

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

SASTRA

Updated: August 26, 2009

Auxiliary functions in transcendental number theory.

Michel Waldschmidt

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Explicit functions | 3 |
| 1.1 | Liouville | 3 |
| 1.2 | Hermite | 5 |
| 1.3 | Padé approximation | 6 |
| 1.4 | Hypergeometric methods | 7 |
| 2 | Interpolation methods | 8 |
| 2.1 | Weierstraß question | 8 |
| 2.2 | Integer-valued entire functions | 9 |
| 2.3 | Transcendence of e^π | 11 |
| 2.4 | Lagrange interpolation | 12 |
| 3 | Auxiliary functions arising from the Dirichlet's box principle | 13 |
| 3.1 | Thue–Siegel lemma | 13 |
| 3.1.1 | The origin of the Thue–Siegel Lemma | 14 |
| 3.1.2 | Siegel, Gel'fond, Schneider | 15 |
| 3.1.3 | Schneider–Lang Criterion | 18 |
| 3.1.4 | Higher dimension: several variables | 20 |
| 3.1.5 | The six exponentials Theorem | 22 |
| 3.1.6 | Modular functions | 24 |
| 3.2 | Universal auxiliary functions | 24 |
| 3.2.1 | A general existence theorem | 24 |
| 3.2.2 | Duality | 26 |
| 3.3 | Mahler's Method | 27 |
| 4 | Interpolation determinants | 28 |
| 4.1 | Lehmer's Problem | 28 |
| 4.2 | Laurent's interpolation determinants | 30 |
| 5 | Bost slope inequalities, Arakelov's Theory | 31 |

Acknowledgement

This survey is based on lectures given for the first time at the International Conference on Number Theory, Mathematical Physics, and Special Functions, which was organised at Kumbakonam (Tamil Nadu, India) by Krishna Alladi at the Shanmugha Arts, Science, Technology, Research Academy (SASTRA) in December 2007. Further lectures on this topic were given by the author at the Arizona Winter School AWS 2008 (Tucson, Arizona, USA), on Special Functions and Transcendence, organized in March 2008 by Matt Papanikolas, David Savitt and Dinesh Thakur. Furthermore, this topic was part of a course given by the author at the Fields Institute Summer School in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Approximation at the University of Ottawa (Ontario, Canada), organized in July 2008 by Nathan Ng and Damien Roy.

The author wishes to thank Krishna Alladi who suggested that this paper be published in the collection of SASTRA conferences he is editing. The author is also grateful to Claude Levesque and Paul Voutier for a number of clever remarks on a preliminary version of this survey.

Abstract

We discuss the role of auxiliary functions in the development of transcendental number theory.

Initially, auxiliary functions were completely explicit (§ 1). The earliest transcendence proof is due to Liouville (§ 1.1), who produced the first explicit examples of transcendental numbers at a time where their existence was not yet known; in his proof, the auxiliary function is just a polynomial in one variable. Hermite's proof of the transcendence of e (1873) is much more involved, the auxiliary function he builds (§ 1.2) is the first example of the Padé approximants (§ 1.3), which can be viewed as a far reaching generalization of continued fraction expansion [13, 14]. Hypergeometric functions (§ 1.4) are among the best candidates for using Padé approximations techniques.

Another tool, which plays the role of auxiliary functions, is produced by interpolation formulae (§ 2). They occurred in the theory after a question by Weierstraß (§ 2.1) on the so-called *exceptional set* S_f of a transcendental function f , which is the set of algebraic numbers α such that $f(\alpha)$ is algebraic. The answer to his question is that any set of algebraic numbers is the exceptional set of some transcendental function f ; this shows that one should add further conditions in order to get transcendence criteria. One way is to replace *algebraic number* by *rational integer*: this gives rise to the study of integer-valued entire functions (§ 2.2) with the works of G. Pólya (1915), A.O. Gel'fond (1929) and many others. The connexion with transcendental number theory may not have been clear until the solution by A.O. Gel'fond in 1929 of the question of the transcendence of e^π , a special case of Hilbert's seventh problem (§ 2.3). Along these lines, recent developments are due to T. Rivoal, who renewed forgotten rational interpolation formulae (1935) of R. Lagrange (§ 2.4).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The simple (but powerful) construction by Liouville was extended to several
10 variables by A. Thue (§ 3.1.1), who introduced the Dirichlet's box principle (pi-
11 geonhole principle) (§ 3) into the topic of Diophantine approximation in the early
12 1900's. In the 1920's, Siegel (§ 3.1) developed this idea and applied it in 1932 to
13 transcendental number theory. This gave rise to the Gel'fond–Schneider method
14 (§ 3.1.2), which produces the Schneider–Lang Criterion in one (§ 3.1.3) or sev-
15 eral (§ 3.1.4) variables. Among many developments of this method are results
16 on modular functions (§ 3.1.6). Variants of the auxiliary functions produced
17 by Dirichlet's Box Principle are universal auxiliary functions, which have small
18 Taylor coefficients at the origin (§ 3.2). Another approach, due to K. Mahler
19 (§ 3.3), involves auxiliary functions whose existence is deduced from linear al-
20 gebra instead of Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1.

21 In 1991, M. Laurent introduced interpolation determinants (§ 4). Two years
22 later, J.B. Bost used Arakelov theory (§ 5) to prove slope inequalities, which
23 dispenses of the choice of bases.
24
25

26 1 Explicit functions

27 1.1 Liouville

28
29 The first examples of transcendental numbers were produced by Liouville [48]
30 in 1844. At that time, it was not yet known that transcendental numbers exist.
31 The idea of Liouville is to show that all algebraic real numbers α are badly
32 approximated by rational numbers. The simplest example is a rational number
33 $\alpha = a/b$: for any rational number $p/q \neq a/b$, the inequality
34

$$35 \left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{bq}$$

36
37 holds. For an irrational real number x , on the contrary, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there
38 exists a rational number p/q such that
39

$$40 0 < \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{q}$$

41
42 This yields an irrationality criterion, which is the basic tool for proving the
43 irrationality of specific numbers: *a real number x is irrational if and only if*
44 *there exists a sequence $(p_n/q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of distinct rational numbers with*
45

$$46 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = 0.$$

47
48 This criterion is not too demanding: the quality of the approximation is not
49 very strong. Indeed, for a given irrational number x , it is known that there
50 exist much better rational approximations, since there exist infinitely many
51 rational numbers p/q for which
52

$$53 \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2q^2}.$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 It is a remarkable fact that, on the one hand, there exist such sharp approxi-
10 mations, and on the other hand, we are usually not able to produce (let alone,
11 to show the existence of) much weaker rational approximations. Also, in exam-
12 ples like $\zeta(3)$, there is essentially a single known explicit sequence of rational
13 approximations which arises from the irrationality proofs – of course, once the
14 irrationality is established, the existence of other much better approximations
15 follows, but, so far, no one is able to produce an explicit sequence of such ap-
16 proximations.

17 Liouville extended the irrationality criterion into a transcendence criterion.
18 The proof by Liouville involves the irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of the given
19 irrational algebraic number α . Since α is algebraic, there exists an irreducible
20 polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Let d be the degree of f . For $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$,
21 the number $q^d f(p/q)$ is a non-zero rational integer, hence
22

$$23 \quad |f(p/q)| \geq \frac{1}{q^d}.$$

24
25
26 On the other hand, it is easily seen that there exists a constant $c(\alpha) > 0$,
27 depending only on α (and its irreducible polynomial f), such that
28

$$29 \quad |f(p/q)| \leq c(\alpha) \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right|.$$

30
31
32 An explicit value for a suitable $c(\alpha)$ is given, for instance, in Exercice 3.6 of
33 [104]. Therefore,
34

$$35 \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{c'(\alpha)}{q^d},$$

36
37 with $c'(\alpha) = 1/c(\alpha)$.

38 Let ξ be a real number such that, for any $\kappa > 0$, there exists a rational
39 number p/q with $q \geq 2$ satisfying
40

$$41 \quad 0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\kappa}.$$

42
43
44 It follows from Liouville's inequality that ξ is transcendental. Real numbers
45 satisfying this assumption are called *Liouville's numbers*. The first examples
46 [48], produced by Liouville in 1844, involved properties of continued fractions,
47 but already in the first part of his 1844 note, he considered series
48

$$49 \quad \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell^m!}$$

50
51
52 for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Seven years later, in [49], he refers to a letter from Goldbach to
53 Euler for numbers
54

$$55 \quad \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{k_m}{10^m!},$$

where $(k_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is a sequence of integers in the range $\{0, \dots, 9\}$. Next (see p. 140 of [49]), he uses the same argument to prove the irrationality of

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell^{m^2}},$$

whose transcendence has been proved only in 1996 by Yu. V. Nesterenko [62, 61].

We consider below (§ 3.1.1) extensions of Liouville's result by Thue, Siegel, Roth and Schmidt.

1.2 Hermite

During his course at the École Polytechnique in 1815 (see [84]), Fourier gave a simple proof for the irrationality of e , which can be found in many textbooks (for instance, Th. 47 Chap. 4 § 7 of Hardy and Wright [36]). The idea is to truncate the Taylor expansion at the origin of the exponential function. In this proof, the auxiliary function is the tail of the Taylor expansion of the exponential function

$$e^z - \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

which one specializes at $z = 1$. As noticed by F. Beukers, the proof becomes even shorter if one specializes at $z = -1$. This proof has been revisited in 1840 by Liouville [47], who succeeded to extend the argument and to prove that e^2 is not a quadratic number. This result is quoted by Hermite in his memoir [37]. Fourier's argument produces rational approximations to the number e , which are sharp enough to prove the irrationality of e , but not the transcendence. The denominators of these approximations are $N!$. One of Hermite's ideas is to look for other rational approximations with less restrictive restrictions on the denominators. Instead of the auxiliary functions $e^z - A(z)$ for some $A \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$, Hermite introduces more general auxiliary functions $R(z) = B(z)e^z - A(z)$. He finds a polynomial B such that the Taylor expansion at the origin of $B(z)e^z$ has a large gap: he calls $A(z)$ the polynomial part of the expansion before the gap, so that the auxiliary function $R(z)$ has a zero of high multiplicity at the origin. Hermite gives explicit formulae for A , B and R . In particular, the polynomials A and B have rational coefficients – the question is homogeneous, one may multiply by a denominator to get integer coefficients. Also, he obtains upper bounds for these integer coefficients (they are not too large) and for the modulus of the remainder (which is small on a given disc). As an example, given $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, one can use this construction to show the existence of A , B and R with $0 < |R(r)| < \epsilon$. Hence $e^r \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This gives another proof of Lambert's result on the irrationality of e^r for $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, and this proof extends to the irrationality of π as well [12, 63].

Hermite [37] goes much further, since he obtains the transcendence of e . To achieve this goal, he considers *simultaneous rational approximations to the exponential function*, in analogy with Diophantine approximation. The idea

is as follows. Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m be rational integers and B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. For $1 \leq k \leq m$, define

$$R_k(x) = B_0(x)e^{kx} - B_k(x).$$

Set $b_j = B_j(1)$, $0 \leq j \leq m$ and

$$R = a_1R_1(1) + \dots + a_mR_m(1).$$

The numbers a_j and b_j are rational integers, hence

$$a_0b_0 + a_1b_1 + \dots + a_mb_m = b_0(a_0 + a_1e + a_2e^2 + \dots + a_me^m) - R$$

also. Therefore, if one can prove $0 < |R| < 1$, then one deduces

$$a_0 + a_1e + \dots + a_me^m \neq 0.$$

Hermite's construction is more general: he produces rational approximations to the functions $1, e^{\alpha_1x}, \dots, e^{\alpha_mx}$, when $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are pairwise distinct complex numbers. Let n_0, \dots, n_m be rational integers, all ≥ 0 . Set $N = n_0 + \dots + n_m$. Hermite constructs explicitly polynomials B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m , with B_j of degree $N - n_j$, such that each of the functions

$$B_0(z)e^{\alpha_kz} - B_k(z), \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

has a zero at the origin of multiplicity at least N .

