

# LATTICES IN CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS

YU MIN

## CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Formalism of Admissible Representations	4
2. Hodge-Tate representations	6
2.1. Properties of $C$	6
2.2. Hodge-Tate decomposition	8
3. The field $B_{dR}$ and de Rham representations	10
3.1. Motivation for constructing $B_{dR}$	11
3.2. Ring $\mathcal{O}^b$	11
3.3. The construction of $B_{dR}$	13
3.4. De Rham representation	16
4. A finer period ring: $B_{cris}$	18
4.1. Motivation for $MF_K^\varphi$	18
4.2. Divided power structure	19
4.3. Properties of the ring $A_{cris}$	20
4.4. Construction of the period ring $B_{cris}$	21
4.5. Structures of $B_{cris}$	24
5. Étale $\varphi$ -module	25
5.1. $p$ -torsion representations	25
5.2. Étale $\varphi$ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_E$	27
5.3. $p$ -adic representations of $G_E$	30
6. Kisin's theory	31
6.1. Geometric interpretation of $MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0}$	31
6.2. Frobenius map and monodromy operator on $\mathcal{O}$	32
6.3. Slope and weak admissibility	38
6.4. The final fully faithful functor	40
6.5. $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules and crystalline representations	41
References	46

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field, which means it is a field of characteristic 0 and complete with respect to a discrete valuation with a perfect residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Fixing an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ , we denote the Galois group by  $G_K = Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ .  $p$ -adic Hodge theory deals with  $Rep_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , the category of the  $p$ -adic Galois representations (i.e. finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces equipped with a linear and continuous  $G_K$ -action). Among them, what we call crystalline representations are very important. The aim of this mémoire is mainly to introduce the work of Kisin in his paper [Kis] which gives

a classification of crystalline representations and gets some important results concerning the  $G_K$ -stable lattices of crystalline representations.

The category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is very large.  $p$ -adic representations arising from geometry catch most interest. The étale cohomology  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  for a proper and smooth variety  $X$  over  $K$ , is really the most interesting example. Faltings proved an important result conjectured by Tate.

**Theorem 0.1** ([Fal88]). *Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field. For smooth proper  $K$ -schemes  $X$ , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_q (C(-q) \otimes_K H^{n-q}(X, \Omega_{X/K}^q))$$

in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  ( $C = \widehat{\bar{K}}$ ), where the  $G_K$ -action on the right side is defined through the action on each  $C(-q) = C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(-q)$ . In particular, non-canonically

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_q C(-q)^{h^{n-q,q}}$$

with  $h^{p,q} = \dim_K H^p(X, \Omega_{X/K}^q)$ .

This is the  $p$ -adic analogue of Hodge decomposition in the classic sense. It is one of the important comparison theorems in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, which links  $p$ -adic étale cohomology to other cohomology theories. The remarkable fact is that in general, the Galois action on  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is very difficult to know. But after tensoring by  $C$ , the Galois action is very easy. This idea is a vague description of Fontaine's theory of period rings.

In the first part of this article, we will introduce the general formalism of admissible representations using period rings, focusing on  $B_{HT}$ ,  $B_{dR}$ ,  $B_{cris}$  and the categories of their associated admissible representations  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{HT}$ ,  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{dR}$ ,  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{cris}$ . As we mentioned, the category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is too large. The aim of constructing period rings is to select good classes of representations, especially containing those from geometry. The three categories are full subcategories of  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  and they have the inclusion relation:  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{cris} \subset \text{Rep}_{G_K}^{dR} \subset \text{Rep}_{G_K}^{HT}$ . In addition, it is very useful to relate these good categories to various target categories of semilinear algebraic objects with different structures, like filtration, Frobenius operator and Galois action. It is often easier to operate on these semilinear algebras. One remarkable result is that when  $V$  is a crystalline representation, then we can recover  $V$  with the three structures.

**Theorem 0.2.** *The space  $(\text{Fil}^0 B_{cris})^{\varphi=1} = \{b \in \text{Fil}^0(B_{cris}) | \varphi(b) = b\}$  of  $\varphi$ -invariant elements in the 0-th filtered piece of  $B_{cris}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In particular,*

$$\text{Fil}^0(B_{cris} \otimes_{K_0} D_{cris}(V))^{\varphi=1} \simeq V.$$

In the general formalism of admissible representations, the  $p$ -adic étale cohomology  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  has been proved to be a de Rham representation. When  $X$  has a good reduction, it is also a crystalline representation. We then get another two comparison theorems proved by Fontaine-Messing [FM87], T.Tsuji [Tsu99] under various assumptions.

**Theorem 0.3** (The de Rham conjecture ( $C_{dR}$ )). *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth variety over  $K$ . Then there exists an isomorphism compatible with Galois action and filtrations.*

$$B_{dR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq B_{dR} \otimes_K H_{dR}^i(X/K).$$

In particular,  $D_{dR}(H_{\acute{e}t}^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \simeq H_{dR}^i(X/K)$ .

**Theorem 0.4** (The crystalline conjecture ( $C_{cris}$ )). *Let  $X_K$  be a proper smooth variety over  $K$ ,  $X$  be a proper smooth model of  $X_K$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .  $X_k$  is the special fiber of  $X$ . Then there exists a canonical isomorphism, which is compatible with the Galois action and Frobenius endomorphism.*

$$B_{cris} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{\acute{e}t}^m(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong B_{cris} \otimes_{W(k)} H_{cris}^m(X_k/W(k)).$$

One important result is the equivalence between the category of crystalline representations and the category of weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -modules.

**Theorem 0.5** (Colmez-Fontaine [CF00a]). *The functor  $D_{cris}$  defined by  $D_{cris}(V) = (B_{cris} \otimes V)^{G_K}$  induces an equivalence of categories*

$$Rep_{G_K}^{cris} \rightarrow MF_K^{\varphi, w.a}$$

where  $MF_K^{\varphi, w.a}$  is the category of weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -modules. The inverse is given by  $V_{cris}(D) = Fil^0(B_{cris} \otimes D_K)^{\varphi=1}$ .

With this theorem, studying crystalline representations is equivalent to studying their associated weakly admissible representations, just as Kisin did in his paper. In the second part of the article, we will introduce Kisin's work.

Let  $W = W(k)$  be the ring of Witt vectors and  $K_0 = W(k)[1/p]$ . Then  $K$  is a finite totally ramified extension of  $K_0$ . Fix a uniformizer  $\pi \in K$ , and let  $E(u) \in W(k)[u]$  be the Eisenstein polynomial for  $\pi$ . Set  $\mathfrak{S} = W[[u]]$ . The whole story lies in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mod_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \\ M \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow \\ Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}, 0} & \longrightarrow & Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0} \end{array}$$

Kisin constructs the functor  $M$  from the category of effective filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules to  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}}$ , the category of  $(\varphi, N_{\nabla})$ -modules  $\mathfrak{M}$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  of finite  $E$ -height (i.e. the cokernel of the map  $\varphi^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ ) and proves the two categories are in fact equivalent. By using Kedlaya's theory of slopes, Kisin proves the weak admissibility of an effective filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module  $D$  is equivalent to that  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  is pure of slope 0.  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}$  is the category of finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules  $\mathfrak{M}$  of finite  $E(u)$ -height.

By letting  $N = 0$ , we then have the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.6.** *The category of crystalline representations with all Hodge-Tate weights  $\leq 0$ , admits a fully faithful embedding into the isogeny category  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}$ .*

Let  $K_n = K(\zeta_n)$ , in which  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity. Write  $K_{\infty}$  to be the union of  $K_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote by  $Rep_{G_{\infty}}$  the category of continuous  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_{K_{\infty}}$ . Then one result conjectured by Breuil can be proved using Kisin's theory.

**Corollary 0.7.** *The functor  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris} \rightarrow Rep_{G_{\infty}}$  obtained by restriction is fully faithful.*

As we have seen, the comparison theorems above are all about the cohomology with  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  coefficient. In fact, the integral case is much more difficult. Integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory gives back classical  $p$ -adic Hodge theory by inverting  $p$ , but it also gives rise to completely new characteristic  $p$  phenomena by reducing modulo  $p$ . Thus it is richer than  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. After giving a classification of crystalline representations, Kisin's theory can also be used to describe the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in crystalline representations.

Denote by  $Rep_{G_K}^{criso}$  the category of  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices spanning crystalline representations. Then we have the following theorem given by Kisin.

**Theorem 0.8** ([Kis10]). *There exists a fully faithful functor*

$$\mathfrak{M} : Rep_{G_K}^{criso} \rightarrow Mod_{\mathcal{S}}^{\varphi}.$$

## 1. FORMALISM OF ADMISSIBLE REPRESENTATIONS

In  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, there are some functors from certain categories of  $p$ -adic representations of the Galois group  $G_K := Gal(\bar{K}/K)$  of a  $p$ -adic field  $K$  to semilinear algebra categories. By using period rings, we can construct a general formalism of such functors.

In this section, we will give the general formalism of admissible representations and talk about some main results. The reference is [BC09, Section 5]. In the next several sections, we will construct concrete period rings like  $B_{HT}$ ,  $B_{dR}$  and  $B_{cris}$ . Since these rings have different structures, something interesting will happen in addition to the general results.

Let  $F$  be a field and  $G$  be a group. Let  $B$  be an  $F$ -algebra domain equipped with a  $F$ -linear  $G$ -action, and assume that the  $F$ -subalgebra  $E = B^G$  is a field. Let  $C$  be the fraction field of  $B$ , then we have a natural  $G$ -action on  $C$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *we say  $B$  is  $(F, G)$  regular if*

- (i)  $C^G = B^G$ .
- (ii) *every nonzero  $b \in B$  whose  $F$ -linear span  $F \cdot b$  is  $G$ -stable is a unit in  $B$ .*

Note that if  $B$  is a field then it obviously satisfies above conditions. But the cases we are interested in are far from fields.

Under the assumption that  $B$  is a  $(F, G)$ -regular domain,  $E = B^G$ , for any  $V$  in the category of  $Rep_F(G)$  of finite dimensional  $F$ -linear representations of  $G$ , we define the functor  $D_B$

$$D_B(V) = (B \otimes_F V)^G.$$

$G$  acts on  $B \otimes_F V$  by  $g(\lambda \otimes v) = g(\lambda) \otimes g(v)$ , so  $D_B(V)$  is a  $E$ -vector space, and the inclusion  $D_B(V) \subset B \otimes_F V$  induces a canonical map

$$\alpha_V : B \otimes_E D_B(V) \rightarrow B \otimes_E (B \otimes_F V) = (B \otimes_E B) \otimes_F V \rightarrow B \otimes_F V$$

This is a  $B$ -linear  $G$ -equivariant map.

**Definition 1.2.** *We say  $V \in Rep_F(G)$  is a  $B$ -admissible representation if  $\dim_F(V) = \dim_E(D_B(V))$ .*

It is not obvious that  $D_B(V)$  is a finite dimensional  $E$ -vector space. But the following theorem tells us this is true.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Fix  $V \in \text{Rep}_F(G)$ .*

- (i) *The map  $\alpha_V$  is always injective and  $\dim_E D_B(V) \leq \dim_F(V)$ , with equality if and only if  $\alpha_V$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *Let  $\text{Rep}_F^B(G) \subset \text{Rep}_F(G)$  be the full subcategory of  $B$ -admissible representations. The covariant functor  $D_B : \text{Rep}_F^B(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_E$  to the category of finite-dimensional  $E$ -vector spaces is exact and faithful, and any subrepresentation or quotient of a  $B$ -admissible representation is still  $B$ -admissible.*

*Proof.* (1) We first assume  $\alpha_V$  is injective. Since  $B \rightarrow C = \text{Frac}(B)$  is flat (indeed we can regard  $C$  as a localization of  $B$ ), by extending the scalars we have  $C \otimes D_B(V)$  as a  $C$ -subspace of  $C \otimes_F V$ .  $C \otimes_F V$  is of finite dimension, then  $\dim_E D_B(V) \leq \dim_F V$ .

Suppose their dimensions are both  $d$ .  $D_B(V)$  has a  $E$ -basis  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  and  $V$  has a  $F$ -basis  $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ . Then  $\alpha_V$  can be described by a matrix  $(b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$  with  $b_{ij} \in B$ . After tensoring by  $C$ , we get an injection between two  $C$ -vector spaces of the same dimension. Then it must be an isomorphism. This means  $\det(b_{ij})$  is in  $B - \{0\}$ . To prove  $\alpha_V$  is an isomorphism, we need to prove  $\det(b_{ij}) \in B^\times$ . As  $B$  is  $(F, G)$ -regular, it suffices to prove  $F \cdot \det(b_{ij})$  is  $G$ -stable.

Since  $D_B(V)$  is  $G$ -invariant of  $B \otimes_F V$ , we consider the  $d$ -th exterior power

$$\wedge^d(\alpha_V)(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_d) = \det(b_{ij}) f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_d.$$

It is a  $G$ -invariant vector because  $e_i$  is  $G$ -invariant. But  $G$  acts on  $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_d$  by some character

$$\eta : G \rightarrow F^\times.$$

This means  $G$  acts on  $\det(b_{ij})$  by  $\eta^{-1}$ . So  $F \cdot \det(b_{ij})$  must be  $G$ -stable and  $\det(b_{ij})$  is a unit. So what left to prove is that  $\alpha_V$  is injective.

To prove the injectivity of  $\alpha_V$ , we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_E D_B(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & B \otimes_F V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \otimes_E D_C(V) & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_F V \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are injective. So it suffices to prove the bottom is injective. The proof reduces to the case when  $B$  is a field. We now need to prove if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B \otimes_F V$  are  $E$ -linearly independent and  $G$ -invariant then they are  $B$ -linearly independent. If they are not, we assume for some  $r$

$$x_r = \sum_{i < r} b_i \cdot x_i$$

which is a relation of minimum length. For  $g \in G$ , we also have

$$x_r = g(x_r) = \sum g(b_i) \cdot x_i$$

The minimum length forces that  $b_i = g(b_i)$  for all  $i < r$ . Then  $b_i \in E$ . This means  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are not  $E$ -linearly independent. By contradiction,  $\alpha_V$  is injective.

(2) Let  $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Rep}_F(G)$ . Then we have a left exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow D_B(V_1) \rightarrow D_B(V_2) \rightarrow D_B(V_3)$  in  $\text{Vec}_E$ . If  $V_2$  is  $B$ -admissible, then  $\dim_E D_B(V_2) \leq \dim_E D_B(V_1) + \dim_E D_B(V_3) = \dim_F(V_2)$ , so  $\dim_E D_B(V_2) \leq \dim_E D_B(V_1) + \dim_E D_B(V_3)$ . The sequence should be exact

$$0 \rightarrow D_B(V_1) \rightarrow D_B(V_2) \rightarrow D_B(V_3) \rightarrow 0$$

This implies  $D_B$  is exact and the subrepresentation or quotient of a  $B$ -admissible representation is still  $B$ -admissible. Moreover if  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}_F^B(G)}(V, V_1)$ , then  $D_B(\text{Ker}(f)) = \text{Ker}(D_B(f))$ . So  $D_B(f) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ . The faithfulness has been proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.4.** We do not impose any topological structure on  $F$  and  $G$  in the general definition, but in most cases we are interested in they need additional topological structures. For example, when  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ , then  $F$  is a topological field and  $G$  is a profinite group, in this case,  $\text{Rep}_F(G)$  consists of all continuous  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

## 2. HODGE-TATE REPRESENTATIONS

In classical Hodge theory, there is a natural Hodge decomposition for any compact Kahler manifold  $X$ , i.e.,

$$H_{dR}^i(X) \cong H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^i H^{i-j}(X, \Omega_X^j).$$

Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field and fix a choice of algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . We denote by  $C$  the completion of the field  $\bar{K}$ . Tate discovered for an abelian variety  $A/\mathcal{O}_K$  there is a decomposition of étale cohomology with coefficients in  $C$ . He conjectured that there existed similar Hodge-like decomposition for the étale cohomology with coefficient in  $C$  for a scheme  $X_C$  coming from a scheme  $X$  projective and smooth over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , or even over  $K$ . The case that  $X$  is a projective smooth scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has been proved by Fontaine and Messing in [FM87] with the hypothesis  $\dim X < p$ . The case that  $X$  is a proper smooth scheme over  $K$  has been proved by Faltings [Fal88]. In fact, the étale cohomology for a proper smooth  $K$ -scheme is a Hodge-Tate representation which we will introduce later.

In this section, we will construct the period ring  $B_{HT}$  which has a decomposition and put it into the general formalism we introduce in the Section 1 and see it is actually  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular. We first give some basic notions and properties of  $C$ .

**2.1. Properties of  $C$ .** The Hodge-Tate representation will involve the Galois action on  $C \otimes V$  for  $V \in \text{Rep}(G_K)$ . In this subsection, we will give some fundamental properties of the field  $C$ .

**Definition 2.1** ( $p$ -adic cyclotomic character). *Fix  $\bar{K}$  as a algebraic closure of  $K$ , and let  $p$  be a prime.  $\mu_{p^n} = \mu_{p^n}(\bar{K})$ , which is the group of  $p^n$ th roots of unity in  $\bar{K}^\times$ , and let  $\mu_{p^\infty}$  be the union of  $\mu_{p^n}$ . We also denote the inverse limit of  $\mu_{p^n}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ . The action  $G_K$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$  is given by  $g(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi(g)}$  for a unique  $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . We call  $\chi$  the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character of  $K$ .*

$\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank 1. A choice of its basis is equivalent to choose a compatible system  $(\zeta_{p^n})_{n \geq 1}$  of primitive  $p$ -power roots of unity, i.e.  $\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^p = \zeta_{p^n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Fixing a choice of basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ , we can view it as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  endowed with a  $G_K$ -action by  $\chi$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Tate twist). *Define  $\mathbb{Z}_p(r) = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^{\otimes r}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p(-r) = \mathbb{Z}_p(r)^\vee$ . Fix a choice of basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ , we can view  $\mathbb{Z}_p(r)$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $G_K$ -action  $\chi^r$ . If  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]$ -module, write  $M(r) = \mathbb{Z}_p(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M$  with its natural  $G_K$ -action. If we*

choose a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ , then we can view  $M(r)$  as  $M$  endowed with a  $G_K$ -action given by  $g \cdot m = \chi(g)^r g(m)$  for  $g \in G_K$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Krasner's Lemma). *Let  $K$  be the fraction field of a complete DVR and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{K}$  an algebraic closure of  $K$  with  $\alpha$  separable. If  $|\beta - \alpha| < |\alpha' - \alpha|$  for all conjugates  $\alpha'$  of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \in K(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not, then  $\alpha \notin K(\beta)$ , so there is an automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{K(\beta)}(\bar{K}/K(\beta))$  for which  $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ . Here we are using the separability of  $\alpha$ , the extension  $K(\alpha, \beta)/K(\beta)$  is separable and nontrivial, so there must be an element in  $\text{Hom}_{K(\beta)}(K(\alpha, \beta), \bar{K})$  that changes  $\alpha$ . For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{K(\beta)}(\bar{K}/K(\beta))$  we have

$$|\beta - \alpha| = |\sigma(\beta - \alpha)| = |\sigma(\beta) - \sigma(\alpha)| = |\beta - \sigma(\alpha)|,$$

since  $\sigma$  fixes  $\beta$ . But this contradicts the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $K$  be a complete nonarchimedean field,  $K^s$  be a separable closure of  $K$ ,  $\bar{K}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$  containing  $K^s$ . Then  $\widehat{K^s} = \widehat{\bar{K}}$  and it is an algebraically closed field.*

*Proof.* Let  $C = \widehat{K^s}$ , we shall prove

- (i) If  $\text{char}K=p$ , then for any  $a \in C$ , there exists  $\alpha \in C$ , such that  $\alpha^p = a$ .
- (ii)  $C$  is separably closed.

