the quantum setting and show that

it is equivalent to the existence of an approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ arising from completely positive multipliers. We also set out how the Haagerup property for a quantum group can be viewed through the lens of global properties of its representations: \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if the mixing representations are dense in the collection $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}\mathbb{H}$ of all unitary representations of \mathbb{G} on a fixed infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. This extends the philosophy of [Kec] to the quantum setting and generalises results of Bergelson and Rosenblatt [BeR] and Hjorth [Hjo].

In the case when \mathbb{G} is discrete we give two further characterisations of the Haagerup property: the existence of a symmetric proper generating functional on the algebra $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and (when \mathbb{G} is in addition assumed to be unimodular – in other words its dual is a Kac compact quantum group) the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup property for the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. The first of these equivalences extends a classical result of Jolissaint on the conditionally-definite functions, whereas the second generalises Choda's work and provides a formal justification for our interpretation of the results in [Br₁] described above. In the last section we use the characterisations obtained earlier, together with the theory of conditionally free products of states, to prove that the Haagerup property is preserved under taking free products of discrete quantum groups. The techniques used in this article are based on the analysis of various properties of unitary representations of locally compact quantum groups, on applications of completely positive multipliers of locally compact quantum groups, as studied for example in [JNR] and [Da₁], and on certain results concerning the convolution semigroups of states on compact quantum groups and their generating functionals (see [LS₃]). In particular we develop several technical results which should also be of use in different contexts.

The detailed plan of the paper is as follows: in Section 1 we introduce necessary notations and terminology, and prove some technical lemmas related to completely positive multipliers. Section 2 is devoted to the analysis of containment and weak containment of representations of a given locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} ; then in a short Section 3 we define, by analogy with the classical context, mixing representations and set out some of their properties. In Section 4 we describe the topology making $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}\mathbb{H}$ a Polish space and examine topological aspects of certain subsets of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}\mathbb{H}$. Section 5 introduces the Haagerup property for a locally compact quantum group and presents the first part of the main results of the paper (Theorem 5.5). In Section 6 we specialise to discrete quantum groups and prove further equivalent characterisations of the Haagerup property in this context (Theorem 6.23). Finally in Section 7 we apply the earlier results and discuss also a construction of conditionally free products of states to prove that the Haagerup property is preserved under free products of discrete quantum groups. Here also we discuss certain generalisations of the last result concerning the free products with amalgamation over a finite quantum subgroup and quantum HNN extensions.

Acknowledgements. A substantial part of the paper was written during the visit of AS and SW to the University of Leeds in June 2012, funded by the EPSRC grant EP/I026819/1. PF was partially supported by the ANR grants NEUMANN and OSQPI. We thank Jan Cameron and David Kyed for valuable comments and advice.

1. NOTATION, TERMINOLOGY AND SOME TECHNICAL FACTS

Scalar products (both for Hilbert spaces and Hilbert modules) will be linear on the left. The symbol \otimes will denote the spatial/minimal tensor products of C^* -algebras, and if A is

a C^* -algebra then $M(A)$ denotes its multiplier algebra; a *morphism* between C^* -algebras A and B is a nondegenerate $*$ -homomorphism from A to $M(B)$, and we write $\text{Mor}(A, B)$ for the collection of all these morphisms. Morphisms will be composed in the usual manner (we refer for example to [Lan] for a treatment of multiplier C^* -algebras and corresponding morphisms). For a Hilbert space H the symbol $\mathcal{K}(H)$ will denote the algebra of compact operators on H and if $\xi, \eta \in H$, then $\omega_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{K}(H)^*$ will be the vector functional, $T \mapsto (T\xi|\eta)$.

For a C^* -algebra A and a Hilbert space H , we form the Hilbert C^* -module $A \otimes H$ by completing the algebraic tensor product $A \odot H$ for the A -valued inner-product $(a \otimes \xi|b \otimes \eta) = (\xi|\eta)b^*a$, ($a, b \in A$, $\xi, \eta \in H$). Let $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes H)$ denote the space of ‘‘adjointable maps’’ on $A \otimes H$ (again see [Lan]).

We will often use the canonical isomorphism between $M(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))$ and $\mathcal{L}(A \otimes H)$ and think of it as mapping an element (usually a unitary) $U \in M(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))$ to an adjointable map $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{L}(A \otimes H)$, given by

$$(1.1) \quad (\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi)|b \otimes \eta) = b^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \eta})(U)a \quad (a, b \in A, \xi, \eta \in H).$$

As is standard in the theory of quantum groups, we use the ‘leg’ notation for operators acting on tensor products of Hilbert spaces or C^* -algebras.

1.1. Locally compact quantum groups. For the theory of locally compact quantum groups we refer the reader to [KV₁] and to the lecture notes [Ku₂] (in particular we will use the conventions of these papers and not for example those of [DKSS]). For a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} the corresponding C^* -algebra of ‘‘continuous functions on \mathbb{G} vanishing at infinity’’ will be denoted by $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. It is equipped with a *comultiplication* (or *coproduct*) $\Delta \in \text{Mor}(C_0(\mathbb{G}), C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G}))$ and left and right Haar weights, denoted respectively by φ and ψ . The *dual locally compact quantum group* of \mathbb{G} will be denoted by $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. The fundamental multiplicative unitary $W \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ implements the comultiplication by $\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for all $x \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$. The ‘‘universal’’ version of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ (see [Ku₁]) will be denoted by $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$, with the canonical *reducing morphism* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{G}} : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and the *counit* $\epsilon_u : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We usually assume (using the GNS construction with respect to the left invariant Haar weight) that both $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ act on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{G})$. The von Neumann algebra generated by $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ will be denoted by $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$. The densely defined *antipode* will be denoted by S ; it maps $\mathcal{D}_S \subset C_0(\mathbb{G})$ into $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. We shall occasionally use the strict extension of S to $M(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$ which has domain $\overline{\mathcal{D}_S}$, see [Ku₃]. The *unitary antipode* of \mathbb{G} , which is a bounded operator on $C_0(\mathbb{G})$, will be denoted by R . The predual of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ will be denoted by $L^1(\mathbb{G})$; the pre-adjoint of the comultiplication (which extends to $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$) is denoted by $*$ and this equips $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ with the structure of a completely contractive Banach algebra. In general the respective maps related to the dual quantum group will be adorned with hats, so that for example the right invariant weight on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ will be denoted by $\widehat{\psi}$. Similarly the maps acting on the universal algebra $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ will be adorned with a lower index u , so that for example the universal version of the unitary antipode will be denoted by R_u .

We say that \mathbb{G} is *coamenable* if the reducing morphism $\Lambda_{\mathbb{G}}$ is an isomorphism and *amenable* if $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ possesses an invariant mean, i.e. a state m on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ satisfying $m((\omega \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(x))) = m(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(\Delta(x)) = \omega(1)m(x)$ for all $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$ and $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$. If the left and right Haar weights of \mathbb{G} coincide, we say that \mathbb{G} is *unimodular*. In the case when \mathbb{G} is *compact* (so that $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is unital) recall that \mathbb{G} is *Kac* if its antipode S is bounded, or equivalently, $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is unimodular. We say that \mathbb{G} is *finite* if $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is finite-dimensional.

For convenience, throughout this paper we will assume that **all locally compact quantum groups considered are second-countable** (by which we mean that $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is separable). However, we only use this assumption in Section 4, and again in Section 6.2 (in particular Theorem 6.18) and in Section 7.

As shown by Kustermans in [Ku₁], the multiplicative unitary W admits a “semi-universal” version, a unitary $\mathbb{W} \in M(C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ characterised by the following “universal” property: for a C^* -algebra \mathbb{B} , there is a bijection between:

- unitary elements $U \in M(\mathbb{B} \otimes C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ with $(\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\Delta})(U) = U_{13}U_{12}$; and
- non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_U : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{B})$,

given by $(\phi_U \otimes \text{id})(\mathbb{W}) = U$. When the unitary U is fixed, we will sometimes write ϕ rather than ϕ_U .

Similarly, there is a unitary $\widehat{\mathbb{W}} \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$, universal in the sense for every C^* -algebra \mathbb{B} , there is a bijection between:

- unitary elements $U \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbb{B})$ with $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(U) = U_{13}U_{23}$; and
- non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_U : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{B})$.

The bijection is again given by a similar relation: $(\text{id} \otimes \phi_U)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) = U$.

Applying the same arguments to $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, we can consider the unitary $\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$. Notice that this is *not* equal to $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$, but rather to $\sigma(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*)$, where $\sigma : M(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ is the “swap map”. (This slight complication arises as we are following the conventions of [Ku₁]).

There are various notions of a “closed quantum subgroup” \mathbb{H} of a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} in the literature and these are analysed in detail in the recent article [DKSS]. The weakest of them is that there is a surjective Hopf $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{H})$ (that is, π is a $*$ -homomorphism intertwining the coproducts) – we say then that \mathbb{H} is a closed quantum subgroup of \mathbb{G} *in the sense of Woronowicz*. In such a case there is a unique Hopf $*$ -homomorphism $\widehat{\pi} : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \rightarrow C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ “dual” to π (see for example [MRW]). In addition to the unitaries \mathbb{W} and $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$ introduced above, there is also a truly universal bicharacter $\mathcal{W} \in M(C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$, which satisfies the conditions $\mathbb{W} = (\text{id} \otimes \Lambda_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})(\mathcal{W})$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{W}} = (\Lambda_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{W})$. Then $\widehat{\pi}$ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$(1.2) \quad (\pi \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{G}}) = (\Lambda_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \widehat{\pi})(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H}}).$$

These formulas will be used later. If \mathbb{H} is compact or discrete (see Subsection 1.3 below), so in particular if \mathbb{H} is finite, this notion is equivalent to the notion of closed quantum subgroup *in the sense of Vaes*, i.e. to the existence of an injective normal $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, intertwining the respective comultiplications (see Section 6 of [DKSS]). We then say simply that \mathbb{H} is a closed quantum subgroup of \mathbb{G} .

1.2. Unitary representations of locally compact quantum groups. Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group.

Definition 1.1. A unitary representation of \mathbb{G} (or a unitary corepresentation of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$) on a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} is a unitary $U \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes K(\mathbb{H}))$ with $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})U = U_{13}U_{23}$. We will often write \mathbb{H}_U for the Hilbert space upon which U acts. The trivial representation of \mathbb{G} , i.e. $U = 1 \otimes 1 \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbb{C})$, will be denoted simply by 1.

Definition 1.2 ([SoW]). The *contragradient* of a representation $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ is defined to be $U^c = (R \otimes \top)U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}))$. Here R is the unitary antipode, and $\top : \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\overline{\mathbf{H}})$ is the “transpose” map, defined by $\top(x)(\overline{\xi}) = \overline{x^*(\xi)}$.

The *tensor product* of two representations U and V is

$$(1.3) \quad U \oplus V = U_{12}V_{13},$$

which acts on $\mathbf{H}_U \otimes \mathbf{H}_V$. The direct sum of two representations is easy to understand, but a little harder to write down. Formally, let $\iota_V : \mathbf{H}_V \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_V \oplus \mathbf{H}_U$ be the inclusion, and $p_V : \mathbf{H}_V \oplus \mathbf{H}_U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_V$ be the projection, and similarly for ι_U and p_U . Then define

$$(1.4) \quad U \oplus V = (1 \otimes \iota_U)U(1 \otimes p_U) + (1 \otimes \iota_V)V(1 \otimes p_V) \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U \oplus \mathbf{H}_V)).$$

The last formula may seem at first sight strange if one thinks of multiplier algebras – but has a natural interpretation in terms of adjointable operators. Slightly more informally, we first identify

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U \oplus \mathbf{H}_V) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U) & \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_V, \mathbf{H}_U) \\ \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U, \mathbf{H}_V) & \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_V) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see how we view $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U))$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_U \oplus \mathbf{H}_V))$, basically the “upper left corner”, and similarly for $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}_V))$, the “lower right corner”.

A representation of \mathbb{G} is called *irreducible* if it is not (unitarily equivalent to) a direct sum of two non-zero representations.

1.3. Compact/discrete quantum groups. A locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} is called *compact* if the algebra $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is unital (we then denote it simply by $C(\mathbb{G})$), or, equivalently, the Haar weight is in fact a bi-invariant state. It is said to be *discrete* if $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras (and is then denoted $c_0(\mathbb{G})$), or, equivalently, $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is compact. For a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} the symbol $\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}}$ will denote the family of all equivalence classes of finite-dimensional unitary representations of \mathbb{G} (note that our assumptions imply it is a countable set). We will always assume that for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}}$ a particular representative has been chosen and moreover identified with a unitary matrix $U^\alpha = (u_{ij}^\alpha)_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} \in M_{n_\alpha}(C(\mathbb{G}))$. The span of all the *coefficients* u_{ij}^α is a dense (Hopf) $*$ -subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{G})$, denoted $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. The algebra of functions vanishing at infinity on the dual discrete quantum group is given by the equality $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}}} M_{n_\alpha}$. Thus the elements affiliated to $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ can be identified with functionals on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. Note that the Haar state of \mathbb{G} is faithful on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$; moreover in fact $C^u(\mathbb{G})$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, and thus we can also view the latter algebra as a subalgebra of $C^u(\mathbb{G})$. The semi-universal multiplicative unitary of \mathbb{G} is then given by the formula

$$(1.5) \quad \mathbb{W} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} u_{ij}^\alpha \otimes e_{ij}^\alpha \in \prod_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} C^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes M_{n_\alpha} = \mathbf{M}(C^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})).$$

By a *state* on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ we mean a linear functional $\mu : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is positive in the sense that $\mu(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. We can follow the usual GNS construction to obtain a pre-Hilbert space \mathbf{H}_0 , a cyclic vector $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{H}_0$ and a $*$ -homomorphism $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}_0)$, the collection of adjointable maps on \mathbf{H}_0 , with $\mu(a) = (\pi(a)\xi_0 | \xi_0)$. As argued in [DK, Lemma 4.2] (compare [LS₂, Lemma 8.7]) for the algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, the map π always extends to a $*$ -homomorphism $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$, where \mathbf{H} is the completion of \mathbf{H}_0 . As $C^u(\mathbb{G})$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, we see that there is a bijection between states on $C^u(\mathbb{G})$

and states on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. To simplify the notation we will occasionally write simply ϵ to denote the counit of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ understood as a character on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

1.4. Multipliers of quantum groups. The notion of (completely bounded) multipliers on locally compact quantum groups will play a crucial role in the paper. Here we introduce relevant definitions, recall the characterisation of completely positive multipliers due to the first-named author and prove an important technical result for later use.

Definition 1.3. A *completely bounded left multiplier* of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is a bounded linear map $L_* : L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $L_*(\omega_1 * \omega_2) = L_*(\omega_1) * \omega_2$ for all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, and whose adjoint $L = (L_*)^*$ is a completely bounded map on $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

The adjoints of completely bounded left multipliers can be characterised in the following way.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $L : L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ be a normal, completely bounded map. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) L is the adjoint of a left multiplier of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$;
- (2) $\widehat{\Delta} \circ L = (L \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}$;
- (3) there is $a \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) = (1 \otimes a)\widehat{W}$.

