

## Alladi Ramakrishnan Centenary



December 16-18, 2023

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Chennai

# Circulant Determinants and Clifford Algebras

*Michel Waldschmidt*

Professeur Émérite, Sorbonne Université,  
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Paris

<http://www.imj-prg.fr/~michel.waldschmidt/>

# Abstract

In the course of studying a higher dimensional generalization of the Pythagorean equation and its connections to the Lorentz transformation, Alladi Ramakrishnan made a conjecture on a determinant of a certain circulant matrix and published it in his paper Pythagoras to Lorentz via Fermat. In the first part of this talk we give a proof of this conjecture.

In the second part of this talk, we give an instance where Clifford algebra are used in transcendental number theory.

# Pythagorean equation

$$a^2 - b^2 = c^2$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} = a^2 - b^2.$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ c & a & b \\ b & c & a \end{pmatrix} = a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3abc$$

Cubic analogue of the Lorentz transformation:

$$a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3abc = d^3.$$

Generalization to a  $n \times n$  *circulant* determinant.

Alladi Ramakrishnan, *Pythagoras to Lorentz via Fermat – spanning the interval with light and delight*, in *Special Relativity*, East–West Books, Madras (2003), 90–97.

# Letter to Alladi Ramakrishnan, June 8, 2000

I am pleased to tell you that the conjectures you stated in your paper “Pythagoras to Lorentz” are true.

More precisely, for  $k$  a positive integer, denote by  $C_k(z_1, \dots, z_k)$  the determinant of the circulant matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_{k-1} & z_k \\ z_k & z_1 & \cdots & z_{k-2} & z_{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_2 & z_3 & \cdots & z_k & z_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and by  $P_k(z)$  the polynomial

$$C_k(z, z-1, \dots, z-k+1).$$

Then

$$P_k(z) = k^{k-1} \left( z - \frac{k-1}{2} \right).$$

# Letter to Alladi Ramakrishnan, June 8, 2000

In particular if  $k = 2m + 1$  is odd then

$$P_{2m+1}(m+n) = (2m+1)^{2m}n.$$

Further, for  $k = 2m$  even,

$$C_{2m}(n+m, n+m-1, \dots, n+1, n-1, \dots, n-m) = c(m)n,$$

where  $c(m)$  depends only on  $m$ .

M. Waldschmidt, Proof of a Conjecture of Alladi Ramakrishnan on Circulants.  
In: K. Alladi, J.H. Klauer, & C.R. Rao, The legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the mathematical sciences, Springer New-York (2010), 329–334.

## Examples (1)

$$\begin{aligned}P_2(z) &= C_2(z, z-1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} z & z-1 \\ z-1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z^2 - (z-1)^2 = 2z - 1 = 2 \left( z - \frac{1}{2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P_3(z) &= C_3(z, z-1, z-2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} z & z-1 & z-2 \\ z-2 & z & z-1 \\ z-1 & z-2 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z^3 + (z-1)^3 + (z-2)^3 - 3z(z-1)(z-2) \\ &= 9z - 9 = 3^2(z-1).\end{aligned}$$

## Examples (2)

$$\begin{aligned}C_2(n+1, n-1) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} n+1 & n-1 \\ n-1 & n+1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (n+1)^2 - (n-1)^2 = 4n.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}C_4(n+2, n+1, n-1, n-2) &= \\ &\det \begin{pmatrix} n+2 & n+1 & n-1 & n-2 \\ n+1 & n-1 & n-2 & n+2 \\ n-1 & n-2 & n+2 & n+1 \\ n-2 & n+2 & n+1 & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 144n.\end{aligned}$$

# Value of $c(m)$

One can prove that

$$C_{2m}(n+m, n+m-1, \dots, n+1, n-1, \dots, n-m) = c(m)n$$

with  $c(m) = 2^{2m-1}m^{m-1}(m+1)^m$ .