For the proof of (i), we choose  $\pi \in m_K$ , choose a valuation  $v$  such that  $v(\pi) = 1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{K^s} = \{a \in K^s \mid v(a) \geq 0\}, \mathcal{O}_C = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{K^s} / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_{K^s}$$

and  $C = \mathcal{O}_C[1/\pi]$ . Thus  $\pi^{mp}a \in \mathcal{O}_C$  for  $m \gg 0$ , we may assume  $a \in \mathcal{O}_C$ . Choose a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{K^s}$ , such that  $a \equiv a_n \pmod{\pi^n}$ . Let

$$P_n(X) = X^p - \pi^n X - a_n \in K^s[X],$$

then  $P_n$  is separable. Let  $b_n$  be a root of  $P_n$  in  $K^s$ ,  $b_n \in \mathcal{O}_{K^s}$ . Then

$$b_{n+1}^p - b_n^p = \pi^{n+1}b_{n+1} - \pi^n b_n + a_{n+1} - a_n,$$

one has  $v(b_{n+1}^p - b_n^p) \geq n$ . Since  $b_{n+1}^p - b_n^p = (b_{n+1} - b_n)^p$ ,  $v(b_{n+1} - b_n) \geq n/p$ , which means  $(b_n)$  converges in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . Denote  $b$  the limit of  $(b_n)$ , then  $b^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b_n^p = a$  since  $v(b_n^p - a) \geq n$ .

For the second part of proof, let

$$P(X) = a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots + a_{d-1}X^{d-1} + X^d$$

be an arbitrary separable polynomial in  $C[X]$ . We need to prove  $P(X)$  has a root in  $C$ . We may assume  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_C$ . Let  $D$  be the splitting field of  $P$  over  $C$ , let  $r = \max v(b_i - b_j)$ , where  $b_i$  and  $b_j$  are distinct roots of  $P$  in  $D$ . Let

$$P_1 = c_0 + c_1X + c_2X^2 + \cdots + c_{d-1}X^{d-1} + X^d \in K^s[X]$$

with  $c_i \in K^s$ , and  $v(c_i - a_i) > rd$ . Hence there exists  $\beta \in C$ , such that  $P_1(\beta) = 0$ . Choose  $\alpha \in D$ , a root of  $P$ , such that  $|\beta - \alpha'| \geq |\beta - \alpha|$  for any  $\alpha' \in D$  and  $P(\alpha') = 0$ . Since  $P(\beta) = P(\beta) - P_1(\beta)$ , and  $v(\beta) > 0$ , we have  $v(P(\beta)) > rd$ . On the other hand,

$$P(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^d (\beta - b_i),$$

thus,  $v(P(\beta)) = \sum_{i=1}^d v(\beta - b_i) > rd$ . It follows that  $v(\beta - \alpha) > r$ . Applying Krasner's Lemma, we get  $\alpha \in C$ .  $\square$

Another property is related to the  $G_K$ -action on  $C$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G_K$ . Then  $C^H$  is the completion  $\widehat{L}$  of  $L = \bar{K}^H$  for the valuation  $v$ . In particular, if  $H$  is an open subgroup of  $G_K$ , then  $C^H$  is the finite extension  $\bar{K}^H$  of  $K$ , and  $\widehat{L} \cap \bar{K} = L$ .*

*Proof.* See [BC09, Prop2.1.2].  $\square$

**Definition 2.6.** *A  $C$ -representation of  $G_K$  is a finite dimensional  $C$ -vector space  $W$  equipped with a continuous  $G_K$ -action map which is semilinear, i.e.,  $g(cw) = g(c)g(w)$  for any  $c \in C$  and  $w \in W$ . We write  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  to denote the category of such representations. The morphisms are  $C$ -linear  $G_K$ -equivariant morphisms.*

In concrete terms, if  $W$  is a  $C$ -representation, choose a  $C$ -basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . For any  $g \in G_K$ , we write  $g(e_j) = \sum_i a_{ij}(g)e_i$ . Then the map  $\mu : G_K \rightarrow \text{Mat}(C)$  defined by  $g \rightarrow (a_{ij}(g))$  is a continuous map and it satisfies  $\mu(1) = \text{id}$  and  $\mu(gh) = \mu(g) \cdot g(\mu(h))$  for all  $g, h \in G_K$ . The most common examples come from the category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ . If  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , then  $C \otimes V$  is an object in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ .

At the end of this subsection, we state an important theorem which will be useful in Hodge-Tate decomposition. General statement and proof can be seen in [FO08, Chap3].

**Theorem 2.7** (Tate-Sen). *For any  $p$ -adic field  $K$ , we have  $K = C^{G_K}$  and  $C(r)^{G_K} = 0$  for  $r \neq 0$ . Also,  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(G_K, C(r)) = 0$  if  $r \neq 0$  and  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(G_K, C)$  is 1-dimensional over  $K$ . More generally, if  $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is a continuous character such that  $\eta(G_K)$  is finite or contains  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as an open subgroup and if  $C_K(\eta)$  denotes  $C_K$  with the twisted  $G_K$ -action  $g \cdot c = \eta(g)g(c)$  then  $H_{\text{cont}}^i(G_K, C_K(\eta)) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1$  when  $\eta(I_K)$  is infinite and these cohomologies are 1-dimensional over  $K$  when  $\eta(I_K)$  is finite.*

This theorem will play an important role in the proof of the regularity of periods rings.

**2.2. Hodge-Tate decomposition.** For  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define a  $K$ -vector space

$$W\{q\} := W(q)^{G_K} \simeq \{w \in W \mid g(w) = \chi(g)^{-q}w \text{ for all } g \in G_K\}$$

the isomorphism depends on a choice of basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$  as we stated before. Note that  $W\{q\}$  is not a  $C$ -subspace of  $W(q)$  when it is nonzero. We have a natural  $G_K$ -equivariant  $K$ -linear multiplication map

$$K(-q) \otimes_K W\{q\} \hookrightarrow K(-q) \otimes_K W(q) \simeq W$$

extending scalars we get a map

$$C(-q) \otimes_K W(-q) \rightarrow W$$

in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 2.8** (Serre-Tate). *For  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ , the natural  $C$ -linear  $G_K$ -equivariant map*

$$\alpha_W : \bigoplus_q (C(-q) \otimes_K W\{q\}) \rightarrow W$$

*is injective. In particular,  $W\{q\} = 0$  for all but finitely many  $q$  and  $\dim_K W\{q\} < \infty$  for all  $q$ , with  $\sum \dim_K W\{q\} \leq \dim_C W$ , equality holds if and only if  $\alpha_W$  is an isomorphism.*



**Definition 2.9.** Before we use period ring, a representation  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  is called Hodge-Tate if  $\alpha_W$  is an isomorphism. We say  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is Hodge-Tate if  $C \otimes V$  is Hodge-Tate.

**Definition 2.10.** For those  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  which are Hodge-Tate, we can define the Hodge-Tate weights to be those  $q$  such that  $W\{q\}$  is nonzero. Then we call  $h_q := \dim_K W\{q\}$  the multiplicity of  $q$  as a Hodge-Tate weight.

An example is that  $C(q)$  has a unique Hodge-Tate weight  $-q$  by Tate-Sen theorem. We now define the period ring  $B_{HT}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** The Hodge-Tate ring of  $K$  is the  $C$ -algebra  $B_{HT} = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} C(q)$ .

We have a natural  $G_K$ -action on  $B_{HT}$ . If we fix a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ , then  $B_{HT} \cong C[t, t^{-1}]$  which is the ring of Laurent polynomials in  $t$  with coefficients in  $C$ .

**Proposition 2.12.**  $B_{HT}^{G_K} = K$

*Proof.*  $B_{HT}^{G_K} = (\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} C(q))^{G_K} = \bigoplus C(q)^{G_K}$ . By Tate-Sen theorem, we have  $B_{HT}^{G_K} = K$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.**  $B_{HT}$  is actually a  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular ring.

*Proof.* As we mentioned, after fixing a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ , then  $B_{HT} = C[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $G$ -acting through the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character  $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  via  $g(\sum a_n t^n) = \sum g(a_n) \chi(g)^n t^n$ . Then in this case  $\text{Frac}(B_{HT}) = C(t)$ .

(1)  $B_{HT}^{G_K} = K$ , we need to prove  $\text{Frac}(B_{HT})^{G_K} = K$ . In fact, there is a  $G_K$ -equivariant injection from  $C(t)$  into the formal Laurent series field  $C((t))$ . For any  $g \in G_K$  and a formal series  $\sum c_n t^n$ ,  $g(\sum c_n t^n) = \sum g(c_n) \chi(g)^n t^n$ . If  $\sum c_n t^n$  is  $G$ -invariant, then  $c_n \in C(n)^{G_K}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Tate-Sen theorem,  $c_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$  and  $c_0 \in K$ . So  $C((t))^{G_K} = K$ .  $\text{Frac}(B_{HT})^{G_K}$  has to be  $K$ .

(2) Assume  $0 \neq b = \sum c_i t^i \in B_{HT}$  such that

$$g(b) = \eta(g)b, \eta(g) \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \text{ for all } g \in G_K$$

Then  $g(c_i) \chi^i(g) = \eta(g) c_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma \in G_K$ . Hence

$$g(c_i) = (\eta \chi^{-i})(g) c_i.$$

For all  $i$  such that  $c_i \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}_p c_i$  is a 1-dimensional sub- $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space of  $C$  stable under  $G_K$ . Thus the 1-dimensional representation associated to the character  $\eta \chi^{-i}$  is  $C$ -admissible. By Sen-Tate's theorem, for all  $i$  such that  $c_i \neq 0$  the action of  $I_K$  through  $\eta \chi^{-i}$  is finite, which can be true for at most one  $i$ . Thus there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = c_{i_0} t^{i_0}$  with  $c_{i_0} \neq 0$ . So  $b$  is invertible in  $B_{HT}$ .  $\square$

Then we can define the associated functor  $D_{HT}$ .

**Definition 2.14.** The covariant functor  $D : \text{Rep}_C(G_K) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_K$  is

$$D_{HT}(V) = (B_{HT} \otimes_C V)^{G_K}.$$

By the general formalism in Section 1, we have the following  $G_K$ -equivariant map.

$$\alpha_V : B_{HT} \otimes_K D_{HT}(V) \rightarrow B_{HT} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

**Definition 2.15.** We say a  $p$ -adic representation  $V$  of  $G_K$  is Hodge-Tate if  $C \otimes V$  is  $B_{HT}$ -admissible, i.e.  $\dim D_{HT}(C \otimes V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ .

We then also have the result by Thoerem 1.3 that the functor  $D_{HT} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_K$  is exact and faithful and any subrepresentation or quotient of a Hodge-Tate representation is also a Hodge-Tate representation.

The difference from the general case is that the Hodge-Tate ring  $B_{HT}$  has a direct sum decomposition. So  $D_{HT}(V)$  is not only just a  $C$ -vector space. Indeed,  $D_{HT}(V) = (B_{HT} \otimes_C V)^{G_K} = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (C(q)) \otimes_C V^{G_K}$ . It is a graded vector space.

**Definition 2.16.** A graded vector space over a field  $F$  is a  $F$ -vector space  $D$  which has a direct sum decomposition  $\bigoplus D_q$  for  $F$ -subspaces  $D_q \subset D$  and we define the  $q$ -th graded piece of  $D$  to be  $gr^q(D) := D_q$ . The morphisms between two such objects  $T : D \rightarrow D'$  should respect the grading, i.e.  $T(D_q) \subseteq D'_q$  for all  $q$ . We denote the category by  $Gr_F$ . Also write  $Gr_{F,f}$  to be the full subcategory of objects of finite dimension.

Now since  $D_{HT}(V)$  has a graded structure, we may try to construct a functor from  $Gr_{K,f}$  to  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ . For any  $D \in Gr_{K,f}$ , we define

$$V(D) := gr^0(B_{HT} \otimes_K D) = \bigoplus_q C(q) \otimes_K D_{n-q}.$$

Since  $D$  is of finite dimension, then  $V(D) \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ . Indeed,  $V(D)$  is a Hodge-Tate representation.

**Theorem 2.17.** The covariant functors  $D_{HT}$  and  $V$  between the categories of Hodge-Tate representations in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  and finite dimensional vector spaces in  $Gr_{K,f}$  are actually quasi-inverse equivalent.

**Theorem 2.18.** For any  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ , the natural map

$$K' \otimes_K D_K(W) \rightarrow D_{K'}(W)$$

is an isomorphism for all finite extension  $K'/K$  contained in  $\bar{K} \subseteq C$ . Also, if we replace  $K'$  by  $\widehat{K^{ur}}$ , it is still an isomorphism. In particular, for any finite extension  $K'/K$ , an object  $W \in \text{Rep}_C(G_K)$  is Hodge-Tate iff it is Hodge-Tate in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_{K'})$  iff it is Hodge-Tate in  $\text{Rep}_C(G_{\widehat{K^{ur}}})$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.17 is easy to deduce. But the proof of Theorem 2.18 is more difficult. See [Bri08, Thm 2.46].

**Remark 2.19.** It is good, according to the theorem, being Hodge-Tate is insensitive to restriction to inertia group. But it is bad that the Hodge-Tate property is also insensitive to finite extensions. This shows Hodge-Tate property is not sufficiently fine.

### 3. THE FIELD $B_{dR}$ AND DE RHAM REPRESENTATIONS

We know the ring  $B_{HT} = \bigoplus_q C_K(q)$  is a graded  $C_K$ -algebra and has a semilinear  $G_K$ -action. This ring can be used to classify certain representations called Hodge-Tate representations, but the class of representations we are interested in are smaller than Hodge-Tate representations. So we need to find another period ring to construct a smaller good class of representations and it might be great if it could recover the ring  $B_{HT}$ .

In this section, we will construct such a period ring  $B_{dR}$  refining  $B_{HT}$  which is  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular and prove if  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is a de Rham representation then it is also Hodge-Tate. In fact,  $B_{dR}$  is a field and complete with respect to a discrete valuation. Its residue field is just  $C = \widehat{K}$ . Moreover, the valuation defines a filtration on  $B_{dR}$  and its associated graded ring is  $B_{HT}$ . We may expect more interesting things except for the general results.

**3.1. Motivation for constructing  $B_{dR}$ .** The motivation for constructing  $B_{dR}$  might be seen from geometry. We know  $B_{HT}$  can be used to construct a comparison theorem between  $p$ -adic étale cohomology and Hodge cohomology. And algebraic de Rham cohomology is equipped with a filtration whose graded piece is a subquotient of Hodge cohomology. This leads us to consider another structure: filtration.

We can see a motivating example.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $m$  and residue field  $k$ . Let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ . Then we have a natural filtration structure on  $K$ , i.e.  $A_i = m^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the associated graded ring of  $K$  is non-canonically isomorphic to  $k[t, 1/t]$ . Let  $\widehat{K}$  be the fraction field of the  $m$ -adic completion of  $R$ , then  $gr^\bullet(K) = gr^\bullet(\widehat{K})$ .

Note that  $B_{HT} \simeq C[T, T^{-1}]$ . Inspired by the above example, we want to find a complete discrete valuation ring  $B_{dR}^+$  over  $K$ . It has a  $G_K$ -action such that the residue field is  $G_K$ -equivariantly isomorphic to  $C$ . Moreover, its Zariski cotangent space is isomorphic to  $C(1)$  in the category  $\text{Rep}_C(G_K)$ . Then we could have an isomorphism  $gr^\bullet(B_{dR}) \simeq B_{HT}$  as graded  $C_K$ -algebras with  $G_K$ -action, in which  $B_{dR}$  is the fraction field of  $B_{dR}^+$ .

In order to construct  $B_{dR}^+$ , we notice that if  $k$  is a perfect field with characteristic  $p > 0$ , there is a complete discrete valuation ring  $W(k)$  (the Witt ring of  $k$ , [Ser13]) which has  $k$  as residue field. The problem is that  $C$  has characteristic 0. So we can not use the construction of Witt ring directly. Instead, we might look at  $\mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  and try to get from  $\mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  to  $\mathcal{O}_C$  then to  $C$ . If we follow this idea, the key point lies in that  $\mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  is not perfect. Indeed, the  $p$ -th power is surjective but not injective,  $a^p = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  for all  $v(a) > 1/p$ .

**3.2. Ring  $\mathcal{O}^b$ .** In this subsection, we will solve the problem caused by that  $\mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  is not perfect. Let  $A$  be a ring (not necessarily perfect) with characteristic  $p > 0$ , we construct an associated perfect ring in the following way

$$A^{perf} = \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} A = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \prod_{n \geq 0} A \mid x_{i+1}^p = x_i, \forall i\}$$

This  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra has product ring structure and it is perfect. In fact,  $A^{perf}$  has a universal property in the sense that the projection map  $pr_0 : A^{perf} \rightarrow A$  is final among all maps to  $A$  from perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. Note that this construction is functorial.

Now we apply this construction to the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}/(p)$ , define

$$\mathcal{O}^b := \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}/(p) = \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_C/(p)$$

The ring  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is endowed with natural  $G_K$ -action via functoriality. Also  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/(p)$  is a  $\bar{k}$ -algebra, by functoriality we have a ring map

$$\bar{k} = \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} (\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^b$$

explicitly,

$$c \rightarrow (j(c), j(c^{1/p}), j(c^{1/p^2}), \dots)$$

where  $j : \bar{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/(p)$  is the unique  $k$ -algebra section to the reduction map  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/(p) \rightarrow \bar{k}$ .