If these hold, then actually $a \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$, and we have that $a\widehat{\lambda}(\omega) = \widehat{\lambda}(L_*(\omega))$ for $\omega \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, where $\lambda : L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is defined by $\lambda(\omega) = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})$ for $\omega \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. In this way, the multiplier L_* is given by left multiplication by the element a in the left regular representation.

Proof. Conditions (1) and (2) are easily seen to be equivalent, and (3) implies (2) is an easy calculation. For (2) implies (3) see [JNR, Theorem 4.10], or [Da₁, Proposition 3.1] for a quicker proof which also establishes that $a \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$. \square

Remark 1.5. Condition (3) actually implies that L restricts to a map on $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Indeed, for $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))_*$, we see that $L((\text{id} \otimes \omega)(\widehat{W})) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega a)(\widehat{W})$. As $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the closure of $\{(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(\widehat{W}) : \omega \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))_*\}$ the result follows.

As explained in [Da₁], there is a standard way to use a representation $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and a bounded functional on $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})$ to induce a completely bounded left multiplier L of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. For $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})^*$, the map defined by

$$(1.6) \quad L(x) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U(x \otimes 1)U^*) \quad (x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$$

is a normal completely bounded map (completely positive if ω is positive) whose pre-adjoint is a left multiplier. The associated ‘‘representing’’ element $a \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ is given by $a = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U^*)$. Recall that $b = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_S$ and satisfies $S(b) = a$. If ω is self-adjoint, then $b^* = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U^*) = a$.

Since every representation U is of the form $U = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_U)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$ for some non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\phi_U : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, we can write $a = (\text{id} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*) = (\text{id} \otimes \mu^*)(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$, where $\mu = \omega \circ \phi_U \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$. In this way, L is of the form

$$(1.7) \quad L(x) = (\text{id} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(x \otimes 1)\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(1 \otimes x)\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*).$$

The converse holds when L is completely positive.

Theorem 1.6 ([Da₁, Theorem 5.1]). *Let $L : L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ be a completely positive map which is the adjoint of a left multiplier of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then $L(x) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(1 \otimes x)\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*)$ for some positive $\mu \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$.*

In the completely positive case, one might call the resulting representing elements a “completely positive definite”, and a somewhat intrinsic characterisation of such elements is given in [DaS].

We will now show that completely positive multipliers induce bounded maps on the Hilbert space $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, which have a natural interpretation in terms of U and ω . This result will be of use in the proof of Theorem 6.4.

Let us first recall certain facts related to weights. For a weight γ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} we put $\mathfrak{n}_\gamma = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma(x^*x) < \infty\}$. Then we have the GNS construction (\mathbf{H}, π, η) where \mathbf{H} is the completion of \mathfrak{n}_γ for the pre-inner-product $(\eta(x)|\eta(y)) = \gamma(y^*x)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_\gamma$. Let $L : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a positive linear map which satisfies the Schwarz inequality $L(x)^*L(x) \leq L(x^*x)$, as would be true (see for example [BrO, Proposition 1.5.7]) for any completely positive L . Assume furthermore that $\gamma(L(x)) \leq \gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ (under the usual convention that $t \leq \infty$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \infty$). Then there is a contractive linear map $T : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ which satisfies $T\eta(x) = \eta(L(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\gamma$.

We are ready to formulate the theorem advertised above.

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group, let U be a representation of \mathbb{G} on \mathbf{H} , let $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})^*$ be a state, and form L as in (1.6). Then L is unital, and satisfies $\widehat{\varphi} \circ L \leq \widehat{\varphi}$. Thus L induces a contractive operator $T : L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Identifying $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{G})$, the operator T is equal to $(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U)$.*

Proof. Unitality of L follows directly from (1.6) and the fact that ω is a state.

Let $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ be the universal version of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and let $\widehat{\Lambda} : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ be the reducing morphism. Then $\widehat{\Lambda}^* : C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^* \rightarrow C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ is an isometry, an algebra homomorphism, and identifies $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ with a two-sided ideal in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$, see [Ku₁, Proposition 8.3]. Furthermore, the composition of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ with $\widehat{\Lambda}^*$ identifies $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with a two-sided ideal in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$, see [Da₂, Proposition 8.3].

We follow [Da₁, Section 4.1] to see that if we identify $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with its image in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ then there is a state $\mu \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ such that $\widehat{\Lambda}^*(L_*(\omega)) = \mu\widehat{\Lambda}^*(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Define $L_\mu : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}); x \mapsto (\mu \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}_u(x)$ so that $L_\mu^*(\lambda) = \mu\lambda$, and hence $\widehat{\Lambda}^* \circ L_* = L_\mu^* \circ \widehat{\Lambda}^*$.

Follow [Ku₁, Section 8] to see that $\widehat{\varphi}_u = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \widehat{\Lambda}$ is a proper, left-invariant weight on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. In particular, [Ku₁, Proposition 8.4] shows that for $\omega \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_+^*$ and $x \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$ with $\widehat{\varphi}_u(x) < \infty$, we have that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_u((\omega \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}_u(x)) = \langle \omega, 1 \rangle \widehat{\varphi}_u(x).$$

Define $\phi = \widehat{\varphi}_u \circ L_\mu$, a weight on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. If we let

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\widehat{\varphi}_u} = \{x \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+ : \widehat{\varphi}_u(x) < \infty\},$$

then the above shows that $\phi(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_u(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\widehat{\varphi}_u}$, and so ϕ is non-zero and densely-defined. For $t \geq 0$ notice that

$$\{x \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+ : \phi(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_u(L_\mu(x)) \leq t\} = L_\mu^{-1}\{y \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+ : \widehat{\varphi}_u(y) \leq t\},$$

from which it follows that ϕ is lower-semicontinuous, as L_μ is continuous. Hence ϕ is a proper weight (see [KV₁, Section 1.1]).

Using that $\widehat{\varphi}_u = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \widehat{\Lambda}$, notice that actually $\phi(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(L(\widehat{\Lambda}(x)))$ for all $x \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$, and so there is a well-defined weight (easily seen to be proper) $\phi_0 : C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ which satisfies that $\phi_0(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(L(x))$ for all $x \in C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$. Furthermore, we know that if $\widehat{\varphi}(x) < \infty$ then $\phi_0(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(x)$.

We again follow [KV₁, Section 1.1]. Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\omega \in C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_+^* : \langle \omega, x \rangle \leq \widehat{\varphi}(x) \ (x \in C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+)\}.$$

Notice that as L restricts to a map $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, L^* defines a left multiplier of $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ which extends L_* under the identification of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ as an ideal in $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$. Let $x \in C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$, so that

$$\langle L^*(\omega), x \rangle = \langle \omega, L(x) \rangle \leq \widehat{\varphi}(L(x)) = \phi_0(x).$$

If $\widehat{\varphi}(x) < \infty$ then it follows that $\langle L^*(\omega), x \rangle \leq \widehat{\varphi}(x)$; if $\widehat{\varphi}(x) = \infty$ then obviously $\langle L^*(\omega), x \rangle \leq \widehat{\varphi}(x)$. Hence we have shown that $L^*(\omega) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$.

For each $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a unique vector $\xi_\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ with $\langle \omega, a \rangle = (a\xi_\omega | \xi_\omega)$ for $a \in C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Following [KV₁, Section 1.7], if we let $\tilde{\omega} = \omega_{\xi_\omega} \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$ then $\tilde{\omega}$ agrees with ω on $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. It follows that, once we identify $C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with a subalgebra of $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, then \mathcal{F} is a subset of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+$. Then the extension of $\widehat{\varphi}$ to $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, \omega \rangle : \omega \in \mathcal{F} \} = \sup \{ (x\xi_\omega | \xi_\omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{F} \} \quad (x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^+).$$

Finally, for any $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})^+$

$$\widehat{\varphi}(L(x)) = \sup \{ \langle L(x), \omega \rangle : \omega \in \mathcal{F} \} = \sup \{ \langle x, L_*(\omega) \rangle : \omega \in \mathcal{F} \} \leq \sup \{ \langle x, \omega \rangle : \omega \in \mathcal{F} \} = \widehat{\varphi}(x),$$

as required.

Hence, if we denote the GNS inclusion for the dual weight by $\widehat{\eta}$, so that $\widehat{\eta} : \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\varphi}} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{G})$, there is a contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ with

$$T\widehat{\eta}(x) = \widehat{\eta}(L(x)) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\varphi}}).$$

Let us now recall the dual weight construction (see [KV₂, Section 1.1] or [KV₁, Section 8]). We define

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}) : \exists \xi(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{G}), (\xi(\omega) | \eta(a)) = \langle a^*, \omega \rangle \ (a \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi)\},$$

where this time $\eta : \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{G})$ is the GNS inclusion for φ . If we let $\lambda : L^1(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}); \omega \mapsto (\omega \otimes \text{id})(W)$ be the left-regular representation, then $\widehat{\eta}(\lambda(\omega)) = \xi(\omega)$, under the identification of $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{G})$. A short calculation shows that for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ and $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, we have that $\xi(x\omega) = x\xi(\omega)$.

Put again, as in the lines after (1.6), $a = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U^*)$. Then $(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) = (1 \otimes a)\widehat{W}$. Equivalently, $(\text{id} \otimes L)(W^*) = (a \otimes 1)W^*$, or as L is completely positive, $(\text{id} \otimes L)(W) = W(a^* \otimes 1)$. Therefore, for $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$L(\lambda(\omega)) = L((\omega \otimes \text{id})(W)) = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(W(a^* \otimes 1)) = (a^*\omega \otimes 1)(W) = \lambda(a^*\omega).$$

Thus

$$T\xi(\omega) = T\widehat{\eta}(\lambda(\omega)) = \widehat{\eta}(L(\lambda(\omega))) = \widehat{\eta}(a^*\omega) = \xi(a^*\omega) = a^*\xi(\omega).$$

However, $a^* = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U^*)^* = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U)$ as ω is positive, which completes the proof. \square

If \mathbb{G} is discrete, we can actually show that the left multiplier L preserves the Haar state of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. We formulate this below, and leave the easy proof of the state preservation to the reader.

Proposition 1.8. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group, and denote the Haar state on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ by $\widehat{\varphi}$. Let U be a representation of \mathbb{G} on \mathbb{H} , let $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H})^*$ be a state, and form L as in (1.6). Then L is unital, leaves $\widehat{\varphi}$ invariant, and so induces $T : L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Identifying $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{G})$, the operator T is equal to $(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U) \in \mathbb{M}(c_0(\mathbb{G}))$.*

2. CONTAINMENT AND WEAK CONTAINMENT OF REPRESENTATIONS OF LOCALLY COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

In this section we recall the notions of containment and weak containment for unitary representations of locally compact quantum groups and study various equivalent characterisations of these notions. Similar considerations can be found for example in the articles [BCT] and [KSo]. Weak containment is defined in terms of the corresponding concept for representations of C^* -algebras, and so we begin by recalling the definition in this context (see also [Dix, Section 3.4]). A positive functional associated to a representation $\phi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ is a functional of the form $\omega_{x,x} \circ \phi$ for some $x \in \mathbb{H}$.

Theorem 2.1. [Fel, Theorem 1.2] *Let \mathbb{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\phi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ a representation, and let \mathcal{S} be a collection of representations of \mathbb{A} . The following are equivalent, and define what it means for ϕ to be weakly-contained in \mathcal{S} , written $\phi \preceq \mathcal{S}$:*

- (1) $\ker \phi$ contains $\bigcap_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} \ker \pi$;
- (2) every positive functional on \mathbb{A} associated to ϕ is the weak*-limit of linear combinations of positive functionals associated to representations in \mathcal{S} ;
- (3) every positive functional on \mathbb{A} associated to ϕ is the weak*-limit of sums of positive functionals associated to representations in \mathcal{S} ;
- (4) every positive functional ω associated to ϕ is the weak*-limit of sums of positive functionals associated to representations in \mathcal{S} of norm at most $\|\omega\|$.

If ϕ is in addition irreducible, we can avoid linear combinations. The following proof is adapted from [BHV, Appendix F].

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathbb{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\phi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ an irreducible representation, and let \mathcal{S} be a collection of representations of \mathbb{A} . Then $\phi \preceq \mathcal{S}$ if and only if every vector state associated to ϕ is the weak*-limit of vector states associated to \mathcal{S} .*

Proof. We only need to prove “only if”. Let X be the collection of all vector states associated with \mathcal{S} , and let C be the weak*-closure of the convex hull of X in \mathbb{A}^* . Let μ be a vector state associated to ϕ , so by hypothesis, μ can be written as a weak*-limit of sums of positive functionals associated to \mathcal{S} of norm at most 1. Normalising these functionals, it follows that $\mu \in C$. As ϕ is irreducible, μ is a pure state. Thus μ is an extreme point of the state space of \mathbb{A} , and so an extreme point of C . By the converse to the Krein-Milman theorem, it follows that μ is in the weak*-closure of X , as required. \square

Fix now and for the rest of the paper a second countable locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . Using the bijection between unitary representations U of \mathbb{G} on \mathbb{H} and $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi_U : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ given by $U = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_U)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$, one can define containment, weak-containment, equivalence, and weak-equivalence for unitary representations by importing the definitions for ϕ_U as in [KSo, Section 2.3] (see also [BeT, Section 5]).

Definition 2.3. Let U, V be unitary representations of \mathbb{G} on respective Hilbert spaces \mathbf{H}_U and \mathbf{H}_V , with respective $*$ -homomorphisms ϕ_U and ϕ_V . Then

- U is *contained* in V (that is, U is a sub-representation of V), which we denote by $U \leq V$, if ϕ_U is contained in ϕ_V . This means that there is an isometry $u : \mathbf{H}_U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_V$ with $\phi_V(a)u = u\phi_U(a)$ for all $a \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Equivalently, $V(1 \otimes u) = (1 \otimes u)U$.
- U and V are (*unitarily*) *equivalent* if ϕ_U and ϕ_V are equivalent, i.e. there is a unitary $u : \mathbf{H}_U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_V$ with $u\phi_U(a) = \phi_V(a)u$ for all $a \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.
- U is *weakly-contained* in V , which we denote by $U \preceq V$ if $\phi_U \preceq \phi_V$, see Theorem 2.1 above.
- U and V are *weakly-equivalent* if both $U \preceq V$ and $V \preceq U$.

Definition 2.4. Let $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ be a representation of a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . A vector $\xi \in \mathbf{H}$ is said to be *invariant* for U if $U(\eta \otimes \xi) = \eta \otimes \xi$ for all $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$. We say that U has *almost invariant vectors* if there exists a net (ξ_α) of unit vectors in \mathbf{H} such that $\|U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha) - \eta \otimes \xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$.