Such functions are now known as *Padé approximations of the second kind* (or of *type II*).

1.3 Padé approximation

In his thesis in 1892, H.E. Padé studied systematically the approximation of complex analytic functions by rational functions. See Brezinski's papers [13, 14], where further references to previous works by Jacobi (1845), Faà di Bruno (1859), Sturm, Brioschi, Sylvester, Frobenius (1870), Darboux (1876), Kronecker (1881) are given.

There are two dual points of view, giving rise to the two types of *Padé Approximants* [24].

Let f_0, \dots, f_m be complex functions which are analytic near the origin and n_0, \dots, n_m be non-negative rational integers. Set $N = n_0 + \dots + n_m$.

Padé approximants of type II are polynomials B_0, \dots, B_m with B_j having degree $\leq N - n_j$, such that each of the functions

$$B_i(z)f_j(z) - B_j(z)f_i(z) \quad (0 \leq i < j \leq m)$$

has a zero at the origin of multiplicity $\geq N + 1$.

Padé approximants of type I are polynomials P_1, \dots, P_m , with P_j of degree $\leq n_j$, such that the function

$$P_1(z)f_1(z) + \dots + P_m(z)f_m(z)$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 has a zero at the origin of multiplicity at least $N + m - 1$.

10 For type I as well as type II, existence of Padé approximants follow from
11 linear algebra: one compares the number of equations which are produced by
12 the vanishing conditions on the one hand, with the number of coefficients of
13 P , considered as unknowns, on the other. Unicity of the solution, up to a
14 multiplicative constant (the linear system of equations is homogeneous) is true
15 only in specific cases (*perfect systems*): it amounts to proving that the matrix
16 of the system of equations is regular.

17 These approximants were also studied by Ch. Hermite for the exponentials
18 functions in 1873 and 1893; later, in 1917, he gave further integral formulae for
19 the remainder. For transcendence purposes, Padé approximants of type I have
20 been used for the first time in 1932 by K. Mahler [51], who produced effective
21 versions of the transcendence theorems by Hermite, Lindemann and Weierstraß.
22

23 In the theory of Diophantine approximation, there are transference theorems,
24 initially due to Khintchine (see, for instance, [16, 45]). Similar transference
25 properties for Padé approximation have been considered by H. Jager [39] and
26 J. Coates [18, 19].
27

28 1.4 Hypergeometric methods

29
30 Explicit Padé approximations are known only for restricted classes of functions;
31 however, when they are available, they often produce very sharp Diophantine
32 estimates. Among the best candidates for having explicit Padé Approximations
33 are the hypergeometric functions. A. Thue [88] developed this idea in the early
34 20th Century and was able to solve explicitly several classes of Diophantine
35 equations. There is a contrast between the measures of irrationality, for instance,
36 which can be obtained by hypergeometric methods, and those produced by
37 other methods, like Baker's method (§ 3.1.2): typically, hypergeometric methods
38 produce numerical constants with one or two digits (when the expected value is
39 something like 1 or 2), where Baker's method produces constants with several
40 hundreds digits. On the other hand, Baker's method works in much more general
41 situations. Compared with the Thue–Siegel–Roth–Schmidt's method (§ 3.1.1),
42 it has the great advantage of being explicit.
43

44 Among many contributors to this topic, we quote A. Thue, C.L. Siegel,
45 A. Baker, G.V. Chudnovskii, M. Bennett, P. Voutier, G. Rhin, C. Viola, T. Rivoal. . .
46 These works also involve sorts of auxiliary functions (integrals) depending on
47 parameters which need to be suitably selected in order to produce sharp esti-
48 mates.

49 Chapter 2 of [24] deals with effective constructions in transcendental number
50 theory and includes two sections (§ 6 and § 7) on generalized hypergeometric
51 functions and series.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

2 Interpolation methods

We discuss here another type of auxiliary function, which occurred in works related with a question of Weierstraß on the exceptional set of a transcendental entire function. Recall that an *entire function* is a complex valued function which is analytic in \mathbb{C} . A function f is *algebraic* (over $\mathbb{C}(z)$) if f is a solution of a functional equation $P(z, f(z)) = 0$ for some non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$. An entire function is algebraic if and only if it is a polynomial. A function which is not algebraic is called *transcendental*¹.

2.1 Weierstraß question

Weierstraß (see [53]) initiated the question of investigating the set of algebraic numbers where a given transcendental entire function f takes algebraic values.

Denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ the *field of algebraic numbers* (algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C}). For an entire function f , we define the *exceptional set* S_f of f as the set of algebraic numbers α such that $f(\alpha)$ is algebraic:

$$S_f := \{\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}; f(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

For instance, Hermite–Lindemann’s Theorem on the transcendence of $\log \alpha$ and e^β for α and β algebraic numbers is the fact that the exceptional set of the function e^z is $\{0\}$. Also, the exceptional set of $e^z + e^{1+z}$ is empty, by the Theorem of Lindemann–Weierstrass. The exceptional set of functions like 2^z or $e^{i\pi z}$ is \mathbb{Q} , as shown by the Theorem of Gel’fond and Schneider.

The exceptional set of a polynomial is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if the polynomial has algebraic coefficients, otherwise it is finite. Also, any finite set of algebraic numbers is the exceptional set of some entire function: for $s \geq 1$ the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ is the exceptional set of the polynomial $\pi(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and also of the transcendental entire function $(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_s)e^{z - \alpha_1}$. Assuming Schanuel’s conjecture, further explicit examples of exceptional sets for entire functions can be produced, for instance $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ or \mathbb{Z} .

The study of exceptional sets started in 1886 with a letter of Weierstrass to Strauss. This study was later developed by Strauss, Stäckel, Faber – see [53]. Further results are due to van der Poorten, Gramain, Surroca and others (see [35, 86]).

Among the results which were obtained, a typical one is the following: *if A is a countable subset of \mathbb{C} and if E is a dense subset of \mathbb{C} , there exist transcendental entire functions f mapping A into E .*

Also, van der Poorten noticed in [89] that there are transcendental entire functions f such that $D^k f(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for all $k \geq 0$ and all algebraic α .

¹A polynomial whose coefficients are not all algebraic numbers is an algebraic function, namely is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(z)$, but is a transcendental element over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. However, as soon as a polynomial assumes algebraic values at infinitely many points (including derivatives), its coefficients are algebraic. Therefore, for the questions we consider, it makes no difference to consider algebraicity of functions over \mathbb{C} or over \mathbb{Q} .

The question of possible sets S_f has been solved in [38]: *any set of algebraic numbers is the exceptional set of some transcendental entire function.* Also multiplicities can be included, as follows: define the *exceptional set with multiplicity* of a transcendental entire function f as the subset of $(\alpha, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $f^{(t)}(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Here, $f^{(t)}$ stands for the t -th derivative of f .

Then *any subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the exceptional set with multiplicities of some transcendental entire function f .* More generally, the main result of [38] is the following:

Let A be a countable subset of \mathbb{C} . For each pair (α, s) with $\alpha \in A$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $E_{\alpha, s}$ be a dense subset of \mathbb{C} . Then there exists a transcendental entire function f such that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^s f(\alpha) \in E_{\alpha, s} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $(\alpha, s) \in A \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

One may replace \mathbb{C} by \mathbb{R} : it means that one may take for the sets $E_{\alpha, s}$ dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , provided that one requires A to be a countable subset of \mathbb{R} .

The proof is a construction of an interpolation series (see § 2.2) on a sequence where each w occurs infinitely often. The coefficients of the interpolation series are selected recursively to be sufficiently small (and nonzero), so that the sum f of the series is a transcendental entire function.

This process yields uncountably many such functions. Further, one may also require that they are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ together with their derivatives. Furthermore, at the same time, one may request further restrictions on each of these functions f . For instance, given any transcendental function g with $g(0) \neq 0$, one may require $|f|_R \leq |g|_R$ for all $R \geq 0$.

As a very special case of 2.1 (selecting A to be the set $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers and each $E_{\alpha, s}$ to be either $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or its complement in \mathbb{C}), one deduces the existence of uncountably many algebraic independent transcendental entire functions f such that any Taylor coefficient at any algebraic point α takes a prescribed value, either algebraic or transcendental.

2.2 Integer-valued entire functions

A simple measure for the growth of an entire function f is the real valued function $R \mapsto |f|_R$, where

$$|f|_R = \sup_{|z|=R} |f(z)|.$$

An entire function f has an *order of growth* $\leq \varrho$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$ the inequality

$$|f|_R \leq \exp(R^{e+\epsilon})$$

holds for sufficiently large R .

In 1915, G. Pólya [68] initiated the study of *integer-valued entire functions*; he proved that *if f is a transcendental entire function such that $f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then*

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log |f|_R > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

An example is the function 2^z for which the left hand side is $\log 2$. A stronger version of the fact that 2^z is the “smallest” entire transcendental function mapping the positive integers to rational integers is the estimate

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-R} |f|_R \geq 1,$$

which is valid under the same assumptions as for (2.2).

Pólya’s method involves interpolation series: given an entire function f and a sequence of complex numbers $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$, define inductively a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of entire functions by $f_0 = f$ and, for $n \geq 0$,

$$f_n(z) = f_n(\alpha_{n+1}) + (z - \alpha_{n+1})f_{n+1}(z).$$

Define, for $j \geq 0$,

$$P_j(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_j).$$

One gets an expansion

$$f(z) = A(z) + P_n(z)f_n(z),$$

where

$$A = a_0 + a_1 P_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} P_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}[z] \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = f_n(\alpha_{n+1}) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Conditions for such an expansion to be convergent as $n \rightarrow \infty$ are known – see, for instance, [32].

Such interpolation series produce formulae for functions with given values at the sequence of points α_n ; when some of the α_n ’s are repeated, these formulae involve the successive derivatives of the function at the given point. For instance, for a constant sequence $\alpha_n = z_0$ for all $n \geq 1$, one obtains the Taylor series expansion of f at z_0 . These formulae have been studied by I. Newton and J-L. Lagrange.

Analytic formulae for the coefficients a_n and for the remainder f_n follow from Cauchy’s residue Theorem. Indeed, let $x, z, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be complex numbers with $x \notin \{z, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Starting from the easy relation

$$\frac{1}{x - z} = \frac{1}{x - \alpha_1} + \frac{z - \alpha_1}{x - \alpha_1} \cdot \frac{1}{x - z}, \quad (2.3)$$

one deduces by induction the next formula due to Hermite:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x - z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_j)}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_{j+1})} \\ &\quad + \frac{(z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)} \cdot \frac{1}{x - z}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{D} be an open disc containing $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, let \mathcal{C} denote the circumference of \mathcal{D} , let \mathcal{D}' be an open disc containing the closure of \mathcal{D} . Assume f is analytic in \mathcal{D}' . Then

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(x)dx}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_{j+1})} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n - 1)$$

and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(x)dx}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)(x - z)}.$$

Pólya applies these formulae to prove that if f is an entire function which does not grow too fast and satisfies $f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then the coefficients a_n in the expansion of f at the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ vanish for sufficiently large n , hence f is a polynomial.

Further works on this topic, using a variety of methods, are due to G.H. Hardy, G. Pólya, D. Sato, E.G. Straus, A. Selberg, Ch. Pisot, F. Carlson, F. Gross, . . . – and A.O. Gel'fond (see § 2.3).