Now we have a perfect ring  $\mathcal{O}^b$  with characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then we follow the idea lifting  $\mathcal{O}^b$  to the ring  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . The following proposition provides such a way.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The map*

$$\varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^b$$

defined by  $(x^{(n)})_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow (x^{(n)} \bmod p)_{n \geq 0}$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{O}^b$ . Fix  $(\hat{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\tilde{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to be two liftings of  $x$  in  $\varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_C$ . As  $\hat{x}_{n+m} \equiv \tilde{x}_{n+m} \bmod p$ , we get  $\hat{x}_{n+m}^{p^m} \equiv \tilde{x}_{n+m}^{p^m} \bmod p^{m+1}$ . Taking  $\tilde{x}_n = \hat{x}_{n+1}^p$ , we get  $\hat{x}_{n+m+1}^{p^{m+1}} \equiv \hat{x}_{n+m}^{p^m} \bmod p^{m+1}$ . The sequence  $(\hat{x}_{n+m}^{p^m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy, hence converge in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . Moreover its limit  $x^{(n)} \in \mathcal{O}_C$  does not depend on the choice of the lifting  $(\hat{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The sequence  $(x^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a lifting of  $x$ . In particular, we have

$$(x^{(n+1)})^p = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n+1+m)p^{m+1}} = x^{(n)}$$

which shows that  $(x^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a preimage of  $x$ , which is the inverse map.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Using this bijective map, we can transfer the natural  $F_p$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{O}^b$  over to such a structure on the inverse limit set  $\varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} \mathcal{O}_C$ . The multiplicative structure translates through the bijection  $(xy)^{(n)} = x^{(n)}y^{(n)}$  and this implies that  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is a domain. The additive structure can be seen from

$$(x + y)^{(n)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(n+m)} + y^{(n+m)})p^m$$

Let  $|\cdot|_p : C \rightarrow p^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \{0\}$  be the normalized absolute value, i.e.  $|p|_p = 1$ . We define a map  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{O}^b}$  on  $\mathcal{O}^b$  by  $|x|_{\mathcal{O}^b} = |x^{(0)}|_p$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *The map  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{O}^b}$  on  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is a  $G_K$ -equivariant absolute value on  $\mathcal{O}^b$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}$ -adically separated and complete. The subfield  $\bar{k}$  of  $\mathcal{O}^b$  maps isomorphically onto the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}^b$ .*

*Proof.* To prove  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{O}^b}$  is an absolute value, we follow its definition.  $x^{(0)} = 0$  iff  $x = 0$  and  $|xy|_{\mathcal{O}^b} = |x|_{\mathcal{O}^b}|y|_{\mathcal{O}^b}$  since  $(xy)^{(0)} = x^{(0)}y^{(0)}$ . To show  $|x + y|_{\mathcal{O}^b} \leq \max(|x|_{\mathcal{O}^b}, |y|_{\mathcal{O}^b})$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}^b$ , suppose  $x, y \neq 0$ ,  $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \neq 0$ . So we may assume  $|x^{(0)}|_p \leq |y^{(0)}|_p$ , then for all  $n \geq 0$

$$|x^{(n)}|_p = |x^{(0)}|_p^{p^{-n}} \leq |y^{(0)}|_p^{p^{-n}} = |y^{(n)}|_p$$

The ratio  $x^{(n)}/y^{(n)}$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}_C$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and form a  $p$ -power compatible sequence. So it corresponds to an element  $z$  in  $\mathcal{O}^b$  and  $xy = z$  in  $\mathcal{O}^b$ , this means  $y|x$  in  $\mathcal{O}^b$ . Therefore

$$|x + y|_{\mathcal{O}^b} = |y(z + 1)|_{\mathcal{O}^b} = |y|_{\mathcal{O}^b}|z + 1|_{\mathcal{O}^b} \leq |y|_{\mathcal{O}^b} \leq \max(|x|_{\mathcal{O}^b}, |y|_{\mathcal{O}^b}).$$

So we have proved  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{O}^b}$  is an absolute value. It is easy to show  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is the valuation ring.

To prove the completeness, write  $v = -\log_p |\cdot|_p$  on  $C$ , then  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(x) = p^n v(x^{(n)})$ . Thus,  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(x) \geq p^n$  iff  $v(x^{(n)}) \geq 1$  iff  $x^{(n)} \pmod{p} = 0$ . So if we write  $\theta_n : \mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  denote the  $n$ -th projection, then  $\text{Ker } \theta = \{x \in \mathcal{O}^b | v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(x) \geq p^n\}$

Since  $x_n = 0$  implies  $x_m = 0$  for all  $m \leq n$ , the  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}$ -adic topology is the same with the subspace topology within  $\prod_{m \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_C/(p))$  where the factors are given discrete topology. Since  $\mathcal{O}^b$  is closed in  $\prod_{m \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_C/(p))$ , so it is complete.

The map  $\theta_0 : \mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  is a  $\bar{k}$ -algebra morphism, since it is local, so we have ,

$$\bar{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^b/m \hookrightarrow \bar{k}$$

So the subfield  $\bar{k}$  of  $\mathcal{O}^b$  maps isomorphically onto its residue field. □

**Example 3.5.** We now introduce an important element in  $\mathcal{O}^b$ ,

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon^{(n)})_{n \geq 0} = (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots)$$

with  $\varepsilon^{(0)} = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon^{(1)}$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity and hence  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  is a primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity for all  $n \geq 0$ . Any two such elements differ by a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  power. We can calculate

$$v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\varepsilon - 1) = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

We will need the next theorem later in Kisin's theory of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module.

**Theorem 3.6.** *The field  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$  of char  $p$  is algebraically closed.*

*Proof.* We have to show any irreducible  $P(X) = X^N + a_1 x^{(N-1)} + \dots + a_N \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)[X]$  has a root in  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$ .  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$  is perfect, so  $P(X)$  is separable. We may assume  $P(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^b[X]$ . As  $P[X]$  is separable, so there exist  $U_0(X), V_0(X) \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)[X]$  such that  $P[X]U_0(X) + P'(X)V_0(X) = 1$ . Let  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(X) = \tilde{p}^{m_0} U_0(X) \in \mathcal{O}^b[X]$  and  $V(X) = \tilde{p}^{m_0} V_0(X) \in \mathcal{O}^b[X]$ , then we have  $P[X]U(X) + P'(X)V(X) = \tilde{p}^{m_0}$ ,  $\tilde{p} = (p, p^{1/p}, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}^b$ . Choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > 2m_0$ . The polynomial  $P^{(m)}(X) := X^N + a_1^{(m)} X^{(N-1)} + \dots + a_N^{(m)} \in \mathcal{O}_C[X]$  has a root in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . The map  $\mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C; x \rightarrow x^{(m)}$  is surjective. There exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^b$  whose  $m$ -th component  $\alpha^{(m)}$  is such a root. Reducing modulo  $p\mathcal{O}_C$ , we have  $p r_m(P(\alpha)) = \alpha_m^N + a_{1,m} \alpha_m^{N-1} + \dots + a_{N,m} = 0$  hence  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P(\alpha)) \geq p^m$ . This implies  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P(\alpha)U(\alpha)) \geq m > m_0$ , so  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P'(\alpha)V(\alpha)) = v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(p^{m_0} - P(\alpha)U(\alpha)) = m_0$ . Thus  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P'(\alpha)) \leq m_0$ . As  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P(\alpha)) = p^m \geq m > 2m_0 \geq 2v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(P'(\alpha))$ . By Hensel's lemma,  $P(X)$  has a zero  $\equiv \alpha \pmod{\tilde{p}^{m-m_0} \mathcal{O}^b}$ . □

Note that  $\mathbb{A}_{inf} := W(\mathcal{O}^b) \subset W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b))$ , then  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  is a domain with characteristic 0.

**3.3. The construction of  $B_{dR}$ .** We now come to the construction of  $B_{dR}$ . The first step is to lift the map  $\theta_0 : \mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/(p)$  (the projection to the 0th component) to a map  $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose  $k$  is a perfect ring with characteristic  $p > 0$ , there is a unique and multiplicative section  $r : k \rightarrow W(k)$ . Moreover, every element in  $W(k)$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a_n] p^n$ , in which  $[a_n]$  is the Teichmüller lift of  $a_n$ , i.e.  $[a_n] = (a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(k)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x \in k$ , we can find a compatible sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $k$ ,  $x_n^{p^n} = x_0 = x$  and  $x_{n+1}^p = x_n$ . For each  $x_n$ , we choose a lifting  $\hat{x}_n \in W(k)$ . Then  $\hat{x}_{n+1}^p \equiv \hat{x}_n \pmod{p}$  implies  $\hat{x}_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}} \equiv \hat{x}_n^{p^n} \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . Therefore  $r(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_n^{p^n}$  exists. If we choose another lifting sequence  $(\hat{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $x_n \equiv a_n \pmod{p}$ , and  $x_n^{p^n} \equiv a_n^{p^n} \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . Hence, the limits coincide in  $W(k)$ . This means  $r(x)$  is independent of the choice of liftings. It is easy to see  $r$  is a section. For the multiplicity, we can choose the lifting of  $xy$  to be the multiple of their own lifting.

Moreover if  $t$  is another section, we can always choose  $\hat{x}_n = t(x_n)$ , then

$$r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_n^{p^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(x_n)^{p^n} = t(x)$$

So the uniqueness follows.

To prove the last statement, we choose  $a \in W(k)$ . Considering the projection  $pr : W(k) \rightarrow k$ , we have  $a = [pr(a)] + pa'$  for some  $a' \in W(k)$ . Let  $a_0 = pr(a)$  and then do the same thing for  $a'$ . Let  $a_1 = pr(a')$  and continue this process. We then get a unique sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a_n] p^n$ .  $\square$

Now, we can construct the map  $\theta$  in a concrete form:

$$\theta\left(\sum [c_n] p^n\right) = \sum c_n^{(0)} p^n.$$

There is another formula of  $\theta$

$$\theta : (r_0, r_1, \dots) \rightarrow \sum r_n^{(n)} p^n.$$

This map is  $G_K$ -equivariant, we want to prove it is actually a ring homomorphism.

**Lemma 3.8.** *The map  $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  is a ring homomorphism.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that

$$\theta_n = \theta \pmod{p^n} : W_n(\mathcal{O}^b) = W(\mathcal{O}^b)/(p^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_K}/(p^n) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/(p^n)$$

is a ring map for all  $n \geq 1$ . First, we check  $\theta_n$  is additive.

Write  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  with  $x_i \in \mathcal{O}^b$ , so

$$\theta_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{(i)} p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i (x_i^{(n)}) p^{n-i}$$

Recall that the  $(n-1)$ -th phantom component

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n-1} : W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i x_i^{p^{n-i-1}} \end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism. If  $x_i \equiv y_i \pmod{p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}}$  then  $x_i^{p^{n-i-1}} \equiv y_i^{p^{n-i-1}} \pmod{p^{n-i} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}}$ , so  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i x_i^{p^{n-i-1}} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i y_i^{p^{n-i-1}} \pmod{p^{n-i} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}}$ . Hence  $\Phi_{n-1} = \bar{\Phi}_{n-1} \circ \pi_n$  where  $\pi_n : W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$  is the natural quotient map and  $\bar{\Phi}_{n-1} : W_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ .

Since  $\pi_n$  is surjective and additive and  $\Phi_{n-1}$  is additive,  $\bar{\Phi}_{n-1}$  is also additive. Let  $f_n : \mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/p \mathcal{O}_C$  denotes the projection  $r \rightarrow r^{(n)} \pmod{p}$ , we have

$$\theta_n = \bar{\Phi}_{n-1} \circ W_n(f_n).$$

The map  $W_n(f_n)$  is additive since  $f_n$  is a ring homomorphism and additive structure on  $W_n$  is functorial in ring homomorphisms. So  $\theta_n$  is additive.

Then come to the multiplicity, this can be reduced to the case  $x = [r_1]$  and  $x' = [r_2]$

$$\theta([r_1][r_2]) = \theta([r_1 r_2]) = (r_1 r_2)^{(0)} = r_1^{(0)} r_2^{(0)} = \theta([r_1])\theta([r_2]).$$

□

**Proposition 3.9.** *The homomorphism  $\theta$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* For any  $a \in \mathcal{O}_C$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{O}^b$  such that  $x^{(0)} = a$ , then  $\theta[x] = x^{(0)} = a$ . □

Write  $\tilde{p} = (p, p^{1/p}, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}^b$ ,  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\tilde{p}) = 1$ . Let  $\xi = [\tilde{p}] - p \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . We now state the most important property of the map  $\theta$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *The ideal  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$  is a principal ideal generated by  $\xi$ . Moreover, an element  $w = (r_0, r_1, \dots) \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$  is a generator if and only if  $r_1$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}^b$ .*

*Proof.*  $\theta(\xi) = \theta([\tilde{p}]) - p = \tilde{p}^{(0)} - p = 0$ , so  $\xi$  is in  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$ . Note that  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\tilde{p}) = 1$ . Choose any element  $y \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$ . We can write  $y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [y_n] p^n$ . Then  $\theta(y) = \sum y_n^{(0)} p^n = 0$ . So  $y_0^{(0)} \in p\mathcal{O}_C$ , i.e.  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(y_0) \geq 1$ . This means there exists an element  $z_0 \in \mathcal{O}^b$  such that  $y_0 = \tilde{\xi} z_0$ , which implies that  $y - \xi[z_0] \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$  has reduction 0 modulo  $p$ . We can write  $y - \xi[z_0] = p y'$ . Then  $y'$  is also in  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$ . By induction, we can construct a sequence  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $y - \xi \sum_{i=0}^n [z_i] \in p^{n+1} \text{Ker}(\theta)$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  is  $p$ -adically separated and complete,  $y = \xi \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i [z_i] \in \xi \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ .

Assume  $w = (r_0, r_1, \dots) \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$ , then we have

$$w = \xi \cdot (s_0, s_1, \dots) = (\tilde{p}, -1, \dots)(s_0, s_1, \dots) = (\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{p}^p s_1 - s_0^p, \dots),$$

So  $r_1 = \tilde{p}^p s_1 - s_0^p$ . Therefore,  $r_1$  is a unit if and only if  $s_0$  is a unit, which can be seen via the valuation on  $\mathcal{O}^b$ . That  $s_0$  is a unit is equivalent to that  $(s_0, s_1, \dots)$  is a unit. Then it is equivalent to  $w$  is a generator of  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$ . □

According to the proof above, we can get that any element  $x$  in  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$  whose reduction  $\bar{x}$  satisfies  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\bar{x}) = 1$  is a generator of  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$ . Define  $\varpi = \frac{[\varepsilon] - 1}{[\varepsilon^{1/p}] - 1} = 1 + [\varepsilon^{1/p}] + [\varepsilon^{1/p}]^2 + \dots + [\varepsilon^{1/p}]^{p-1} \in W(R)$ . It is a generator of  $\text{Ker} \theta$  by using this criterion. In fact, one has  $\bar{\varpi} = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon^{1/p} - 1} = (\varepsilon^{1/p} - 1)^{p-1}$ . So  $v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\bar{\varpi}) = (p-1)v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\varepsilon^{1/p} - 1) = \frac{p-1}{p}v_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\varepsilon - 1) = 1$ .

Now we extend the map  $\theta$  to  $C$  which is a  $G_K$ -equivariant surjective ring homomorphism.

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C[1/p] = C.$$

**Corollary 3.11.** *For all  $j \geq 1$*

$$\mathbb{A}_{inf} \cap (\text{Ker} \theta_{\mathbb{Q}})^j = (\text{Ker} \theta)^j$$

*Also,  $\cap(\text{Ker} \theta)^j = \cap(\text{Ker} \theta_{\mathbb{Q}})^j = 0$ .*

Let  $B_{dR}^+$  be the completion of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$  for the  $\text{Ker}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}})$ -adic topology, i.e.,

$$B_{dR}^+ := \varprojlim_j \mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]/(\text{Ker}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}})^j).$$

The transition maps are  $G_K$ -equivariant, so  $B_{dR}^+$  is also  $G_K$ -equivariant which is compatible with action on its subring  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$ . The map  $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}}$  can also extend to a surjective ring homomorphism  $\theta : B_{dR}^+ \rightarrow C$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *The ring  $B_{dR}^+$  is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $C_K$  and any generator of  $\text{Ker } \theta_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a uniformizer of  $B_{dR}^+$ .*

**Remark 3.13.** There is a natural Frobenius map  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$ , but it does not extend to  $B_{dR}^+$  since it does not preserve  $\text{Ker } \theta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\varphi(\xi) = [\tilde{p}^p] - p \notin \text{Ker } \theta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This impels us to find a finer ring with a Frobenius map compatible with which on  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$ .

**Definition 3.14.** *The de Rham period ring is  $B_{dR} := \text{Frac}(B_{dR}^+)$ , which has a natural  $G_K$ -action and  $G_K$ -stable filtration, i.e.  $\text{Fil}^i(B_{dR}) = (\text{Ker } \theta)^i$ , ( $\text{Ker } \theta$  is the maximal ideal of  $B_{dR}^+$ ).*

Next, we will prove  $B_{dR}$  is really a refinement of  $B_{HT}$ . The fact is that the associated graded algebra of  $B_{dR}$  is  $G_K$ -equivariantly isomorphic to  $B_{HT}$ .

The strategy is to prove that  $B_{dR}^+$  admits a uniformizer  $t$ , on which  $G_K$  acts by  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character. To construct  $t$ , recall that  $[\varepsilon] - 1 \in \text{Ker } \theta$ , so the logarithm makes sense,

$$t := \log([\varepsilon]) = \log(1 + ([\varepsilon] - 1)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{([\varepsilon] - 1)^n}{n} \in B_{dR}^+.$$

The  $G_K$ -action on  $t$  is

$$g(t) = \log(g([\varepsilon])) = \log([\varepsilon]^{\chi(g)}) = \chi(g)t.$$

This means  $\mathbb{Z}_p t$  is a canonical copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$  as a  $G_K$ -stable line in  $B_{dR}^+$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *The element  $t \in \text{Fil}^1 B_{dR}$  and  $t \notin \text{Fil}^2 B_{dR}$ , i.e.  $t$  generates the maximal ideal of  $B_{dR}^+$ .*

*Proof.* According to the definition of  $t$ , we have  $t \equiv [\varepsilon] - 1 \pmod{\text{Fil}^2 B_{dR}^+}$ .  $[\varepsilon] - 1 = \varpi([\varepsilon^{1/p}] - 1)$ . As  $\theta([\varepsilon^{1/p}] - 1) \neq 0$ , we have  $[\varepsilon^{1/p}] - 1 \in B_{dR}^{+\times}$ . So  $[\varepsilon] - 1 \in \text{Fil}^1 B_{dR}^+ \setminus \text{Fil}^2 B_{dR}^+$ . Then so does  $t$ . This means  $t$  is a uniformizer of  $B_{dR}^+$ .  $\square$

Then we have the corollary.

**Corollary 3.16.**  *$gr^i B_{dR} = C(i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $gr^\bullet B_{dR} \simeq B_{HT}$ .*

**Remark 3.17.** The construction of  $B_{dR}^+$  only involves the field  $C_K$ . If  $K' \subseteq C_K$  is a complete discrete value field (eg, finite extension or  $\widehat{K^{ur}}$ , we get the same field whether we use  $K$  or  $K'$ . The group  $G_K, G_{K'}$  can both be seen as the subgroup of isometric automorphism group of  $C_K$ .

**3.4. De Rham representation.** In this subsection, we will put the de Rham period ring into the general formalism of admissible representations. Since  $B_{dR}$  is a field, it is trivially that it is  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular. We will also explore the results brought by the filtration structure on  $B_{dR}$ .

**Theorem 3.18.**  *$B_{dR}^{G_K} = K$ .*

*Proof.*  $K \subset B_{dR}^{G_K}$  follows the Proposition 3.16. Since the  $G_K$ -action respect the filtration, the field extension  $B_{dR}^{G_K}$  of  $K$  with the subspace filtration has associated graded  $K$ -algebra that injects into  $(gr^\bullet(B_{dR}))^{G_K} = B_{HT}^{G_K}$ . By Tate-Sen theorem,  $B_{HT}^{G_K} = K$ . So  $B_{dR}^{G_K} = K$ .  $\square$



Now we have already see  $B_{dR}$  is  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular and  $B_{dR}^{G_K} = K$ . By the general formalism of admissible representation, we define the covariant functor  $D_{dR} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_K$  by

$$D_{dR}(V) = (B_{dR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$$

For any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , we have an injective  $G_K$ -equivariant comparison map

$$\alpha_V : B_{dR} \otimes_K D_{dR}(V) \rightarrow B_{dR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

The general result tells us that  $\dim_K(D_{dR}(V)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ .

**Definition 3.19.**  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is called de Rham representation or  $B_{dR}$ -admissible if  $\dim_K D_{dR}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ .

The map  $\alpha_V$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $V$  is a de Rham representation. We use  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{dR} \subseteq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  to denote the subcategory of de Rham representations. Note that  $D_{dR} : \text{Rep}_{G_K}^{dR} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_K$  is a faithful, exact functor and any subrepresentation or quotient of a de Rham representation is also a de Rham representation.

Now we focus on the target category of the functor  $D_{dR}$ . Since  $B_{dR}$  has a natural filtration, this induces that  $D_{dR}(V) = (B_{dR} \otimes V)^{G_K}$  also has a filtration.

$$\text{Fil}^i(D_{dR}) := (\text{Fil}^i B_{dR} \otimes V)^{G_K}.$$

Now we define a new category.

**Definition 3.20.** A filtered  $K$ -module is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $D$  endowed with a decreasing, separated and exhaustive filtration  $(\text{Fil}^i D)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , respectively which means  $\text{Fil}^{i+1} D \subset \text{Fil}^i D$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{Fil}^i D = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$  and  $\text{Fil}^i D = D$  for  $i \ll 0$ . A morphism  $(D_1, \text{Fil}^\bullet D_1) \rightarrow (D_2, \text{Fil}^\bullet D_2)$  is a  $K$ -linear map  $f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  which satisfies  $f(\text{Fil}^i(D_1)) \subset \text{Fil}^i(D_2)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $MF_K$  the category of filtered  $K$ -modules.

**Remark 3.21.** In the category  $MF_K$ , there are good notions of kernel and cokernel of a map  $T : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ . The subspace filtration

$$\text{Fil}^i(\text{Ker } T) := \text{Ker}(T) \cap \text{Fil}^i(D_1)$$

and the quotient filtration

$$\text{Fil}^i(\text{Coker } T) := (\text{Fil}^i D_2 + T(D_1))/T(D_1)$$

Though  $MF_K$  has kernel and cokernel, it is not an abelian category. For example, we choose  $D_1 = D_2 = D$  and  $T = id$ , the filtration on  $D_1$  is  $\text{Fil}^i D_1 = D$  for  $i \leq 0$  and  $\text{Fil}^i D_1 = 0$  if  $i > 0$ . The filtration on  $D_2$  is  $\text{Fil}^i D_2 = D$  for  $i \leq 1$  and  $\text{Fil}^i D_2 = 0$  if  $i > 1$ . Then  $T$  is not an isomorphism in  $MF_K$ . There is another construction of new filtration associated with tensor product.

$$\text{Fil}^n(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \bigoplus \text{Fil}^i(D_1) \otimes \text{Fil}^{n-i}(D_2)$$

**Proposition 3.22.** For  $V \in \text{Rep}_{G_K}^{dR}$ , the  $G_K$ -equivariant comparison isomorphism

$$\alpha_V : B_{dR} \otimes_K D_{dR}(V) \rightarrow B_{dR} \otimes V$$

respects the filtrations and the inverse does too.

**Proposition 3.23.** *For any complete discretely-valued extension  $K'/K$  inside  $C_K$  and any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , the natural map  $K' \otimes_K D_{dR,K}(V) \rightarrow D_{dR,K'}(V)$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{Fil}_{K'}$ . In particular,  $V$  is de Rham as  $G_K$ -representation if and only if it is de Rham as  $G_{K'}$ -representation.*

At the end of this section, we state a proposition relating de Rham representations and Hodge-Tate representations.

**Proposition 3.24.** *If  $V$  is de Rham then  $V$  is Hodge-Tate and  $\text{gr}^\bullet(D_{dR}(V)) = D_{HT}(V)$  as graded vector spaces. In general, there is an injection  $\text{gr}^\bullet(D_{dR}(V)) \hookrightarrow D_{HT}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Fil}^{i+1} B_{dR} \rightarrow \text{Fil}^i B_{dR} \rightarrow C(i) \rightarrow 0$$

This induces a left exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Fil}^{i+1} D_{dR}(V) \rightarrow \text{Fil}^i D_{dR}(V) \rightarrow (C(i) \otimes V)^{G_K}$$

so we have  $\text{gr}^i D_{dR}(V) \hookrightarrow (C(i) \otimes V)^{G_K}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and then  $\text{gr}^\bullet D_{dR}(V) \hookrightarrow D_{HT}(V)$ . If  $V$  is de Rham, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V = \dim_K(D_{dR}(V)) = \dim_K(\text{gr}^\bullet D_{dR}(V)) \leq \dim_K(D_{HT}(V)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$$

So  $V$  is Hodge-Tate.  $\square$

#### 4. A FINER PERIOD RING: $B_{cris}$

We have seen that being a de Rham representation is not sensitive to complete finitely ramified extensions, which means that it can not distinguish good reduction and potentially good reduction in geometry. A drawback of  $B_{dR}^+$  is that the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$  does not preserve  $\text{Ker } \theta_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , so there is no natural Frobenius endomorphism of  $B_{dR} = \text{Frac}(B_{dR}^+) = B_{dR}^+[1/t]$ .

The fact that  $B_{dR}$  refines  $B_{HT}$  inspires us to find a period ring with a finer structure than  $B_{dR}$ . This impels us to construct a Frobenius-stable ring which is also a subring of  $B_{dR}$ . At the same time, we need to find a new target category with richer algebraic structures. In fact, there exists such a period ring  $B_{cris} \subset B_{dR}$  which is also  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular. The target category of the functor  $D_{cris}$  is  $MF_K^\varphi$ , the category of filtered  $\varphi$ -modules. The ring  $B_{cris}$  has three structures:  $G_K$ -action, Frobenius operator and filtration. The amazing thing is that we can recover the original  $p$ -adic representation by using the three structures.

**4.1. Motivation for  $MF_K^\varphi$ .** In this subsection, we will find some motivation from geometry generalizing the category  $MF_K$  to classify good  $p$ -adic representations.

For an abelian variety over a  $p$ -adic field  $K$ , a good reduction means it is the  $K$ -fiber of an abelian scheme. An abelian scheme is a smooth proper scheme over  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$  with connected geometric fibers.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , let  $A_0$  be its special fiber and  $A_K$  be its generic fiber. The theory of crystalline cohomology over  $k$  provides a finitely generated  $W(k)$ -module  $H_{cris}^i(A_0/W(k))$  equipped with a Frobenius semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi$  such that the induced endomorphism of the  $K_0$ -vector space  $H_{cris}^i(A_0/W(k)[1/p])$  is bijective. This impels the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** An isocrystal over  $K_0$  is a finite dimensional  $K_0$ -vector space  $D$  equipped with a bijective Frobenius semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi_D : D \rightarrow D$ .

Moreover, there is a comparison isomorphism between crystalline and de Rham cohomology

$$H_{dR}^i(A_K/K) \simeq K \otimes_{K_0} H_{cris}^i(A_0/W(k))[1/p]$$

Thus,  $D = H_{cris}^i(A_0/W(k))[1/p]$  is an isocrystal over  $K_0$  for which the scalar extension  $D_K = D \otimes_{K_0} K$  is equipped with a filtration due to the filtration of  $H_{dR}^i(A_K/K)$ . These considerations lead us to the new concept which refines  $MF_K$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field. A filtered  $\varphi$ -module over  $K$  is a triple  $(D, \varphi, Fil^\bullet)$  where  $(D, \varphi_D)$  is an isocrystal over  $K_0$  and  $(D_K, Fil^\bullet)$  is an object in  $MF_K$ . A morphism  $D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  between two filtered  $\varphi$ -modules is a  $K_0$ -linear map  $D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  that is compatible with both  $\varphi_{D_1}$  and  $\varphi_{D_2}$  and has a  $K$ -linear extension  $D_{1K} \rightarrow D_{2K}$  that is a morphism in  $MF_K$ . The category is denoted by  $MF_K^\varphi$ .

We will see that this category is the correct one which  $D_{cris}(V)$  lies in.

**4.2. Divided power structure.** In this subsection, we shall introduce some notions needed in the construction of  $B_{cris}$ . The references for this part are [Ber06] and [BO15].

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$ . A collection  $\gamma_n : I \rightarrow I, n > 0$  is called a divided power structure (or PD-structure) on  $I$  if for all  $n \neq 0, m > 0, x, y \in I$ , and  $a \in A$ , we have

- (i)  $\gamma_1(x) = x, \gamma_0(x) = 1,$
- (ii)  $\gamma_n(x)\gamma_m(x) = \binom{n+m}{m}\gamma_{n+m}(x),$
- (iii)  $\gamma_n(ax) = a^n\gamma_n(x),$
- (iv)  $\gamma_n(x+y) = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i(x)\gamma_{n-i}(y),$
- (v)  $\gamma_n(\gamma_m(x)) = \frac{(nm)!}{n!(m!)^n}\gamma_{nm}(x).$

We say  $(A, I, \gamma)$  is a divided power ring. A homomorphism of divided power rings  $f : (A, I, \gamma) \rightarrow (B, J, \delta)$  is a ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $f(I) \subset J$  and such that  $\delta_n(f(x)) = f(\gamma_n(x))$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $n > 0$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $(A, I, \gamma)$  be a divided power ring and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism.

- (i) We say  $\gamma$  extends to  $B$  if there exists a PD-structure  $\bar{\gamma}$  on  $B$  such that  $f : (A, I, \gamma) \rightarrow (B, IB, \bar{\gamma})$  is a PD-morphism.
- (ii) Let  $(B, J, \delta)$  be a divided power ring. We say that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are compatible if  $\gamma$  extends to  $B$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  and  $\delta$  agrees on  $IB \cap J$ .

**Definition 4.5.** If  $A$  is a ring,  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra and  $(B, J, \delta)$  is a divided power ring, then we call  $B$  a divided power  $A$ -algebra.

Given a divided power ring  $(A, I, \gamma)$ , let  $D$  be the category of divided power  $A$ -algebras that are compatible with  $\gamma$ . Morphisms in  $D$  are just divided power  $A$ -algebra homomorphisms. Let  $D'$  be the category of pairs  $(B, J)$  of an  $A$ -algebra  $B$  and an arbitrary ideal  $J \subset B$ . Morphisms are  $A$ -algebra homomorphism which induces  $A$ -linear morphism between the given ideals. We have the forgetful functor

$$\omega : D \rightarrow D', \quad (B, J, \delta) \rightarrow (B, J).$$

**Theorem 4.6.** *The functor  $\omega$  admits a left adjoint functor  $D_\gamma$ . That is to say*

$$\text{Hom}_D(D_\gamma(B, J), (C, K, \varepsilon)) \cong \text{Hom}_{D'}((B, J), (C, K)).$$

*Proof.* See [BO15, 3.19] or [Ber06, 2.3.1].  $\square$

We call the divided power ring  $D_\gamma(B, J)$  the divided power envelope of  $J$  in  $B$  relative  $(A, I, \gamma)$ .

**4.3. Properties of the ring  $A_{cris}$ .** Let  $A_{cris}^0$  be the divided power envelope of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  with respect to  $\text{Ker } \theta$ , in concrete terms which is the  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$ -subalgebra

$$\mathbb{A}_{inf}[\alpha^{[m]}]_{m \geq 1, \alpha \in \text{Ker } \theta} = \mathbb{A}_{inf}[\xi^{[m]}]_{m \geq 1}$$

in  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$ ,  $\xi^{[m]} = \xi^m/m!$ . In fact,  $A_{cris}^0$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flat domain since it is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is flat if and only if it is torsion free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We define

$$A_{cris} := \varprojlim A_{cris}^0/(p^n)$$

to be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $A_{cris}^0$ . Then  $A_{cris}$  is  $p$ -adically separated and complete.

We have already a map  $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ . By mapping  $x^n/n!$  to 0 for all  $x \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , we get the map  $\theta : A_{cris}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is  $p$ -adically complete, we can extend to the map  $\theta : A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  (from now on, in this subsection  $\theta$  will always denote the map  $A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ ). Define  $Fil^i A_{cris}^0$  to be the ideal of  $A_{cris}^0$  generated by  $\{\xi^{[n]}\}_{n \geq i}$ . We also define  $Fil^i A_{cris}$  to be the  $p$ -adic closure of the ideal of  $A_{cris}$  generated by  $\{\xi^{[n]}\}_{n \geq i}$ . In particular,  $Fil^1 A_{cris} = \text{Ker}(\theta)$ . To see this, suppose there exists  $a \in A_{cris}$  such that  $\theta(a) = 0$  and  $a$  is not in the  $Fil^1 A_{cris}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A_{cris}^0$  such that  $a = \lim a_n$ . In fact, we can choose the sequence such that for every  $a_n$ , there exists  $b_n \in Fil^1 A_{cris}$  and  $c_n$  such that  $\theta(a_n) = p^n \theta(c_n)$  and  $a_n = b_n + p^n c_n$ . Hence,  $a = \lim b_n$  which means  $a$  is in  $Fil^1 A_{cris}$ . For the similar reason, one can prove  $Fil^i A_{cris} = \mathbb{A}_{inf} \xi^{[i]} + Fil^{i+1} A_{cris}$ . The map  $\theta$  induces an isomorphism

$$gr^i A_{cris} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \xi^{[i]}$$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $\gamma$  be the map  $x \rightarrow \frac{x^p}{p}$ . We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{A}_{inf}[\delta_m]_{m \in \mathbb{N}} / (p\delta_0 - \xi^p, p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \simeq A_{cris}^0.$$

in which  $\delta_m$  is sent to the image of  $\gamma^{m+1}(\xi)$ .

**Corollary 4.8.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$A_{cris}/pA_{cris} \simeq (\mathcal{O}^b/\tilde{p}^p\mathcal{O}^b)[\delta_m]_{m \in \mathbb{N}} / (\delta_m^p)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

The proof of the proposition and the corollary can be seen in [Bri08, 6.1.2]. Note that we can see  $A_{cris}^0$  is  $p$ -adically separated from the proposition 4.7 and the map  $A_{cris}^0 \rightarrow A_{cris}$  is injective. Now we want to construct a map  $A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  and use the corollary to prove the map is actually injective.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have a natural map  $\mathbb{A}_{inf} \hookrightarrow B_{dR}^+ \rightarrow B_{m+1} := B_{dR}^+/\text{Ker}(\theta)^{m+1}$ . Since  $p$  is invertible in  $B_{dR}^+$  then in  $B_{m+1}$ , this map can extend to a map  $A_{cris}^0 \rightarrow B_{m+1}$ . As  $A_{cris}^0 = \sum_{n=0}^m \xi^{[n]} \mathbb{A}_{inf} + Fil^{m+1} A_{cris}^0 \subset \frac{1}{m!} \mathbb{A}_{inf} + Fil^{m+1} A_{cris}^0$  and the image of  $Fil^{m+1} A_{cris}^0$  in  $B_{m+1}$  is zero, the image of  $A_{cris}^0$  in  $B_{m+1}$  is contained in the image of  $\frac{1}{m!} \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ , i.e.  $A_{cris}^0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{m!} \mathbb{A}_{inf} / \text{Ker}(\theta)^{m+1} \hookrightarrow B_{m+1}$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  is  $p$ -adically complete, we can extend the map  $A_{cris}^0 \rightarrow B_{m+1}$  to a map  $A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{m+1}$ . Then passing to the projective limit, it gives rise to a map

$$f : A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}.$$

**Proposition 4.9.** *The map  $f : A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Consider the map  $A_{cris} \rightarrow B_i$ . Then  $Fil^i A_{cris}$  is a subset of the kernel of this map, which means  $f(Fil^i A_{cris}) \subset Fil^i B_{dR}^+$ . We can prove by induction that  $f^{-1}(Fil^i B_{dR}^+) = Fil^i A_{cris}$ . When  $i = 1$ , the map  $f_1 : A_{cris} \rightarrow B_1$  is just the map  $\theta : A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ , by definition  $Fil^1 A_{cris} = \text{Ker}(\theta)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(Fil^1 B_{dR}^+) = Fil^1 A_{cris}$ . Suppose  $f^{-1}(Fil^{n-1} B_{dR}^+) = Fil^{n-1} A_{cris}$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(Fil^n B_{dR}^+)$ . Then  $x = \xi^{[n-1]} + x_1$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$  and  $x_i \in Fil^n A_{cris}$ . We then have  $f(\xi^{[n-1]} x_0) \in Fil^n B_{dR}^+$ , i.e.  $x_0 \in \xi \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . So  $x \in \xi \xi^{[n-1]} \mathbb{A}_{inf} + Fil^n A_{cris} = Fil^n A_{cris}$ .

So  $\text{Ker}(f) = \cap Fil^i A_{cris}$ . Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . The image of  $Fil^{p^n} A_{cris}$  in  $A_{cris}/pA_{cris}$  is the ideal generated by  $\{\delta_m\}_{m \geq n-1}$ . By the preceding lemma, the intersection of latter is  $\{0\}$ . So  $x \in pA_{cris}$ . We then can write  $x = py$ .  $pf(y) = 0$  implies  $y \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . By induction, this means  $x \in \cap p^n A_{cris} = \{0\}$  since  $A_{cris}$  is  $p$ -adically separated.  $\square$

With this proposition, we can regard  $A_{cris}$  as a subring of  $B_{dR}^+$  and it is actually an integral domain.

In fact, by [Fon17, 4.1.2], the image of  $A_{cris}$  in  $B_{dR}^+$  has a concrete form

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \mid a_n \in W(R), a_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for the } p\text{-adic topology} \right\}$$

This infinite sums are taken with respect to the discretely-valued topology of  $B_{dR}^+$ . It converges since  $\xi$  is in the maximal ideal of  $B_{dR}^+$ .

**Proposition 4.10.**  *$A_{cris}$  is  $G_K$ -stable in  $B_{dR}^+$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  is  $G_K$ -equivariant. The ideal  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$  is  $G_K$ -stable. Then the action of  $G_K$  extends to  $A_{cris}^0$ .  $A_{cris} = \varprojlim A_{cris}^0/(p^n)$ , then  $G_k$  acts on each component  $A_{cris}^0/(p^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The transition map  $A_{cris}^0/(p^{n+1}) \rightarrow A_{cris}^0/(p^n)$  is also  $G_K$ -equivariant, so  $A_{cris}$  is  $G_K$ -stable.  $\square$

**4.4. Construction of the period ring  $B_{cris}$ .** Define  $B_{cris}^+ := A_{cris}[1/p] \subseteq B_{dR}^+$  and it is  $G_K$ -stable. Recall the element  $t = \log[\varepsilon] = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} ([\varepsilon] - 1)^n / n$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** (i)  $t \in A_{cris}$  and  $t^{p-1} \in pA_{cris}$ , so  $t^p/p! \in A_{cris}$ .