The following proposition and corollary collect together various reformulations of containment of representations which are obtained by standard identifications and calculations; compare with [BeT, Proposition 5.1].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ be a unitary representation of \mathbb{G} , with a corresponding adjointable operator $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbf{H})$, and associated C^* -algebraic representation $\phi_U : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$. Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) ξ is invariant for U ;
- (2) $(id \otimes \omega_{\xi, \eta})(U) = (\xi | \eta)1$ for all $\eta \in \mathbf{H}$;
- (3) $(\omega \otimes id)(U)\xi = \langle 1, \omega \rangle \xi$ for all $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$;
- (4) $\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi) = a \otimes \xi$ for all $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$.
- (5) $\phi_U(a)\xi = \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a)\xi$ for all $a \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, where $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ is the counit of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

Corollary 2.6. *A representation U of a locally compact quantum group has a non-zero invariant vector if and only if $1 \leq U$.*

We now turn to characterisations of representations U which weakly contain the trivial representation. We begin with a preparatory technical lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *For any $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and $\omega_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, the set $\{(a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), \|\omega\| \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in $L^1(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we use the fact that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is in the standard position in $B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ (as follows from the fact that the corresponding embedding arises via the GNS construction for a normal semifinite faithful weight on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, see Theorem 1.2 in [Tak]), so that in particular any element $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$ can be represented in the form $\omega_{\xi, \eta}$ for $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ and moreover $\|\omega\| = \sup\{\|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\| : \xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G}), \omega = \omega_{\xi, \eta}\}$. Let $\omega_0 = \omega_{\xi_0, \eta_0}$ for some $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$. Choose compact operators $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$ with $\theta_1(\xi_0) = \xi_0$ and $\theta_2(\eta_0) = \eta_0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and find linear combinations of elementary tensors $\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^{(1)} \otimes \theta_n^{(1)} \in C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^{(2)} \otimes \theta_n^{(2)} \in C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$ with

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| W(a \otimes \theta_1) - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^{(1)} \otimes \theta_n^{(1)} \right\| < \epsilon, \quad \left\| W(a \otimes \theta_2) - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^{(2)} \otimes \theta_n^{(2)} \right\| < \epsilon \quad (x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})).$$

Then, if $\omega = \omega_{\alpha, \beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ with $\|\alpha\|, \|\beta\| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, (a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 \rangle &= \langle (a^* \otimes 1)\Delta(x)(a \otimes 1), \omega \otimes \omega_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle (a^* \otimes 1)W^*(1 \otimes x)W(a \otimes 1)(\alpha \otimes \xi_0) | \beta \otimes \eta_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle (1 \otimes x)W(a \otimes \theta_1)(\alpha \otimes \xi_0) | W(a \otimes \theta_2)(\beta \otimes \eta_0) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \langle x, (a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 \rangle - \sum_{n,m} (a_n^{(1)} \alpha | a_m^{(2)} \beta)(x \theta_n^{(1)} \xi_0 | \theta_n^{(2)} \eta_0) \right| < 2\epsilon \|x\|, \quad (x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})).$$

As

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n,m=1}^N (a_n^{(1)} \alpha | a_m^{(2)} \beta)(x \theta_n^{(1)} \xi_0 | \theta_n^{(2)} \eta_0) = \sum_{n,m=1}^N \langle (a_m^{(2)})^* a_n^{(1)}, \omega \rangle \langle x, \omega_{\theta_n^{(1)} \xi_0, \theta_n^{(2)} \eta_0} \rangle \quad (x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})),$$

it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \|(a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 - \sum_{n,m=1}^N \langle (a_m^{(2)})^* a_n^{(1)}, \omega \rangle \omega_{\theta_n^{(1)} \xi_0, \theta_n^{(2)} \eta_0}\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

The set

$$(2.5) \quad \left\{ \sum_{n,m=1}^N \langle (a_m^{(2)})^* a_n^{(1)}, \omega \rangle \omega_{\theta_n^{(1)} \xi_0, \theta_n^{(2)} \eta_0} : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), \|\omega\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

is clearly compact and so has a finite ϵ -net, which forms a finite 3ϵ -net for $\{(a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), \|\omega\| \leq 1\}$ using (2.4). \square

We now give our characterisation of those representations U which weakly contain the trivial representation. As $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ is irreducible, these are precisely those for which $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ is the weak*-limit of states of the form $\omega_\xi \circ \phi_U$; this is condition (3) in the following proposition (for an alternative approach, see [BeT, Theorem 5.1]). Note that the equivalence of (1) and (6) was shown in [BeT, Theorem 5.1]. In [BCT] and [BeT, Section 5] the terminology U has WCP (the weak containment property) is used for those representations U with $\widehat{\epsilon}_u \preceq \phi_U$ — here we use the terminology U has almost invariant vectors for this condition.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes K(\mathbf{H}))$ be a unitary representation of \mathbb{G} , with a corresponding adjointable operator $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbf{H})$, and associated C^* -algebraic representation $\phi_U : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$. Let (ξ_α) be a net of unit vectors in \mathbf{H} . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\|U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha) - \eta \otimes \xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$;
- (2) The net $(id \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U)$ converges weak* to 1 in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$;
- (3) The net of states $(\omega_{\xi_\alpha} \circ \phi_U)$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ converges weak* to $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$;
- (4) $\|\phi_U(a)\xi_\alpha - \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a)\xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$;
- (5) $\|\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$;

Moreover the existence of a net of unit vectors satisfying the equivalent conditions above is equivalent to the following statement:

- (6) there is a state $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})^*$ such that $(id \otimes \mu_0)(U) = 1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

Proof. As U is unitary and each ξ_α is a unit vector, for $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \|U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha) - \eta \otimes \xi_\alpha\|^2 = 2\|\eta\|^2 - 2\Re(U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha)|\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha).$$

Furthermore, $|(U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha)|\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha)| \leq \|\eta\|^2$. So (1) is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_\alpha (U(\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha)|\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha ((\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U)\eta|\eta) = \|\eta\|^2 \quad (\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})).$$

By polarisation, this is equivalent to

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_\alpha ((\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U)\eta_1|\eta_2) = (\eta_1|\eta_2) \quad (\eta_1, \eta_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{G})),$$

i.e. $(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U) \rightarrow 1$ in the weak operator topology. As the weak operator and weak*-topologies agree on bounded sets, (1) and (2) are equivalent. Further if (ξ_α) is a net of vectors satisfying (1), then letting μ be a weak*-limit point of the net (ω_{ξ_α}) , we see that (6) follows.

We have that $U = (\text{id} \otimes \phi)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$. Furthermore, $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is *generated* by $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$ (see [DKSS], Proposition 2.1 and comments after Lemma 1.6), which is related to the fact that

$$(2.9) \quad \{(\omega \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})\}$$

is norm dense in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ (note that *a priori*, slices of $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$ are only in $\mathbf{M}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$, so we are saying *both* that slices actually end up in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, and that the resulting collection is dense). As the net $(\omega_{\xi_\alpha} \circ \phi_U)_\alpha$ is bounded, to see if it converges weak* to $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$, it is enough to test this convergence on elements of the form $a = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$ for $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. Then we see that

$$\langle \phi_U(a), \omega_{\xi_\alpha} \rangle = \langle U, \omega \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha} \rangle = \langle (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U), \omega \rangle.$$

Recalling that $\widehat{\epsilon}_u(a) = \langle 1, \omega \rangle$, we see immediately that (2) is equivalent to (3).

If (3) holds, we have that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha \|\phi_U(a)\xi_\alpha - \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a)\xi_\alpha\|^2 &= \lim_\alpha (\phi_U(a^*a)\xi_\alpha|\xi_\alpha) + \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a^*a) - 2\lim_\alpha \Re(\widehat{\epsilon}_u(a^*)(\phi_U(a)\xi_\alpha)|\xi_\alpha) \\ &= 2\widehat{\epsilon}_u(a^*a) - 2\Re(\widehat{\epsilon}_u(a^*)\widehat{\epsilon}_u(a)) = 0 \quad (a \in C_0^u(\mathbb{G})), \end{aligned}$$

and so (4) holds. Conversely, if (4), then

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = \lim_\alpha (\phi_U(a)\xi_\alpha - \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a)\xi_\alpha|\xi_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha \langle \phi_U(a), \omega_{\xi_\alpha} \rangle - \widehat{\epsilon}_u(a) \quad (a \in C_0^u(\mathbb{G})),$$

and so (3) holds.

If (6) holds, then we can find a net of states (ω_i) in $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})^*$ with $(\text{id} \otimes \omega_i)(U) \rightarrow 1$ weak* in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$. Arguing as above, this implies that the net $(\omega_i \circ \phi_U)$ converges weak* to $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$. As each ω_i is a positive trace class operator, we can approximate ω_i by a finite sum of vector states, and so we see from Theorem 2.1 that $\widehat{\epsilon}_u \preceq \phi_U$. As $\widehat{\epsilon}_u$ is irreducible, Proposition 2.2 implies that we can find a net (ξ_α) verifying (3).

Finally we look at (5). If (5) holds, then

$$(2.12) \quad 0 = \lim_\alpha (\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha|b \otimes \xi_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha b^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U)a - b^*a \quad a, b \in C_0(\mathbb{G}),$$

from which (2) follows.

We prove the converse using Lemma 2.7. For $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, let $T_\omega = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(U)$, so as U is a representation, $T_\omega T_\omega = T_{\omega' * \omega}$. Suppose that (4) holds, so as $T_\omega = \phi_U((\omega \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$, it follows

that $\|T_\omega \xi_\alpha - \langle 1, \omega \rangle \xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. Fix $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and $\xi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ both of norm one, and set $\omega_0 = \omega_{\xi_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. Now consider

$$(2.13) \quad \|\mathcal{U}(a \otimes T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\|^2 = \|\|T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha\|^2 a^* a + a^* a - 2\Re((\mathcal{U}(a \otimes T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha)|a \otimes \xi_\alpha))\|.$$

We then see that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U}(a \otimes T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha)|a \otimes \xi_\alpha) &= a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha})(U)a = a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U(1 \otimes T_{\omega_0}))a \\ &= a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_0 \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U_{13}U_{23})a = a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_0 \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})((\Delta \otimes \text{id})(U))a \\ &= a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_0)\Delta((\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U))a. \end{aligned}$$

As ω_0 is a state, $\lim_\alpha \|T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha\| = 0$, and so

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_\alpha \|\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\| = \lim_\alpha \|\mathcal{U}(a \otimes T_{\omega_0} \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\|,$$

and by (2.14), this limit will be zero if and only if

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_\alpha a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_0)\Delta((\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U))a = a^*a.$$

As (4) holds, and hence (2) holds, it follows that

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle a^*a, \omega \rangle &= \langle 1, (a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U), (a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_0)\Delta((\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_\alpha})(U))a, \omega \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for each $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. By Lemma 2.7 the set $\{(a\omega a^*) * \omega_0 : \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), \|\omega\| \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in $L^1(\mathbb{G})$ and hence the limit in (2.17) holds uniformly over the set $\{\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), \|\omega\| \leq 1\}$. This implies the required norm convergence and so (5) holds. \square

Corollary 2.9. *A representation U of a locally compact quantum group admits almost invariant vectors if and only if $1 \preceq U$ (equivalently, $\hat{\epsilon}_u$ is weakly-contained in ϕ_U).*

3. MIXING REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we introduce mixing representations of locally compact quantum groups and analyse their properties.

Definition 3.1. A representation $U \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ is said to be *mixing* if it has C_0 -coefficients, which means that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{H}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \eta})(U) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}).$$

The origins of the term mixing lie in the theory of dynamical systems – an action of a group G on a probability space (X, μ) is mixing in the usual dynamical sense (see Definition 3.4.6 in [Gla]) if and only if the associated Koopman-type representation of G on $L^2(X, \mu)_0 := L^2(X, \mu) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ is mixing in the above sense. Mixing representations are sometimes called *C_0 -representations*.

Proposition 3.2. *Let U, V be representations of \mathbb{G} . Then:*

- (1) *If U and V are mixing, then so is $U \oplus V$.*
- (2) *If U is mixing, then so are $U \oplus V$, $V \oplus U$ and U^c .*

Proof. Routine; (2) follows by testing on elementary tensors as $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is an ideal in $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$. \square

We will need later the following lemma connecting the mixing property of a representation to the properties of a certain state.

Lemma 3.3. *Let μ be a state on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, and let $x = (\text{id} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$. Let (ϕ, \mathbf{H}, ξ) be the GNS construction for μ , and let U be the representation of \mathbb{G} associated to $\phi : C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$. Then U is mixing if and only if $x \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. We have that $U = (\text{id} \otimes \phi)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$. If U is mixing, then $x = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \xi} \circ \phi)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \xi})(U) \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$.

Conversely, let $a, b \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and set $\alpha = \phi(a)\xi$ and $\beta = \phi(b)\xi$. Then set $y = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\alpha, \beta})(U)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (\text{id} \otimes (\phi(a)\omega_{\xi, \xi}\phi(b)^* \circ \phi)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \xi} \circ \phi)((1 \otimes b^*)\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(1 \otimes a)) \\ (3.2) \quad &= (\text{id} \otimes \mu)((1 \otimes b^*)\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(1 \otimes a)). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $a = (\omega_1 \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$ and $b^* = (\omega_2 \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$ for some $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. As $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$ is a representation of \mathbb{G} on $L^2(\mathbb{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes b^*)\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(1 \otimes a) &= (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{24}\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{34}\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{14}) \\ &= (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \text{id}))(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{14}) \\ &= (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((\text{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{23}\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{13})) \\ (3.3) \quad &= (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((\text{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \text{id})(\Delta^{\text{op}} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})). \end{aligned}$$

Here Δ^{op} is the opposite coproduct, defined as $\sigma \circ \Delta$, where $\sigma : C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is the tensor swap map. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (\text{id} \otimes \mu)((1 \otimes b^*)\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(1 \otimes a)) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id} \otimes \mu)((\text{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \text{id})(\Delta^{\text{op}} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})) \\ (3.4) \quad &= (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \text{id})((\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta^{\text{op}}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, \omega \rangle &= \langle (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta^{\text{op}}(x), \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega \rangle = \langle \Delta^{\text{op}}(x), \omega_1 \otimes (\omega_2 * \omega) \rangle = \langle x, \omega_2 * \omega * \omega_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\omega_2 \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x), \omega * \omega_1 \rangle = \langle (\text{id} \otimes \omega_1)\Delta((\omega_2 \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x)), \omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that it follows that $y \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$. If so, then as a, b as above are dense in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, and thus α, β as above are dense in \mathbf{H} , we have shown that U has C_0 -coefficients, that is, U is mixing.

To show that $y \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, we first note that [KV₁, Corollary 6.11] shows that for $c, d \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, we have that $\Delta(d)(c \otimes 1) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G})$. By Cohen Factorisation (see Appendix A in [MNW]), any $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$ has the form $\omega = c\omega'$ for some $c \in C_0(\mathbb{G}), \omega' \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$. Then

$$(\omega \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x) = (\omega' \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(x)(c \otimes 1)) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}).$$

Similarly, we can show that $(\text{id} \otimes \omega)\Delta(d) \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ for any $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G}), d \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, which uses that $\Delta(x)(1 \otimes c) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{G})$. \square

4. SPACE OF REPRESENTATIONS AS A METRIC SPACE

The set of all unitary representations of \mathbb{G} on a fixed infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space \mathbf{H} , denoted $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$, has a natural Polish topology. In this section we describe it and its properties (for the analogous concepts in the classical framework we refer to the book [Kec]).

Fix an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space \mathbf{H} , and a unitary $u : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}$. Let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ denote the collection of unitary representations of \mathbb{G} on \mathbf{H} . This is a monoidal category for the product

$$(4.1) \quad U \boxtimes V = (1 \otimes u^*)(U \oplus V)(1 \otimes u).$$

Note that we need to use u in this definition to make sure $U \boxtimes V$ is a representation on \mathbf{H} and not $\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}$.