2.3 Transcendence of e^π

Pólya's study of the growth of transcendental entire functions taking integral values at the positive rational integers was extended to the Gaussian integers by A.O. Gel'fond in 1929 [30]. By means of interpolation series at the points in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, he proved that *if f is a transcendental entire function which satisfies $f(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, then*

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \log |f|_R \geq \gamma. \quad (2.4)$$

The example of the Weierstraß sigma function attached to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

$$\sigma(z) = z \prod_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^2}{2\omega^2}}$$

(which is nothing else than the Hadamard canonical product with a simple zero at any point of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$), shows that the constant γ in 2.4 cannot be larger than $\pi/2$. Often, for such problems, dealing with a discrete subset of \mathbb{C} , replacing *integer values* by *zero values* gives some hint of what should be expected, at least for the order of growth (the exponent 2 of R^2 in the left hand side of formula (2.4)), if not for the value of the constant (the number γ in the right hand side of formula (2.4)). Other examples of Hadamard canonical products are

$$z \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{z/n} = -e^{\gamma z} \Gamma(-z)^{-1}$$

for the set $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ of positive integers and

$$z \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{z/n} = \pi^{-1} \sin(\pi z)$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 for the set \mathbb{Z} of rational integers.

10 The initial admissible value computed by A.O. Gel'fond in 1929 for γ in (2.4)
11 was pretty small, namely $\gamma = 10^{-45}$. It was improved by several mathemati-
12 cians, including Fukasawa, Gruman, Masser, until 1981, when F. Gramain [34]
13 reached the value $\gamma = \pi/(2e)$, which is best possible, as shown by D.W. Masser
14 [56] one year earlier. See [1] for recent results and extensions to number fields.

15 This work of Gel'fond's [30] turns out to have fundamental consequences on
16 the development of transcendental number theory, due to its connexion with
17 the number e^π . Indeed, the assertion that the number

$$18 \quad e^\pi = 23, 140\,692\,632\,779\,269\,005\,729\,086\,367 \dots$$

19
20 is irrational is equivalent to saying that the function $e^{\pi z}$ cannot take all its values
21 in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ when the argument z ranges over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. By expanding the function $e^{\pi z}$
22 into an interpolation series at the Gaussian integers, Gel'fond was able to prove
23 the transcendence of e^π . More generally, Gel'fond proved the transcendence of
24 α^β for α and β algebraic, $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$ and β imaginary quadratic. In 1930,
25 Kuzmin extended the proof to the case where β is real quadratic, thus proving
26 the transcendence of $2^{\sqrt{2}}$. The same year, Boehle proved that if β is algebraic
27 of degree $d \geq 2$, then one at least of the $d - 1$ numbers $\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2}, \dots, \alpha^{\beta^{d-1}}$ is
28 transcendental (see, for instance, [25]).

29
30 The next important step came from Siegel's introduction of further ideas in
31 the theory (see § 3.1.2).

32 We conclude this subsection by noting that our knowledge of the Diophantine
33 properties of the number e^π is far from being complete. It was proved recently by
34 Yu.V. Nesterenko (see § 3.1.6) that the two numbers π and e^π are algebraically
35 independent, but there is no proof, so far, that e^π is not a Liouville number.
36
37

38 2.4 Lagrange interpolation

39 Newton-Lagrange interpolation (§ 2.2) of a function yields a series of *polynomi-*
40 *als*, namely linear combinations of products $(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$. Another type
41 of interpolation has been devised in [40] by another Lagrange (René and not
42 Joseph-Louis), in 1935, who introduced instead a series of *rational* fractions.
43 Starting from the formula

$$44 \quad \frac{1}{x - z} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)} + \frac{x - \beta}{x - \alpha} \cdot \frac{z - \alpha}{z - \beta} \cdot \frac{1}{x - z}$$

45
46 in place of (2.3), iterating and integrating as in § 2.2, one deduces an expansion

$$47 \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \frac{(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_j)}{(z - \beta_1) \cdots (z - \beta_j)} + R_n(z).$$

48
49 This approach has been developed in 2006 [69] by T. Rivoal, who applies it to
50 the Hurwitz zeta function

$$51 \quad \zeta(s, z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k + z)^s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 1, z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 He expands $\zeta(2, z)$ as a Lagrange series in

$$\frac{z^2(z-1)^2 \cdots (z-n+1)^2}{(z+1)^2 \cdots (z+n)^2}.$$

10
11
12
13 He shows that the coefficients of the expansion belong to $\mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(3)$. This
14 enables him to produce a new proof of Apéry's Theorem on the irrationality of
15 $\zeta(3)$.
16

17 Further, he gives a new proof of the irrationality of $\log 2$, by expanding

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+z}$$

18
19
20
21 into a Lagrange interpolation series. Furthermore, he gives a new proof of the
22 irrationality of $\zeta(2)$, by expanding the function

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+z} \right)$$

23
24
25
26
27 as a Hermite–Lagrange series in

$$\frac{(z(z-1) \cdots (z-n+1))^2}{(z+1) \cdots (z+n)}.$$

28
29
30
31
32 It is striking that these constructions yield exactly the same sequences of rational
33 approximations as the one produced by methods which look very much different
34 [26].
35

36 Further developments of the interpolation methods should be possible. For
37 instance, Taylor series are the special case of Hermite's formula with a single
38 point and multiplicities — they give rise to Padé approximants. Multiplicities
39 could also be introduced in Lagrange–Rivoal interpolation.
40

41 **3 Auxiliary functions arising from the Dirich-** 42 **let's box principle**

43 **3.1 Thue–Siegel lemma**

44
45
46 Here is a translation of a statement p. 213 of Siegel's paper [81]:

47
48
49 **Lemma 3.1** (Thue–Siegel). *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \\ &\vdots \\ y_m &= a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \end{aligned}$$

50
51
52
53
54
55 *be m linear forms in n variables with rational integer coefficients. Assume $n >$*
56 *m . Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be an upper bound for the absolute values of the mn coefficients*
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 a_{kl} . Then the system of homogeneous linear equations $y_1 = 0, \dots, y_m = 0$ has
10 a solution in rational integers x_1, \dots, x_n , not all of which are 0, with absolute
11 values less than $1 + (nA)^{m/(n-m)}$.

12 The fact that there is a non-trivial solution is a consequence of linear algebra,
13 thanks to the assumption $n > m$. The point here is that Dirichlet's box
14 principle shows the existence of a non-trivial solution satisfying an explicit upper
15 bound. The estimate for that solution is essential in the proofs due to A. Thue,
16 C.L. Siegel, and later A.O. Gel'fond, Th. Schneider, A. Baker, W.M. Schmidt
17 and others (however, K. Mahler devised another method where such estimate
18 is not required, linear algebra suffices – see § 3.3).

19 The initial proof by Thue and Siegel relied on the box principle. More
20 sophisticated arguments have been introduced by K. Mahler, using geometry of
21 numbers, and they yield to a number of developments which we do not survey
22 here (see, for instance, [75]).
23
24

25 3.1.1 The origin of the Thue–Siegel Lemma

26 The first improvement of Liouville's inequality was reached by A. Thue in 1909
27 [87, 88]. Instead of evaluating the values at p/q of a polynomial in a single
28 variable (viz. the irreducible polynomial of the given algebraic number α), he
29 considers two approximations p_1/q_1 and p_2/q_2 of α and evaluates at the point
30 $(p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2)$ a polynomial P in two variables. This polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ is
31 constructed (or, rather, is shown to exist) by means of Dirichlet's box principle
32 (Lemma 3.1). The required conditions are that P has zeroes of sufficiently large
33 multiplicity at $(0, 0)$ and at $(p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2)$. The multiplicity is weighted: this is
34 what Thue called the *index* of P at a point. The estimate for the coefficients of
35 the solution of the system of linear equations in Lemma 3.1 plays an important
36 role in the proof.
37

38 One of the main difficulties that Thue had to overcome was to produce a
39 *zero estimate*, in order to find a non-zero value of some derivative of P .

40 A crucial feature of Thue's argument is that he needs to select a second
41 approximation p_2/q_2 depending on a first one p_1/q_1 . Hence, a first very good
42 approximation p_1/q_1 is required to produce an effective result from this method.
43 In general, such arguments lead to sharp estimates for all p/q with at most one
44 exception. This approach has been worked out by J.W.S. Cassels, H. Davenport
45 and others to deduce *upper bounds for the number of solutions of certain Dio-*
46 *phantine equations*. However, these results are not *effective*, meaning that they
47 do not yield complete solutions of these equations. More recently, E. Bombieri
48 has produced examples where a sufficiently good approximation exists for the
49 method to work in an effective way. Later, he produced *effective refinements*
50 to Liouville's inequality by extending the argument (see [24] Chap. 1 § 5.4).
51

52 Further improvement of Thue's method were obtained by C.L. Siegel in the
53 1920's: he developed Thue's method and succeeded in refining his irrationality
54 measure for algebraic real numbers. In 1929, Siegel [81], thanks to a further
55 sharpening of his previous estimate, derived his well known theorem on integer
56 points on curves: *the set of integral points on a curve of genus ≥ 1 is finite*.
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The introduction of the fundamental memoir [81] of C.L. Siegel in 1929
10 stresses the importance of Thue's idea involving the pigeonhole principle. In
11 the second part of this paper, he extends the Lindemann–Weierstraß Theorem
12 (on the algebraic independence of $e^{\beta_1}, \dots, e^{\beta_n}$ when β_1, \dots, β_n are \mathbb{Q} -linearly
13 independent algebraic numbers) from the usual exponential function to a wide
14 class of entire functions, which he calls *E-functions*. He also introduces the
15 class of *G-functions*, which has been extensively studied since 1929. See also
16 his monograph in 1949 [83], Shidlovskii's book [80] and the Encyclopaedia vol-
17 ume by Feldman and Nesterenko [24] for *E-functions*, André's book [3] and [24]
18 Chap. 5 § 7 for *G-functions*. Among many developments related to *G* func-
19 tions are the works of Th. Schneider, V.G. Sprindzuck and P. Dèbes related to
20 algebraic functions (see [24] Chap. 5 § 7).

21 The work of Thue and Siegel on the rational approximation to algebraic
22 numbers was extended by many a mathematician, including Th. Schneider,
23 A.O. Gel'fond, F. Dyson, until K. F. Roth obtained in 1955 a result which is
24 essentially optimal. In his proof, he introduces polynomials in many variables.

25 An incredibly powerful higher dimensional generalization of Thue–Siegel–
26 Roth's Theorem, the *Subspace Theorem*, was obtained in 1970 by W.M. Schmidt
27 [75]; see also [7, 8]. Again, the proof involves a construction of an auxiliary
28 polynomial in several variables, and one of the most difficult auxiliary results is
29 a zero estimate (*index theorem*).

30 Schmidt's Subspace Theorem, together with its variants (including effective
31 estimates for the exceptional subspaces, as well as results involving several val-
32 uations), have a large number of applications: to Diophantine approximation
33 and Diophantine equations, to transcendence and algebraic independence, to
34 the complexity of algebraic numbers – see [7].

35 We give here only a simplified statement of this fundamental result, which
36 is already quite deep and very powerful.
37
38

39 **Theorem 3.2** (Schmidt's Subspace Theorem – simplified form). *For $m \geq 2$*
40 *let L_1, \dots, L_m be independent linear forms in m variables with algebraic coeffi-*
41 *icients. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then the set*

$$42 \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m ; |L_1(\mathbf{x}) \cdots L_m(\mathbf{x})| \leq |\mathbf{x}|^{-\epsilon} \}$$

43
44
45 *is contained in the union of finitely many proper subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^m .*
46

47 3.1.2 Siegel, Gel'fond, Schneider

48 In 1932, C.L. Siegel [82] obtained the first results on the transcendence of elliptic
49 integrals of the first kind (*a Weierstrass elliptic function cannot have simulta-*
50 *neously algebraic periods and algebraic invariants g_2, g_3), by means of a very*
51 *ingenious argument, which involved an auxiliary function whose existence fol-*
52 *lows from the Dirichlet's box principle. This idea turned out to be crucial in*
53 *the development of transcendental number theory.*

54 The seventh of the 23 problems raised by D. Hilbert in 1900 is to prove
55 the transcendence of the numbers α^β for α and β algebraic ($\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1,$
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$). In this statement, α^β stands for $\exp(\beta \log \alpha)$, where $\log \alpha$ is any²
10 logarithm of α . The solution was achieved independently by A.O. Gel'fond [31]
11 and Th. Schneider [76] in 1934. Consequences, already quoted by Hilbert, are
12 the facts that $2^{\sqrt{2}}$ and e^π are transcendental. The question of the arithmetic
13 nature of $2^{\sqrt{2}}$ was considered by L. Euler in 1748 [22].