(ii) For all  $a \in \text{Ker}(A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{CK})$  we have  $a^m/m! \in A_{cris}$ , for all  $m \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $[\varepsilon] - 1 = b\xi$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ ,  $\frac{([\varepsilon]-1)^n}{n} = (n-1)! b^n \gamma_n(\xi)$  and  $(n_1)! b^n$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology. So  $t \in A_{cris}$ . To show  $t^{p-1} \in pA_{cris}$ , we just need to show that  $([\varepsilon] - 1)^{p-1} \in pA_{cris}$ . Note that  $[\varepsilon] - 1 = (\varepsilon - 1, \dots)$  and  $(\varepsilon - 1)^{(n)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\zeta_{p^{n+m}} - 1)^{p^m}$  where  $\zeta_{p^n} = \varepsilon^{(n)}$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then  $v((\varepsilon - 1)^{(n)}) = 1/(p^{n-1}(p-1))$  and

$$(\varepsilon - 1)^{p-1} = u_1(p^p, 1, \dots) = u_2 \varpi^p.$$

where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are units. Then

$$([\varepsilon] - 1)^{p-1} \equiv [\varpi^p] \cdot (\dots) = (\xi - p)^p \cdot (\dots) \equiv \xi^p \cdot (\dots) \pmod{pA_{cris}}.$$

But  $\xi^p = p(p-1)! \gamma_p(\xi) \in pA_{cris}$ , then we get the result.

(2) if  $a = \sum a_n \gamma_n(\xi) \in A_{cris}^0$ , then

$$\frac{a^m}{m!} = \sum_{\sum i_n = m} \prod_n a_n \frac{\xi^{ni_n}}{(n!)^{i_n} (i_n)!}.$$

We have  $\frac{(ni)!}{(n!)^{i!}} \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $i > 0$ ,  $\frac{(ni)!}{(n!)^{i!}}$  can be interpreted combinatorially as the number of choices to put  $ni$  balls into  $i$  unlabeled boxes. Thus

$$\frac{a^m}{m!} = \sum_{\sum i_n = m} \prod_n a_n \frac{(ni_n)!}{(n!)^{i_n} (i_n)!} \gamma^{ni_n}(\xi) \in A_{cris}^0.$$

and  $\theta(\frac{a^m}{m!}) = 0$ .

The case for  $a \in A_{cris}$  can be proved by continuity. □

**Definition 4.12.** *The crystalline period ring is  $B_{cris} = B_{cris}^+[1/t] = A_{cris}[1/t] \subset B_{dR}^+[1/t] = B_{dR}$ .*

Analogous to the construction of  $B_{dR}$ , the construction of  $B_{cris}^+$  and  $B_{cris}$  with their Frobenius and Galois structures only depend on the field  $C$ , which means if we replace  $K$  with any complete discretely valued field  $K' \subset C$  we get the same  $B_{cris}^+$  and  $B_{cris}$ . In particular, the Galois group can be regarded as a subgroup of the isometric automorphism group of  $C$ . Since  $W(k) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{inf} \subseteq A_{cris}$ , we have  $K_0 = W(k)[1/p] \subseteq B_{cris}$ , so  $K_0 \subseteq B_{cris}^{G_K} \subseteq B_{dR}^{G_K} = K$ . Our aim is to prove  $B_{cris}$  is  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular.

**Theorem 4.13.** *The natural  $G_K$ -equivariant map  $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* We give the sketch of the proof. It suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$ . We now introduce some auxiliary rings. Define  $A_{max}$  to be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[\frac{\xi}{p}]$ . Similarly, let  $\xi_\pi = [\tilde{\pi}] - 1 \in \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf}$  ( $\pi$  is a uniformizer of  $K$ ) and  $A_{max,K}$  the  $p$ -adic completion of  $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi_\pi}{\pi}]$ . Note that  $\text{Ker}(1 \otimes \theta : \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C)$  is the principle ideal generated by  $\xi_\pi$ . The ring  $A_{max}$  (resp.  $A_{max,K}$ ) is filtered by  $Fil^i A_{max} = (\frac{\xi}{p})^i A_{max}$  (resp.  $Fil^i A_{max,K} = (\frac{\xi_\pi}{\pi})^i A_{max,K}$ ). Then

$$A_{max}/(p) \simeq \mathbb{A}_{inf}[T]/(pT - \xi, p) \simeq (\mathcal{O}^b/\tilde{p}\mathcal{O}^b)[T]$$

and similarly,

$$A_{max,K}/(\pi) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbb{A}_{inf})[T]/(\pi T - \xi_\pi, \pi) \simeq (\mathcal{O}^b/\tilde{\pi}\mathcal{O}^b)[T].$$

The image of  $Fil^i A_{max}$  (resp.  $Fil^i A_{max,K}$ ) in  $A_{max}/(p)$  (resp.  $A_{max,K}/(\pi)$ ) is the ideal generated by  $T^i$ . One can use the same methods which prove  $A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  is injective to see the natural maps  $A_{max} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  and  $A_{max,K} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  are injective.

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $\xi^{[n]} \in A_{max}$ . So  $A_{cris} \subset A_{max}$  in  $B_{dR}^+$ . There is a map  $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow A_{max,K}$ . Let  $e$  be the ramification index of  $K$ . We can deduce  $(\frac{[\tilde{\pi}]}{\pi})^e = \alpha \frac{[p]}{p}$  where  $\alpha = \frac{[\tilde{u}]}{u} \in (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})^\times$ . This shows that  $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi}{p}] \subset (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi_\pi}{\pi}]$ . Moreover, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{[\tilde{\pi}]}{\pi}\right)^n \in \frac{1}{p}(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})\left[\frac{\xi}{p}\right].$$

Thus we have the inclusion  $(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi}{p}] \subset (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi_\pi}{\pi}] \subset \frac{1}{p}(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{A}_{inf})[\frac{\xi}{p}]$ . Taking  $p$ -adic completions, we get the inclusions

$$\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{W(k)} A_{max} \subset A_{max,K} \subset \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{O}_K \otimes A_{max}.$$

So the map  $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes A_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$  is the composite of

$$\mathcal{O}_K \otimes A_{cris} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K \otimes A_{max} \subset B_{dR}^+.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $W(k)$ -flat, this is injective.  $\square$

With  $B_{dR}$  a field, we get an injective map  $K \otimes_{K_0} \text{Frac}(B_{cris}) \rightarrow B_{dR}$ , put  $G_K$  action on this map, we have  $\text{Frac}(B_{cris})^{G_K} = K_0$ .

**Theorem 4.14.** *The domain  $B_{cris}$  is  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, G_K)$ -regular.*

*Proof.* It remains to verify that if  $b \in B_{cris}$  is nonzero and  $\mathbb{Q}_p b$  is  $G_K$ -stable then  $b$  is a unit. We first reduce to the case that  $b$  is in  $B_{dR}^+$  and not in the maximal ideal. Indeed, if  $b$  is in the  $i$ -th filtration of  $B_{dR}$ , then we replace  $b$  with  $t^{-i}b$ .

Let  $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  be the character of group action on  $\mathbb{Q}_p b$ . Then the residue class  $\bar{b}$  in  $C$  spans a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -line in  $C$  with  $G_K$ -action by  $\eta$ . So  $\eta$  must be continuous and the image of  $\eta$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  (since every continuous  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representation has a  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice). Moreover  $C(\eta^{-1})^{G_K} \neq 0$ , where the underlying space of  $C(\eta^{-1})$  is  $C$  and the  $G_K$ -action is given by  $g.c = \eta(g-1)(c)g(c) = (\eta(g))^{-1}g(c)$ . By Tate-Sen theorem, we know  $\eta(I_K)$  is finite, where  $I_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K^{ur})$ . Since  $I_K = G_{\widehat{K^{ur}}}$  and the construction of  $B_{cris}$  will not be influenced by replacing  $K$  with  $\widehat{K^{ur}}$ , we get that  $\bar{b}$  is algebraic over  $\widehat{K^{ur}} = W(\bar{k})[1/p] \subset B_{dR}^+$ .

As we know,  $B_{dR}$  is a complete discretely valued field. By using Hensel's lemma, there exists a unique element  $c \in B_{dR}^+$  such that  $\bar{c} = \bar{b}$  and  $c$  is algebraic over  $\widehat{K^{ur}}$  as  $\bar{b}$  is algebraic over  $\widehat{K^{ur}}$ . So  $b - c \in tB_{dR}^+$ . Moreover, because of the uniqueness of the lifting  $c$ , the  $G_K$ -action on  $B_{dR}^+$  restricted to  $c$  is given by  $\eta$ . So if  $b - c \neq 0$ ,  $b - c$  spans a  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -line in  $tB_{dR}^+$  with character  $\eta$ . And by passing to the quotient by  $t^2B_{dR}^+$ , the image of  $b - c$  is a nonzero element of  $C(1)$  on which  $G_K$  acts by  $\eta$ . In other words,  $C(\chi \cdot \eta)$  has a nonzero  $G_K$ -invariant element, where  $\chi$  is the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character. By Tate-Sen theorem,  $\chi\eta(I_K)$  is finite which contradicts to the fact that  $\eta(I_K)$  is finite. Hence  $b = c$ .

By replacing  $K$  with  $K_0$ ,  $L := \widehat{K_0^{ur}}(b) \subset B_{cris}$  is a finite extension of  $\widehat{K_0^{ur}}$ . Let  $L_0$  be the maximal unramified subfield of  $\widehat{K_0^{ur}}$ , i.e.  $L_0 = \widehat{K_0^{ur}}$ . Then there is an injective map  $L \otimes_{L_0} B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{dR}$ . Hence the subring  $L \otimes_{L_0} L$  is a domain. So  $L = L_0$  and therefore  $b \in L_0^\times \subset B_{cris}^\times$ .  $\square$

By the general formalism of admissible representation in Section 1, we consider the functor  $D_{cris} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{K_0}$  defined by

$$V \rightarrow (B_{cris} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$$

We then have the comparison morphism

$$\alpha_V : B_{cris} \otimes_K D_{cris}(V) \rightarrow B_{cris} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$$

The category of crystalline representation is denoted by  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{cris}$ . By Theorem 1.3,  $\alpha_V$  is injective and  $\dim_{K_0} D_{cris}(V) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ . We say  $V$  is crystalline if it is  $B_{cris}$ -admissible, i.e.  $\dim_{K_0}(D_{cris}(V)) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$  (or  $\alpha_V$  is an isomorphism). By the Theorem 4.13, we then add a subspace filtration on  $K \otimes_{K_0} D_{cris}(V)$  via its injection into  $D_{dR}(V)$ . In fact, we have any crystalline representation is also a de Rham representation.

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $V$  be in  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{cris}$ , then the natural map  $K \otimes_{K_0} D_{cris}(V) \rightarrow D_{dR}(V)$  in  $\text{Fil}_K$  is an isomorphism. In particular, crystalline representations are de Rham.*

*Proof.* According to the definition of filtration structure on  $K \otimes_{K_0} D_{cris}(V)$ , the natural map is a subobject inclusion in  $Fil_K$ . So we just need to compare the  $K$ -dimensions. Since  $V$  is a crystalline representation,  $\dim_{K_0} D_{cris}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$  and since  $\dim_K D_{dR}(V) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ , we must have  $\dim_K D_{dR}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ , so  $V$  is a de Rham representation.  $\square$

**4.5. Structures of  $B_{cris}$ .** In this subsection, we will introduce the Frobenius operator on  $B_{cris}$ . Recall that  $\tilde{p} = (p, p^1/p, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}^b$ ,  $\xi = \tilde{p} - p \in \text{Ker } \theta$ . We first see how Frobenius map on  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$  acts on  $A_{cris}^0$ .

**Lemma 4.16.** *The  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$ -algebra  $A_{cris}^0 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{inf}[1/p]$  is  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}$ -stable.*

*Proof.* We can compute  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\xi) = [\tilde{p}^p] - p = [\tilde{p}]^p - p = (\xi + p)^p - p = \xi^p + pw$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . Hence,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\xi) = p \cdot (w + (p-1)! \cdot (\xi^p/p!))$ , so  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\xi^m) = p^m \cdot (w + (p-1)! \cdot (\xi^p/p!))^m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Note that  $p^m/m! \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , so  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}(\xi^m/m!) \in A_{cris}^0$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Since  $A_{cris}^0$  is  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}^b}$ -stable, by continuity, we can extend uniquely to a Frobenius map on  $A_{cris}$ , and hence a map  $\varphi$  on  $B_{cris}^+ = A_{cris}[1/p]$ . What's more, we have  $\varphi(t) = pt$  with  $p \in (B_{cris}^+)^{\times}$ , so  $\varphi$  uniquely extends to an endomorphism of  $B_{cris}$ .

**Theorem 4.17.** *The Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi : A_{cris} \rightarrow A_{cris}$  is injective. In particular, the induced Frobenius endomorphism of  $B_{cris} = A_{cris}[1/t]$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Recall in Theorem 4.13  $A_{max}$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}[\delta]$  ( $\delta = \frac{\xi}{p}$ ). We have  $A_{cris} \subset A_{max}$ . As  $\varphi(\xi) \cong \xi^p \text{ mod } p\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  i.e.  $\varphi(\xi/p) \in \xi^{p-1}\delta + \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ , so  $\varphi$  extends into a  $\varphi$ -linear map  $\varphi : A_{max} \rightarrow A_{max}$ . So if we can prove the injectivity for  $A_{max}$ , the injectivity for  $A_{cris}$  follows.

Again recall in Theorem 4.13  $A_{max}/pA_{max} = (\mathcal{O}^b/\bar{\xi}\mathcal{O}^b)[\bar{\delta}]$  (where  $\bar{x}$  is the image of  $x \text{ mod } p$ ). If  $a \in A_{max}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = 0$ , then  $\bar{a}^p = 0$  in  $A_{max}/pA_{max}$ , i.e.  $a \in (\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)A_{max}$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  be such that  $a \in (\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)^i A_{max}$ . Then we can write  $a = \sum_{j=0}^i ip^{i-j}\varphi^{-1}(\xi)^j a_{i,j}$  with  $a_{i,j} \in A_{max}^{i+1}$ . We get  $0 = \varphi(a) = \sum_{j=0}^i ip^{i-j}\xi^j \varphi(a_{i,j})$ , i.e.  $p^i \sum_{j=0}^i i\varphi(a_{i,j})\delta^i = 0$ . As  $A_{max}$  has no  $p$ -torsion,  $\sum_{j=0}^i i\varphi(a_{i,j})\delta^i = 0$ . Hence  $\sum_{j=0}^i i(\bar{a}_{i,j})^p \bar{\delta}^i = 0$  in  $A_{max}/pA_{max}$ .

But  $\bar{a}_{i,j}^p \in (\mathcal{O}^b/\bar{\xi}\mathcal{O}^b)[\bar{\delta}^p]$  and  $(1, \bar{\delta}, \dots, \bar{\delta}^i)$  is linearly independent over  $(\mathcal{O}^b/\bar{\xi}\mathcal{O}^b)[\bar{\delta}^p]$ . This implies  $\bar{a}_{i,j}^p = 0$ , i.e.  $\bar{a}_{i,j} \in \bar{\xi}^{1/p}(A_{max}/pA_{max})$ . So  $a_{i,j} \in (\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)A_{max}$  for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ . It follows that  $a \in (\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)^{i+1}A_{max}$ . By induction, we deduce that  $a \in (\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)^p A_{max}$ . As  $(\varphi(\xi)^{-1})^p \cong \xi \text{ mod } pA_{max}$  and  $\xi = p\delta \in pA_{max}$ , we have  $(\varphi(\xi)^{-1})^p \in pA_{max}$ . So  $(\varphi^{-1}(\xi), p)^p A_{max} \subset pA_{max}$ , then  $a \in A_{max}$ . As  $\varphi(p) = p$  and  $A_{max}$  has no  $p$ -torsion, we have  $a \in p^n A_{max}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

Note that Frobenius map on  $K_0$  is an automorphism, we then can prove any Frobenius semilinear injection  $D \rightarrow D$  for a finite-dimensional  $K_0$ -vector space  $D$  is automatically bijective. So the functor  $D_{cris}$  actually takes values in the category  $MF_K^\varphi$ .

We have a natural map

$$B_{cris} \subseteq K \otimes_{K_0} B_{cris} \subseteq B_{dR}$$

so we can give  $B_{cris}$  a subspace filtration, i.e.,

$$Fil^i B_{cris} := B_{cris} \cap Fil^i B_{dR}.$$

Be aware that the filtration is not  $\varphi$ -stable.



**Theorem 4.18.** *The space  $(\text{Fil}^0 B_{\text{cris}})^{\varphi=1}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

The proof is very complicated. We will not state it here. The references are [Fon17, 5.3.7].

The key point of the whole story is that the three structures :  $G_K$ -action, Frobenius operator and the filtration can help us recover  $V$  from  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  when  $V$  is crystalline. Actually we can define a functor on the essential image of  $D_{\text{cris}}$  in  $MF_K^\varphi$ ,

$$V_{\text{cris}}(D_{\text{cris}}(V)) = \text{Fil}^0(B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{K_0} D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\varphi=1} \simeq V.$$

**Remark 4.19.** If  $V$  is an arbitrary element in  $MF_K^\varphi$ ,  $V_{\text{cris}}(V)$  may not be isomorphic to  $V$ . In fact, in Section 6, after we introduce the notion of admissibility and weak admissibility, we can introduce the category of weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -modules and this category is equivalent to  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{\text{cris}}$ . The quasi-inverse functors are given by  $D_{\text{cris}}$  and  $V_{\text{cris}}$ .

In the end, as a response to the fact, mentioned in the beginning of this section, that being de Rham is not sensitive to finite ramified extension, we give the following proposition. The proof can be seen in [BC09, 9.3].

**Proposition 4.20.** *If  $K'/K$  is a finite ramified extension, it is not true that  $V$  is in  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{\text{cris}}$  if it is in  $\text{Rep}_{G_{K'}}^{\text{cris}}$ . If  $K' = \bar{K}^{\text{ur}}$ , then  $V$  is crystalline as a  $G_K$ -representation if and only if it is crystalline as a  $G_{K'}$ -representation.*

## 5. ÉTALE $\varphi$ -MODULE

Different from the previous sections, we will describe  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_E = \text{Gal}(E_s/E)$  for arbitrary fields  $E$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and a fixed separable closure  $E_s$  in this section. We will construct equivalence between various representations of  $G_E$  and some categories consisting of what we call étale  $\varphi$ -modules. These are modules equipped with a  $\varphi$ -semilinear endomorphism, in which  $\varphi$  is the Frobenius operator on the coefficient rings.

The aim of introducing this section is make some preparations for Section 6 where we will adapt the theory of étale modules to study  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules and find an analogous way to describe the essential image of  $\text{Rep}_{G_K}^{\text{cris}}$  in  $\text{Rep}_{G_{K_\infty}}$  in terms of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules. The references are [Fon07] and [BC09].

We follow the strategy that we study  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -representations firstly, then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  representations, and finally pass to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  representations.

**5.1.  $p$ -torsion representations.** In this subsection, we consider the category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$  of continuous finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$  representations of  $G_E$  and show the equivalence of this category and the category of étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $E$ . We see that there are two structures on  $E_s$ , one is  $G_E$ -action and the other is the Frobenius map  $\varphi_{E_s} : E_s \rightarrow E_s$  which sends  $x$  to  $x^p$ . Correspondingly, there are two identities  $E_s^{G_E} = E$  and  $E_s^{\varphi_{E_s}=1} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . We will use these two structures to define the functors between these two categories.