When \mathbf{A} is a separable C^* -algebra the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A}))$ of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$ is Polish in the strict topology (see [RW, Page 191] for example). As multiplication is strictly continuous on bounded sets, $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ is strictly closed in $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ and so is Polish in the relative strict topology.

The following proposition implies that $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ is a *topological W^* -category* in the sense of [Wo₁], equivalent to the W^* -category $\text{Rep}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbf{H}))$. Recall that when \mathbf{H} is a Hilbert space, the strict topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ is the strong*-topology

Proposition 4.1. *Under the bijection between $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ and the set of non-degenerate *-representations of $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ on \mathbf{H} , say $\text{Rep}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbf{H}))$, the topology induced on $\text{Rep}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbf{H}))$ is the point-ultrastrong* topology (so $\phi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi$ if and only if, for each $\widehat{a} \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, we have that $\phi_n(\widehat{a}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\widehat{a})$ strictly in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$).*

Proof. Let $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ with the corresponding sequence $(\phi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\text{Rep}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbf{H}))$; similarly let $U \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\phi \in \text{Rep}(C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbf{H}))$ correspond. Firstly, suppose that $\phi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi$ in the point-strict topology. Let $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, $\widehat{a} \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})$, so that

$$(4.2) \quad U_n(a \otimes \phi_n(\widehat{a})\theta) = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_n)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})(a \otimes \phi_n(\widehat{a})\theta) = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_n)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(a \otimes \widehat{a}))(1 \otimes \theta).$$

As $\widehat{\mathbb{W}} \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ it follows that $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(a \otimes \widehat{a}) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and so

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{id} \otimes \phi_n)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(a \otimes \widehat{a}))(1 \otimes \theta) = (\text{id} \otimes \phi)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(a \otimes \widehat{a}))(1 \otimes \theta) = U(a \otimes \phi(\widehat{a})\theta).$$

Finally observe that $\phi_n(\widehat{a})\theta \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\widehat{a})\theta$ in norm, and so we may conclude that $U_n(a \otimes \phi(\widehat{a})\theta) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} U(a \otimes \phi(\widehat{a})\theta)$. Similarly, we can show that $(a \otimes \phi(\widehat{a})\theta)U_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (a \otimes \phi(\widehat{a})\theta)U$. As ϕ is non-degenerate, the collection of such $\phi(\widehat{a})\theta$ forms a linearly dense subspace of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})$, and it follows that $U_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} U$ strictly, as required.

Conversely, suppose that $U_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} U$ strictly. Let $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, and set $\widehat{a} = (a\omega \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})$,

$$(4.4) \quad \phi_n(\widehat{a})\theta = (a\omega \otimes \text{id})(U_n)\theta = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(U_n(a \otimes \theta)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (\omega \otimes \text{id})(U(a \otimes \theta)) = \phi(\widehat{a})\theta.$$

By Cohen-Factorisation, we can find ω', a' with $a\omega = \omega a'$, and so by repeating the argument on the other side, it follows that $\phi_n(\widehat{a}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\widehat{a})$ strictly. As elements \widehat{a} arising in this way are dense in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, it follows that $\phi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi$ in the point-strict topology, as required. \square

As the multiplicity of representations does not play a role when weak containment is considered, and we want to consider the trivial representation as an element of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$, we will use the notation 1 now for the unitary representation $U = 1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$.

Proposition 4.2. *If the mixing representations are dense in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$, then there is a mixing representation $U \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ with $1 \preceq U$ (that is, U has almost invariant vectors).*

Proof. By assumption, there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of mixing representations such that $U_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$. Fix a unit vector $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{H}$. Consider $U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$, which is a mixing representation on $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{H} \cong \mathbf{H} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ (as coefficients of U will be norm limits of sums of coefficients of the representations U_n , and so will still be members of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$).

Fixing a unitary $v : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, and define

$$(4.5) \quad U = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 \otimes v^*) (U_n \otimes \theta_{\delta_n, \delta_n}) (1 \otimes v) \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H}),$$

where $\theta_{\delta_n, \delta_n}$ is the rank-one orthogonal projection onto the span of $\delta_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\xi_n = v^*(\xi_0 \otimes \delta_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|U(\eta \otimes \xi_n) - \eta \otimes \xi_n\| &= \|(1 \otimes v^*)(U_n(\eta \otimes \xi_0) \otimes \delta_n) - (1 \otimes v^*)(\eta \otimes \xi_0 \otimes \delta_n)\| \\ &= \|U_n(\eta \otimes \xi_0) - \eta \otimes \xi_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, strict convergence in $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H}))$ implies strong convergence in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbf{H})$, and so

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U(\eta \otimes \xi_n) - \eta \otimes \xi_n\| = \|V(\eta \otimes \xi_0) - \eta \otimes \xi_0\| = 0,$$

as ξ_0 is invariant for V . Hence U has almost invariant vectors. \square

The following lemma abstracts calculations used in the classical situation for establishing density of mixing representations in [BeR] and weak mixing representations in [KeP].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ be a collection which is:*

- (1) stable under unitary equivalence: *i.e. for a unitary v on \mathbf{H} , we have that $(1 \otimes v^*)U(1 \otimes v) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $U \in \mathcal{R}$;*
- (2) stable under tensoring with another representation: *i.e. if $U \in \mathcal{R}, V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$ then $U \boxtimes V \in \mathcal{R}$;*
- (3) contains a representation with almost invariant vectors: *i.e. by Corollary 2.9 there is $U^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}$ with $1 \preceq U^{(0)}$.*

Then \mathcal{R} is dense in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{R} is a collection as above and fix $U^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}$ with $1 \preceq U^{(0)}$. We will use the isomorphism $\mathbf{M}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{H})) \cong \mathcal{L}(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbf{H})$. As U has almost invariant vectors, we can find a net (ξ_α) of unit vectors in \mathbf{H} with $\|U^{(0)}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$.

Fix $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{H})$. We will show that V can be approximated by a sequence of elements of \mathcal{R} . Let $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, let $\eta \in \mathbf{H}$ be a unit vector, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbf{H} , and let

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{V}(a \otimes \eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes e_n, \quad \mathcal{V}^*(a \otimes \eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \otimes e_n.$$

for some $x_n, y_n \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, with convergence in the Hilbert module $C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbf{H}$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \sum_{n>N} x_n \otimes e_n \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n>N} x_n^* x_n \right\|^{1/2} < \epsilon/3, \quad \left\| \sum_{n>N} y_n \otimes e_n \right\| < \epsilon/3.$$

Further choose α so that for all $n \leq N$

$$(4.10) \quad \|\mathcal{U}^{(0)}(x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha) - x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha\| < \epsilon/3N, \quad \|\mathcal{U}^{(0)}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha) - a \otimes \xi_\alpha\| < \epsilon/3.$$

Finally, set

$$(4.11) \quad X = \{\eta\} \cup \{e_n : n \leq N\},$$

a finite subset of \mathbf{H} . As \mathbf{H} is infinite-dimensional, we can find a unitary $v : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ such that

$$(4.12) \quad v(\xi) = \xi_\alpha \otimes \xi \quad (\xi \in X).$$

Then

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| ((1 \otimes v^*)(\mathcal{U}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{V})(1 \otimes v) - \mathcal{V})(a \otimes \eta) \right\| &= \left\| \mathcal{U}_{12}^{(0)} \mathcal{V}_{13}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes v) \mathcal{V}(a \otimes \eta) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{U}_{12}^{(0)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes e_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes v(e_n) \right\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon/3 + \left\| \mathcal{U}_{12}^{(0)} \sum_{n \leq N} x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes e_n - \sum_{n \leq N} x_n \otimes v(e_n) \right\| \\ &= 2\epsilon/3 + \left\| \sum_{n \leq N} (\mathcal{U}^{(0)}(x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha) - x_n \otimes \xi_\alpha) \otimes e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| ((1 \otimes v^*)(\mathcal{U}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{V})^*(1 \otimes v) - \mathcal{V}^*)(a \otimes \eta) \right\| &= \left\| \mathcal{V}_{13}^* \mathcal{U}_{12}^{(0)*}(a \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes v) \mathcal{V}^*(a \otimes \eta) \right\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/3 + \left\| \mathcal{V}_{13}^*(a \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes v) \mathcal{V}^*(a \otimes \eta) \right\| \\ &= \epsilon/3 + \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes e_n - y_n \otimes v(e_n) \right\| \\ &= \epsilon/3 + \left\| \sum_{n > N} y_n \otimes (\xi_\alpha \otimes e_n - v(e_n)) \right\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/3 + \left\| \sum_{n > N} y_n \otimes \xi_\alpha \otimes e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n > N} y_n \otimes v(e_n) \right\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The intertwiner v is not equal to our fixed intertwiner u , so $(1 \otimes v^*)(\mathcal{U}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{V})(1 \otimes v)$ need not be equal to $U \boxtimes V$, but it is unitarily equivalent to it. By (1) and (2), it follows that $(1 \otimes v^*)(\mathcal{U}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{V})(1 \otimes v) \in \mathcal{R}$. In this way we can construct a net $(V_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ in \mathcal{R} such that

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{V}_i(a \otimes \eta) \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{V}(a \otimes \eta), \quad \mathcal{V}_i^*(a \otimes \eta) \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{V}^*(a \otimes \eta)$$

for all $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G}), \eta \in \mathbf{H}$. As $(\mathcal{V}_i - \mathcal{V})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a bounded net and we are dealing with linear maps, this is enough to show that $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ strictly, as required. \square

5. HAAGERUP APPROXIMATION PROPERTY

This section is central for the whole paper. We introduce here the notion of the Haagerup property for locally compact quantum groups and provide several equivalent characterisations.

Definition 5.1. A locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if there exists a mixing representation of \mathbb{G} which has almost invariant vectors.

The following statements are an immediate consequence of the definition (recall that a locally compact quantum group *has Property (T)* if each of its representations which has almost invariant vectors has a nontrivial invariant vector – this notion was introduced (for discrete quantum groups) in [Fi₁] and later studied in [KSo]).

Proposition 5.2. *If $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is coamenable, then \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property. Further \mathbb{G} is compact if and only if \mathbb{G} has both the Haagerup property and Property (T).*

Proof. It is easy to see that the left regular representation of \mathbb{G} (given by the fundamental unitary $W \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$) is mixing. By [BeT, Theorem 3.1], W has almost invariant vectors property if and only if $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is coamenable.

If \mathbb{G} has both (T) and the Haagerup property, then it has a mixing representation with a non-trivial invariant vector. However, then the corresponding coefficient is a non-zero scalar multiple of unit in $M(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$, which belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Thus \mathbb{G} is compact.

On the other hand if \mathbb{G} is compact, then each representation has invariant vectors, so \mathbb{G} has Property (T). Further $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is discrete, so also coamenable (Proposition 5.1 of [BMT]), so the first part ends the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. Note that we do not know whether every amenable locally compact quantum group has the Haagerup property (although it is true for discrete quantum groups, see Proposition 6.1 below). Formally providing the answer to this question should be easier than deciding the celebrated issue of the equivalence of amenability of \mathbb{G} and coamenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, but they appear to be closely related.

The above proposition allows us to provide the first examples of non-discrete locally compact quantum groups with the Haagerup property.

Example 5.4. *The locally compact quantum groups quantum $E(2)$ ([Wo₃]), quantum $ax + b$ ([Wo₄]) and quantum $ax + b$ ([WoZ]) have the Haagerup property. Indeed, they are all coamenable (see for example Theorem 3.14 of [SaS]) and self-dual, up to ‘reversing the group operation’, i.e. flipping the legs of the coproduct (see the original papers or [PuS]).*

For part (iv) of the following, we recall from Section 1.4 that if L is a completely positive multiplier of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ then there is a “representing element” $a \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$ such that $a\widehat{\lambda}(\widehat{\omega}) = \widehat{\lambda}(L(\widehat{\omega}))$ for all $\widehat{\omega} \in L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property;
- (ii) mixing representations form a dense subset of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}\mathbf{H}$;
- (iii) there exists a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that the net $((id_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity in $C_0(\mathbb{G})$;

- (iv) *there is a net $(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ in $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ of representing elements of completely positive multipliers which forms an approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): It is enough to check that if \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property, then the collection of mixing representations in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{G}}\mathbb{H}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.3. That U is mixing means that $(\text{id} \otimes \omega)(U) \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H})^*$. So condition (1) is trivial. Condition (2) follows immediately from Proposition 3.2. Condition (3) is the hypothesis of having the Haagerup property.

(ii) \implies (i): This is precisely Proposition 4.2.

(iii) \implies (i): For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let $(\phi_i, \mathbb{H}_i, \xi_i)$ be the corresponding GNS construction. By Lemma 3.3, each of the quantum group representations U_{ϕ_i} (associated to ϕ_i) is mixing, and so $U := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} U_{\phi_i}$ will also be mixing. Let $x_i = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, so that $(x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a bounded approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Then, for $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi_i) - a \otimes \xi_i\|^2 &= \|2a^*a - 2\Re(\mathcal{U}(a \otimes \xi_i)|a \otimes \xi_i)\| = \|2a^*a - 2\Re(a^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i})(U_i)a)\| \\ &= \|2a^*a - 2\Re(a^*x_i a)\| = \|a^*(2 - x_i - x_i^*)a\|. \end{aligned}$$

That $(x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a bounded approximate identity means that the expression above converges to 0 for each fixed $a \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, so by Proposition 2.8, U has almost invariant vectors. Thus \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property.

(i) \implies (iii): As \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property, there exists a representation U of \mathbb{G} which has C_0 -coefficients, and almost invariant vectors, say $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. Let ϕ be the representation of $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ associated to U , and for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ set $\mu_i = \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i} \circ \phi$. Then $x_i = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i})(U) \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ for each i . For $a, b \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$,

$$0 = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (U(a \otimes \xi_i) - a \otimes \xi_i | b \otimes \xi_i) = \lim_i b^*(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi_i, \xi_i})(U)a - b^*a = \lim_i b^*x_i a - b^*a.$$

So for $\mu \in C_0(\mathbb{G})^*$,

$$\langle \mu b^*, a \rangle = \lim_i \langle \mu b^*, x_i a \rangle.$$

By Cohen Factorisation, every member of $C_0(\mathbb{G})^*$ has the form μb^* , so we conclude that $x_i a \rightarrow a$ weakly. Similarly $a x_i \rightarrow a$ weakly. By a standard argument, we can move to a convex combination of the net $(x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and obtain a bounded approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Notice that convex combinations of the x_i will arise as slices of $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}$ by convex combinations of states, that is, by slicing against states. Thus we obtain some new family of states $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}'}$ such that $((\text{id} \otimes \lambda_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}'}$ is a bounded approximate identity in $C_0(\mathbb{G})$.

(iii) \implies (iv): Notice that equivalently $((\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*))_{i \in \mathcal{I}} = ((\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Then, as in Section 1.4, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ the element $a_i = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*)$ represents a completely positive left multiplier of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

(iv) \implies (iii): This follows immediately from [Da₁] as if $a_i \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$ represents a completely positive left multiplier of $L^1(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ then there is a state $\mu_i \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ such that $a_i = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^*)$. \square

Remark 5.6. Property (ii) was proved for \mathbb{Z} by P.Halmos in [Hal] and later studied in [BeR, Theorem 2.5]. Recently G. Hjorth characterised in [Hj] the Haagerup property for a discrete group Γ by the density of mixing actions of Γ on a standard non-atomic probability space. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) for classical locally compact groups has likely been known to the experts in the area.