14 The proofs by Gel'fond and Schneider are different, but both of them rest on
15 some auxiliary function, which arises from Dirichlet's box principle, following
16 Siegel's contribution to the theory.

17 Here are the basic ideas behind the methods of Gel'fond and Schneider. Let
18 us argue by contradiction and assume that α , β and α^β are all algebraic, with
19 $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Define $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha^\beta)$. By assumption, K is a number
20 field.

21 A.O. Gel'fond's proof [31] rests on the fact that the two entire functions e^z
22 and $e^{\beta z}$ are algebraically independent, they satisfy differential equations with
23 algebraic coefficients and they take simultaneously values in K for infinitely
24 many z , viz. $z \in \mathbb{Z} \log \alpha$.

25 Th. Schneider's proof [76] is different: he notices that the two entire functions
26 z and $\alpha^z = e^{z \log \alpha}$ are algebraically independent, they take simultaneously
27 values in K for infinitely many z , viz. $z \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\beta$. He makes no use of
28 differential equations, since the coefficient $\log \alpha$, which occurs by derivating the
29 function α^z , is not algebraic.

30 Schneider introduces a polynomial $A(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ in two variables and
31 considers the auxiliary function

$$32 \quad F(z) = A(z, \alpha^z)$$

33 at the points $m + n\beta$: these values γ_{mn} are in the number field K .

34 Gel'fond also introduces a polynomial $A(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ in two variables
35 and considers the auxiliary function

$$36 \quad F(z) = A(e^z, e^{\beta z});$$

37 the values γ_{mn} at the points $m \log \alpha$ of the derivatives $F^{(n)}(z)$ are again in the
38 number field K .

39 With these notations, the proofs are similar: the first step is the existence
40 of a non-zero polynomial A , of partial degrees bounded by L_1 and L_2 , say,
41 such that the associated numbers γ_{mn} vanish for certain values of m and n , say
42 $0 \leq m < M$, $0 \leq n < N$. This amounts to showing that a system of linear
43 homogeneous equations has a non-trivial solution; linear algebra suffices for the
44 existence. In this system of equations, the coefficients are algebraic numbers
45 in the number field K , the unknowns are the coefficients of the polynomial A .
46 There are several options at this stage: one may either require only that the
47 coefficients of A lie in the ring of integers of K , in which case the assumption
48

49 ²The assumption $\alpha \neq 1$ can be replaced by the weaker assumption $\log \alpha \neq 0$. That means
50 that one can take $\alpha = 1$, provided that we select for $\log \alpha$ a non-zero multiple of $2i\pi$. The
51 result allowing $\alpha = 1$ is not more general: it amounts to the same to take $\alpha = -1$, provided
52 that one replaces β by 2β .
53
54
55
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

$L_1L_2 > MN$ suffices. An alternative way is to require the coefficients of A to be in \mathbb{Z} , in which case one needs to assume $L_1L_2 > MN[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

This approach is not quite sufficient for the next steps: one will need estimates for the coefficients of this auxiliary polynomial A . This is where the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1 comes into the picture: by assuming that the number of unknowns, namely L_1L_2 , is slightly larger than the number of equations, say twice as large, this lemma produces a bound, for a non-trivial solution of the homogeneous linear system, which is sharp enough for the rest of the proof.

The second step is an induction: one proves that γ_{mn} vanishes for further values of (m, n) . Since there are two parameters (m, n) , there are several options for this extrapolation (increasing m , or n , or $m + n$, for instance), but, anyway, the idea is that if F has sufficiently many zeroes, then F takes rather small values on some disc (Schwarz Lemma), and so do its derivatives (Cauchy’s inequalities). Further, an element of K which is sufficiently small should vanish (by a Liouville type inequality, or a so-called *size* inequality, or else the product formula – see, for instance, [41, 8, 24, 104] among many references on this topic).

For the last step, there are also several options: one may perform the induction with infinitely many steps and use an asymptotic zero estimate, or else stop after a finite number of steps and prove that some determinant does not vanish. The second method is more difficult and this is the one Schneider succeeded to complete, but his proof can be simplified by pursuing the induction forever.

There is a duality between the two methods. In Gel’fond’s proof, replace L_1 and L_2 by S_1 and S_2 , and replace M and N by T_0 and T_1 ; hence, the numbers γ_{mn} which arise are

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{t_0} \left(e^{(s_1+s_2\beta)z}\right)_{z=t_1 \log \alpha}.$$

In Schneider’s proof, replace L_1 and L_2 by T_1 and T_2 , and replace M and N by S_0 and S_1 ; then the numbers γ_{mn} which arise are

$$(z^{t_0} \alpha^{t_1 z})_{z=s_1+s_2\beta}.$$

It is easily seen that the numbers γ_{mn} arising from Gel’fond’s and Schneider’s methods are the same, namely

$$(s_1 + s_2\beta)^{t_0} \alpha^{t_1 s_1} (\alpha^\beta)^{t_1 s_2}. \tag{3.3}$$

See [99] and § 13.7 of [104].

Gel’fond–Schneider Theorem was extended in 1966 by A. Baker [4], who proved the more general result that *if $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent logarithms of algebraic numbers, then the numbers $1, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$* . The auxiliary function used by Baker may be considered as a function of several variables, or as a function of a single complex variable, depending on the point of view (cf. [95]). The analytic estimate (Schwarz lemma) involves merely a single variable. The differential equations can be written with a single variable with transcendental coefficients. By introducing several variables, only algebraic coefficients occur. See also § 3.1.4.

To be more precise, assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n$ are algebraic numbers which satisfy

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \beta_n \log \alpha_n = \log \alpha_{n+1}$$

for some specified values of the logarithms of the α_j . Then the $n + 2$ functions of $n + 1$ variables

$$z_0, e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}, e^{\beta_0 z_0 + \beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \beta_n z_n}$$

satisfy differential equations with algebraic coefficients and take algebraic values at the integral multiples of the point

$$(1, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

This situation is therefore an extension of the setup in Gel'fond's solution of Hilbert's seventh problem, and Baker's method can be viewed as an extension of Gel'fond's method. The fact that all points are on a complex line $\mathbb{C}(1, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ means that Baker's method requires only tools from the theory of one complex variable.

On the other hand, the corresponding extension of Schneider's method requires several variables: under the same assumptions, consider the functions

$$z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n, e^{z_0} \alpha_1^{z_1} \dots \alpha_n^{z_n}$$

and the points in the subgroup of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} generated by

$$(\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^n) + \mathbb{Z}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n).$$

Since Baker's Theorem includes the transcendence of e , there is no hope to prove it without introducing the differential equation of the exponential function – in the factor e^{z_0} of the last function $e^{z_0} \alpha_1^{z_1} \dots \alpha_n^{z_n}$, the number e cannot be replaced by an algebraic number! – hence we need to take also derivatives with respect to z_0 . For this method, we refer to [104]. The duality between Baker's method and Schneider's method in several variables is explained below in § 3.2.

3.1.3 Schneider–Lang Criterion

In 1949, [78] Th. Schneider produced a very general statement on algebraic values of analytic functions, which can be used as a *principle for proofs of transcendence*. This statement includes a large number of previously known results, like the Hermite–Lindemann and Gel'fond–Schneider Theorems. It also contains the so-called *Six exponentials Theorem* 3.9 (which was not explicitly in the literature then). To a certain extent, such statements provide partial answers to Weierstraß question (see § 2.1) that exceptional sets of transcendental functions are not too large; here, one puts restrictions on the functions, while in Pólya's work concerning integer-valued entire functions, the assumptions were mainly on the points and the values (the mere condition on the functions were that they have a finite order of growth).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 A few years later, in his book [79] on transcendental numbers, Schneider gave
10 variants of this statement, which lose some generality but gained in simplicity.

11 Further simplifications were introduced by S. Lang in 1964 and the state-
12 ment which is reproduced in his book on transcendental numbers [41] is the
13 so-called *Criterion of Schneider–Lang* (see also the appendix of [42] as well as
14 [95] Th. 3.3.1).

15 **Theorem 3.4.** *Let K be a number field and f_1, \dots, f_d be entire functions in \mathbb{C} .
16 Assume that f_1 and f_2 are algebraically independent over K and have finite order
17 of growth. Assume also that they satisfy differential equations: for $1 \leq i \leq d$,
18 assume that the derivative f_i' of f_i is a polynomial in f_1, \dots, f_d with coefficients
19 in K . Then the set S of $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that all $f_i(w)$ are in K is finite³.*

20
21
22 This statement includes the Hermite–Lindemann Theorem on the transcen-
23 dence of e^α : take

$$24 \quad K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, e^\alpha), \quad f_1(z) = z, \quad f_2(z) = e^z, \quad S = \{m\alpha; m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

25
26 as well as the Gel'fond–Schneider Theorem on the transcendence of α^β following
27 Gel'fond's method: take

$$28 \quad K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha^\beta), \quad f_1(z) = e^z, \quad f_2(z) = e^{\beta z}, \quad S = \{m \log \alpha; m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

29
30
31 This criterion 3.4 does not include some of the results which are proved by
32 means of Schneider's method (for instance, it does not contain the *Six Expo-*
33 *ponentials Theorem 3.9*), but there are different criteria (not involving differential
34 equations) for that purpose (see, for instance, [41, 95, 104]).

35
36 Here is the idea of the proof of the Schneider–Lang Criterion 3.4. We argue
37 by contradiction: assume f_1 and f_2 take simultaneously their values in the
38 number field K for different values $w_1, \dots, w_S \in \mathbb{C}$, where S is sufficiently
39 large. We want to show that there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$,
40 such that the function $P(f_1, f_2)$ is the zero function: this will contradict the
41 assumption that f_1 and f_2 are algebraically independent.

42 The first step is to show that there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in$
43 $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$, such that the function $F = P(f_1, f_2)$ has a zero of high multiplicity,
44 say $\geq T$, at each w_s , ($1 \leq s \leq S$): we consider the system of ST homogeneous
45 linear equations

$$46 \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^t F(w_s) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq S \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (3.5)$$

47
48 where the unknowns are the coefficients of P . If we require that the partial
49 degrees of P are strictly less than L_1 and L_2 respectively, then the number
50 of unknowns is $L_1 L_2$. Since we are looking for a polynomial P with rational

51
52
53
54 ³For simplicity, we consider only *entire* functions; Schneider–Lang's Theorem deals, more
55 generally, with meromorphic functions, and this is important for applications, for instance to
56 elliptic functions. To deal with functions which are analytic in a disc only is also an interesting
57 issue [90, 91, 92, 93, 94].

integer coefficients, we need to introduce the degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ of the number field K . As soon $L_1 L_2 > TS[K : \mathbb{Q}]$, there is a non-trivial solution. Further, the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1 produces an upper bound for the coefficients of P . This bound is sharp enough if one assumes for instance $L_1 L_2 \geq 2TS[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

The next step is an induction: the goal is to prove that $F = 0$. By induction on $T' \geq T$, one first proves

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^t F(w_s) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq S \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq t < T'.$$

One already knows that these conditions hold for $T' = T$ by (3.5).

The proof of this induction is the same as the ones by Gel'fond and Schneider of the transcendence of α^β , (see § 3.1.2), combining an analytic upper bound (Schwarz Lemma) and an arithmetic lower bound (Liouville's inequality). At the end of the induction, one deduces $F = 0$, which is the desired contradiction with the algebraic independence of f_1 and f_2 .