Firstly, we introduce an important semilinear algebra object.

**Definition 5.1.** *An  $\varphi$ -module over  $E$  is a pair  $(M_0, \varphi_{M_0})$ , where  $M_0$  is a  $E$ -vector space and  $\varphi_{M_0}$  is a  $\varphi_E$ -semilinear endomorphism ( $\varphi_E$  is the Frobenius map on  $E$ ), i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{M_0}(x + y) &= \varphi_{M_0}(x) + \varphi_{M_0}(y), \forall x, y \in M_0 \\ \varphi_{M_0}(\lambda x) &= \varphi_E(\lambda)\varphi_{M_0}(x) = \lambda^p \varphi_{M_0}(x), \forall \lambda \in E, x \in M_0 \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.2.** A  $\varphi$ -module is called *étale* if the  $E$ -linearization  $\varphi_E^*(M_0) \rightarrow M_0$  (i.e., the  $E$ -linear map  $c \otimes m \rightarrow c\varphi_{M_0}(m)$ ) is an isomorphism, in which  $\varphi_E^*(M_0) = E \otimes_{\varphi_E, E} M_0$ . The category of étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $E$  is denoted  $\Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ .

As usual, we can construct new objects from the old ones. Tensor product is trivial. We introduce the notion of duality in  $\Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ . The point lies in the semilinear endomorphism. Let  $M \in \Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ , its dual has the underlying  $E$ -vector space the usual one of  $M$ .  $\varphi_{M^\vee} : M^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee$  sends an  $E$ -linear functional  $l : M \rightarrow E$  to the composite of  $E$ -linear pullback functional  $\varphi_E^*(l) : \varphi_E^*(M) \rightarrow E$  (i.e.  $c \otimes m \rightarrow c \cdot l(m)^p = c \cdot \varphi_E(l(m))$ ) and the inverse  $M \simeq \varphi_E^*(M)$ .

The following is a fundamental result.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The category  $\Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$  is an abelian category.*

*Proof.* If  $f : M \rightarrow L$  is a morphism, let  $K$  (resp.  $N$ ) be its kernel (resp. cokernel) in  $Vec_E$  and  $K'$  (resp.  $N'$ ) be the kernel (resp. cokernel) of  $1 \otimes f : \varphi^*(M) \rightarrow \varphi^*(L)$  in  $Vec_E$ . Since  $\varphi_E : E \rightarrow E$  is flat, we have  $\varphi^*(K) = K'$  and  $\varphi^*(N) = N'$ .

Consider the commutative diagram, in which the bottom row is exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varphi^*(N) & \longrightarrow & \varphi^*(M) & \longrightarrow & \varphi^*(L) & \longrightarrow & \varphi^*(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By the five lemma, we have  $\varphi^*(N) \simeq N$  and  $\varphi^*(K) \simeq K$ . So they are both in  $\Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ .  $\square$

As we mentioned, the separable closure  $E_s$  of  $E$  has two compatible structure:  $G_E$ -action and  $\varphi_E$ -semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi_{E_s}$ . Thus, we can define two functors.

**Definition 5.4.** For any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$ , we define  $D_E(V) = (E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{G_E}$  as an  $E$ -vector space equipped with the  $\varphi_E$ -semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi_{D_E(V)}$  induced by  $\varphi_{E_s} \otimes 1$ . For any  $M \in \Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ , define  $V_E(M)$  to be  $(E_s \otimes M)^{\varphi=1}$  with its evident  $G_E$ -action induced by the  $G_E$ -action on  $E_s$ , here  $\varphi = \varphi_{E_s} \otimes \varphi_M$ .

**Remark 5.5.** It is sometimes convenient to use contravariant versions  $D_E^*$  and  $V_E^*$  (Actually when we want to study  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules, contravariant versions are more convenient). They are defined via

$$D_E^*(V) = D_E(V^\vee), V_E^*(M) = V_E(M^\vee)$$

In another formulation

$$D_E^*(V) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p(G_E)}(V, E_s), V_E^*(M) = \text{Hom}_{E, \varphi}(M, E_s)$$

**Lemma 5.6.** For any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$ , the  $E$ -vector space  $D_E(V)$  is finite-dimensional with dimension equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V)$ , and the  $E$ -linearization of  $\varphi_{D_E(V)}$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $D_E(V_0)$  lies in  $\Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$  with  $E$ -rank equal to the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rank of  $V_0$ .

For any  $M \in \Phi M_E^{\text{ét}}$ , the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space  $V_E(M)$  is finite-dimensional with dimension at most  $\dim_E(M)$ . In particular,  $V_E(M_0)$  lies in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rank at most  $\dim_E(M_0)$ .

*Proof.* For the detailed proof, see [Fon07, A1.2]. Observe that  $E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  equipped with its diagonal  $G_E$ -action is a finite dimensional  $E_s$ -vector space equipped with a continuous semilinear  $G_E$ -action for the discrete topology. So the natural  $E_S$ -linear and  $G_E$ -equivariant map

$$E_s \otimes_E (D_E(V)) = E_s \otimes (E_s \otimes_E V)^{G_E} \rightarrow E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$$

is an isomorphism, thus  $\dim_E D_E(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V)$ . Furthermore, this map is Frobenius compatible. So to prove  $\varphi_{D_E(V)}$  is an isomorphism, we just need to prove for any finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space  $V$  the  $E_S$ -linearization of  $\varphi_{E_S} \otimes 1$  of  $E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  is an isomorphism.

For proving  $V_E(M)$  has dimension at most  $\dim_E M$ , we consider the map

$$E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (V_E(V)) = E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (E_S \otimes_E M)^{\varphi=1} \rightarrow E_s \otimes_E M$$

it is injective. Since any element in  $E_S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (V_E(V))$  is a finite sum of elementary tensors, it suffices to prove that if  $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_E(M_0)$  are  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linearly independent then in  $E_s \otimes_E M_0$  they are  $E_s$ -linearly independent. If not,  $\sum a_i v_i = 0$  with not all  $a_i$  zero. By minimality, we have  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . We may assume  $a_1 = 1$ . Then

$$v_1 = - \sum_{i>1} \varphi_{E_s}(a_i) \varphi(v_i) = - \sum_{i>1} \varphi_{E_s}(a_i) v_i$$

Hence  $\sum_{i>1} (a_i - \varphi_{E_s}(a_i)) v_i = 0$ . Then we must have  $a_i = \varphi_{E_s}(a_i)$  for all  $i > 1$ . So  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  for all  $i > 1$ . This contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

By Lemma 5.6, we have two functors  $D_E : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E) \rightarrow \Phi M_E^{\acute{e}t}$  and  $V_E : \Phi M_E^{\acute{e}t} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$ . Note that

$$(E_s \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{\varphi=1} = (E_s)^{\varphi=1} = V, (E_s \otimes_E M)^{G_E} = (E_s)^{G_E} \otimes_E M = M$$

these define an isomorphism  $V_E(D_E(V)) \rightarrow V$  and an injection  $D_E(V_E(M)) \rightarrow M$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** *Via the natural isomorphism  $V_E \circ D_E \simeq id$  and the inclusion  $D_E \circ V_E \rightarrow id$ , the covariant functors  $D_E$  and  $V_E$  are exact rank-preserving quasi-inverse equivalences of categories.*

*Proof.* see [Fon07, A1.2] or [BC09, 3.1.8].  $\square$

**5.2. Étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .** In this subsection, we will study the category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E)$  of continuous  $G_E$ -representations on finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules. The idea is to firstly handle torsion objects and then pass to the inverse limit to handle general objects. We will also see this kind of idea later dealing with finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules.

Now from  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the key point is to find the corresponding semilinear algebra objects over some coefficient ring. More concretely, we need some ring of characteristic 0 and admits an endomorphism lifting  $\varphi_E$ . Since in general we do not demand that  $E$  is a perfect field, the construction of Witt vectors can not be used. But actually, such rings exist. We quote two theorems without proof. For details, one can refer to [Mat89, 29.1, 29.2].

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $(A, \pi A, k)$  be a discrete valuation ring and  $K$  an extension of  $k$ ; then there exists a discrete valuation ring  $(B, \pi B, K)$  containing  $A$ .*

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $(A, m_A, k_A)$  be a complete local ring, and  $(R, m_R, k_R)$  be an unramified discrete valuation ring of characteristic  $(0, p)$ . Then for every homomorphism  $h : k_R \rightarrow k_A$ , there exists a local homomorphism  $g : R \rightarrow A$  which induces  $h$  on the residue fields.*

Applying  $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$  to the above theorems, then if  $K$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) unramified discrete valuation ring  $R$  of characteristic 0 with residue field  $K$ . Moreover, there exists an endomorphism lifting the Frobenius map  $\varphi_K$ .

Now we apply the theorems to the field  $E$ , we can get a pair  $(\mathcal{O}_E, \varphi)$ , in which  $\mathcal{O}_E$  is an unramified discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 with residue field  $E$  and  $\varphi$  lifts the Frobenius map  $\varphi_E$  on  $E$ . We give an explicit example of such a ring.

**Example 5.10.** Assume  $E = k((u))$  with  $k$  perfect of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $W(k)$  denotes the Witt vectors of  $k$ . The explicit pair  $(\mathcal{O}_E, \varphi)$  can be constructed as follows.

Let  $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u]]$ , this is a 2-dimensional regular local ring and  $(p)$  is a prime ideal at which the residue field is  $k((u)) = E$ . Then the localization  $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}$  at prime ideal  $(p)$  is 1-dimensional regular local ring, it is a discrete valuation ring with uniformizer  $p$ . Since  $u$  is not in  $(p)$ ,  $u$  is a unit in  $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}$ . This means  $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}$  is the localization of Dedekind domain  $\mathfrak{S}[1/u]$  at  $(p)$ .

Thus,  $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}^\wedge$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathfrak{S}[1/u]$  over  $W(k)$ . Explicitly,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}^\wedge \simeq \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n u^n \mid a_n \in W(k) \text{ and } a_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow -\infty \right\}$$

we define  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathfrak{S}_{(p)}^\wedge$ . The endomorphism  $\sum a_n u^n \rightarrow \sum \sigma(a_n) u^{np}$  extends to a local endomorphism of the completion  $\mathcal{O}_E$ .

For the rest of the section, we fix a choice of  $(\mathcal{O}_E, \varphi)$  as above.

**Definition 5.11.** *The category  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\acute{e}t}$  of étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\mathcal{O}_E$  consists of pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_{\mathcal{M}})$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a  $\varphi$ -semilinear endomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  whose  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linearization is an isomorphism.*

**Remark 5.12.** Since we have a canonical map  $\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E/(p) = E$ , we can consider  $\Phi M_E^{\acute{e}t}$  as the full subcategory of  $p$ -torsion objects in  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\acute{e}t}$ .

The lifting  $\varphi$  fixes  $p$ , so  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  have the same  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -rank and invariant factors. To prove  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linearization of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is an isomorphism, we only need to prove it is surjective. Furthermore, surjectivity can be verified modulo  $p$  also because  $p$  is fixed by  $\varphi$ , so the étaleness property can be checked by working with finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{O}_E/(p) = E$ -vector space  $\mathcal{M}/p\mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 5.13.** *The category  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\acute{e}t}$  is an abelian category.*

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Note that  $\mathcal{O}_E$  is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $E$ . We fix a separable closure  $E_s$  of  $E$ , then the maximal unramified extension  $\mathcal{O}_{E^{ur}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  with residue field  $E_s$  is unique up to isomorphism. It is strictly henselian (generally not complete) discrete valuation ring with uniformizer  $p$ , so its fraction field  $\mathcal{E}^{ur} = \mathcal{O}_{E^{ur}}[1/p]$ .

By the universal property of maximal unramified extension, if  $f : \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$  is a local ring map,  $\bar{f} : E \rightarrow E$  is its reduction which has a lifting  $\bar{f}' : E_s \rightarrow E_s$ , then there is a unique local ring endomorphism  $f' : \mathcal{O}_{E^{ur}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^{ur}}$  over  $f$  lifting  $\bar{f}'$ .

If we take  $f$  to be  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{f}'$  to be  $\varphi_{E_s}$ . Then we get a unique local endomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$  denoted  $\varphi$  again. If we take  $f$  to be identity map, and  $\bar{f}' \in \text{Gal}(E_s)$ , we get an induced  $G_E$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ . Moreover, this action is continuous and commutes with  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$  because of the uniqueness of  $\bar{f}'$ . In particular, the induced  $G_E$ -action on  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$  is continuous and commutes with the induced Frobenius endomorphism.

We have the following important facts:

**Lemma 5.14.** (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}^{G_E}$ .  
(ii)  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p = (\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}})^{\varphi=1}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G_E$  and  $\varphi$  fix  $p$ , and  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}[1/p]$ , the integral claims imply the field claims. So we focus on the integral cases. Note that the inclusions  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}$  are local maps between  $p$ -adically separated and complete rings. So it suffices to prove the maps  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}/(p^n)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}/(p^n)$  are surjective for all  $n \geq 1$ . We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

We first consider the case  $n = 1$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \xrightarrow{p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \rightarrow E_s \rightarrow 0.$$

By adding a  $G_E$ -action, we get a left exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E} \xrightarrow{p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E} \rightarrow E_s^{G_E}$$

then this gives rise to a linear injection  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}/(p) \hookrightarrow E_s^{G_E} = E$  of modules over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/(p) = E$ , so this injection is actually an isomorphism. So the natural map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}/(p)$  is surjective. Similarly, we also have a left exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{p} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1} \rightarrow E_s^{\varphi=1}$$

Since  $E_s^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p/(p)$ , we see that  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}/(p)$  is surjective. This proves the case  $n = 1$ .

Now we assume  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}/(p^{n-1})$  is surjective. For any  $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}$ , we want to seek  $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $x \equiv y \pmod{p^n}$ . We choose  $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $x \equiv z \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ , so  $x - z = p^{n-1}x'$  for some  $x' \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{G_E}$ . There exists  $z' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $x' \equiv z' \pmod{p}$ , so  $x \equiv z + p^{n-1}z' \pmod{p^n}$  with  $z + p^{n-1}z' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

For the  $\varphi$ -invariant case, choose any  $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}$ . We want to seek  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $x \equiv y \pmod{p^n}$ . Choose  $y' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $x \equiv z \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ . then  $x = y' + p^{n-1}z$  for some  $z \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi=1}$ . Also, there exists  $z' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $z \equiv z' \pmod{p}$ . So  $x \equiv y' + p^{n-1}z' \pmod{p^n}$  with  $y' + p^{n-1}z' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence we have proved the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.15** (Fontaine). *There are covariant naturally quasi-inverse equivalences of abelian categories*

$$D_{\mathcal{E}} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E) \rightarrow \Phi M_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}}^{\acute{e}t}, V_{\mathcal{E}} : \Phi M_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}}^{\acute{e}t} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E).$$

defined by

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{G_E}, V_{\mathcal{E}}(M) = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M)^{\varphi=1}.$$

*These functors preserve rank and invariant factors over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . In particular, they are length-preserving over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for torsion objects and preserve being finite free over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

The functors  $D_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $V_{\mathcal{E}}$  are compatible with the formation of duality functors  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}(\cdot, \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\cdot, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  on torsion objects and the formation of duality functors  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  on finite free module objects.

*Proof.* See [Fon07, 1.2.6].  $\square$

**Remark 5.16.** There are contravariant version of this theorem. See [Fon07, 1.2.7]. The functors are defined by

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}^*(V) = \text{Hom}_{G_E}(V, \mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}})$$

and

$$V_{\mathcal{E}}^*(M) = \text{Hom}_{\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\acute{e}t}}(M, \mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}).$$

on torsion objects. For the finite free objects, they are defined by

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}^*(V) = \text{Hom}_{G_E}(V, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}).$$

and

$$V_{\mathcal{E}}^*(M) = \text{Hom}_{\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\acute{e}t}}(M, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}).$$

**5.3.  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_E$ .** We have discussed  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representations of  $G_E$ . Now we want to use these results to discuss the category  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_E)$  in a similar way.

**Lemma 5.17.** *For  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$ , there exists a  $G$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$  be the continuous action map. Choose a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $A_0 \subseteq V$  and we have  $V = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_0$ . So we have  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_0 \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$  and this is an open subgroup since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an open subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . So the preimage  $G_0 = \rho^{-1}(\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A_0))$  of this subgroup is open in  $G$ .  $G_0$  has finite index since  $G$  is compact. So we can choose finite coset representatives  $\{a_i\}$ . Thus the finite sum  $A = \sum_i \rho(a_i)A_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice in  $V$  and it is  $G$ -stable since  $A_0$  is  $G_0$  stable and  $G = \coprod a_i G_0$ .  $\square$

For  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_E)$ , we define the  $\mathcal{E}$ -vector space

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = (\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_E}$$

equipped with  $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi_{D_{\mathcal{E}}(V)}$  induced by the Frobenius endomorphism on  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ .

**Proposition 5.18.** *For  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_E)$ ,  $D := D_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$  has finite  $\mathcal{E}$ -dimension  $\dim_{\mathcal{E}}(D) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ , and the  $\mathcal{E}$ -linearization of  $\varphi_D$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, there is a  $\varphi_D$ -stable lattice  $L \subseteq D$  such that the  $\mathcal{E}$ -linearization  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}(L) \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.17, we have  $V = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$  for  $\Lambda \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E)$  that is finite free as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Then we have

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}(\Lambda)[1/p] \simeq \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \Lambda$$

as  $\mathcal{E}$ -vector space with a  $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -semilinear endomorphism. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Lambda) \in \Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\acute{e}t}$  and it is finite free as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module with rank equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$ , the proof is done.  $\square$

Motivated by the proposition, we have the following definition

**Definition 5.19.** An étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathcal{E}$ -vector space  $D$  equipped with a  $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -semilinear endomorphism  $\varphi_D$  whose linearization is an isomorphism and which admits a  $\varphi_D$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -lattice  $L \subseteq D$  such that  $(L, \varphi_D|_L) \in \Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{ét}}$ . The category is denoted by  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ét}}$ .

**Theorem 5.20.** The functors  $D_{\mathcal{E}}(V) := (\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_E}$  and  $V_{\mathcal{E}}(V) := (\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} V)^{\varphi=1}$  are rank-preserving exact quasi-inverse equivalences between  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_E)$  and étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see  $D_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = D_{\mathcal{E}}(\Lambda)[1/p]$  and  $V_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = D_{\mathcal{E}}(L)[1/p]$  for a  $G_E$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $V$  and an étale  $\varphi$ -module  $L$ . Then the theorem can be proved by  $p$ -localization on Theorem 5.15 comparing  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E)$  and  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{ét}}$ .  $\square$

## 6. KISIN'S THEORY

For many purposes, such as the theory of Galois deformation with artinian coefficients, it will be useful if we have a finer theory in which  $p$ -adic vector spaces are replaced with lattices or torsion modules. Fontaine and Laffaille ([FL82]) imposed stringent restrictions on the Hodge-Tate weights and absolute ramification in  $K$  to get such a theory.