Proposition 5.7. *Let \mathbb{H} be a closed quantum subgroup of \mathbb{G} in the sense of Woronowicz. If \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property then so does \mathbb{H} .*

Proof. Let $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a net of states in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ verifying condition (iii) in Theorem 5.5, and let $\pi : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{H})$ verify that \mathbb{H} is a closed quantum subgroup of \mathbb{G} . For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ set $\lambda_i = \mu_i \circ \widehat{\pi}$, so that λ_i a state in $C_0^u(\mathbb{H})^*$. Then

$$(\text{id} \otimes \lambda_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{\mathbb{H}}) = (\Lambda_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \mu_i \widehat{\pi})(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{H}}) = \pi((\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{G}})) \in C_0(\mathbb{H}).$$

As π is onto, it follows that $((\text{id} \otimes \lambda_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{\mathbb{H}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{H})$, verifying that \mathbb{H} has the Haagerup property. \square

Condition (iii) of Theorem 5.5 corresponds to the classical condition on the existence on a classical group G of a sequence/net of positive definite functions with certain properties (see [CCJGV]). Another condition in [CCJGV] is the existence of a suitable conditionally negative definite function. Schönberg correspondence shows quickly it is equivalent to a suitable ‘convolution semigroup’ reformulation of condition (iii) of Theorem 5.5.

Definition 5.8. A *convolution semigroup of states* on $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ is a family $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of states on $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ such that

- (i) $\mu_{s+t} = \mu_s \star \mu_t := (\mu_s \otimes \mu_t)\Delta$ for all $s, t \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\mu_0 = \epsilon_u$;
- (iii) $\mu_t(a) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon_u(a)$ for each $a \in C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$.

We thus have the following trivial consequence of Theorem 5.5.

Proposition 5.9. *Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group. If there exists a convolution semigroup of states $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that each $a_t := (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu_t)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$ is an element of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and a_t tends strictly to 1 as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, then \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property.*

Convolution semigroups of states have *generating functionals* and are determined by them (see [LS₃]). Thus to prove the converse implication it suffices to construct for a given quantum group with the Haagerup property a generating functional with certain additional properties guaranteeing that the resulting convolution semigroup satisfies the conditions above. The problem however is that in the general locally compact case it is difficult to decide whether a given densely defined functional is the generator of a convolution semigroup of bounded functionals. The situation is much simpler if \mathbb{G} is discrete, and we will return to it in Section 6. A key task for us in Section 6 will be to see how much choice we have over the states which appear in Theorem 5.5 (iii). A first step in that programme is the following.

Proposition 5.10. *Let \mathbb{G} have the Haagerup property. Then there exists a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $\mu_i \circ \widehat{R}_u = \mu_i$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and such that the net $((\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity in $C_0(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. Pick a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ as in Theorem 5.5 (iii), and let $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_i + \mu_i \circ \widehat{R}_u)$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$. As \widehat{R}_u is a $*$ -anti-homomorphism, each λ_i is state, and clearly $\lambda_i \circ \widehat{R}_u = \lambda_i$. By [Ku₁, Proposition 7.2] we know that $(\widehat{R}_u \otimes R)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{W}}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, and so $(R \otimes \widehat{R}_u)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{W}}$, again using that \widehat{R}_u and R are $*$ -maps. It follows that

$$(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu_i \circ \widehat{R}_u)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}) = R((\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}),$$

as R is an anti-automorphism of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Similarly, it follows easily that a net $(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ in $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is an approximate identity if and only if $(R(a_i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is, if and only if $(\frac{1}{2}(a_i + R(a_i)))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is. Consequently, $((\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \lambda_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is indeed an approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. \square

6. HAAGERUP APPROXIMATION PROPERTY FOR DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

In this section we assume that \mathbb{G} is a discrete quantum group, so that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is compact, and present certain further equivalent characterisations of the Haagerup property in this case, with the strongest results obtained when \mathbb{G} is in addition unimodular (so that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is Kac).

Note first the following consequence of Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 6.1. *Every amenable discrete quantum group \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.2 and the fact that amenability of \mathbb{G} implies the coamenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, shown in [To₁]. \square

Recall the notations of Subsection 1.3. We will first provide a simple reinterpretation of condition (iii) appearing in Theorem 5.5 in the case of discrete \mathbb{G} . In Theorem 5.5, for a state μ , we considered the slice $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}})$. As $\widehat{\mathbb{W}} = \sigma(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{G}}^*)$, we can equivalently look at $(\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{G}})^*$, and clearly as far as the hypothesis of Theorem 5.5 are concerned, we may simply look at $\mathcal{F}\mu := (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{G}})$. When \mathbb{G} is discrete, for $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ we shall write $(\mathcal{F}\mu)^\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$ for the α -component. Given the discussion in Subsection 1.3 it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{F}\mu)^\alpha$ is the matrix with (i, j) entry $\mu(u_{ij}^\alpha)$. Furthermore, this now gives us a natural interpretation of $\mathcal{F}\mu$ for a functional μ on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

Proposition 6.2. *A discrete quantum group \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if there is a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that:*

- (1) *for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we have that $((\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$ is actually in $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$;*
- (2) *for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, the net $((\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ converges in norm to the identity matrix in \mathbb{M}_{n_α} .*

If the conditions above hold, the indexing set \mathcal{I} can be chosen to be simply equal to \mathbb{N} .

Proof. As observed in Subsection 1.3, there is a bijection between states on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and states on $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. So by Theorem 5.5 condition (iii) we see that \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if there is a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $((\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{\mathbb{W}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity in $c_0(\mathbb{G})$. Given the discussion above, it is routine to see that this is equivalent to the two stated conditions.

The last statement follows easily from the fact that $\text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ is countable. \square

6.1. The Haagerup property for \mathbb{G} via the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup approximation property for $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Recall from Proposition 1.8 the following construction. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with a normal state ϕ , and let (π, \mathbb{H}, ξ_0) be the GNS construction (when ϕ is faithful, we shall tend to drop π). Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a unital normal completely positive map which preserves ϕ . There there is $T^{(2)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with $T^{(2)}(\pi(x)\xi_0) = \pi(T(x))\xi_0$.

The following definition of the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup approximation property is usually considered only for a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal trace, and in that case, does not depend on the actual choice of such a trace (see [Jo₂]). Here we propose the least restrictive possible extension to the case of general faithful normal states.

Definition 6.3. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} equipped with a faithful normal state ϕ is said to have the Haagerup approximation property (for ϕ) if there exists a family of unital completely positive ϕ -preserving maps $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on \mathcal{M} such that each of the respective induced maps $T_i^{(2)}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ is compact and for each $x \in \mathcal{M}$

$$T_i(x) \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} x$$

σ -weakly.

The idea of connecting the Haagerup property for \mathbb{G} and the Haagerup approximation property for $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is based on exploiting condition (iii) of Theorem 5.5. On one hand the states featuring there can be used to construct certain approximating multipliers on $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$; on the other given approximating maps on $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ we can attempt to ‘average’ them into multipliers and thus obtain states with desired properties.

For the following, recall that we denote the Haar state on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ by $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group. If \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property, then $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ has the Haagerup approximation property for $\widehat{\varphi}$ (in the sense of Definition 6.3).*

Proof. Let $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a net of states in $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ given by the condition (iii) in Theorem 5.5. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ use the representation \widehat{W} and the states μ_i to build completely positive left multipliers L_i , as in Proposition 1.8. By that proposition each map L_i is a normal, unital, $\widehat{\varphi}$ -preserving completely positive map, and induces an operator T_i on $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Furthermore, $T_i = (\text{id} \otimes \mu_i)(\widehat{W})$ which is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ by the assumption. As \mathbb{G} is discrete, $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is the c_0 -direct sum of matrix algebras, and so in particular T_i is a compact operator. Finally, we note that $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a bounded approximate identity for $C_0(\mathbb{G})$, and so converges strongly to the identity in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$, as required. \square

For the converse we need to start with normal, unital, $\widehat{\varphi}$ preserving CP maps $\Phi : L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, and ‘average’ these into multipliers. In what follows, we shall not actually use that Φ is $\widehat{\varphi}$ -preserving until Theorem 6.7 below.

Given such a Φ define $L : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ by

$$L(x) = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))\widehat{W}^*).$$

By Proposition 1.4, we see that if Φ is already (the adjoint of) a left multiplier then $L = \Phi$. In general,

$$\widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes L(x))\widehat{W} = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{13}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))_{13}\widehat{W}_{13}^*\widehat{W}_{23})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}) &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}(\Phi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{13}^*(1 \otimes 1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}_{13}\widehat{W}_{23})\widehat{W}_{12}^*) \\ &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}\widehat{W}_{23}^*((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))_{13}\widehat{W}_{23}\widehat{W}_{12}^*) \end{aligned}$$

here using that $(\widehat{\Delta} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) = \widehat{W}_{13}\widehat{W}_{23}$. Using the Pentagonal equation $\widehat{W}_{12}\widehat{W}_{13}\widehat{W}_{23} = \widehat{W}_{23}\widehat{W}_{12}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{12}\widehat{W}_{13}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))_{13}\widehat{W}_{13}^*\widehat{W}_{12}^*\widehat{W}_{23}) \\ &= \widehat{W}^*(\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}\widehat{W}_{13}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))_{13}\widehat{W}_{13}^*\widehat{W}_{12}^*)\widehat{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ be of Kac type, and let $c \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then*

$$(\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}(c \otimes 1)\widehat{W}^*) = \widehat{\varphi}(c)1.$$

Proof. If $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is of Kac type then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a trace, and so for $a, b, c \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\widehat{W}(c \otimes 1)\widehat{W}^*(\widehat{\Lambda}(1) \otimes \widehat{\Lambda}(a)) | \widehat{\Lambda}(1) \otimes \widehat{\Lambda}(b)) = ((c \otimes 1)(\widehat{\Lambda} \otimes \widehat{\Lambda})\widehat{\Delta}(a) | (\widehat{\Lambda} \otimes \widehat{\Lambda})\widehat{\Delta}(b)) \\ & = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi})(\widehat{\Delta}(b)^*(c \otimes 1)\widehat{\Delta}(a)) = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi})((c \otimes 1)\widehat{\Delta}(ab^*)) = \widehat{\varphi}(ab^*)\widehat{\varphi}(c) = \widehat{\varphi}(c)(\widehat{\Lambda}(a) | \widehat{\Lambda}(b)). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ be of Kac type. Then L is (the adjoint of) a left multiplier, and so there is $x \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}))$ with $(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) = (1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}$. Indeed, $x = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id})((\Phi \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^*)$.*

Proof. Following on from the above calculation, and using the lemma, we find that for $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$,

$$(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}) = \widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta}(x))\widehat{W}^*))\widehat{W} = \widehat{W}^*(1 \otimes L(x))\widehat{W}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}_{12}^*((L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^*)_{23}\widehat{W}_{12} &= \widehat{W}_{12}^*((L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}))_{23}\widehat{W}_{12}\widehat{W}_{12}^*\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{12} \\ &= ((L \otimes \text{id})\widehat{\Delta} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{13}^* \\ &= (L \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{13}\widehat{W}_{23})\widehat{W}_{23}^*\widehat{W}_{13}^* = ((L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^*)_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (the left-multiplicative-unitary version of) [MRW, Theorem 2.1] that

$$(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^* \in \mathbb{C}1 \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}).$$

Hence there is $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ with $(L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) = (1 \otimes x)\widehat{W}$, as claimed. By Proposition 1.4 we see that L is a left multiplier.

We now calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} (L \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}) &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}(\Phi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{13}\widehat{W}_{23})\widehat{W}_{12}^*) \\ &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}(\Phi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{13})\widehat{W}_{13}^*\widehat{W}_{12}^*)\widehat{W}, \end{aligned}$$

again using the Pentagonal equation. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes x &= (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W}_{12}((\Phi \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^*)_{13}\widehat{W}_{12}^*) \\ &= 1 \otimes (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \text{id})((\Phi \otimes \text{id})(\widehat{W})\widehat{W}^*), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the lemma again. \square

The following is a generalisation of Choda's theorem for classical discrete groups originally proved in [Cho].

Theorem 6.7. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group and assume that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is of Kac type. Then \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ has a Haagerup approximation property.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the ‘‘if’’ direction, the ‘‘only if’’ follows from Theorem 6.4.

The argument above started with a normal unital CP map $\Phi : L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and produced a CP left multiplier L with

$$(\text{id} \otimes L)(W) = W(x_0 \otimes 1), \quad x_0 = (\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\varphi})(W^*(\text{id} \otimes \Phi)(W)).$$

Here we used that $\widehat{W} = \sigma W^* \sigma$, and that L is positive. This means that L is “represented” by x_0^* . By [Da₁], as L is CP, there is a unique state $\mu \in C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ with $x_0^* = (\text{id} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathcal{V}}^*)$, that is, $x_0 = (\text{id} \otimes \mu)(\widehat{\mathcal{V}})$.

Suppose that Φ is also $\widehat{\varphi}$ preserving, and hence induces a map $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ with $T\widehat{\eta}(x) = \widehat{\eta}(\Phi(x))$ for $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. This means that for $x, y \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$,

$$(yTx\widehat{\eta}(1)|\widehat{\eta}(1)) = (T\widehat{\eta}(x)|\widehat{\eta}(y^*)) = (\widehat{\eta}(\Phi(x))|\widehat{\eta}(y^*)) = \widehat{\varphi}(y\Phi(x)).$$

As Φ is normal, it follows that

$$x_0 = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\widehat{\eta}(1), \widehat{\eta}(1)})(W^*(1 \otimes T)W).$$

For $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ consider the rank-one operator $\theta_{\xi, \eta}$. Then

$$(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\widehat{\eta}(1), \widehat{\eta}(1)})(W^*(1 \otimes \theta_{\xi, \eta})W) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi, \widehat{\eta}(1)})(W^*)(\text{id} \otimes \omega_{\widehat{\eta}(1), \eta})(W) \in C_0(\mathbb{G}).$$

By continuity, it follows that if T is compact, then $x_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$.