As we have seen in § 3.1.2, such a scheme of proof is characteristic of the Gel'fond–Schneider's method.

The main analytic argument is Schwarz Lemma for functions of one variable, which produces an upper bound for the modulus of an analytic function having many zeroes. One also uses Cauchy's inequalities in order to bound the moduli of the derivatives of the auxiliary function.

In this context, a well known open problem raised by Th. Schneider (this is the second in the list of his 8 problems from his book [79]) is related with his proof of the transcendence of $j(\tau)$. Here, j denotes the modular function defined in the upper half plane $\Im m(z) > 0$, while τ is an algebraic point in this upper half plane which is not imaginary quadratic. Schneider himself proved the transcendence of $j(\tau)$, but his proof is not direct, it rests on the use of elliptic functions (one may apply the Schneider–Lang Criterion for meromorphic functions). His question is to prove the same result by using modular functions. In spite of recent progress on transcendence of values of modular functions (see § 3.1.6), this problem is still open. The difficulty lies in the analytic estimate and the absence of a suitable Schwarz Lemma – the best results on this topic are due to I.Wakabayashi [90, 91, 92, 93, 94].

3.1.4 Higher dimension: several variables

In 1941, Th. Schneider [77] obtained an outstanding result on the values of Euler's Gamma and Beta functions: *for any rational numbers a and b such that none of a , b and $a + b$ is an integer, the number*

$$B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

is transcendental.

His proof involves a generalization of Gel'fond's method to several variables and yields a general transcendence criterion for functions satisfying differential

equations with algebraic coefficients and taking algebraic values at the points of a large Cartesian product. He applies this criterion to the theta functions associated with the Jacobian of the Fermat curves. His transcendence results apply, more generally, to yield transcendence results on periods of abelian varieties.

After a suggestion of P. Cartier, S. Lang extended the classical results on the transcendence of the values of the classical exponential function to the exponential function of commutative algebraic groups. During this process, he generalized the one dimensional Schneider–Lang criterion 3.4 to several variables [41] Chap. IV. In this higher dimensional criterion, the conclusion is that the set of exceptional values in \mathbb{C}^n cannot contain a large Cartesian product. This was the generalization to several variables of the fact that in the one dimensional case the exceptional set is finite (with a bound for the number of elements).

A simplified version of the Schneider–Lang Criterion in several variables for Cartesian products is the following ([104] Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 3.6 (Schneider–Lang Criterion in several variables). *Let d and n be two integers with $d > n \geq 1$, K be a number field, and f_1, \dots, f_d be algebraically independent entire functions of finite order of growth. Assume, for $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, that the partial derivative $(\partial/\partial z_\nu)f_i$ of f_i belongs to the ring $K[f_1, \dots, f_d]$. Further, let $(\underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{y}_n)$ be a basis of \mathbb{C}^n over \mathbb{C} . Then the numbers*

$$f_i(s_1 \underline{y}_1 + \dots + s_n \underline{y}_n), \quad (1 \leq i \leq d, (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

do not all belong to K .

Besides the corollaries already derived by Schneider in 1941, Lang gave further consequences of this result to commutative algebraic groups, especially abelian varieties [41] Chap. IV and [98] Chap. 5.

It is interesting, from an historical point of view, to notice that Bertrand and Masser [6] succeeded in 1980 to deduce Baker’s Theorem from the Schneider–Lang Criterion 3.6 (see [104] § 4.2). They could also prove the elliptic analog of Baker’s result and obtain the linear independence, over the field of algebraic numbers, of elliptic logarithms of algebraic points – at that time such a result was available only in the case of complex multiplication (by previous work of D.W. Masser).

According to [41], Historical Note of Chap. IV, M. Nagata suggested that, in the higher dimensional version of the Schneider–Lang Criterion, the conclusion could be that the exceptional set of points, where all the functions take simultaneously values in a number field K , is contained in an algebraic hypersurface, the bound for the number of points being replaced by a bound for the degree of the hypersurface. This program was fulfilled by E. Bombieri in 1970 [10].

Theorem 3.7 (Bombieri). *Let d and n be two integers with $d > n \geq 1$, K be a number field, and f_1, \dots, f_d be algebraically independent entire functions of finite order of growth. Assume, for $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, that the partial*

derivative $(\partial/\partial z_\nu)f_i$ of f_i belongs to the ring $K[f_1, \dots, f_d]$. Then the set of points $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where the d functions f_1, \dots, f_d all take values in K is contained in an algebraic hypersurface.

Bombieri produces an upper bound for the degree of such an hypersurface (see also [98] Th. 5.1.1). His proof [10] involves different tools, including L^2 -estimates by L. Hörmander for functions of several variables. One main difficulty that Bombieri had to overcome was to generalize Schwarz Lemma to several variables, and his solution involves an earlier work by E. Bombieri and S. Lang [9], where they use Lelong's theory of the mass of zeroes of analytic functions in a ball. Chapter 7 of [98] is devoted to this question. The next statement (Proposition 3.8) follows from the results in Chapter 7 of [98]. Given a finite subset S of \mathbb{C}^n and an integer $t \geq 1$, one defines $\omega_t(S)$ as the smallest degree of a polynomial having a zero at each point of S of multiplicity at least t . Then the sequence $\omega(t)/t$ has a limit $\Omega(S)$, which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{t+n-1}\omega_t(S) \leq \Omega(S) \leq \frac{1}{t}\omega_t(S) \leq \omega_1(S)$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.8 (Schwarz Lemma in several variables). *Let S be a finite subset of \mathbb{C}^n and ϵ be a positive real number. There exists a positive real number $r_0 = r_0(S, \epsilon)$ such that, for any positive integer t , any real numbers R and r with $R > r \geq r_0$ and any entire function having a zero of multiplicity $\geq t$ at each point of S ,*

$$|f|_r \leq \left(\frac{4nr}{R}\right)^{t(\Omega(S)-\epsilon)} |f|_R.$$

More recent results on Schwarz Lemma in several variables are due to D. Roy [71, 72, 73, 74]. In particular, [73] shows that Conjecture 7.1.10 of [98], on Schwarz's Lemma in several variables for finitely generated subgroups of \mathbb{C}^n , does not hold without a technical condition of distribution.

3.1.5 The six exponentials Theorem

Here is the six exponentials Theorem, due to Siegel, Lang and Ramachandra [41, 95, 104, 98].

Theorem 3.9 (Six exponentials Theorem). *Let x_1, \dots, x_d be complex numbers which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and let y_1, \dots, y_ℓ be also complex numbers which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Assume $d\ell > d + \ell$. Then one at least of the numbers*

$$e^{x_i y_j}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq \ell) \tag{3.10}$$

is transcendental.

The condition $d\ell > d + \ell$ in integers d and ℓ means that the relevant cases are $d = 2$ and $\ell = 3$ or $d = 3$ and $\ell = 2$ (there is a symmetry), hence the name

of the statement (since $ld = 6$ in these cases). We refer to [41, 95] for more information on this topic.

The classical proof by Schneider's method involves an auxiliary function of the form

$$F(z) = P(e^{x_1 z}, \dots, e^{x_d z}),$$

where the existence of the polynomial P follows from Dirichlet's box principle and the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1. The conditions which are required are that F vanishes at many points of the form $s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_\ell y_\ell$, with varying integers s_1, \dots, s_ℓ . The induction shows that F vanishes at more points of this form, until one deduces that F vanishes at all such points, and the conclusion then easily follows. One could avoid an infinite induction by using a zero estimate.

A variant is to use an interpolation determinant (see § 4 and [104] Chap. 2). As an example of upper bound for an interpolation determinant, here is Lemma 2.8 in [104].

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_L$ be entire functions in \mathbb{C} , ζ_1, \dots, ζ_L be elements of \mathbb{C} , $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_L$ nonnegative integers, and $0 < r \leq R$ be real numbers, with $|\zeta_\mu| \leq r$ ($1 \leq \mu \leq L$). Then the absolute value of the determinant*

$$\Delta = \det \left(\left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{\sigma_\mu} \varphi_\lambda(\zeta_\mu) \right)_{1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq L}$$

is bounded from above by

$$|\Delta| \leq \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{-L(L-1)/2 + \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_L} L! \prod_{\lambda=1}^L \max_{1 \leq \mu \leq L} \sup_{|z|=R} \left| \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{\sigma_\mu} \varphi_\lambda(z) \right|.$$

Another method of proof of the six exponentials Theorem 3.9 is proposed in [101, 103]. The starting idea is to consider the function of two complex variables e^{zw} . If all numbers in (3.10) are algebraic, then this function takes algebraic values (in fact in a number field) at all points (z, w) , where z is of the form $t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_d x_d$ and w of the form $s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_\ell y_\ell$, with integers $t_1, \dots, t_d, s_1, \dots, s_\ell$. The method does not work like this: a single function e^{zw} in two variables does not suffice, one needs several functions. For this reason one introduces *redundant variables*. Letting z_h and w_k be new independent variables, one investigates the values of the functions are $e^{z_h w_k}$ at the points of Cartesian products.

Redundant variables had already been introduced in transcendental number theory in 1981 by P. Philippon [65], for giving a proof of an algebraic independence result announced by G.V. Chudnovskii – at that time, the original proof was very complicated ; the approach by Philippon, using a criterion for algebraic independence due to É. Reyssat, introduced a dramatic simplification. Now, much sharper results are known. In 1981, introducing several variables was called *Landau's trick*, which is a homogeneity argument: letting the number of variables tend to infinity enables one to kill error terms (see for instance [98], § 7.1.a p. 116).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The generalisation of the six exponentials Theorem to higher dimension, also
10 with multiplicities, is one of the main topics of [104].

11 Further auxiliary functions occur in the works on algebraic independence by
12 A.O. Gel'fond [33], G.V. Chudnovskii and others. A reference is [61].
13

14 3.1.6 Modular functions

15
16 The solution, by the team at St Etienne [5], of the problems raised by Mahler
17 and Manin on the transcendence of the values of the modular function $J(q)$ for
18 algebraic values of q in the unit disc,⁴ involves an interesting auxiliary func-
19 tion. The general scheme of proof is the one of Gel'fond and Schneider. They
20 construct their auxiliary function by means of the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1 as
21 a polynomial in z and $J(z)$ having a high multiplicity zero at the origin, say
22 $\geq L$. They consider the exact order of multiplicity M , which by construction
23 is $\geq L$, and they bound $|z^{-M}F(z)|$ in terms of $|z|$ (this amounts to using the
24 easiest case of Schwarz Lemma in one variable with a single point). They apply
25 this estimate to $z = q^S$, where S is the smallest integer for which $F(q^S) \neq 0$.
26 Liouville's estimate gives the conclusion. See also [61], Chap. 2.
27

28 A variant of this construction was performed by Yu. V. Nesterenko in 1996
29 (see [62] and [61] Chap. 3), when he proved the algebraic independence of π , e^π
30 and $\Gamma(1/4)$: his main result is that *for any q in the open set $0 < |q| < 1$, the*
31 *transcendence degree of the field*

$$32 \mathbb{Q}(q, P(q), Q(q), R(q))$$

33
34 *is at least 3.* Here, P , Q , R are the classical Ramanujan functions, which
35 are sometimes denoted as E_2 , E_4 and E_6 (Eisenstein series). The auxiliary
36 function F is a polynomial in the four functions z , $E_2(z)$, $E_4(z)$, $E_6(z)$; like
37 for the *théorème stéphanois* on the transcendence of $J(q)$, it is constructed by
38 means of the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1, so that it has a zero at the origin of large
39 multiplicity, say M . In order to apply a criterion for algebraic independence,
40 Nesterenko needs to establish an upper bound for M , and this is not an easy
41 result. An alternative argument, due to Philippon (see [61] Chap. 4), is to apply
42 a measure of algebraic independence of Faisant and Philibert [23] on numbers
43 of the form ω/π and η/π .
44
45

46 3.2 Universal auxiliary functions

47 3.2.1 A general existence theorem

48
49 In the Gel'fond–Schneider method, the auxiliary function is constructed by
50 means of the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1, and the requirement is that it has many
51 zeroes (multiplicity are there in Gel'fond's method, not in Schneider's method).
52 There is an alternative construction, which was initiated in a joint work with
53 M. Mignotte in 1974 [59], in connexion with quantitative statements related
54 with transcendence criteria like the Schneider–Lang criterion. This approach
55

56 ⁴The connexion between $J(q)$ and $j(\tau)$ is $j(\tau) = J(e^{2i\pi\tau})$.
57
58

turned out to be especially efficient in another context, namely in extending Schneider's method to several variables [96]. The idea is to require that the auxiliary function F has small Taylor coefficients at the origin; it follows that its modulus on some discs will be small, hence its values (including derivatives, if one wishes) at points in such a disc will also be small. Combining Liouville's estimate with Cauchy's inequality for estimating the derivatives, one deduces that F has a lot of zeroes, more than would be reached by the Dirichlet's box principle. At this stage there are several situations. The easy case is when a sharp zero estimate is known: we immediately reach the conclusion without any further extrapolation: in particular there is no need of Schwarz Lemmas in several variables. This is what happens in [96] for exponential functions in several variables, the zero estimate being due to D.W. Masser [57]. This transcendence result, dealing with products of multiplicative groups (tori), can be extended to commutative algebraic groups [97], thanks to the zero estimate of Masser and Wüstholz [54, 55].