In this subsection, we will introduce the work of Kisin which gives a new classification of crystalline representations and some important results about the lattices in crystalline representations in terms of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules.

Throughout this section, we fix a  $p$ -adic field  $K$  and a uniformizer  $\pi$ .  $k$  is its residue field which is a perfect field with  $\text{char } p > 0$ .  $W = W(k)$  is its ring of Witt vectors.  $K_0 = W(k)[1/p]$ , then  $K/K_0$  is a totally ramified field extension. We denote  $E(u) \in K_0[u]$  the Eisenstein polynomial of  $\pi$ , which is irreducible. Moreover, we fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ , and a sequence of elements  $\pi_n \in \bar{K}$ , for nonnegative integer  $n$ , satisfying  $\pi_0 = \pi$  and  $\pi_n = \pi_{n+1}^p$ . We write  $K_{n+1} = K(\pi_n)$ .

**6.1. Geometric interpretation of  $MF_K^{\varphi, N, \text{Fil} \geq 0}$ .** In this subsection, we try to give the relation between the category  $MF_K^{\varphi, N}$  of filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules and the category of certain vector bundles over rigid-analytic open unit disc over  $K_0$ , following the idea of Berger.

Here are some notations. We denote the rigid-analytic open unit disc over  $K_0$  by  $D([0, 1])$ . The points of  $D([0, 1])$  can be identified with the orbits of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_0)$  acting on the set  $\{x \in \bar{K} \mid |x| < 1\}$ . We choose a coordinate  $u$ .  $I \subset [0, 1]$  is a subinterval, then we write  $D(I) \subset D([0, 1])$  for the admissible open subspace whose  $\bar{K}$ -points correspond to  $x \in \bar{K}$  with  $|x| \in I$ . We define

$$\mathcal{O}_I := \Gamma(D(I), \mathcal{O}_{D(I)})$$

to be the rings of rigid analytic functions. In particular,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{[0, 1]}$ . If  $I = (a, b)$ , we write  $D(a, b)$  directly instead of  $D((a, b))$ . Concretely, the ring  $\mathcal{O}$  is of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n u^n \in K_0[[u]] \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| r^n = 0, \text{ for } \forall r < 1 \right\}.$$

Obviously, it is a  $K_0$ -subalgebra of  $K_0[[u]]$ .

**Remark 6.1.** For  $0 < r < 1$  which also lies in the value group  $\bar{K}^\times$ , the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{[0,r]}$  of power series converging on the rigid-analytic closed disc  $D_{[0,r]}$  has a norm defined by

$$|f|_r := \sup_{x \in D_{[0,r]}} |f(x)| < \infty$$

These norms make  $\mathcal{O}$  into a Fréchet space as projective limit of topological spaces  $\mathcal{O}_{[0,r]}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S} = W[[u]]$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n = K(\pi_n)[[u - \pi_n]]$ . The ring  $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  is equipped with  $(u - \pi_n)$ -adic filtration. Then  $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n[1/(u - \pi_n)]$  has a natural filtration.

We have the following natural inclusions by sending  $u$  to  $u$ ,

$$\mathfrak{S} = W[[u]] \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}[1/p] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n.$$

**6.2. Frobenius map and monodromy operator on  $\mathcal{O}$ .** We now define the Frobenius map on the ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . Note that on  $W$ , we have a natural Frobenius map  $\varphi$ . We define two maps on  $\mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\varphi_W$  and  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}/W}$ .  $\varphi_W : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[u]]$ -linear map which acts on  $W$  via the Frobenius. And  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}/W} : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  is a  $W$ -linear map sending  $u$  to  $u^p$ .

So we can induce maps on  $\mathcal{O}_I$  for  $I \subset [0, 1)$ :

$$\varphi_W : \mathcal{O}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_I, \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{S}/W} : \mathcal{O}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p^{-1}I}.$$

Finally, we define  $\varphi$  as their composites:

$$\varphi := \varphi_W \circ \varphi_{\mathfrak{S}/W} : \mathcal{O}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p^{-1}I}.$$

In particular, on the ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , there is a Frobenius map  $\varphi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ , in concrete form,

$$\varphi \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n u^n \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi(a_n) u^{np}.$$

We define the infinite product

$$\lambda := \prod_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n(E(u)/E(0))$$

$\lambda$  converges on  $D([0, 1))$ , which means it is in  $\mathcal{O}$  (In fact, if  $s(u) \in \mathfrak{S}[1/p] \subset \mathcal{O}$  has constant term 1, then the product  $\prod_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n(s)$  converges in  $\mathcal{O}$  [Ked04a, Rem 4.5]). Note that  $\lambda$  depends on the choice of uniformizer  $\pi$ .

Besides the Frobenius map, we now define a derivation on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$$N_\nabla := -u\lambda \frac{d}{du} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

We also use  $N_\nabla$  to denote the induced derivation on  $\mathcal{O}_I$ .

Now we adjoin a formal variable  $l_u$  to  $\mathcal{O}$  which acts formally like  $\log(u)$ . We then extend the natural maps  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  to  $\mathcal{O}[l_u]$  by sending  $l_u$  to

$$\log \left[ \left( \frac{u - \pi_n}{\pi_n} \right) + 1 \right] := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} i^{-1} \left( \frac{u - \pi_n}{\pi_n} \right)^i \in \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n.$$

we can also extend  $\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{O}[l_u]$  by setting  $\varphi_W(l_u) = l_u$  and  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}/W}(l_u) = pl_u$ , then  $\varphi(l_u) = pl_u$ , and we extend  $N_\nabla$  to a derivation on  $\mathcal{O}[l_u]$  by setting  $N_\nabla(l_u) = -\lambda$ . We also define  $N = \frac{d}{dl_u}$  which acts as differentiation of the formal variable  $l_u$ . We then have:

$$- N(\varphi(l_u^a)) = N(p^a l_u^a) = ap^a l_u^{a-1}, \text{ so that } N\varphi = p\varphi N.$$



-  $N_{\nabla}\varphi = p\frac{E(u)}{E(0)}\varphi N_{\nabla}$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\nabla}\varphi(u^a t_u^b) &= N_{\nabla}(u^{pa}(pl_u)^b) \\ &= p^b(-u\lambda l_u^b p a u^{pa-1} - \lambda u^{pa} b l_u^{p-1}) \\ &= p\frac{E(u)}{E(0)}\varphi(\lambda)(a u^{pa}(pl_u)^b + b u^{pa}(pl_u)^{b-1}) \\ &= p\frac{E(u)}{E(0)}\varphi(N_{\nabla}(u^a t_u^b)) \end{aligned}$$

-  $NN_{\nabla} = N_{\nabla}N$ .

**Definition 6.2.** A  $\varphi$ -module is a finite dimensional  $K_0$ -vector space  $D$  together with a bijective Frobenius semilinear map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow D$ . A  $(\varphi, N)$ -module is a  $\varphi$ -module  $D$ , equipped with a  $K_0$ -linear map  $N : D \rightarrow D$  which satisfies  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ .

**Remark 6.3.** The monodromy operator  $N$  is nilpotent. Indeed, if  $v$  is an eigenvector  $Nv = \lambda v$ , then  $N\varphi(v) = p\varphi(\lambda v) = p\varphi(\lambda)\varphi(v)$ . But  $p\varphi(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda$  have different valuations unless  $\lambda = 0$ . There are finitely many eigenvalues. So all eigenvalues are 0. This means  $N$  has to be nilpotent.

**Definition 6.4.** A filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module (resp.  $\varphi$ -module) is a  $(\varphi, N)$ -module (resp.  $\varphi$ -module)  $D$  equipped with a decreasing separated and exhaustive filtration on  $D_K = D \otimes_{K_0} K$ .

**Definition 6.5.** Newton number and Hodge number.

If  $D$  is a one-dimensional  $(\varphi, N)$ -module,  $v \in D$  is a basis vector, then  $\varphi(v) = av$  for some  $a \in K_0$ . Then we define  $t_N(D) = v_p(a)$  the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $a$ . If  $D$  has dimension  $n$  and a basis  $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , then we define  $t_N(D) = t_N(\bigwedge^n D)$ , where  $\bigwedge^n D$  has the underlying vector space generated by  $a_1 \wedge a_2 \cdots \wedge a_n$  and  $\varphi(a_1 \wedge a_2 \cdots \wedge a_n) = \varphi(a_1) \wedge \varphi(a_2) \cdots \wedge \varphi(a_n)$ ,  $N(a_1 \wedge a_2 \cdots \wedge a_n) = N(a_1) \wedge N(a_2) \cdots \wedge N(a_n)$ .

For a one-dimensional filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module  $D$ , we denote  $t_H(D)$  the unique integer  $i$  such that  $gr^i(D_K)$  non-zero. If  $D$  has dimension  $n$ , define  $t_H(D) = t_H(\bigwedge^n D)$ .

**Definition 6.6.** A filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module  $D$  is called weakly admissible if  $t_H(D) = t_N(D)$  and for any  $(\varphi, N)$ -submodule  $D' \subset D$ ,  $t_H(D') = t_N(D')$ , where  $D'_K \subset D_K$  is equipped with the subspace filtration. We call a filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module effective if  $Fil^0 D = D$ .

Now we introduce an important theorem in [CF00b] about crystalline representations and weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -module.

**Theorem 6.7** (Colmez-Fontaine). The functor  $D_{cris}$  defined by  $D_{cris}(V) = (B_{cris} \otimes V)^{G_K}$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$Rep_{G_K}^{cris} \rightarrow MF_K^{\varphi, w, a}$$

where  $MF_K^{\varphi, w, a}$  is the category of weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -modules. The inverse is given by  $V_{cris}(D) = Fil^0(B_{cris} \otimes D_K)^{\varphi=1}$ .

With this theorem, dealing with a crystalline representation is equivalent to dealing with its associated weakly admissible module.

**Definition 6.8.** A  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  is a finite free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathfrak{M}$  with a Frobenius semi-linear, injective map  $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ . A  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $\varphi$ -module  $\mathfrak{M}$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ , together with a differential operator  $N_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  such that

$$N_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}}(fm) = N_\nabla(f)m + fN_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}}(m)$$

and

$$N_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}}\varphi = (p/E(0))E(u)\varphi N_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}}$$

We will write  $N_\nabla$  for  $N_\nabla^{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

We now introduce a useful lemma.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $I \subset [0, 1)$  be an interval,  $\mathfrak{M}$  a finite free  $\mathcal{O}_I$ -module, and  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_I$ -submodule. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  is closed.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{N}$  is finitely generated.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{N}$  is finite free.

*Proof.* See [Kis, 1.1.4]. □

**Remark 6.10.** The ring  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Bézout domain, i.e. every finitely generated ideal is principle. In general,  $\mathcal{O}$  is not noetherian.

**Definition 6.11.** A  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  is of finite  $E$ -height, if the cokernel of the  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map  $\varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is killed by a power  $E(u)^h$  for some integer  $h \geq 0$ . A  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  is of finite height if it is of finite  $E$ -height as a  $\varphi$ -module. We use  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_\nabla}$  (resp.  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}}^\varphi$ ) to denote the category of  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -modules (resp.  $\varphi$ -modules) over  $\mathcal{O}$  of finite height.

The least integer  $h$  in the definition is called the  $E$ -height of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . In the definition, the condition that  $\text{Coker}(1 \otimes \varphi)$  killed by  $E(u)^h$  means that this cokernel is supported on  $x_0 \in D([0, 1))$ .

Our main goal in this section is to show

**Theorem 6.12.** There are exact tensor-compatible functors

$$\mathcal{M} : MF^{\varphi, N, \text{Fil}_{\geq 0}} \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_\nabla} : D$$

and natural isomorphisms of functors :

$$\mathcal{M} \circ D \simeq \text{id}, D \circ \mathcal{M} \simeq \text{id}$$

$\text{Fil}_{\geq 0}$  means being effective.

We first define the functor  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $D$  be an effective filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module. We define a map

$$\mathcal{O}[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D \xrightarrow{\varphi_W^{-n} \otimes \varphi^{-n}} \mathcal{O}[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n \otimes_{K_0} D = \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n \otimes_K D_K.$$

which the second map is deduced from the map  $\mathcal{O}[l_u] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  we defined just before Definition 6.2. Now we look at the map  $\varphi_W^{-n} \otimes \varphi^{-n}$ . Note that  $\varphi_W$  is bijective. We can see that  $\varphi_W^{-n}$  has a simple zero at each zero of  $\varphi_W^{-n} \circ \varphi^n(E(u)/E(0)) = E(u^{p^n})/E(0)$  in  $\bar{K}$ . So as a function on  $D([0, 1))$  it has a simple zero at  $x_n \in D([0, 1))$  corresponding to the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_0)$ -conjugacy class of a  $\pi_n \in \bar{K}$ . Since  $x_n$  is a simple zero, the element

$\varphi_W^{-n}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}$  is mapped to a uniformizer under the map  $\mathcal{O}[l_u] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ . So we can extend to the map

$$\iota_n : \mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n[1/(u - \pi_n)] \otimes_K D_K.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M}(D) = \left\{ x \in (\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0} : \iota_n(x) \in \text{Fil}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}[1/(u - \pi_n)] \otimes_K D_K), n \geq 0 \right\}$$

Note that  $N$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D$  by

$$N(a \otimes b) = N(a) \otimes b + a \otimes N(b)$$

Note that  $(\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. Since  $\varphi(1/\lambda) = \frac{E(u)/E(0)}{\lambda}$ ,  $\varphi$  naturally acts on the ring  $\mathcal{O}[1/\lambda]$ . So we can give  $(\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D)$  a Frobenius map by those on  $D$  and  $\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda]$ . It is also equipped with a differential operator  $N_\nabla$ , induced by the operator  $N_\nabla \otimes 1$  on  $\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D$ .

**Lemma 6.13.** *Suppose that  $D$  is effective. Then the operators  $\varphi$  and  $N_\nabla$  on  $(\mathcal{O}[l_u, 1/\lambda] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0}$  induce on  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  the structure of a  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover, the  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map*

$$1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^* \mathcal{M}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(D)$$

is injective, and has cokernel isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}/E(u)^i)^{h_i}$$

where  $h_i = \dim_K \text{gr}^i D_K$ .

*Proof.* see [Kis, 1.2.2]. □

In the rest of this section, we define the functor  $D : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_\nabla} \rightarrow MF_K^{\varphi, N, \text{Fil} \geq 0}$  by sending a  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -module to its fiber at the origin of the disc

$$D(\mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{M}/u\mathcal{M}$$

We equip  $D(\mathcal{M})$  with Frobenius and monodromy operators

$$\varphi := \varphi_{\mathcal{M}} \bmod u \text{ and } N := N_\nabla^{\mathcal{M}} \bmod u$$

It is easy to see  $D(\mathcal{M})$  is a finite dimensional  $K_0$ -vector space and  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ . Another point is  $\varphi$  is bijective. Indeed,  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is injective and its cokernel is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ , then after modulo  $u$ , its cokernel is killed by some power of  $p$ . However,  $p$  is a unit in  $K_0$ . So after modulo  $u$ ,  $\varphi$  is bijective.

In order to show that  $D(\mathcal{M})$  is an object in the category  $MF_K^{\varphi, N, \text{Fil} \geq 0}$ , we need to equip  $D(\mathcal{M})_K$  with an effective filtration.

To construct the filtration on  $D(\mathcal{M})_K$ , we adopt the following notations: if  $J \subset I \subset [0, 1)$  are intervals, and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_I$ -module, we write  $\mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_I} \mathcal{O}_J$ . If we think of  $\mathcal{M}$  as a coherent sheaf on  $D(I)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_J$  corresponds to the restriction of  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $D(J)$ .

**Lemma 6.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  of finite  $E$ -height. There is a unique  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear,  $\varphi$ -equivariant morphism*

$$\xi : D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

whose reduction modulo  $u$  induces the identity on  $D(\mathcal{M})$ .  $\xi$  is injective, and its cokernel is killed by a finite power of  $\lambda$ . If  $\pi \in (|\pi|, |\pi|^{1/p})$ , then the image of the map  $\xi_{[0, r]}$  induced by  $\xi$  coincides with the image of  $1 \otimes \varphi : (\varphi^* \mathcal{M})_{[0, r]} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{[0, r]}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Fréchet space, with its topology defined by the norms  $|\cdot|_r$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , given by  $|f|_r = \sup_{x \in D([0, r])} |f(x)|$ . We identify  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathcal{O}^d$  and define  $|\cdot|_r$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by taking the maximum of  $|\cdot|$  applied to the coordinates of elements. For a subset  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}$ , define  $|\Sigma|_r = \sup_{x \in \Sigma} |x|_r$ .

For the existence of  $\xi$ , note that the data of  $\xi$  is equivalent to a  $k_0$ -linear section  $D(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  to the natural surjection such that it is compatible with Frobenius map. Now choose any  $K_0$ -linear section  $s_0 : D(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  of natural map  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{M})$ . We define a new map  $s : D(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n s_0 \varphi^{-n} = s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\varphi^i \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} - \varphi^{i-1} \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{1-i})$$

We need to check this map is well defined. To see the limit indeed converges pointwise, one works on a fixed  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $L \subset D(\mathcal{M})$  a  $\mathcal{O}_{K_0}$ -lattice. Then  $\varphi^{-1}(L) \subset p^{-j}L$  for some non-negative integer  $j$ . After increasing  $j$ , we may also assume  $|\varphi(m)|_r \leq |p^{-j}m|_r$  for all  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\varphi \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} - s_0 \in u\mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\tilde{L} := u^{-1}(\varphi \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} - s_0)(L) \subset \mathcal{M}$  so that

$$|(\varphi^{i+1} \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{-i-1} - \varphi^i \circ s_0 \circ \varphi^{-i})(L)|_r \leq |p^{-ij} u^{p^i} \varphi^i(\tilde{L})|_r \leq p^{2ij} r^{p^i} |\tilde{L}|_r.$$

Since  $|\tilde{L}|_r$  is finite, and  $p^{2ij} r^{p^i} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $j \geq 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$ , so the map  $s$  is well defined. By construction,  $s$  is  $\varphi$ -compatible, so  $\xi$  exists.

To prove the uniqueness, given any other such map  $s'$ , the difference  $s'_s$  sends  $D(\mathcal{M})$  into  $u\mathcal{M}$ . But since  $\varphi$  is a bijection on  $D(\mathcal{M})$ , and  $\varphi^j \circ (s'_s) = (s - s') \circ \varphi^j$ , for  $j \geq 1$ , this means  $(s - s')(D(\mathcal{M})) \subset u^{p^j} \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $j$  is an arbitrary positive integer,  $s - s' = 0$ . It follows that  $s$  is the unique such map. Extending  $s$  to  $D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O}$  by  $\mathcal{O}$ -linearity, yields the required map  $\xi$ .