Suppose we now have a net $(\Phi_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, which gives rise to nets $(L_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, with each T_i compact. Then each x_i is a member of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$. If $\lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i \widehat{\eta}(x) = \widehat{\eta}(x)$ in norm, for each $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, then as each T_i is a contraction, it follows that $T_i \rightarrow 1$ is the strong operator topology. As \mathbb{G} is discrete, $C_0(\mathbb{G})^* = L^1(\mathbb{G})$, and so for $x \in C_0(\mathbb{G})$, $\omega \in C_0(\mathbb{G})^*$,

$$\lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \langle \omega, x_i x \rangle = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \langle W^*(1 \otimes T_i)W, x\omega \otimes \omega_{\widehat{\eta}(1), \widehat{\eta}(1)} \rangle = \langle 1, x\omega \otimes \omega_{\widehat{\eta}(1), \widehat{\eta}(1)} \rangle = \langle \omega, x \rangle.$$

So $x_i x \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} x$ weakly, and similarly $x x_i \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} x$ weakly. To finish the proof, we apply the same convex-combination trick as used in the proof of part (iii) in Theorem 5.5. \square

Remark 6.8. A C^* -algebraic version of the Haagerup approximation property for a pair (\mathbf{A}, τ) , where \mathbf{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and τ is a faithful tracial state on \mathbf{A} was introduced in [Don]. Using on one hand the fact that the C^* -algebraic Haagerup approximation property for (\mathbf{A}, τ) passes to the analogous von Neumann algebraic property for the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\tau(\mathbf{A})''$ (see Lemma 4.5 in [Suz]) and on the other the fact that the multipliers we construct in the proof of Theorem 6.4 leave the C^* -algebra $C(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ invariant (see Remark 1.5) one can deduce easily from the above theorem the following fact: a discrete unimodular quantum group \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if the pair $(C(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \widehat{\varphi})$ has the C^* -algebraic Haagerup approximation property in the sense of [Don].

We can now discuss certain corollaries.

Corollary 6.9 ([Br₁], [Br₂], [Lem]). *The duals of the free orthogonal quantum groups, of the free unitary quantum groups, of the quantum automorphism groups of certain finite-dimensional C^* -algebras equipped with canonical traces, and of the quantum reflection groups H_n^{s+} (the free wreath products $\mathbb{Z}_s \wr S_n^+$, see [Bic]) for $n \geq 4$ and $1 \leq s < \infty$ have the Haagerup property.*

The second corollary is related to cocycle twisted products of discrete quantum groups (studied for example in [FiVa]) with the Haagerup property.

Corollary 6.10. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete unimodular quantum group and let Γ be a discrete abelian group such that $C^*(\Gamma) \subset C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, with the inclusion intertwining the comultiplications. Let $\sigma : \widehat{\Gamma} \times \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a bicharacter. Then \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if the twisted quantum group \mathbb{G}_σ has the Haagerup property.*

Proof. As the Haar state of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a trace, it follows from [FiVa] that the twisting does not modify the von Neumann algebra: $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_\sigma)$. The rest follows from the preceding theorem. \square

We finish the subsection by exhibiting another corollary, related to the wreath product of compact quantum groups ([Bic]) and also to the considerations which will follow in Section 7.

Corollary 6.11. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete unimodular quantum group. Let \mathbb{H} denote the dual of the free wreath product product $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \wr S_2^+$. Then \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if and only if \mathbb{H} has the Haagerup property.*

Proof. Consider the algebra $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$. It is generated by the commuting copies of C^* -algebras $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \star C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $C(S_2^+) = C(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Thus $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \approx (C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \star C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Moreover it follows from [Bic] that the Haar measure of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ is given with respect to this decomposition by the formula $h = h_1 \otimes h_2$, where h_1 is the free product of Haar states of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and h_2 is induced by the Haar measure of \mathbb{Z}_2 . It follows that $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) = (L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \star L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, which has the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup property if and only if $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ has the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup property. Theorem 6.7 ends the proof. \square

Remark 6.12. The free wreath product of a coamenable compact quantum group by S_2^+ is not coamenable in general. For example, taking $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the free wreath product of $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{T}$ by S_2^+ is a non-coamenable compact quantum group (whose fusion rules are even non-commutative, see [Bic]), and yet the above corollary shows that its dual has the Haagerup property.

Some more examples of permanence of the Haagerup property with respect to constructions involving discrete unimodular quantum groups are given in Propositions 7.13 and 7.14.

6.2. The Haagerup property via convolution semigroups of states and conditionally negative definite functions. The following definition introduces the quantum counterpart of a conditionally negative definite function.

Definition 6.13. A generating functional on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a functional $L : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is selfadjoint, vanishes at $1_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ and is conditionally negative definite, i.e. negative on the kernel of the counit (formally: if $a \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\epsilon(a) = 0$, then $L(a^*a) \leq 0$).

The following fact can be viewed as a quantum version of Schönberg's correspondence and goes back to the work of Schürmann (see [Sch]). In this precise formulation it can be deduced for example from [LS₂, Section 8]. Indeed, the correspondence between semigroups of hermitian functions, and self-adjoint L with $L(1) = 0$ follows easily from one-parameter semigroup theory, and bialgebra theory, see for example the sketch in [LS₃, Section 2]. The harder part is to show that the extra property of being conditionally negative definite is enough to ensure a semigroup of states.

Lemma 6.14. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between*

- (i) *convolution semigroups of states on $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$;*
- (ii) *generating functionals on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.*

It is given by the following formulas: for each $a \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \subset C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ we have that

$$L(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\epsilon(a) - \mu_t(a)}{t},$$

$$\mu_t(a) = \exp_*(-tL)(a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} L^{*n}(a).$$

It will again be useful to consider the natural basis (u_{ij}^α) of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. Given a generating functional L , let $(L^\alpha)_{i,j} = L(u_{ij}^\alpha)$ so that L^α is a $n_\alpha \times n_\alpha$ matrix. The following simple lemma is crucial for what follows.

Lemma 6.15. *Let L and $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be as in the last lemma. Fix $s > 0$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the operator $a_s = (\mu_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}) \in \mathbf{M}(c_0(\mathbb{G}))$ belongs to $c_0(\mathbb{G})$;*
- (ii) *the family of matrices $(e^{-sL^\alpha})_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}$ (with L^α defined as above) converges to 0 as α tends to infinity.*

Proof. As the map $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^* \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(c_0(\mathbb{G})); \mu \mapsto \mathcal{F}\mu = (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$ is a homomorphism, it is easy to see that the α -component of a_s satisfies

$$(a_s)^\alpha = e^{-sL^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}.$$

The rest follows. □

Definition 6.16. We call a generating functional L proper and symmetric if the associated family of matrices $(L^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}}$ consists of self-adjoint matrices and moreover satisfies the following condition: for each $M > 0$ there exists a finite set $F \subset \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ such that for all $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \setminus F$ we have that

$$L^\alpha \geq MI_{n_\alpha}.$$

Note that the fact that the self-adjointness of the matrices L^α is equivalent to the fact L is S -invariant, because $L(u_{ij}^\alpha) = L_{ij}^\alpha$ while $(L \circ S)(u_{ij}^\alpha) = L((u_{ji}^\alpha)^*) = \overline{L_{ji}^\alpha}$. This explains the use of the word ‘symmetric’ in the definition. Moreover the assumption that a generating functional L is S -invariant implies immediately that each L^α is in fact a positive matrix. This follows, as each L^α will be self-adjoint, and as each μ_s is a state, each matrix $(a_s)^\alpha = e^{-sL^\alpha}$ considered in the proof of Lemma 6.15 has norm not greater than 1; then observe that if X is any self-adjoint matrix with e^{-sX} a contraction for all $s > 0$, then X must be positive.

In Proposition 5.10 we showed that the net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ appearing in Theorem 5.5 (iii) can be chosen to be \widehat{R}_u invariant. We next show that, at least when \mathbb{G} is discrete, we can choose the states to be \widehat{S}_u invariant.

Proposition 6.17. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group with the Haagerup property. Then there exists a net of states $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $\mu_i \circ \widehat{S}_u = \mu_i$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and which satisfy the conditions of Proposition 6.2, that is, such that $((\mu_i \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an approximate identity in $c_0(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. First of all, let $\mu \in C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ be an \widehat{R}_u -invariant state. Let $M \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})^*$ be an invariant mean— so M is a state, and if $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $f(s) = g(s+t)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\langle M, f \rangle = \langle M, g \rangle$. Define $\nu \in C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$ by

$$\langle \nu, a \rangle = \langle M, (\langle \mu, \widehat{\tau}_t^u(a) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \quad (a \in C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})),$$

where $\{\widehat{\tau}_t^u : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the scaling automorphism group on $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ (see Section 9 of [Ku₁]). It is easy to see that ν is linear, unital and bounded. As each $\widehat{\tau}_t^u$ is a $*$ -automorphism it follows that ν is a state. As M is invariant, it follows that $\nu \circ \widehat{\tau}_s^u = \nu$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We now use

some elementary one-parameter group theory— see [Ku₂, Section 4.3] or [Ku₃] for example. As ν is invariant for $\{\widehat{\tau}_t^u : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ it follows that ν is analytic, and invariant for its extension to complex parameters, so in particular $\nu \circ \widehat{\tau}_{-i/2}^u = \nu$. As μ is \widehat{R}_u -invariant, and each $\widehat{\tau}_t^u$ commutes with \widehat{R}_u , it follows that ν is \widehat{R}_u -invariant. Thus $\nu \circ \widehat{S}_u = \nu \circ \widehat{R}_u \circ \widehat{\tau}_{-i/2}^u = \nu$.

Now let $(\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a net of \widehat{R}_u -invariant states, as given by Proposition 5.10 combined with Proposition 6.2. Thus, for each fixed $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we have that $(\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and for each fixed $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, we have that $(\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha \xrightarrow{i \in \mathcal{I}} I_{n_\alpha}$. Apply the above argument to each μ_i to form a net $(\nu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of \widehat{S}_u -invariant states. By [Ku₁, Proposition 9.1] we have that $(\widehat{\tau}_t^u \otimes \tau_t)(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}) = (\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\omega \in \ell^1(\mathbb{G})$, and set $a = (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \omega)(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$, so by the previous observation,

$$\widehat{\tau}_t^u(a) = (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \omega)((\widehat{\tau}_t^u \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})) = (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \omega)((\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \tau_{-t})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})) = (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \omega \circ \tau_{-t})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}).$$

We shall use that the scaling group $\{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ restricts to each matrix summand \mathbb{M}_{n_α} of $c_0(\mathbb{G})$, a fact which is summarised in [To₂, Section 2.2], for example. Let $\{\tau_t^\alpha : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the resulting group of automorphisms acting on \mathbb{M}_{n_α} . Let $p_\alpha : c_0(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$ be the projection, so that $p_\alpha^* : \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}^* \rightarrow \ell^1(\mathbb{G})$ is the inclusion. Let $\omega = p_\alpha^*(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}^*$. It follows that for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, (\mathcal{F}\nu_i)^\alpha \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{F}\nu_i, \omega \rangle = \langle \nu_i, (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \omega)(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}) \rangle = \langle M, (\langle \mu_i, \widehat{\tau}_t^u(a) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \\ &= \langle M, (\langle \mu_i, (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \omega \circ \tau_{-t})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \\ &= \langle M, (\langle \mu_i, (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes p_\alpha^*(\phi \circ \tau_{-t}^\alpha)(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \\ &= \langle M, (\langle \mu_i \otimes \phi, (\text{id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \otimes \tau_{-t}^\alpha)(u^\alpha) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \\ &= \langle M, (\langle \phi, \tau_{-t}^\alpha((\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we consider $u^\alpha \in C^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$. As ϕ was arbitrary, it follows that $\|(\mathcal{F}\nu_i)^\alpha\| \leq \|(\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha\|$ and so $\mathcal{F}\nu_i \in c_0(\mathbb{G})$.

Similarly, if $\|(\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha - I_{n_\alpha}\| \leq \epsilon$, then using that $\tau_{-t}^\alpha(I_{n_\alpha}) = I_{n_\alpha}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\langle \phi, (\mathcal{F}\nu_i)^\alpha - I_{n_\alpha} \rangle = \langle M, (\langle \phi, \tau_{-t}^\alpha((\mathcal{F}\mu_i)^\alpha - I_{n_\alpha}) \rangle)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rangle.$$

Hence $\|(\mathcal{F}\nu_i)^\alpha - I_{n_\alpha}\| \leq \epsilon$, and we have verified the second condition of Proposition 6.2, as required to finish the proof. \square

Theorem 6.18. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property;
- (ii) there exists a convolution semigroup of states $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that each $a_t := (\mu_t \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$ is an element of $c_0(\mathbb{G})$, and a_t tend strictly to 1 as $t \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ admits a symmetric proper generating functional.

Proof. (iii) \implies (ii): It suffices to observe that if L is a symmetric proper generating functional the condition (ii) in Lemma 6.15 is clearly satisfied and combine it with Lemma 6.14. As \mathbb{G} is discrete, the strict convergence in $c_0(\mathbb{G})$ is the same as convergence of the individual entries of the corresponding matrices, and so it suffices to show that for each fixed α , we have that $(a_t)^\alpha = e^{-tL^\alpha} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$; but this is clear.

(ii) \implies (i): Follows from Proposition 5.9.

(i) \implies (iii): Choose $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $\text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n = \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, a sequence $(\epsilon_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers tending to 0 and a sequence $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers increasing to infinity such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n \epsilon_n < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ use the previous proposition to find an \widehat{S}_u -invariant state μ_n such that $\mathcal{F}\mu_n \in c_0(\mathbb{G})$ and with $\|I_{n_\alpha} - (\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha\| \leq \epsilon_n$ for each $\alpha \in F_n$. It follows that each matrix $(\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha$ is self-adjoint (it is always contractive, recall the discussion after Definition 6.16).

Define $L : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n (\epsilon - \mu_n),$$

with the convergence understood pointwise. We claim that L is a (well-defined) symmetric proper generating functional. Note first that for any $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, we have that

$$(L(u_{ij}^\alpha))_{i,j} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n (I_{n_\alpha} - (\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha)$$

and the convergence of the sum above is guaranteed by the fact that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha \in F_n$ for all $n \geq N$. The fact that L is a generating functional is also easy to check — it suffices to observe that it is a sum of self-adjoint functionals and further on the kernel of the counit it is a sum of states multiplied by non-positive scalar coefficients. Hence it remains to show that L is symmetric and proper. Observe that for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $L^\alpha \geq \beta_n (I_{n_\alpha} - \mu_n^\alpha)$. Thus it suffices for a given $M > 0$ to choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\beta_n > 2M$ and note that as $\mathcal{F}\mu_n \in c_0(\mathbb{G})$, there exists a finite set $F \subset \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ such that for $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \setminus F$ we have that $\|(\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, so also $I_{n_\alpha} - (\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}I_{n_\alpha}$ (recall that the matrix $(\mathcal{F}\mu_n)^\alpha$ is self-adjoint). Hence for $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \setminus F$,

$$L^\alpha \geq 2M \frac{1}{2} I_{n_\alpha},$$

and the proof is finished. \square

Remark 6.19. Note that the above proof shows in particular that if \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property, then the convolution semigroup of states $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the condition (ii) in the above theorem can be in addition chosen to be \widehat{S}_u -invariant.

Remark 6.20. Each generating functional on $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ defines (via a GNS type construction, see for example [LS₁, Section 6]) a representation of $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{k} and a cocycle $c : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$. For what we need the important fact is that we have the following formula:

$$(6.1) \quad \langle c(b), c(a) \rangle = -L(a^*b) + \overline{\epsilon(a)}L(b) + \overline{L(a)}\epsilon(b), \quad a, b \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

Note that c determines L only on $(\text{Ker } \epsilon)^2$, i.e. on the span of products of elements in $\text{Ker } (\epsilon)$.

