

## Abstracts

### Wave turbulence: the conjecture, approaches and rigorous results

SERGEI B. KUKSIN

Consider the following nonlinear PDE on the torus  $\mathbb{T}_L^d = \mathbb{R}^d / (L\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $L \geq 1$ :

$$(1) \quad \dot{u} + i\Delta u + \varepsilon \rho i |u|^2 u = -\nu(-\Delta u + 1)^p u + \sqrt{\nu} \langle \text{random force} \rangle.$$

Here  $u = u(t, x)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ ) is an unknown complex function, the parameters  $\varepsilon, \nu, \rho$  satisfy  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ ,  $\rho \geq 1$ , and  $p$  is an integer, “not too small in terms of  $d$ ” (e.g. if  $d \leq 3$ , then it suffices to assume that  $p \geq 1$ ). The random force is smooth in  $x$  and is a white noise in  $t$ ; it is specified below. We regard (1) as a dynamical system in a suitable function space of complex functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . This is a random dynamical system if  $\nu > 0$ .

The wave turbulence (WT) studies solutions of this equation for large  $t$  when  $\varepsilon, \nu \ll 1$  and  $L \gg 1$  ( $\rho$  and the random force for a while are assumed to be constant). Classically  $\nu = 0$ , then (1) becomes the defocusing NLS equation; see [1, 4]. The stochastic model  $(1)_{\nu > 0}$  was suggested in [3], also see [2] and [4]. Relation between the small parameters  $\varepsilon$  and  $\nu$  is crucial. If  $\nu > 0$  is “much smaller than  $\varepsilon$ ”, then the stochastic model becomes similar to the deterministic case, while if  $\nu$  is “much bigger than  $\varepsilon$ ”, then (1) becomes similar to the non-interesting linear stochastic system  $(1)_{\varepsilon=0}$ . Natural relation between  $\nu$  and  $\varepsilon$  is

$$(2) \quad \nu = \varepsilon^2$$

(cf. the references above and [5, 6]). We assume it everywhere below when talking about eq. (1) with positive  $\nu$ .

In the classical setting (when  $\nu = 0$ ) the WT is concerned with the behaviour of solutions for (1) with “typical initial data” when

$$(3) \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad L \rightarrow \infty$$

(the relation between the three parameters is unclear and has to be specified). Usually people, working in WT, decompose solutions  $u$  to Fourier series

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d} v_k(t) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_L^d = L^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

One of their prime interests is the behaviour under the limit (3) of the averaged actions

$$n_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle |v_k|^2(t) \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d,$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  signifies a suitable averaging. When  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , the function  $n_k(t) = n_k^L(t)$  on the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}_L^d$  asymptotically becomes a function  $n_k^0(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The main conjecture of the WT, made in 1960’s (and going back to an earlier work of R. Peierls on the heat conduction in crystals) says that, under a suitable scaling

of time  $\tau = \varepsilon^{a_1} t$  and of the constant  $\rho = L^{a_2}$ , where  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ , the limit  $n_k^0(\tau)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , exists and satisfies the *wave kinetic equation*

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} n_k(\tau) = \text{Const} \int_{\Gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{3d}} n_{k_1} n_{k_2} n_{k_3} n_k \left( \frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_{k_3}} - \frac{1}{n_{k_1}} - \frac{1}{n_{k_2}} \right) dk_1 dk_2 dk_3, \\ \Gamma_k = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3) : k_1 + k_2 = k_3 + k, |k_1|^2 + |k_2|^2 = |k_3|^2 + |k|^2\}.$$

The celebrated Zakharov ansatz (see [1, 4]) applies to this equation and implies that it has autonomous solutions of the form  $n_k(\tau) = |k|^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma < 0$ , intensively discussed in the physical literature as the *Kolmogorov-Zakharov energy spectra*.

Progress in the rigorous study of the deterministic equation (1) $_{\nu=0}$  was achieved in two very different works [7, 8], but it seems that both approaches do not allow to derive rigorously the kinetic equation (4).

In our talk we report recent progress in deriving a wave kinetic equation for the limiting behaviour of averaged actions for solutions of the stochastic equation (1), (2). Let us pass in this equation to the slow time  $\tau = \nu t = \varepsilon^2 t$  and denote  $\lambda_k = (|k|^2 + 1)^p$ . Then the equation, written in terms of the Fourier coefficients  $v_k$ , reads

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} v_k(\tau) + i|k|^2 \nu^{-1} v_k = -i\rho \sum_{k_1+k_2=k_3+k} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} \bar{v}_{k_3} - \lambda_k v_k + b_k \frac{d}{d\tau} \beta_k(\tau).$$

Here  $\{\beta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d\}$  are independent standard complex Wiener processes and the numbers  $b_k$  are real non-zero, fast converging to zero when  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$  (the random force in eq. (5) specifies that in (1)). This equation is well posed and mixing. The latter means that in a suitable function space of complex sequences  $\{v_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d\}$  there exists a unique measure  $\mu_{\nu,L}$ , called a *stationary measure* for the equation, such that the law  $\mathcal{D}(v(\tau))$  of any solution  $v(\tau)$  for (5) weakly converges to  $\mu_{\nu,L}$  when  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ; see in [5]. So if  $t$  is much bigger than  $L$  and  $\nu^{-1}$ , then the problem of studying the behaviour of solutions for (5) under the limit (3) may be recast as the problem of studying the measure  $\mu_{\nu,L}$  when  $L \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