A *zero estimate* is a statement which gives a lower bound for the degree of a polynomial which vanishes at given points (when multiplicities are introduced, this is sometimes called a *multiplicity estimate*). D.W. Masser developed the study of zero estimates, and P. Philippon, G. Wüstholz, Yu.V. Nesterenko were among those who contributed to the theory. Introductions to this subject have been written by D. Roy (Chapters 5 and 8 of [104] and Chapter 11 of [61]). Also Chapter 5 of [4] is devoted to multiplicity estimates.

A *Schwarz Lemma* is a statement which gives an upper bound for the maximum modulus of an analytic function which vanishes at given points – multiplicities may be there. We gave an example in Proposition 3.8.

When the function has only small values at these points, instead of zeroes, one speaks either of a *small value Lemma* or of an *approximate Schwarz Lemma* [66].

A Schwarz Lemma implies a zero estimate, and a small value Lemma implies a Schwarz Lemma. However, the assumptions for obtaining a small value Lemma, for instance, are usually stronger than for only a Schwarz Lemma: as an example, in one variable, there is no need to introduce an assumption on the distance of the given points for a Schwarz Lemma, while a small value Lemma would not be true without such a condition.

The construction of universal auxiliary functions is developed in [99] (see Lemme 2.1) and [100]. Here is Proposition 4.10 of [104].

Proposition 3.12. *Let L and n be positive integers, N, U, V, R, r positive real numbers and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_L$ entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n . Define $W = N + U + V$ and assume*

$$W \geq 12n^2, \quad e \leq \frac{R}{r} \leq e^{W/6}, \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^L |\varphi_\lambda|_R \leq e^U$$

and

$$(2W)^{n+1} \leq LN(\log(R/r))^n.$$

Then there exist rational integers p_1, \dots, p_L , with

$$0 < \max_{1 \leq \lambda \leq L} |p_\lambda| \leq e^N,$$

such that the function $F = p_1\varphi_1 + \dots + p_L\varphi_L$ satisfies

$$|F|_r \leq e^{-V}.$$

For an application to algebraic independence, see [61] Prop. 3.3 Ch. 14.

The construction of universal auxiliary functions is one of the tools in D. Roy's approach to Schanuel's Conjecture in [71, 72].

3.2.2 Duality

In the papers [99] (see Lemme 2.1) and [100], a *dual* construction is performed, where auxiliary *analytic functionals* are constructed. The duality (3.3) between the methods of Schneider and Gel'fond can be explained by means of the Fourier–Borel transform (see [99], especially Lemmas 3.1 and 7.6, and [104], § 13.7). In the special case of exponential polynomials, the Fourier–Borel duality reduces to the relation

$$D^\sigma (\underline{z}^\tau e^{tz}) (\underline{s}) = D^\tau (\underline{z}^\sigma e^{sz}) (\underline{t}), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\underline{\sigma}$, $\underline{\tau}$, \underline{s} , \underline{t} , \underline{z} stand for tuples

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\sigma} &= (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, & \underline{\tau} &= (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \\ \underline{s} &= (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, & \underline{t} &= (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\ \underline{z} &= (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D^\sigma = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right)^{\sigma_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right)^{\sigma_n}$$

(see [99] Lemma 3.1 and [104] Corollary 13.21). This is a generalization in several variables of the formula

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^\sigma (z^\tau e^{tz}) (s) = \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^\tau (z^\sigma e^{sz}) (t)$$

for t, s in \mathbb{C} and σ, τ non-negative integers, both sides being

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{\tau, \sigma\}} \frac{\sigma! \tau!}{k! (\tau - k)! (\sigma - k)!} t^{\sigma - k} s^{\tau - k} e^{st}.$$

Another (less special) case of (3.13) is the duality between Schneider's method in several variables and Baker's method. In Baker's method, one considers the values at $(t, t \log \alpha_1, \dots, t \log \alpha_n)$ of

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \right)^{\tau_0} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right)^{\tau_n} (z_0^\sigma e^{s_1 z_1} \dots e^{s_n z_n} e^{s_0 (\beta_0 z_0 + \dots + \beta_n z_n)}),$$

while Schneider's method in several variables deals with the values of

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\right)^\sigma (z_0^{\tau_0} z_1^{\tau_1} \cdots z_n^{\tau_n} (e^{z_0} \alpha_1^{z_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{z_n})^t)$$

at the points

$$(s_0\beta_0, s_1 + s_0\beta_1, \dots, s_n + s_0\beta_n).$$

Again, these values are just the same, namely

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{\tau_0, \sigma\}} \frac{\sigma! \tau_0!}{k! (\tau_0 - k)! (\sigma - k)!} t^{\sigma - k} (s_0\beta_0)^{\tau_0 - k} (s_1 + s_0\beta_1)^{\tau_1} \cdots (s_n + s_0\beta_n)^{\tau_n} (\alpha_0^{s_0} \cdots \alpha_n^{s_n})^t$$

with

$$\alpha_0 = e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}.$$

This is a special case of (3.13) with

$$\underline{\tau} = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}, \quad \underline{\sigma} = (\sigma_0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1},$$

$$\underline{t} = (t, t \log \alpha_1, \dots, t \log \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad \underline{s} = (s_0\beta_0, s_1 + s_0\beta_1, \dots, s_n + s_0\beta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

$$\underline{z} = (z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

3.3 Mahler's Method

In 1929, K. Mahler [50] developed an original method to prove the transcendence of values of functions satisfying certain types of functional equations. This method was somehow forgotten, for instance it is not quoted among the 440 references of the survey paper [25] by N.I. Fel'dman, and A.B. Šidlovskii. After the publication of the paper [52] by Mahler in 1969, several mathematicians (including K. Kubota, J.H. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten) extended the method (see the Lecture Notes [64] by K. Nishioka for further references). The construction of the auxiliary function is similar to what is done in Gel'fond–Schneider's method, with a main difference: in place of the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1, only linear algebra is required. No estimate for the coefficients of the auxiliary polynomial is needed in Mahler's method.

The following example is taken from § 1.1 of [64]. Let $d \geq 2$ be a rational integer and α an algebraic number with $0 < |\alpha| < 1$. Here is a sketch of proof that the number

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{d^k}$$

is transcendental, a result due to K. Mahler [50]. The basic remark is that the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{d^k}$$

satisfies the functional equation $f(z^d) = f(z) - z$. It is not difficult to check also that f is a transcendental function, which means that if P is a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, then the function $F(z) = P(z, f(z))$ is not the zero function. From linear algebra, it follows that if L is a sufficiently large integer, there exist a non-zero polynomial P in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ of partial degrees $\leq L$ such that the associated function $F(z) = P(z, f(z))$ has a zero at the origin of multiplicity $> L^2$. Indeed, the existence of P amounts to showing the existence of a non-trivial solution to a system of $L^2 + 1$ homogeneous linear equations with rational coefficients in $(L + 1)^2$ unknowns.

If T denotes the multiplicity of F at the origin, then the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha^{d^k}) \alpha^{-d^k T}$$

is a non-zero constant. One deduces an upper bound for $|F(\alpha^{d^k})|$ when k is a sufficiently large positive integer, and this upper bound is not compatible with Liouville's inequality. Hence $f(\alpha)$ is transcendental.

Another instructive example of application of Mahler's method is given by D.W. Masser in the first of his Cetraro's lectures [58].

The numbers whose transcendence is proved by this method are not Liouville numbers, but they are quite well approximated by algebraic numbers. In the example we discussed, the number $f(\alpha)$ is very well approximated by the algebraic numbers

$$\sum_{k=0}^K \alpha^{d^k}, \quad (K > 0).$$

4 Interpolation determinants

An interesting development of the saga of auxiliary functions took place in 1991, with the introduction of interpolation determinants by M. Laurent. Its origin goes back to earlier works on a question raised by Lehmer, which we first discuss.

4.1 Lehmer's Problem

Let θ be a non-zero algebraic integer of degree d . Mahler's *measure* of θ is

$$M(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^d \max(1, |\theta_i|) = \exp \left(\int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2i\pi t})| dt \right),$$

where $\theta = \theta_1$ and $\theta_2, \dots, \theta_d$ are the conjugates of θ and f the (monic) irreducible polynomial of θ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$

$$f(X) = (X - \theta_1) \cdots (X - \theta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

From the definition one deduces $M(\theta) \geq 1$. According to a well-known and easy result of Kronecker, $M(\theta) = 1$ if and only if θ is a root of unity.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 D.H. Lehmer [46] asked whether *there is a constant $c > 1$ such that $M(\theta) < c$*
10 *implies that θ is a root of unity.*

11 Among many tools which have been introduced to answer this question,
12 we only quote some of them which are relevant for our concern. In 1977, M.
13 Mignotte [60] used ordinary Vandermonde determinants to study algebraic num-
14 bers whose conjugates are close to the unit circle. In 1978, C.L. Stewart [85]
15 sharpened earlier results by Schinzel and Zassenhaus (1965) and Blanksby and
16 Montgomery (1971) by introducing an auxiliary function (whose existence fol-
17 lows from the Thue–Siegel Lemma 3.1) and using an extrapolation similar to
18 what is done in the Gel’fond–Schneider method.

19 Auxiliary functions, introduced by Stewart, were one of the tools used by
20 E. Dobrowolski in 1979 [21] His ability to exploit congruences mod p was a
21 major advance that significantly sharpened the previous estimates of Blanksby–
22 Montgomery and Stewart. This use of congruences mod p , and then summing
23 the estimates over p in a suitable interval, resulted in a very substantial im-
24 provement, falling just short of the Lehmer conjecture. He achieved the best
25 unconditional result known so far in this direction (apart from some marginal
26 improvements on the numerical value for c):

27
28 *There is a constant c such that, for θ a non-zero algebraic integer*
29 *of degree d ,*

$$30 \qquad M(\theta) < 1 + c(\log \log d / \log d)^3$$

31 *implies that θ is a root of unity.*

32
33 In 1982, D. Cantor and E.G. Straus [15] revisited this method of Dobrowolski
34 by replacing the auxiliary function by a generalised Vandermonde determinant.
35 The idea is the following: in Dobrowolski’s proof, there is a zero lemma which
36 can be translated into a statement that some matrix has a maximal rank; there-
37 fore, some determinant is not zero. On the one hand, this determinant is not
38 too large: an upper bound for its absolute value follows from Hadamard’s in-
39 equality; the upper bound depends on $M(\theta)$. On the other hand, the absolute
40 value of this determinant is shown to be big, because it has many factors of
41 the form $\prod_{i,j} |\theta_i^p - \theta_j|^k$, for many primes p . The lower bound makes use of a
42 Lemma due to Dobrowolski: *For θ not a root of unity,*

$$43 \qquad \prod_{i,j} |\theta_i^p - \theta_j| \geq p^d$$

44 *for any prime p .* Combining the upper and lower bounds yields the conclusion.