Conversely, a pair as above (a representation plus a cocycle) yields a generating functional if the cocycle is *real* (Theorem 4.6 in [Kye] which is attributed to Vergnioux). Reality of the cocycle means that for all $a, b \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ we have that

$$\langle c(a), c(b) \rangle = \langle c(S(b^*)), c(S(a)^*) \rangle.$$

It is easy to check (as $\epsilon = \epsilon \circ S$) that it suffices to verify the displayed formula for $a, b \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \cap \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$. Thus a straightforward calculation shows that if c is related to L as in the formula (6.1) then c is real if and only if L is invariant under S on $(\text{Ker } \epsilon)^2$.

It is therefore natural to ask how the properness of a given symmetric generating functional is reflected in the properties of the associated real cocycle. One can easily see that in the cocommutative case the relevant analysis leads directly to the characterisation of the Haagerup property for a discrete group Γ in terms of the existence of a proper cocycle on Γ .

We finish this subsection by proving two lemmas which will be needed in the last section of the paper.

Lemma 6.21. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group which has the Haagerup property. Then there exists a sequence of states $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that:*

- (i) *for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the family of matrices $(\mu_k^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}}$ belongs to $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}} \mathbb{M}_{n_\alpha}$;*
- (ii) *for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, the sequence $(\mu_k^\alpha)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in norm to the identity matrix in \mathbb{M}_{n_α} ;*
- (iii) *for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, $\alpha \neq 1$ we have that $\|\mu_k^\alpha\| \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{k})$.*

Proof. The proof is based on producing a perturbation of Lemma 6.2. Choose then first a sequence $(\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of states on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying the conditions in that lemma.

It is a general fact that if $\pi : C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow B(\mathbf{H})$ is a unital representation and $\xi \in \mathbf{H}$, then the formula $a \mapsto ((\epsilon(a) - \pi(a))\xi | \xi)$ defines a bounded (with respect to the norm of $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$) generating functional on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, a so-called Poisson type generating functional (in fact all bounded generating functionals are of this form, see [LS₂]). Consider then the GNS representation $(\pi_h, \mathbf{H}_h, \Omega_h)$ of $C^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with respect to the Haar state of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and put

$$L(a) = ((\epsilon(a) - \pi_h(a))\Omega_h | \Omega_h), \quad a \in \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

As the Haar state annihilates non-trivial matrix coefficients, it is easy to check that $L^\alpha = I_{n_\alpha}$ for all non-trivial $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\psi_k = \exp_\star(-\frac{1}{k}L) : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so that for all nontrivial $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ we have that $\psi_k^\alpha = \exp(-\frac{1}{k})I_{n_\alpha}$. Lemma 6.14 implies that each ψ_k is a state on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. It is easy to check that the sequence $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\mu_k = \omega_k \star \psi_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the required conditions (note that the norm of each matrix ω_k^α is not greater than 1, as ω_k is a state, and that $(\mathcal{F}\mu_k)^\alpha = (\mathcal{F}\omega_k)^\alpha (\mathcal{F}\psi_k)^\alpha$). \square

The above lemma has a natural counterpart for generating functionals on discrete quantum groups with the Haagerup property.

Lemma 6.22. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group which has the Haagerup property. Then $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ admits a symmetric proper generating functional $L : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ we have that*

$$L^\alpha \geq I_{n_\alpha}.$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{G} be as above, let $L_1 : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric generating functional and let L be as in the proof of the above lemma. As the sum of generating functionals is a generating functional, it suffices to consider $L + L_1$. \square

6.3. Summary. In the next theorem we gather the results established in this section under the assumption that \mathbb{G} is discrete. Together with Theorem 5.5 it is one of the two main points of the article.

Theorem 6.23. *Let \mathbb{G} be a discrete quantum group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property;
- (ii) there exists a convolution semigroup of states $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0^u(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that each $a_t := (\mu_t \otimes id_{\mathbb{G}})(\mathbb{W}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}})$ is an element of $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and a_t tends strictly to 1 as $t \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ admits a symmetric proper generating functional.

Futhermore, these conditions imply the following condition, and if \mathbb{G} is unimodular, are equivalent to it:

- (iv) $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ has the Haagerup approximation property;

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorems 6.4, 6.7 and 6.18. \square

7. FREE PRODUCT OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS WITH THE HAAGERUP PROPERTY HAS THE HAAGERUP PROPERTY

In this section we apply the techniques developed earlier to establish an extension of the result of Jolissaint showing that the Haagerup property for discrete groups is preserved under taking free products (see [Jo1] or [CCJGV] for two different proofs and note that in fact the Haagerup property is also preserved under taking a free product with amalgamation over a finite subgroup) to the context of discrete quantum groups. In the case of discrete unimodular quantum groups the shortest way to this theorem is via the von Neumann algebraic Haagerup approximation property and the fact it is preserved under taking free products of finite von Neumann algebras (see [Jo2]); this method can be also used to establish the quantum version of the mentioned above result for the free product with amalgamation over finite (quantum) subgroup (see Proposition 7.13). The proof we present in the general case is closer in spirit to the classical proof in [CCJGV] and develops certain techniques that can be also of interest in other contexts.

Recall first the definition of the free product of discrete quantum groups. It was originally introduced by S. Wang in [Wan]. Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be compact quantum groups. Then the C^* -algebra $C^u(\mathbb{G}_1) \star C^u(\mathbb{G}_2)$ (the usual C^* -algebraic product of unital C^* -algebras with amalgamation over the scalars) has a natural structure of the algebra of functions on a compact quantum group, with the coproduct arising from the universal properties of the free product applied to the maps $(\iota_1 \otimes \iota_1)\Delta_1$ and $(\iota_2 \otimes \iota_2)\Delta_2$, where Δ_1, Δ_2 denote the respective coproducts of \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 and $\iota_1 : C(\mathbb{G}_1) \rightarrow C^u(\mathbb{G}_1) \star C^u(\mathbb{G}_2)$, $\iota_2 : C(\mathbb{G}_2) \rightarrow C^u(\mathbb{G}_1) \star C^u(\mathbb{G}_2)$ are the canonical injections. We call the resulting compact quantum group *the dual free product* of \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 and denote it by $\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2$, so that $C^u(\mathbb{G}_1) \star C^u(\mathbb{G}_2) = C^u(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2)$. The following result of Wang is crucial for working with the dual free products.

Theorem 7.1 (Theorem 3.10 of [Wan]). *Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be compact quantum groups. Then $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2) = \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1) \star \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ (where on the right hand side we have the $*$ -algebraic free product of unital algebras, identifying the units) and*

$$\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2} = 1 \cup \{U^{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus U^{\alpha_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}, i(j) \in \{1, 2\}, i(j) \neq i(j+1), \alpha_j \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_{i(j)}}, U^{\alpha_j} \neq 1\},$$

where $U^{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus U^{\alpha_k} \in M_{n_{\alpha_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{n_{\alpha_k}} \otimes (\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1) \star \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2))$,

$$(U^{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus U^{\alpha_k})_{(l_1, \dots, l_k), (m_1, \dots, m_k)} = u_{l_1, m_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_{l_k, m_k}^{\alpha_k}.$$

The Haar state of $\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2$ is the free product of the Haar states of \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 .

Note that the last statement of the above theorem implies in particular that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2) \approx L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_1) \star L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_2)$, where this time \star denotes the von Neumann algebraic free product (with respect to the Haar states of the respective L^∞ -algebras, see for example [VDN]).

Definition 7.2. Let now $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be discrete quantum groups. The free product of \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 is the discrete quantum group $\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2$ defined by the equality

$$\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2 = \widehat{\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2}.$$

One may check that the notion introduced above is compatible with the notion of the free product of classical discrete groups (recall that if Γ_1, Γ_2 are discrete groups, then $C(\widehat{\Gamma}_i) = C^*(\Gamma_i)$ and $C^*(\Gamma_1 \star \Gamma_2) \approx C^*(\Gamma_1) \star C^*(\Gamma_2)$). It is also easy to observe that the free product of unimodular discrete quantum groups is unimodular. Finally we record the following well-known and easy observation.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be discrete quantum groups. Then both \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 are closed quantum subgroups (in the sense of Woronowicz) of $\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2$.*

Proof. Recall that $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2}) \approx L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_1}) \star L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_2})$. It is easy to check that the canonical injection of $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_1})$ into $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_1}) \star L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}_2})$ is a normal unital $*$ -homomorphism intertwining the respective coproducts. This means that \mathbb{G}_1 is a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2$. The case of \mathbb{G}_2 follows identically. \square

Remark 7.4. Note that the terminology introduced here, used earlier for example in [BaS], is different from that of [Wan], where the author called the free product what we call the *dual* free product of compact quantum groups. The advantage of the notation and nomenclature employed here is that it is consistent with the free product of classical discrete groups and also with the results such as the one stated above.

Before we begin the proof of the main theorem of this section we need to introduce another construction: that of a *c-free* (conditionally free) product of states, introduced in [BoS] and later studied for example in [BLS]. Here we describe it only in the case of two algebras. Observe first that if $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are unital $*$ -algebras equipped respectively with states (normalised, hermitian, positive functionals) ψ_1 and ψ_2 , then the $*$ -algebraic free product $\mathcal{A}_1 \star \mathcal{A}_2$ can be identified (as a vector space) with the direct sum

$$\mathbb{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i(1) \neq \dots \neq i(n)} \mathcal{A}_{i(1)}^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{i(n)}^\circ,$$

where $i(j) \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^\circ = \text{Ker } \psi_1$, $\mathcal{A}_2^\circ = \text{Ker } \psi_2$. This explains that the following definition makes sense.

Definition 7.5. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be unital $*$ -algebras equipped respectively with states ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be two further states respectively on \mathcal{A}_1 and on \mathcal{A}_2 . Their conditional free product is the functional $\omega := \phi_1 \star_{(\psi_1, \psi_2)} \phi_2$ on $\mathcal{A}_1 \star \mathcal{A}_2$ defined by the prescription $\omega(1) = 1$ and

$$\omega(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \phi_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \phi_{i(n)}(a_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i(1) \neq \dots \neq i(n)$ elements in $\{1, 2\}$ and $a_j \in \text{Ker } \psi_{i(j)}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

The crucial property of the conditional free product of states is that it is again a state (Theorem 2.2 of [BLS]). Recall that if \mathbb{G} is a compact quantum group then there is a 1-1 correspondence between states on $C^u(\mathbb{G})$ and states on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. The next two lemmas form crucial steps in the proof of the main theorem; before we formulate them we introduce some more notation: given two compact quantum groups \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 with Haar states h_1 and h_2 ,

and two further states ϕ_1, ϕ_2 respectively on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ write $\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2$ for a respective conditionally free product:

$$\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2 := \phi_1 \star_{(h_1, h_2)} \phi_2 - \text{a state on } \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2).$$

Recall Theorem 7.1, where we described the representation theory of $\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be compact quantum groups and let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be states respectively on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2)$. Then their conditionally free product, the state $\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2$ on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2)$ satisfies (and is determined by) the formulas*

$$(7.1) \quad (\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2)(1) = 1,$$

$$(7.2) \quad (\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2)((U^{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U^{\alpha_k})_{(l_1, \dots, l_k), (m_1, \dots, m_k)}) = \phi_{i(1)}(u_{l_1, m_1}^{\alpha_1}) \cdots \phi_{i(1)}(u_{l_k, m_k}^{\alpha_1})$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i(j) \in \{1, 2\}$, $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$, $\alpha_j \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_{i(j)}}$, $\alpha_j \neq 1$, $l_j, m_j \in \{1, \dots, n_{\alpha_j}\}$. Moreover if ω_1, ω_2 are two further states respectively on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2)$ then we have

$$(7.3) \quad (\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2) \star (\omega_1 \diamond \omega_2) = (\phi_1 \star \omega_1) \diamond (\phi_2 \star \omega_2),$$

where \star above denotes respectively convolution of functionals on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2)$, $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2)$.

Proof. The fact that the formulas (7.1)-(7.2) determine $\phi_1 \diamond \phi_2$ uniquely follows from Theorem 7.1 and the definition of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ for a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} . To show that these formulas hold it suffices to observe that for each $i = 1, 2$ and a nontrivial $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_i}$ and any $l, m \in \{1, \dots, n_\alpha\}$ there is $h_i(u_{l, m}^\alpha) = 0$ and use the definition of the conditionally free product.

The second part of the proof is then an explicit check of the equality in (7.3) on the elements of the form appearing in (7.2) (recall that they span $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2)$), based on applying the fact that the coproduct acts on the entries of a finite-dimensional unitary representations as ‘matrix multiplication’: $\Delta(u_{i, j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_U} u_{i, k} \otimes u_{k, j}$. \square

Recall the definition of the convolution semigroups of states on a locally compact quantum group, Definition 5.8. The last lemma implies the following result, which can be interpreted as providing a source of an interesting construction of quantum Lévy processes ([LS₂]) on dual free products of compact quantum groups.

Theorem 7.7. *Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be compact quantum groups be equipped with respective convolution semigroups of states $(\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Then $(\phi_t \diamond \omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a convolution semigroup of states on $C^u(\mathbb{G}_1 \widehat{\star} \mathbb{G}_2)$.*

Moreover if $L_1 : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $L_2 : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are generating functionals respectively of $(\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$, then the generating functional of $(\phi_t \diamond \omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is determined by the formula

$$(7.4) \quad L((U^{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U^{\alpha_k})_{(l_1, \dots, l_k), (m_1, \dots, m_k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_{l_1, m_1} \cdots \delta_{l_{j-1}, m_{j-1}} L_{i(j)}(u_{l_j, m_j}^{\alpha_j}) \delta_{l_{j+1}, m_{j+1}} \cdots \delta_{l_k, m_k},$$

again for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i(j) \in \{1, 2\}$, $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$, $\alpha_j \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_{i(j)}}$, $\alpha_j \neq 1$, $l_j, m_j \in \{1, \dots, n_{\alpha_j}\}$.

Proof. Put (for each $t \geq 0$) $\mu_t := \phi_t \diamond \omega_t$ and consider the family of states $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The fact that it satisfies the first property in Definition 5.8 follows from Lemma 7.6. Further, as for any finite-dimensional unitary representation $U = (u_{i, j})$ of a compact quantum group we have that $\epsilon(u_{i, j}) = \delta_{i, j}$, the formulas (7.1)–(7.2) imply that we have $\epsilon_1 \diamond \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ (these denote of

course counits of respective algebras), so that $\mu_0 = \epsilon$. Finally note that as we know that each μ_t is a state, it suffices to check the convergence in Definition 5.8 on the elements of the type appearing in (7.2), where it follows from the formulas describing the counits.

Taking once again into account the equality (7.2), the formula (7.4) is now an exercise in differentiation. \square

We return now to the main point of this Section.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ be discrete quantum groups. Then their free product $\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2$ has the Haagerup property if and only if both \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 have the Haagerup property.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{G}_1 \star \mathbb{G}_2$ has the Haagerup property, then both \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 have the Haagerup property by Propositions 5.7 and 7.3.