$$c(1) = 2^1 1^0 2^1 = 2^2 = 4$$

$$c(2) = 2^3 2^1 3^2 = 2^4 3^2 = 144$$

$$c(3) = 2^5 3^2 4^3 = 2^{11} 3^2 = 18\,432$$

$$c(4) = 2^7 4^3 5^4 = 2^{13} 5^4 = 5\,120\,000$$

$$c(5) = 2^9 5^4 6^5 = 2^{14} 3^5 5^4 = 2\,488\,320\,000$$

$$c(6) = 2^{11} 6^5 7^6 = 2^{16} 3^5 7^6 = 1\,873\,589\,501\,952$$

$$c(7) = 2^{13} 7^6 8^7 = 2^{34} 7^6 = 2\,021\,194\,429\,628\,416$$

$$c(8) = 2^{15} 8^7 9^8 = 2^{36} 3^{16} = 2\,958\,148\,142\,320\,582\,656$$



## Proof (1)

The first remark is that if  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is a  $n \times n$  square matrix, the polynomial

$$P(z) = \det(z + a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

can be written

$$P(z) = cz + \det(A)$$

with a constant  $c$ . This is easily checked by replacing each row but the first one by its difference with the first one, and then expanding with minors on the first row.

Next for  $k = 2m$  consider the circulant whose determinant is

$$C_{2m}(m, m-1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -m+1, -m).$$

The sum of all rows (as well as the sum of all columns) is 0. Hence the determinant is 0.

## Proof (2)

These two facts imply

$$C_{2m}(n+m, n+m-1, \dots, n+1, n-1, \dots, n-m) = c(m)n.$$

They also imply

$$P_k(z) = c_k \left( z - \frac{k-1}{2} \right),$$

with some constant  $c_k$  depending only on  $k$ , but we are going to reprove this result (and compute  $c_k$ ) by another way.

It is well know (and easy to prove) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(z_1, \dots, z_k) &= \prod_{\zeta} (z_1 + \zeta z_2 + \dots + \zeta^{k-1} z_k) \\ &= \prod_{\zeta} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \zeta^i z_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta$  ranges over the  $k$ -th roots of unity.

## Proof (3)

Hence

$$P_k(z) = \prod_{\zeta} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \zeta^i (z - i).$$

Now

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \zeta^i = \begin{cases} k & \text{for } \zeta = 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \zeta \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and we derive

$$P_k(z) = c_k \left( z + \frac{k-1}{2} \right)$$

with

$$c_k = k \prod_{\zeta \neq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-i) \zeta^i = (-1)^{k-1} k \prod_{\zeta \neq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i \zeta^i.$$

## Proof (4)

The sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i\zeta^i = \zeta + 2\zeta^2 + \cdots + (k-1)\zeta^{k-1}$$

is the value at the point  $\zeta$  of  $zf'(z)$ , where  $f'$  is the derivative of the polynomial

$$f(z) = 1 + z + \cdots + z^{k-1} = \frac{z^k - 1}{z - 1}.$$

Since

$$f'(z) = \frac{kz^{k-1}}{z-1} - \frac{z^k - 1}{(z-1)^2},$$

for  $\zeta$  satisfying  $\zeta^k = 1$  and  $\zeta \neq 1$  we have

$$\zeta f'(\zeta) = \frac{k}{\zeta - 1}.$$

## Proof (5)

Now

$$\prod_{\zeta \neq 1} (\zeta - 1)$$

is nothing else than the resultant of the two polynomials  $z - 1$  and  $f(z)$ , hence

$$\prod_{\zeta \neq 1} (\zeta - 1) = (-1)^{k-1} f(1) = (-1)^{k-1} k.$$

Therefore

$$\prod_{\zeta \neq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i \zeta^i = \prod_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{k}{\zeta - 1} = \frac{k^{k-1}}{(-1)^{k-1} f(1)} = (-1)^{k-1} k^{k-2}$$

and

$$c_k = k^{k-1}.$$

This completes the proof.

## A further reference



Shigeru Kanemitsu

With Shigeru Kanemitsu, *Matrices of finite abelian groups, Finite Fourier Transform and codes*. 17 p. “Arithmetic in Shangrila”—Proc. the 6th China-Japan Sem. Number Theory held in Shanghai Jiao Tong University, August 15-17, 2011, ed. S. Kanemitsu, H.-Z. Li, and J.-Y. Liu. World Scientific Publishing Co, Series on Number Theory and its application, vol. **8** (2013), 90-106. [arXiv:1301.1248 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.1248).

# Transcendental numbers

A complex number  $\alpha$  is *algebraic* if there exists a nonzero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that  $P(\alpha) = 0$ .

A complex number which is not algebraic is *transcendental*.

- Examples of algebraic numbers:

rational numbers,  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $e^{2i\pi p/q}$ .

- Examples of transcendental numbers:

$e$ ,  $\pi$ , almost all numbers (for Lebesgue measure).

- Complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are *algebraically dependent* if there exists a nonzero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ .

Otherwise  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are *algebraically independent*.

# $e$ and $\pi$



Charles Hermite  
1822 - 1901



Ferdinand von Lindemann  
1852 - 1939

$e$  is transcendental

$\pi$  is transcendental

If  $a + b$  and  $ab$  are algebraic, then  $a$  and  $b$  are algebraic.

Hence one at least of the two numbers  $e + \pi$ ,  $e\pi$  is transcendental.

*Conjecture.* Both numbers are transcendental.

*Stronger conjecture:*  $e$  and  $\pi$  are algebraically independent.



# Result MW & Dale Brownawell

(1972, simultaneously and independently)



W.D. Brownawell

One at least of the two following statements is true

- $e$  and  $\pi$  are algebraically independent
- $e^{\pi^2}$  is a transcendental number.

*Conjecture.* Both statements are true.

*Stronger conjecture:*  $e$ ,  $\pi$  and  $e^{\pi^2}$  are algebraically independent.

# Algebraic independence of logarithms

*Conjecture.* If  $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent logarithms of algebraic numbers, then they are algebraically independent.

*Remarks.*

- It is not yet proved that there exist two algebraically independent logarithms of algebraic numbers.
- It is not yet proved that there is no nontrivial quadratic relation among logarithms of algebraic numbers.

# Joint work with Damien Roy (1997)



Damien Roy

**Theorem.** *Let  $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_m$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent logarithms of algebraic numbers.*

*One at least of the two following statements is true:*

- *At least two of the numbers  $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_m$  are algebraically independent.*
- *Let  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 (a quadratic form). Then*

$$Q(\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_m) \neq 0.$$



William Kingdon Clifford

1845 – 1879

## Summary

William Clifford was an English mathematician who studied non-euclidean geometry arguing that energy and matter are simply different types of curvature of space. He introduced what is now called a Clifford algebra which generalises Grassmann's exterior algebra.

# Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{C}$

Let  $q : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a quadratic form. The *Clifford algebra attached to  $q$*  is a simple algebra  $A$  of dimension  $2^m$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , which contains  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as a vector subspace, which is spanned by  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$  algebra and satisfies

$$v^2 = q(v) \cdot 1$$

for all  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ .

If  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the products  $v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_r}$  with  $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$  are a basis of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  (the empty product is 1).

# Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$

Assume  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ . Let  $A$  be the Clifford algebra attached to  $q : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A_0$  the sub- $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of  $A$  spanned by  $\mathbb{Q}^m$  and  $q_0 : \mathbb{Q}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  the restriction of  $q$ . Hence  $A_0$  is the *Clifford algebra attached to  $q_0$* , it has dimension  $2^m$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and any basis of  $A_0$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a basis of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Fix such a basis. For  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$  let  $M_v$  be the matrix of the linear map  $L_v : A \rightarrow A$  given by the multiplication by  $v$ . Since  $v^2 = q(v) \cdot 1$ , we have

$$M_v^2 = q(v) \cdot I, \quad \text{hence} \quad \det M_v = \pm q(v)^{2^{m-1}}.$$

If  $q(v) \neq 0$  then  $M_v$  is a regular matrix. If  $q(v) = 0$  then  $M_v$  has rank  $\leq 2^{m-1}$ .

Define  $\theta : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2^m \times 2^m}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\theta(v) = M_v$ .

# Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$

Let  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  be a nonzero quadratic form. Let  $V = Z(q)$  be the set of zeros of  $q$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Then there is an injective linear map defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\theta : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \text{Mat}_{2^m \times 2^m}(\mathbb{C})$$

such that, for all  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , the rank of  $\theta(v)$  is a multiple of  $2^{m-1}$  and such that

$$V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid \det \theta(v) = 0\}.$$

D. Roy and M. W. *Approximation diophantienne et indépendance algébrique de logarithmes*. Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure Sér. 4, **30** N°6 (1997), p. 753-796

MR 98f:11077      Zbl 0895.11030

The European Digital Mathematics Library (EUDML) 82449.

## Alladi Ramakrishnan Centenary



December 16-18, 2023

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Chennai

# Circulant Determinants and Clifford Algebras

*Michel Waldschmidt*

Professeur Émérite, Sorbonne Université,  
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Paris

<http://www.imj-prg.fr/~michel.waldschmidt/>