45 One may also prove the lower bound by means of a p -adic Schwarz Lemma:
46 a function (here merely a polynomial) with many zeroes has a small (p -adic)
47 absolute value. This alternative argument produces a proof which is similar to
48 the ones arising from transcendental number theory, with analytic estimates on
49 the one side and arithmetic estimates (Liouville type, or product formula) on
50 the other. See [104] § 3.6.5 and § 3.6.6.

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 We refer to S. David’s survey [20] for further references on this topic. We only
10 notice the role of the generalized Dirichlet exponents ω_t and Ω (see [98], § 1.3 and
11 Chap. 7), which were introduced in transcendental number theory, in connexion
12 with multidimensional Schwarz Lemmas (cf. Proposition 3.8).
13

14 4.2 Laurent’s interpolation determinants

15
16 In 1991, M. Laurent [44] discovered that one may get rid of the Dirichlet’s box
17 principle in Gel’fond–Schneider’s method by means of his *interpolation determi-*
18 *nants*. In the classical approach, there is a zero estimate (or vanishing estimate,
19 also called multiplicity estimate when derivatives are there), which shows that
20 some auxiliary function cannot have too many zeroes. This statement can be
21 converted into the non–vanishing of some determinant. Laurent works directly
22 with this determinant: a Liouville-type estimate produces a lower bound; the
23 remarkable fact is that analytic estimates like Schwarz Lemma produce sharp
24 upper bounds. Again, the analytic estimates depend only in one variable (even
25 if the determinant is a value of a function in many variables, it suffices to re-
26 strict this function to a complex line – see [104] § 6.2). Therefore, this approach
27 is especially efficient when dealing with functions of several variables, where
28 Schwarz Lemmas are lacking.
29

30 Baker’s method is introduced in [104] § 10.2 with interpolation determinants
31 and in § 10.3 with auxiliary functions.

32 Interpolation determinants are easy to use when a sharp zero estimate is
33 available. If not, it is more tricky to prove the analytic estimate. However,
34 it is possible to perform extrapolation in the transcendence methods involving
35 interpolation determinants: an example is a proof of Pólya’s theorem (2.2) by
36 means of interpolation determinants [102].

37 Proving algebraic independence results by means of interpolation determi-
38 nants was quoted as an open problem in [99] p. 257: at that time (1991),
39 auxiliary functions (or auxiliary functionals) were required, together with a
40 zero estimate (or an interpolation estimate). The first solution to this prob-
41 lem in 1997 [70] (see also [104] Corollary 15.10) makes a detour via measures
42 of simultaneous approximations: such measures can be proved by means of in-
43 terpolation determinants, and they suffice to produce algebraic independence
44 statements (*small transcendence degree*: at least two numbers in certain given
45 sets are algebraically independent). Conjecturally, this method should produce
46 *large transcendence degree* results as well – see [104] Conjecture 15.31. See also
47 the work by P. Philippon [67].
48

49 Another approach, due to M. Laurent and D. Roy [43] (see also [61] Chap. 13),
50 is based on the observation that in algebraic independence proofs, the determi-
51 nants which occur produce sequences of polynomials having small values *to-*
52 *gether with their derivatives* at a given point. By means of a generalization
53 of Gel’fond’s transcendence criterion involving multiplicities, M. Laurent and
54 D. Roy succeeded to get algebraic independence results. Further generalisa-
55 tions of Gel’fond’s criterion involving not only multiplicities, but also several
56 points (having some structure, either additive or multiplicative) are being in-
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

vestigated by D. Roy in connection with his original strategy towards a proof of Schanuel's Conjecture [71, 72].

5 Bost slope inequalities, Arakelov's Theory

Interpolation determinants require choices of bases. A further tool has been introduced by J-B. Bost in 1994 [11], where bases are no longer required: the method is more intrinsic. His argument rests on Arakelov's Theory, which is used to produce *slope inequalities*. This new approach is especially interesting for results on abelian varieties obtained by transcendence methods, the examples developed by Bost being related with the work of D. Masser and G. Wüstholz on periods and isogenies of abelian varieties over number fields. Further estimates related to Baker's method and measures of linear independence of logarithms of algebraic points on abelian varieties have been achieved by E. Gaudron [27, 28, 29] using Bost's approach. An enlightening introduction to Bost method can be found in the Bourbaki lecture [17] by A. Chambert-Loir in 2002.

References

- [1] M. ABLY AND M. M'ZARI, *Interpolation polynomiale sur un ordre d'un corps de nombres*, The Ramanujan J., 17 (2008), pp. 281–304.
- [2] F. AMOROSO AND S. DAVID, *Le problème de Lehmer en dimension supérieure*, J. reine angew. Math., 513 (1999), pp. 145–179.
- [3] Y. ANDRÉ, *G-functions and geometry*, Aspects of Mathematics, E13, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989.
- [4] A. BAKER AND G. WÜSTHOLZ, *Logarithmic forms and Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs 9. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [5] K. BARRÉ-SIRIEIX, G. DIAZ, F. GRAMAIN, AND G. PHILIBERT, *Une preuve de la conjecture de Mahler-Manin*, Invent. Math., 124 (1996), pp. 1–9.
- [6] D. BERTRAND AND D. MASSER, *Linear forms in elliptic integrals*, Invent. Math., 58 (1980), pp. 283–288.
- [7] Y. F. BILU, *The many faces of the subspace theorem [after Adamczewski, Bugeaud, Corvaja, Zannier...]*, Astérisque, (2008), pp. 1–38. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2006/2007, Exp. No. 967.
- [8] E. BOMBIERI AND W. GUBLER, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs 4. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [9] E. BOMBIERI AND S. LANG, *Analytic subgroups of group varieties*, Invent.
10 Math., 11 (1970), pp. 1–14.
11
12 [10] E. BOMBIERI, *Algebraic values of meromorphic maps*, Invent. Math., 10
13 (1970), pp. 267–287.
14
15 [11] J.-B. BOST, *Périodes et isogénies des variétés abéliennes sur les corps de*
16 *nombres (d’après D. Masser et G. Wüstholz)*, Astérisque, (1996), pp. 115–
17 161. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95, Exp. No. 795.
18
19 [12] R. BREUSCH, *A proof of the irrationality of π* , The American Mathemat-
20 ical Monthly, 61 (1954), pp. 631–632.
21
22 [13] C. BREZINSKI, *The long history of continued fractions and Padé approx-*
23 *imants*, in Padé approximation and its applications (Amsterdam, 1980),
24 vol. 888 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 1–27.
25
26 [14] ———, *History of continued fractions and Padé approximants*, vol. 12 of
27 Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
28 1991.
29 <http://www.emis.de/cgi-bin/MATH-item?0714.01001>.
30
31 [15] D. C. CANTOR AND E. G. STRAUS, *On a conjecture of D. H. Lehmer*,
32 Acta Arith., 42 (1982/83), pp. 97–100. Correction: *ibid.*, **42** 3 (1983),
33 327.
34
35 [16] J. W. S. CASSELS, *An introduction to Diophantine approximation*, Hafner
36 Publishing Co., New York, 1972. Facsimile reprint of the 1957 edition,
37 Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 45.
38
39 [17] A. CHAMBERT-LOIR, *Théorèmes d’algébricité en géométrie diophantienne*
40 *(d’après J.-B. Bost, Y. André, D. & G. Chudnovsky)*, Astérisque, 282
41 (2002), pp. 175–209. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2000/2001, Exp. No. 886.
42
43 [18] J. COATES, *On the algebraic approximation of functions. I, II, III,*
44 *IV*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 69=Indag. Math., 28 (1966),
45 pp. 421–434, 435–448, 449–461 and **29** (1967), pp. 205–212.
46
47 [19] ———, *Approximation in algebraic function fields of one variable*, J. Aus-
48 tral. Math. Soc., 7 (1967), pp. 341–355.
49
50 [20] S. DAVID, *On the height of subvarieties of group varieties*. To appear,
51 2009.
52
53 [21] E. DOBROWOLSKI, *On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible*
54 *factors of a polynomial*, Acta Arith., 34 (1979), pp. 391–401.
55
56 [22] L. EULER, *Introductio in Analysin Infinitorum. (Opera Omnia. Series*
57 *Prima: Opera Mathematica, Volumen Novum.)*, Societas Scientiarum
58 Naturalium Helveticae, Geneva, 1945.
59 www.math.dartmouth.edu/~euler/pages/E101.html .
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [23] A. FAISANT AND G. PHILIBERT, *Quelques résultats de transcendance liés*
10 *à l'invariant modulaire j* , J. Number Theory, 25 (1987), pp. 184–200.
11
12 [24] N. I. FEL'DMAN AND Y. V. NESTERENKO, *Transcendental numbers*, in
13 *Number Theory, IV*, vol. 44 of Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Springer, Berlin,
14 1998.
15
16 [25] N. I. FEL'DMAN AND A. B. ŠIDLOVSKĚ, *The development and present*
17 *state of the theory of transcendental numbers*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 22
18 (1967), pp. 3–81.
19
20 [26] S. FISCHLER, *Irrationalité de valeurs de zêta (d'après Apéry, Rivoal, . . .)*,
21 *Astérisque*, 294 (2004), pp. 27–62. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2002/2003.
22
23 [27] É. GAUDRON, *Mesure d'indépendance linéaire de logarithmes dans un*
24 *groupe algébrique commutatif*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 333
25 (2001), pp. 1059–1064.
26
27 [28] ———, *Formes linéaires de logarithmes effectives sur les variétés*
28 *abéliennes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 39 (2006), pp. 699–773.
29
30 [29] ———, *Étude du cas rationnel de la théorie des formes linéaires de loga-*
31 *rithmes*, J. Number Theory, 127 (2007), pp. 220–261.
32
33 [30] A. O. GEL'FOND, *Sur les propriétés arithmétiques des fonctions entières*,
34 *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 30 (1929), pp. 280–285.
35
36 [31] ———, *Sur le septième problème de D. Hilbert*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 7
37 (1934), pp. 623–630.
38
39 [32] ———, *Isčíslenie konečnyh raznostej*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit.,
40 Moscow-Leningrad, 1952. French transl., *Calcul des différences finies*,
41 Dunod (1963); engl. transl., *Calculus of finite differences*, Hindustan Pub-
42 lishing Corp. (1971).
43
44 [33] ———, *Transcendental and algebraic numbers*, Translated from the first
45 Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, Dover Publications Inc., New York,
46 1960.
47
48 [34] F. GRAMAIN, *Sur le théorème de Fukasawa-Gel'fond*, Invent. Math., 63
49 (1981), pp. 495–506.
50
51 [35] ———, *Fonctions entières arithmétiques*, in Séminaire d'analyse 1985–1986
52 (Clermont-Ferrand, 1985–1986), Univ. Clermont-Ferrand II, Clermont,
53 1986, pp. 9, Exp. No. 9.
54
55 [36] G. H. HARDY AND E. M. WRIGHT, *An introduction to the theory of*
56 *numbers*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, sixth ed., 2008. Revised by D.
57 R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [37] C. HERMITE, *Sur la fonction exponentielle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 77
10 (1873), pp. 18–24, 74–79, 226–233, 285–293. Œuvres de Charles Her-
11 mite, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1905-1917. University of Michigan Histori-
12 cal Math Collection
13 <http://name.umdl.umich.edu/AAS7821.0001.001>.
14
- 15 [38] J. HUANG, D. MARQUES, AND M. MEREB, *Algebraic values of transcen-*
16 *dental functions at algebraic points*.
17 <http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2766>, 2008.
18
- 19 [39] H. JAGER, *A multidimensional generalization of the Padé table. I, II, III,*
20 *IV, V, VI*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 67 = Indag. Math., 26
21 (1964), pp. 193–198, 199–211, 212–225, 227–239, 240–244, 245–249.
22
- 23 [40] R. LAGRANGE, *Mémoire sur les séries d'interpolation*, Acta Math., 64
24 (1935), pp. 1–80.
- 25 [41] S. LANG, *Introduction to transcendental numbers*, Addison-Wesley Pub-
26 lishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966.
27
- 28 [42] ———, *Algebra*, vol. 211 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-
29 Verlag, New York, third ed., 2002.
- 30 [43] M. LAURENT AND D. ROY, *Criteria of algebraic independence with mul-*
31 *tiplicities and approximation by hypersurfaces*, J. reine Angew. Math., 536
32 (2001), pp. 65–114.
33
- 34 [44] M. LAURENT, *Sur quelques résultats récents de transcendance*, Astérisque,
35 (1991), pp. 209–230 (1992). Journées Arithmétiques, 1989 (Luminy, 1989).
36
- 37 [45] ———, *On transfer inequalities in Diophantine approximation*, in “Analytic
38 Number Theory - Essays in Honour of Klaus Roth”, Cambridge University
39 Press, 2009, pp. 306–314.
40
- 41 [46] D. H. LEHMER, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Annals of
42 Math., 34 (1933), pp. 461–479.
43
- 44 [47] J. LIOUVILLE, *Sur l'irrationalité du nombre $e = 2,718\dots$* , J. Math. Pures
45 Appl., 1 (1840), p. 192. Addition à la note sur l'irrationalité du nombre
46 e , id., 193–194;
47 <http://portail.mathdoc.fr/JMPA/>.
- 48 [48] ———, *Mémoires et communications des Membres et des correspondants*
49 *de l'Académie*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 18 (1844), pp. 883–885; *Nouvelle*
50 *démonstration d'un théorème sur les irrationnelles algébriques*, inséré dans
51 *le Compte rendu de la dernière séance*, id., pp. 910–911.
52
- 53 [49] ———, *Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni*
54 *algébrique, ni même réductible à des irrationnelles algébriques*, J. Math.
55 Pures et Appl., 16 (1851), pp. 133–142.
56 <http://portail.mathdoc.fr/JMPA/>.
57
58