Assume then that both \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 have the Haagerup property. Let $(\phi_k^1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\phi_k^2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of states respectively on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1)$ and $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2)$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.21. Define, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the state μ_k on $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1 \star \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2)$ by the formula $\mu_k = \phi_k^1 \diamond \phi_k^2$. Note that the formula (7.2) interpreted matricially says that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i(j) \in \{1, 2\}$, $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$, $\alpha_j \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{G}_{i(j)}}$, $\alpha_j \neq 1$

$$\omega_k^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_l} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^l (\phi_k^{i(j)})^{\alpha_j}.$$

It is then elementary to check that the sequence $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6.2 (the fact that the respective matrices belong to $\bigoplus_{\beta \in \text{Irr}_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1 \star \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2}} M_{n_\beta}$ follows from the fact that $\|\omega_k^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_l}\| \leq \exp(-\frac{l}{k})$). \square

Remark 7.9. We could also prove the backward implication of the above theorem using Lemma 6.22 and the equivalence of conditions (i) and (iii) in Theorem 6.23; we now sketch the argument. Let $L_1 : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $L_2 : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be proper symmetric generating functionals having the property described in Lemma 6.22. Denote the convolution semigroups of states associated with L_1 and L_2 via Lemma 6.14 respectively by $(\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and let $L : \text{Pol}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1 \star \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the generator of the convolution semigroup of states $(\phi_t \diamond \omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Then using the arguments similar to these in the proof of Theorem 7.8 and exploiting Theorem 7.7 one can show that L is a proper symmetric generating functional.

Remark 7.10. Note that recently A. Freslon showed in [Fre] that *weak amenability* is preserved under taking free products of discrete quantum groups, extending thus a result of E. Ricard and Q. Xu for discrete groups ([RXu]).

Example 7.11. *Theorem 7.8 offers a method of constructing non-amenable, non-unimodular discrete quantum groups with the Haagerup property: it suffices to take the free product of a non-amenable discrete quantum group with the Haagerup property (such as for example \widehat{U}_N^+ for $N \geq 2$, see [Br1]) and a non-unimodular amenable discrete quantum group (such as for example $\widehat{SU}_q(2)$ for $q \in (0, 1)$).*

For the rest of the section we return to the framework of discrete unimodular quantum groups.

Remark 7.12. As mentioned in the introduction to this section, the more difficult implication of Theorem 7.8 for discrete *unimodular* quantum groups can be proved via exploiting condition

(iv) of Theorem 6.23. Indeed, assume that $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ are discrete unimodular quantum groups with the Haagerup property. Then both finite von Neumann algebras $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1)$ and $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2)$ have the Haagerup approximation property. By Theorem 2.3 of [Jo2] so does the finite von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1) \star L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2) \approx L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_1 \star \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_2)$.

Using an extension of the method described in the above remark and the results of Boca from [Bo93] we can prove the following results: the first related to the free products of unimodular discrete quantum groups with amalgamation over a finite quantum subgroup ([Ve1]) and the second to HNN extensions of unimodular discrete quantum groups ([Fi2]). Note that both these constructions leave the class of discrete and unimodular quantum groups invariant, as can be deduced from the explicit formulas for the Haar states of the dual quantum groups, which imply that these Haar states remain tracial (we refer for the details to [Ve1] and [Fi2]). The corresponding results for classical groups can be found for example in [CCJGV].

Proposition 7.13. *If \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 are unimodular discrete quantum groups with a common finite closed quantum subgroup \mathbb{H} , then the amalgamated free product $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_1 \star_{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{G}_2$ (see [Ve1]) has the Haagerup property if and only if both \mathbb{G}_1 and \mathbb{G}_2 have the Haagerup property.*

Proof. By the results of [Ve1], the dual von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is $M = M_1 \star_B M_2$ where $M_i = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $B = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$. Since B is finite dimensional, it follows from the results of [Bo93] that M has the (von Neumann algebraic) Haagerup approximation property if and only if both M_1 and M_2 have the Haagerup approximation property. \square

Proposition 7.14. *If \mathbb{G} is a discrete quantum group with a finite closed quantum subgroup \mathbb{H} , so that $\theta : C(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \rightarrow C(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is an injective unital \ast -homomorphism which intertwines the comultiplications, then the HNN extension $\text{HNN}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}, \theta)$ (see [Fi2]) has the Haagerup property if and only if \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property.*

Proof. From the computation of the Haar state in [Fi2] we know that the dual von Neumann algebra of the HNN extension is equal to $P = \text{HNN}(M, N, \theta)$ where $M = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $N = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$ and θ is the induced unital normal \ast -homomorphism at the von Neumann algebraic level (see [FiV] for the HNN construction of von Neumann algebras). By [FiV, Remark 4.6] P is isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra of the form $p(M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M) \star_{N \oplus N} (M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes N)p$ which has the Haagerup approximation property whenever M has the Haagerup approximation property (by the results of [Bo93] and [Jo2], Theorem 2.3 (i)). The other implication follows from Proposition 5.7, since \mathbb{G} is a closed quantum subgroup of the HNN extension in question. \square

REFERENCES

- [AkW] C. Akemann and M. Walter, Unbounded negative definite functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **33** (1981), no. 4, 862-871.
- [Avi] D. Avitzour, Free products of C^\ast -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **271** (1982), 423-435.
- [BaS] T. Banica and A. Skalski, Two-parameter families of quantum symmetry groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **260** (2011), no. 11, 3252-3282.
- [BCT] E. Bedos, R. Conti and L. Tuset, On amenability and co-amenability of algebraic quantum groups and their corepresentations, *Canad. J. Math.* **57** (2005), no. 1, 17-60.
- [BMT] E. Bedos, G.J. Murphy and L. Tuset, Amenability and coamenability of algebraic quantum groups, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **31** (2002), no. 10, 577-601.

- [BeT] E. Bedos and L. Tuset, Amenability and co-amenability for locally compact quantum groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2003), no. 8, 865–884.
- [BHV] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, “Kazhdan’s property (T)” New Mathematical Monographs, 11. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- [BeR] V. Bergelson and J. Rosenblatt, Mixing actions of groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **32** (1988), no. 1, 65–80.
- [Bic] J. Bichon, Free wreath product by the quantum permutation group, *Alg. and Rep. Theory* **7** (2004), no. 4, 343–362.
- [BLS] M. Bożejko, M. Leinert and R. Speicher, Convolution and limit theorems for conditionally free random variables, *Pacific J. Math.* **175** (1996), no. 2, 357–388.
- [Bo93] F. Boca, On the method of constructing irreducible finite index sub factors of Popa, *Pacific J. Math.* **161** (1993), 201–231.
- [BoS] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, ψ -independent and symmetrized white noises, in Quantum probability & related topics, pp.219–236, QP-PQ, VI, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, 1991.
- [Br₁] M. Brannan, Approximation properties for free orthogonal and free unitary quantum groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **672** (2012), 223–251.
- [Br₂] M. Brannan, Reduced operator algebras of trace-preserving quantum automorphism groups, *preprint*, available at arXiv:1202.5020.
- [BrO] N. Brown and N. Ozawa, “ C^* -Algebras and finite dimensional approximations”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [CCJGV] P.A. Cherix, M. Cowling, P. Jolissaint, P. Julg and A. Valette, “Groups with the Haagerup property. Gromov’s a-T-menability”, Progress in Mathematics, 197, Basel, 2001.
- [Cho] M. Choda, Group factors of the Haagerup type, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **59** (1983) 174–177.
- [Da₁] M. Daws, Completely positive multipliers of quantum groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **23** (2012), no. 12, 1250132, 23 pp.
- [Da₂] M. Daws, Multipliers, self-induced and dual Banach algebras, *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **470** (2010), 62 pp.
- [DaS] M. Daws and P. Salmi, Completely positive definite functions and Bochner’s theorem for locally compact quantum groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **264** (2013), no. 7, 1525–1546.
- [DK] M. Dijkhuizen and T. Koornwinder, CQG algebras: a direct algebraic approach to compact quantum groups, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **32** (1994), 315–330.
- [DKSS] M. Daws, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski and P. Sołtan, Closed quantum subgroups of locally compact quantum groups, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), 3473–3501.
- [Dix] J. Dixmier, “ C^* -algebras”. Translated from the French by Francis Jellet. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15. (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977).
- [Don] Z. Dong, Haagerup property for C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377** (2011), no. 2, 631–644.
- [Fel] J. M. G. Fell, The dual spaces of C^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1965), 227–250.
- [Fi₁] P. Fima, Kazhdan’s property T for discrete quantum groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **21** (2010), no. 1, 47–65.
- [Fi₂] P. Fima, K-amenability of HNN extensions of amenable discrete quantum groups, *preprint* available at arXiv:1204.3477.
- [FiV] P. Fima and S. Vaes, HNN extensions and unique group measure space decomposition of II_1 factors, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 1497–1524.
- [FiVa] P. Fima and L. Vainerman, Twisting and Rieffel’s deformation of locally compact quantum groups. Deformation of the Haar measure, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286** (2009), no. 3, 1011–1050.
- [Fre] A. Freslon, A note on weak amenability for reduced free products of discrete quantum groups, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **350** (2012), 403–406 (an expanded version available at arXiv:1111.5168).
- [Gla] E. Glasner, “Ergodic theory via joinings.” Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 101. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Haa] U. Haagerup, An example of a nonnuclear C^* -algebra, which has the metric approximation property, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1978/79), no. 3, 279–293.
- [Hal] P.R. Halmos, “Lectures on ergodic theory,” Chelsea Publishing Co., New York 1960.
- [HK] N. Higson and G. Kasparov, E -theory and KK -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space, *Invent. Math.* **144** (2001), 23–74.

- [Hjo] G. Hjorth, Mixing actions of groups with the Haagerup approximation property, *Fund. Math.* **203** (2009), no. 1, 47-56.
- [Jo₁] P. Jolissaint, Borel cocycles, approximation properties and relative property T, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **20** (2000), no. 2, 483-499.
- [Jo₂] P. Jolissaint, Haagerup approximation property for finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **48** (2002), 549-571.
- [JNR] M. Junge, M. Neufang and Z.-J. Ruan, A representation theorem for locally compact quantum groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **20** (2009), 377-400.
- [Kec] A. Kechris, "Global aspects of ergodic group actions," Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 160. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [KeP] D. Kerr and M. Pichot, Asymptotic Abelianness, weak mixing, and property T, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **623** (2008), 213-235.
- [Ku₁] J. Kustermans, Locally compact quantum groups in the universal setting, *Internat. J. Math.* **12** (2001), 289-338.
- [Ku₂] J. Kustermans, Locally compact quantum groups, in 'Quantum Independent Increment Processes, Vol. I: From Classical Probability to Quantum Stochastics,' Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1865**, Springer, Heidelberg 2005.
- [Ku₃] J. Kustermans, One-parameter representations on C^* -algebras, *preprint*, available at arXiv:funct-an/9707009.
- [KV₁] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes, Locally compact quantum groups *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **33** (2000), no. 9, 837-934.
- [KV₂] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes, Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting, *Math. Scand.* **92** (2003) 68-92.
- [Kye] D. Kyed, A cohomological description of property (T) for quantum groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), no. 6, 1469-1493.
- [KSo] D. Kyed and P. Sołtan, Property (T) and exotic quantum group norms, *J. Noncomm. Geometry* **6** (2012), no. 4, 773-800.
- [Lan] E.C. Lance, "Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists." London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Lem] F. Lemeux, Haagerup property for quantum reflection groups, *preprint* available at arXiv:1303.2151 [math.OA]
- [LS₁] J.M. Lindsay and A.G. Skalski, Quantum stochastic convolution cocycles. I. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41** (2005), no. 3, 581-604.
- [LS₂] J.M. Lindsay and A.G. Skalski, Quantum stochastic convolution cocycles II, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **280** (2008), no. 3, 575-610.
- [LS₃] J.M. Lindsay and A.G. Skalski, Convolution semigroups of states, *Math. Z.* **267** (2011), 325-339.
- [MNW] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami and S.L. Woronowicz, A C^* -algebraic framework for the quantum groups, *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2003), 903-1001.
- [MRW] R. Meyer, S. Roy and S.L. Woronowicz, Homomorphisms of quantum groups, *Münster J. Math.* **5** (2012), 1-24.
- [Po₁] S. Popa, On a class of type II₁ factors with Betti numbers invariants, *Annals of Math.* **163** (2006), 809-899.
- [Po₂] S. Popa, Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras, in 'Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid 2006)', Volume I, EMS Publishing House, Zurich (2006/2007), 445-479.
- [PuS] W. Pusz and P.M. Sołtan, On some low dimensional quantum groups, *preprint*, available at arXiv:0712.3369.
- [RW] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, "Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -algebras", Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 60, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [RXu] E. Ricard and Q. Xu, Khintchine type inequalities for reduced free products and applications, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **599** (2006), 27-59.
- [SaS] P. Salmi and A. Skalski, Idempotent states on locally compact quantum groups, *Quart. J. Math.* **63** (2012), no. 4, 1009-1032.
- [Sch] M. Schürmann, "White Noise on Bialgebras," Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1544**, Springer, Heidelberg 1993.

- [SoW] P. Sołtan and S.L. Woronowicz, From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. II, *J. Funct. Anal.* **252** (2007), 42–67.
- [Suz] P. Suzuki, Haagerup property for C^* -algebras and rigidity of C^* -algebras with property (T), *preprint*, available at arXiv:1212.5030.
- [Tak] M. Takesaki, “Theory of operator algebras. II.” Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [Tim] T. Timmermann, “An invitation to quantum groups and duality,” European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2008.
- [To1] R. Tomatsu, Amenable discrete quantum groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **58** (2006), no. 4, 949–964.
- [To2] R. Tomatsu, A characterization of right coideals of quotient type and its application to classification of Poisson boundaries, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **275** (2007), no. 1, 271–296.
- [Vae] S. Vaes, A new approach to induction and imprimitivity results, *J. Funct. Anal.* **229** (2005), 317–374.
- [Ve1] R. Vergnioux, K -amenability for amalgamated free products of amenable discrete quantum groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **212** (2004), 206–221.
- [Ve2] R. Vergnioux, Paths in quantum Cayley trees and L^2 -cohomology, *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012), 2686–2711.
- [VDN] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema and A. Nica, “Free random variables. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups,” CRM Monograph Series, 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1992.
- [Voi] C. Voigt, The Baum-Connes conjecture for free orthogonal quantum groups, *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011), no. 5, 1873–1913.
- [Wan] S. Wang, Free products of compact quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995), 671–692.
- [Wo1] S.L. Woronowicz, Duality in the C^* -algebra theory, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983)*, pp. 1347–1356.
- [Wo2] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact quantum groups, in *Symétries Quantiques, Les Houches, Session LXIV, 1995*, pp. 845–884.
- [Wo3] S.L. Woronowicz, Quantum $E(2)$ -group and its Pontryagin dual, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **23** (1991), 251–263.
- [Wo4] S.L. Woronowicz, Quantum $az + b$ group on complex plane, *Internat. J. Math.* **12** (2001), no. 4, 461–503.
- [WoZ] S.L. Woronowicz and S. Zakrzewski, Quantum ‘ $ax + b$ ’ group, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002), no. 7–8, 797–828.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: matt.daws@cantab.net

UNIVERSITÉ DENIS-DIDEROT - PARIS 7, INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, 175, RUE DU CHEVALERET,
 75 013 PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: pfima@math.jussieu.fr

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00–956
 WARSZAWA, POLAND
E-mail address: a.skalski@impan.pl

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNIVERSITY GARDENS, GLASGOW,
 G12 8QW, SCOTLAND
E-mail address: stuart.white@glasgow.ac.uk