Consider the following  $\nu$ -independent *effective equation* for (5):

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} a_k = -i\rho \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k_3+k \\ |k_1|^2+|k_2|^2=|k_3|^2+|k|^2}} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \bar{a}_{k_3} - \lambda_k a_k + b_k \frac{d}{d\tau} \beta_k(\tau).$$

This equation also is well posed and mixing, see [5]. Denote by  $m_L$  its unique stationary measure. It is proved in [5] that eq. (6) comprises asymptotical properties of solutions for (5) as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Namely, that

- i)  $\mu_{\nu,L} \rightarrow m_L$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ ;
- ii) if  $v^{\nu,L}(\tau)$  is a solution for (5) with some  $\nu$ -independent initial data at  $\tau = 0$  and  $a^L(\tau)$  is a solution for (6) with the same initial data, then

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{1}{2}|v_k^{\nu,L}(\tau)|^2\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{1}{2}|a_k^L(\tau)|^2\right) \quad \text{as } \nu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \tau \leq T,$$

for each fixed  $T > 0$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d$ .

Denote  $n_k^L(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|a_k^L(\tau)|^2$  and choose in (6)

$$(7) \quad \rho = L^{1/2} \rho_0, \quad b_k = L^{-d/2} b_k^0.$$

Using certain heuristic tools from the arsenal of WT, in [6] we proved, on the physical level of rigour, that under the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  the function  $\mathbb{Z}_L^d \ni k \mapsto n_k^L(\tau)$  weakly converges to a function  $\mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto n_k(\tau)$ , which is a solution of the damped/driven wave kinetic equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} n_k(\tau) = -2\lambda_k n_k + (b_k^0)^2 + \int_{\Gamma_k} \frac{f_k(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k_1} + \lambda_{k_2} + \lambda_{k_3}} n_{k_1} n_{k_2} n_{k_3} n_k \\ \times \left( \frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_{k_3}} - \frac{1}{n_{k_1}} - \frac{1}{n_{k_2}} \right) dk_1 dk_2 dk_3.$$

Here the surface  $\Gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{3d}$  is the same as in (4), and the function  $f_k$  is constructed in terms of  $\Gamma_k$ . It is positive, smooth outside the origin, and such that  $C^{-1} \leq f \leq C$  for all  $k, k_1, k_2, k_3$  and a suitable constant  $C$ . Moreover, the Zakharov ansatz applies to (8) under a certain natural limit and allows to construct its homogeneous solutions of the Kolmogorov-Zakharov form. Based on this heuristics result and the rigorous assertions i), ii) above, we conjectured in [6] that, under the double limit  $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0}$ , the function  $\mathbb{Z}_L^d \ni k \mapsto n_k^{\nu, L}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|v_k^{\nu, L}(\tau)|^2$  weakly converges to a function  $n_k^0(\tau)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , which is a solution of eq. (8). The main goal of this talk is to announce the following result, which is a modification of that conjecture:

**Theorem** (SK, a work under preparation). Let  $\mathbb{R}^d \ni k \mapsto v_k^0$  be a smooth function with compact support, let  $v_k^{\nu, L}(\tau)$  be a solution of (5), (7) such that  $v_k^{\nu, L}(0) = v_k^0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d$ , and let  $n_k^{\nu, L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|v_k^{\nu, L}|^2$ . Let  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $L \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that  $\nu L \rightarrow 0$  sufficiently fast. Then there exists  $\tau_0 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0, L \rightarrow \infty} n_k^{\nu, L}(\tau) = n_k^0(\tau) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_0,$$

where  $n_k^0(\tau)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , is a solution for (8), and the limit holds with respect to a suitable weak convergence of functions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. Zakharov, V. L'vov, G. Falkovich, *Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence*, Springer 1992.
- [2] J. Cardy, G. Falkovich, K. Gawedzki, *Non-equilibrium Statistical Mechanics and Turbulence*, CUP 2008.
- [3] V. Zakharov, V. L'vov, *Statistical description of nonlinear wave fields*, Radiophys. Quan. Electronics **18** (1975), 1084-1097.
- [4] S. Nazarenko, *Wave Turbulence*, Springer 2011.
- [5] S. Kuksin and A. Maiocchi, *Resonant averaging for weakly nonlinear stochastic Schrödinger equations*, preprint (2014).
- [6] S. Kuksin, A. Maiocchi, *Derivation of a wave kinetic equation from the resonant-averaged stochastic NLS equation*, Physica D **309** (2015), 65-70.
- [7] J. Lukkarinen, H. Spohn, *Weakly nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random initial data*, Invent. Math. **183** (2011), 79-188.
- [8] E. Faou, P. Germain, Z. Hani, *The weakly nonlinear large box limit of the 2D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, preprint (2013).