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [50] K. MAHLER, *Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Lösungen einer Klasse von*
10 *Funktionalgleichungen*, Math. Ann., 101 (1929), pp. 342–366.
11
12 [51] ———, *Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus.*
13 *I,II*, J. für Math., 166 (1931), pp. 118–136, 137–150.
14
15 [52] ———, *Remarks on a paper by W. Schwarz*, J. Number Theory, 1 (1969),
16 pp. 512–521.
17
18 [53] ———, *Lectures on transcendental numbers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
19 Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 546.
20
21 [54] D. W. MASSER AND G. WÜSTHOLZ, *Zero estimates on group varieties.*
22 *I*, Invent. Math., 64 (1981), pp. 489–516.
23
24 [55] ———, *Zero estimates on group varieties. II*, Invent. Math., 80 (1985),
25 pp. 233–267.
26
27 [56] D. W. MASSER, *Sur les fonctions entières à valeurs entières*, C. R. Acad.
28 Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 291 (1980), pp. A1–A4.
29
30 [57] ———, *On polynomials and exponential polynomials in several complex*
31 *variables*, Invent. Math., 63 (1981), pp. 81–95.
32
33 [58] ———, *Heights, transcendence, and linear independence on commutative*
34 *group varieties*, in Diophantine approximation (Cetraro, 2000), vol. 1819
35 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 1–51.
36
37 [59] M. MIGNOTTE AND M. WALDSCHMIDT, *Approximation des valeurs*
38 *de fonctions transcendentes*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A
39 **78**=Indag. Math., 37 (1975), pp. 213–223.
40
41 [60] M. MIGNOTTE, *Entiers algébriques dont les conjugués sont proches du cer-*
42 *cle unité*, in Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, 19e année: 1977/78, Théorie
43 des nombres, Fasc. 2, Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1978, pp. 6, Exp. No. 39.
44 <http://www.numdam.org/>.
45
46 [61] Y. V. NESTERENKO AND P. PHILIPPON, *Introduction to algebraic in-*
47 *dependence theory*, vol. 1752 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-
48 Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
49
50 [62] Y. V. NESTERENKO, *Modular functions and transcendence questions*,
51 Mat. Sb., 187 (1996), pp. 65–96.
52
53 [63] ———, *A simple proof of the irrationality of π* , Russ. J. Math. Phys., 13
54 (2006), p. 473.
55
56 [64] K. NISHIOKA, *Mahler functions and transcendence*, vol. 1631 of Lecture
57 Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [65] P. PHILIPPON, *Indépendance algébrique de valeurs de fonctions exponentielles p -adiques*, J. reine Angew. Math., 329 (1981), pp. 42–51.
- 10
11 [66] ———, *Une approche méthodique pour la transcendance et l'indépendance algébrique de valeurs de fonctions analytiques*, J. Number Theory, 64 (1997), pp. 291–338.
- 12
13
14 [67] ———, *Approximations algébriques des points dans les espaces projectifs. I*, J. Number Theory, 81 (2000), pp. 234–253.
- 15
16 [68] G. PÓLYA, *Über ganzwertige ganze Funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 40 (1915), pp. 1–16.
- 17
18 [69] T. RIVOAL, *Applications arithmétiques de l'interpolation Lagrangienne*, Intern. J. Number Th., 5 (2009), pp. 185–208.
- 19
20 [70] D. ROY AND M. WALDSCHMIDT, *Approximation diophantienne et indépendance algébrique de logarithmes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 30 (1997), pp. 753–796.
- 21
22 [71] D. ROY, *An arithmetic criterion for the values of the exponential function*, Acta Arith., 97 (2001), pp. 183–194.
- 23
24 [72] ———, *Une formule d'interpolation en deux variables*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, 13 (2001), pp. 315–323. 21st Journées Arithmétiques (Rome, 1999).
- 25
26 [73] ———, *Interpolation formulas and auxiliary functions*, J. Number Theory, 94 (2002), pp. 248–285.
- 27
28 [74] ———, *Interpolation sur des perturbations d'ensembles produits*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 130 (2002), pp. 387–408.
- 29
30 [75] W. M. SCHMIDT, *Diophantine approximation*, vol. 785, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- 31
32 [76] T. SCHNEIDER, *Transzendenzuntersuchungen periodischer Funktionen. I. Transzendenz von Potenzen*, J. reine angew. Math., 172 (1934), pp. 65–69.
- 33
34 [77] ———, *Zur Theorie der Abelschen Funktionen und Integrale*, J. reine angew. Math., 183 (1941), pp. 110–128.
- 35
36 [78] ———, *Ein Satz über ganzwertige Funktionen als Prinzip für Transzendenzbeweise*, Math. Ann., 121 (1949), pp. 131–140.
- 37
38 [79] ———, *Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957. French Transl., Introduction aux nombres transcendants, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1959).
- 39
40 [80] A. B. ŠIDLOVSKIĪ, *Transcendental numbers*, vol. 12 of de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1989. Translated from the Russian by Neal Koblitz, With a foreword by W. Dale Brownawell.
- 41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [81] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen*, Abhandlungen Akad. Berlin, Nr. 1 (1929), p. 70 S.
- 10
11 [82] ———, *Über die Perioden elliptischer Funktionen*, J. f. M., 167 (1932),
12 pp. 62–69.
- 13
14 [83] ———, *Transcendental numbers*, Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University
15 Press, 1949.
- 16
17 [84] J. STAINVILLE, *Mélanges d'analyse algébrique et de géométrie*.
18 [http://www.bibnum.education.fr/mathematiques/](http://www.bibnum.education.fr/mathematiques/melange-danalyse-algebrique-et-de-geometrie)
19 [melange-danalyse-algebrique-et-de-geometrie](http://www.bibnum.education.fr/mathematiques/melange-danalyse-algebrique-et-de-geometrie), 1815.
- 20
21 [85] C. L. STEWART, *Algebraic integers whose conjugates lie near the unit*
22 *circle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 106 (1978), pp. 169–176.
- 23
24 [86] A. SURROCA, *Valeurs algébriques de fonctions transcendentes*, Int. Math.
25 Res. Not., Art. ID 16834 (2006), p. 31.
- 26
27 [87] A. THUE, *Über Annäherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen.*, J. für Math.,
28 135 (1909), pp. 284–305.
- 29
30 [88] ———, *Selected mathematical papers*, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977.
- 31
32 [89] A. J. VAN DER POORTEN, *Transcendental entire functions mapping ev-*
33 *ery algebraic number field into itself*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 8 (1968),
34 pp. 192–193.
- 35
36 [90] I. WAKABAYASHI, *Meilleures estimations possibles pour*
37 *l'ensemble des points algébriques de fonctions analytiques*, in
38 Seminar on number theory, 1983–1984 (Talence, 1983/1984),
39 Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, 1984, pp. 8, Exp. No. 19.
40 <http://www.digizeitschriften.de/resolveppn/GDZPPN002545349&L=2>.
- 41
42 [91] ———, *On the optimality of certain estimates for algebraic values of ana-*
43 *lytic functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 39 (1985), pp. 400–414.
- 44
45 [92] ———, *Algebraic values of meromorphic functions on Riemann surfaces*,
46 J. Number Theory, 25 (1987), pp. 220–229.
- 47
48 [93] ———, *Algebraic values of functions on the unit disk*, in Prospects of math-
49 ematical science (Tokyo, 1986), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1988,
50 pp. 235–266.
- 51
52 [94] ———, *An extension of the Schneider-Lang theorem*, in Seminar on Dio-
53 phantine Approximation (Japanese) (Yokohama, 1987), vol. 12 of Sem.
54 Math. Sci., Keio Univ., Yokohama, 1988, pp. 79–83.
- 55
56 [95] M. WALDSCHMIDT, *Nombres transcendants*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
57 1974. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 402.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [96] ———, *Transcendance et exponentielles en plusieurs variables*, Invent.
10 Math., 63 (1981), pp. 97–127.
11
12 [97] ———, *Sous-groupes analytiques de groupes algébriques*, Ann. of Math. (2),
13 117 (1983), pp. 627–657.
14
15 [98] ———, *Nombres transcendants et groupes algébriques*, Astérisque, (1987),
16 p. 218. With appendices by Daniel Bertrand and Jean-Pierre Serre.
17
18 [99] ———, *Fonctions auxiliaires et fonctionnelles analytiques. I, II*, J. Analyse
19 Math., 56 (1991), pp. 231–254, 255–279.
20
21 [100] ———, *Constructions de fonctions auxiliaires*, in Approximations dio-
22 phantiennes et nombres transcendants (Luminy, 1990), de Gruyter, Berlin,
23 1992, pp. 285–307.
24
25 [101] ———, *Integer valued functions on products*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 12
26 (1997), pp. 1–24.
27
28 [102] ———, *Extrapolation with interpolation determinants*, in Special functions
29 and differential equations (Madras, 1997), Allied Publ., New Delhi, 1998,
30 pp. 356–366.
31
32 [103] ———, *Integer valued entire functions on Cartesian products*, in Num-
33 ber theory in progress, Vol. 1 (Zakopane-Kościełisko, 1997), de Gruyter,
34 Berlin, 1999, pp. 553–576.
35
36 [104] ———, *Diophantine approximation on linear algebraic groups*, vol. 326
37 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag,
38 Berlin, 2000.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Michel WALDSCHMIDT
Université Pierre et Marie Curie – Paris 6
IMJ UMR 7586
175, rue du Chevaleret
PARIS F-75013 FRANCE
e-mail: miw@math.jussieu.fr
URL: <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~miw/>