Now we prove the rest of the lemma. Since  $\xi$  is an isomorphism modulo  $u$ , it follows that for some sufficiently large positive integer  $i$ ,  $\xi_{[0, r]}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\xi$  commutes with  $\varphi$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi^*(D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^* \xi} & \varphi^* \mathcal{M} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \varphi \\ D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

Since the cokernel of the right vertical map  $1 \otimes \varphi$  is killed by  $E^h$ , we see that this map is an isomorphism away from the point  $\pi \in D([0, 1])$ ; in particular it is an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^p])$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1}(D([0, r^p])) = D([0, r^{p^{i-1}}])$  and  $\xi$  is an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^{p^i}])$ , we deduce that the top arrow  $\varphi^* \xi$  is an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^{p^{i-1}}])$ . The right vertical map is also an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^{p^{i-1}}])$ , so the bottom arrow  $\xi$  must be an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^{p^{i-1}}])$ . It follows by induction that  $\xi$  is an isomorphism over  $D([0, r^p])$ , hence  $\varphi^* \xi$  is an isomorphism over  $D([0, r])$ , then  $\xi_{D([0, r])}$  is injective, so  $\xi$  is injective. From the diagram, we can also see that  $\xi_{D([0, r])}$  and  $1 \otimes \varphi_{D([0, 1])}$  have the same image. Finally,  $\text{coker} \xi_{D([0, r])}$  is killed by  $E^h$ , it follows from the same commutative diagram that  $\xi$  is killed by  $\lambda^h$ . □

Now we are ready to define the filtration on  $D(\mathcal{M})$ . We first define a decreasing filtration on  $\varphi^*\mathcal{M}$  by

$$Fil^i \varphi^*\mathcal{M} = \{x \in \varphi^*\mathcal{M} : 1 \otimes \varphi(x) \in E(u)^i \mathcal{M}\}.$$

This is a filtration on  $\varphi^*\mathcal{M}$  by finite free  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules since closed  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule is finite free by Lemma 6.9. By transport of structure, this defines a filtration on  $(1 \otimes \varphi)(\varphi^*\mathcal{M})$ , hence on  $(1 \otimes \varphi)(\varphi^*\mathcal{M})_{[0,r]}$ . Using the map  $\xi_{[0,r]}$ , we obtain a filtration on  $(D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O})_{[0,r]}$ . Via the composite map

$$(D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O})_{[0,r]} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O}/E(u)\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\mathcal{M}) \otimes K_0K = D(\mathcal{M})_K.$$

we get a filtration on  $D(\mathcal{M})_K$ .

So if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\varphi, N_\nabla)$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$  of finite  $E$ -height, the above discussion gives  $D(\mathcal{M})$  a structure of a filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module. What left is to show the equivalence of the categories.

**Proposition 6.15.** *Let  $D$  be an effective filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module. There is a natural isomorphism of filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules  $D(\mathcal{M}(D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ .*

*Proof.* We give a sketch of the proof. The details can be seen in [Kis, Prop 1.2.8]. Set  $D_0 = (\mathcal{O}[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0}$ ,  $D_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  at  $u = 0$ , so that

$$D(\mathcal{M}(D)) = \mathcal{M}(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}/u\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} (K_0[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0}.$$

The composite map

$$\eta : (K_0[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0} \subset K_0[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D \xrightarrow{l_u \rightarrow 0} D.$$

is an isomorphism of filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules, where on the right hand  $N$  acts by  $-N \otimes 1$ .  $\eta$  is an injection between  $K_0$ -vector space with same dimension, so it is bijective. Simple calculations show  $\eta$  is also  $\varphi$ -compatible.

It remains to show it is strictly compatible with filtrations. The idea is to prove firstly the filtration on  $D(\mathcal{M}(D))_K$  is identified with the given filtration on  $D_K$ , using the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma : D(\mathcal{M}(D))_K &= (K_0[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0} \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{O}/E(u)\mathcal{O} = D_0/E(u)D_0 \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_0 \otimes_K D_K / (u - \pi_n) \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_0 \otimes_K D_K = D_K. \end{aligned}$$

Then prove the following composite is a natural inclusion

$$D \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}} D(\mathcal{M}(D)) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{M}(D))_K \xrightarrow{\gamma} D_K.$$

□

The inverse statement is also true, see [Kis, Prop 1.2.13].

**Proposition 6.16.** *Given  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_\nabla}$ , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}(D(\mathcal{M})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}.$$

Combining Proposition 6.15 and 6.16 proves Theorem 6.12.

**6.3. Slope and weak admissibility.** In this section, we will recall Kedlaya's theory of slopes and apply it to translate the condition of weak admissibility. We will not focus on the proofs of theorems. One can refer to [Ked04b] and [Ked05] for more details.

Recall some definitions of ring of functions

**Definition 6.17.** (i) *The Robba ring is defined by*

$$\mathcal{R} := \varinjlim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{O}_{(r,1)}$$

$\mathcal{R}$  is equipped with a Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi$  induced by the maps  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{(r,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(r^{1/p}, 1)}$ .

(ii) *The bounded Robba ring is defined by*

$$\mathcal{R}^b := \varinjlim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{O}_{(r,1)}^b$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{(r,1)}^b \subset \mathcal{O}_{(r,1)}$  is the set of bounded functions on  $D((r, 1))$ . The Frobenius on  $\mathcal{R}$  induces a Frobenius on  $\mathcal{R}^b$ .

We also define

$$\mathcal{R}^{int} := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n u^n \in \mathcal{R} \mid a_n \in W(k), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\};$$

This is a henselian discrete valuation ring with uniformizer  $p$  ([Ked04b, lemma 3.9]). In particular,  $\mathcal{R}^b = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{R}^{int})$ . So  $\mathcal{R}^b$  is a discrete valuation field. Moreover, the nonzero elements of  $\mathcal{R}^b$  are precisely the units of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Remark 6.18.** As  $E(u)$  is a polynomial with  $W(k)$ -coefficients, so  $E(u) \in \mathcal{R}^{int} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^b$ . Also,  $E(u)/p$  is in  $\mathcal{R}^b$  but not in  $\mathcal{R}^{int}$ , so  $E(u) \in (\mathcal{R}^{int})^\times$ .

**Definition 6.19.** We denote by  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}^b}^\varphi$  the category of finite dimensional  $\mathcal{R}^b$ -vector space  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with an isomorphism  $\varphi^* \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$ . Morphisms in  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}^b}^\varphi$  are  $\varphi$ -compatible morphisms of  $\mathcal{R}^b$ -modules.

**Theorem 6.20** (Kedlaya). *There exists an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}^{alg}$ , which contains a copy of  $W(\bar{k})$ , where  $\bar{k}$  denotes an algebraic closure of  $k$ .  $\mathcal{R}^{alg}$  is equipped with a lifting  $\varphi$  of Frobenius on  $\mathcal{R}$ . For any  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^\varphi$ , there exists a finite extension  $E$  of  $W(\bar{k})[1/p]$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{alg} \otimes_{W(\bar{k})[1/p]} E$  admits a basis of  $\varphi$ -eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that  $\varphi(v_i) = \alpha_i(v_i)$  for some  $\alpha_i \in E$ . The set of  $p$ -adic valuations of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{M}$  called the set of slopes of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If all  $p$ -adic valuations of  $\alpha_i$  are equal to some  $s \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is called pure of slope  $s$ .*

We denote by  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}^b}^{\varphi, s}$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}^b}^\varphi$  which consists of elements of pure slope  $s$ . The following important theorem in [Ked06, 1.7.1] elucidates the structure of  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.

**Theorem 6.21.** (i) *The functor  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^b} \mathcal{R}$  induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}^b}^{\varphi, s} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi, s}.$$

(ii) *For any  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi, s}$ , there exists a canonical filtration called the slope filtration*

$$0 = \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}$$

by  $\varphi$ -stable submodules such that  $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}$  is finite free over  $\mathcal{R}$  and pure of slope  $s_i$ , and  $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_r$ .

**Remark 6.22.** If  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R} \cdot x \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \alpha x$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is pure of slope  $v_p(\alpha)$ .

Given a nonzero object in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}}$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}, \varphi)$  is a nonzero object in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi}$ . The question here is if the slope filtration on  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}, \varphi)$  can be induced by a filtration on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 6.23.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a finite free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_I$ -module for some interval  $I \subset [0, 1)$ ), an  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_I$ ) submodule  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is saturated if it is finitely generated and if  $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$  is torsion free, equivalently, free over  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_I$ ). The saturation of  $\mathcal{N}$  is the smallest saturated submodule containing  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Proposition 6.24.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}}$  and set  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ . If

$$0 = \mathcal{M}_{0, \mathcal{R}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1, \mathcal{R}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}_{r, \mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$$

denotes the slope filtration of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  then for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{i, \mathcal{R}}$  extends uniquely to a saturated  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{M}_i \subset \mathcal{M}$  which is stable by  $\varphi$  and  $N_{\nabla}$ .

We are now able to give a translation of the condition of weak admissibility.

**Theorem 6.25.** Let  $D$  be an effective filtered  $(\varphi, N)$ -module. Then  $D$  is weakly admissible if and only if  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  is pure of slope 0.

*Proof.* We first deal with the case that  $D$  has rank 1. Choose a basis  $e \in D$  and  $\varphi(e) = ae$  for some  $a \in K_0$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{M}(D) = \lambda^{-t_H(D)}(\mathcal{O}[l_u] \otimes_{K_0} D)^{N=0}$ , so that

$$\varphi(\lambda^{t_H(D)} e) = (E(u)/E(0))^{t_H(D)} a \lambda^{-t_H(D)} e.$$

Since  $E(0)$  has  $p$ -adic valuation 1 and  $E(u)$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{R}^{int}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  has slope  $t_N(D) - t_H(D)$ . Then this proves the theorem for the case that  $D$  is of rank 1.

Now we consider the general case. Suppose  $D$  is weakly admissible. Then by Prop. 6.25, the slope filtration on  $(D)_{\mathcal{R}}$  is induced by a filtration of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  by  $(\varphi, N_{\nabla})$ -submodules

$$0 = \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}(D).$$

$\mathcal{M}_{i, \mathcal{R}}/\mathcal{M}_{i+1, \mathcal{R}}$  has the slope  $s_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ -rank  $d_i$ . So  $\bigwedge^{d_1} \mathcal{M}_1$  has slope  $d_1 s_1$  by [Ked04b, Prop.5.13]. By Prop 6.15, there exists a filtered  $(\varphi, N_{\nabla})$ -submodule such that  $\mathcal{M}(D_1) = \mathcal{M}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}(\bigwedge^{d_1} D_1) \simeq \bigwedge^{d_1} \mathcal{M}(D_1)$ , we have  $s_1 d_1 = t_N(D_1) - t_H(D_1)$ . Then the weak admissibility shows that  $s_1 \geq 0$ . So all  $s_i \geq 0$ . Note that  $\det(\mathcal{M}(D)) \simeq \bigwedge(\det(\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}))$  then  $\det(\mathcal{M}(D))$  has slope  $\sum s_i d_i$ . Then  $\sum s_i d_i = t_N(D) - t_H(D) = 0$ , so that  $r = 1$  and  $s_1 = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  is pure of slope 0, then  $t_N(D) = t_H(D)$ . If  $D' \subset D$  is a  $(\varphi, N)$ -submodule, then  $\mathcal{M}(D') \subset \mathcal{M}(D)$  has all slopes  $\geq 0$  by [Ked04b, Prop.4.4]. Then the slope of the determinant of  $\mathcal{M}(D')$  is  $\geq 0$ . Hence  $t_N(D') - t_H(D') \geq 0$ . So  $D$  is weak admissible.  $\square$

**6.4. The final fully faithful functor.** In this subsection, we give descriptions about  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules over  $\mathfrak{S}$  of finite  $E$ -height and construct the fully faithful functor from  $MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a}$  to  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Definition 6.26.** Let  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N}$  be the category whose objects are  $\varphi$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}$  with a  $K_0$ -linear map  $N : \mathcal{M}/u\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/u\mathcal{M}$  which satisfies  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ , and also it is of finite  $E$ -height as a  $\varphi$ -module over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0}$  be the full subcategory consisting of modules pure of slope 0.

Define a functor from  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}} \rightarrow Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N}$  by sending  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  has underlying module  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with the operator  $\varphi$  and  $N$  the reduction of  $N_{\nabla}$  modulo  $u$ .

**Lemma 6.27.** *The functor defined above is fully faithful.*

By now, we have the composite functor

$$MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}, 0} \hookrightarrow Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0}$$

This is a fully faithful functor because of Theorem 6.12 and Proposition 6.27.

**Definition 6.28.** Define a  $(\varphi, N)$ -module over  $\mathfrak{S}$  to be a finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{M}$  equipped with

- (i) a  $\varphi$ -semi-linear Frobenius  $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$
- (ii) a linear endomorphism  $N : \mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$  on  $\mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M}$ .

We say that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is of finite  $E$ -height if the cokernel of  $\varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is killed by some power of  $E$ . We denote by  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N}$  the category of  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules over  $\mathfrak{S}$  of finite  $E$ -height.

**Lemma 6.29.** *The functor*

$$\Theta : Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0} \quad \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{R}$  is in  $Mod_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi, 0}$ . Then there exists some  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}$  in  $Mod_{\mathcal{R}^b}^{\varphi, 0}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^b} \mathcal{R}$ . So we have isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^b} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{R}.$$

By [Ked04b, Prop.6.5], after choosing suitable  $\mathcal{R}^b$ -basis for  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{M}$ , the corresponding matrix of the composite isomorphism is the identity. This means as subsets of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are spanned by a common basis. Note that  $\mathfrak{S}[1/p] = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{R}^b \subset \mathcal{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}^b$  be the  $\mathfrak{S}[1/p]$ -span of the basis, then we have  $\mathcal{M}^b = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b} \cap \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ . So  $\mathcal{M}^b$  is  $\varphi$ -stable and of finite  $E$ -height, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is. Now given any  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  can be recovered as  $\Theta(\mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{R}^b} \cap \Theta(\mathcal{N})$ . This means we can recover  $\mathcal{N}$  up to  $p$ -isogeny from  $\Theta(\mathcal{N})$  (which gives a quasi-inverse functor on the essential image of  $\Theta$ ). So  $\Theta$  is in fact fully faithful.

To prove  $\Theta$  is an equivalence, we need to prove it is essentially surjective, i.e  $\mathcal{M}^b$  arises from an object of  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N}$ . We will give the corresponding construction, for the details of the proof, see [Kis, Lemma 1.3.13].



Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}$  is pure of slope 0, there exists a  $\varphi$ -stable  $\mathcal{R}^{int}$ -lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}' = \mathcal{M}^b \cap \mathcal{L}$ , and set

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{R}^{int} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}' \cap \mathfrak{M}'[1/p] \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}^b}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}^b = \mathfrak{M}[1/p]$ . □

**Corollary 6.30.** *There exists a fully faithful functor from the category  $MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a}$  to the category  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

*Proof.* The functor is the composite

$$MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N_{\nabla}, 0} \xrightarrow{Prop 6.27} Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, 0} \xrightarrow{lemma 6.29} Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N}.$$

□

**6.5.  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules and crystalline representations.** Recall from Section 3.2 that for a  $p$ -adic field  $K$  with residue field  $k$ , there is a perfect ring  $\mathcal{O}^b$  of characteristic  $p$  and its Witt ring is denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_{inf} = W(\mathcal{O}^b)$ . There is a surjective ring homomorphism  $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{inf} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  where  $C = \widehat{\overline{K}}$ . Write  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{O}^b$ . Let  $[\underline{\pi}] \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$  be the Teichmuller lift of  $\underline{\pi}$ . By sending  $u$  to  $[\underline{\pi}]$ , we have an embedding  $W[u] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . Indeed, if the image of  $f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i u^i$  is 0, i.e.  $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i [\underline{\pi}]^i = 0$ , noting that  $\theta([\underline{\pi}]^i) = \pi^i$ , we have  $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i \pi^i = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_C$ . Then  $f(u) = E(u)F(u) \in W[u]$  since  $E(u)$  is the Eisenstein polynomial of  $\pi$ . In particular, we may assume  $f(u)$  is of the minimal degree in the kernel. But  $E([\underline{\pi}]) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$  is an integral domain,  $F(u)$  is also in the kernel but with smaller degree. This contradicts to our assumption. So  $W[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{inf}$  is indeed an embedding. Furthermore, we use the product of valuation topology of  $\mathcal{O}^b$  on  $\mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . Then this embedding can be extended to  $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{inf}$ . In fact  $[\underline{\pi}]^n = [\underline{\pi}^n]$  and  $(\underline{\pi}^n)^{(0)} = \pi^n$ . So we have a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S} = W[[u]] &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{inf} \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n u^n &\rightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [\underline{\pi}]^n. \end{aligned}$$

$\theta_{\mathfrak{S}}$  is the map  $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  sending  $u$  to  $\pi$ . This embedding is compatible with Frobenius endomorphism. Since  $\underline{\pi} \in \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}^b$  is nonzero,  $[\underline{\pi}] \in W(\text{Frac } \mathcal{O}^b)^{\times}$ . So we naturally have an embedding

$$\mathfrak{S}[1/u] \hookrightarrow W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathfrak{S}[1/u]$  just as we did in Example 5.11 and write  $\mathcal{E} = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$ .  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k((u))$  and  $p$  is a uniformizer. It has a Frobenius endomorphism induced the  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . In fact, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} & \longrightarrow & W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k((u)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b) \end{array}$$

Recall Theorem 3.7 that  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$  is algebraically closed. So there is a maximal unramified extension  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  with respect to a separable closure of  $k((u))$  in  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$ . Write  $\mathcal{E}^{ur} = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}) \subset W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b))[1/p]$ . We also denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$  the  $p$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$  in  $W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b))[1/p]$  and by  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$  its ring of integers.

Write  $G_{K_\infty} = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_\infty)$ . Note that  $G_{K_\infty}$  preserves the separable closure of  $k((u))$  in  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b)$  (see [BC09, P56]), then via the universal property of maximal unramified extension,  $G_{K_\infty}$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ . There is an important theorem allowing us to study  $G_{K_\infty}$  more conveniently.

**Theorem 6.31.** *The action of  $G_{K_\infty}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$  induces an isomorphism of topological groups*

$$G_{K_\infty} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}) \simeq G_{k((u))}.$$

Recall the theory of étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  in Section 5, we have an equivalence between the category of  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_{K_\infty})$  and the category  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{ét}}$ . In particular, the full subcategory of finite free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  representations and the full category of finite free étale  $\varphi$ -modules are equivalent and so are the full subcategories of torison objects. We want to adapt the theory of étale  $\varphi$ -modules to study  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules.

Define  $\mathfrak{S}^{ur} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \subset W(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}^b))$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}^{ur}}$  to be its  $p$ -adic completion. Since  $G_{K_\infty}$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ , it acts on  $\mathfrak{S}^{ur}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$ .

**Lemma 6.32.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a finitely generated  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module equipped with a  $\mathfrak{S}$ -linear endomorphism  $\varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{M}$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module to a finite direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}/p^{n_i}\mathfrak{S}$  where  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and that  $\text{Coker}(1 \otimes \varphi)$  is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ . Then*

- (i) *The association  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{S}^{ur}[1/p]/\mathfrak{S}^{ur})$  is an exact functor in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .*
- (ii) *The natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{S}^{ur}[1/p]/\mathfrak{S}^{ur}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}})$$

*is an isomorphism, and both sides are isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_p/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules.*

*Proof.* See [Fon07, A.1.2 and B.1.8.4]. □

**Definition 6.33.** *We denote by  $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}$  the category of finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules equipped with a  $\mathfrak{S}$ -linear map  $1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  whose cokernel is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ .*

By passing to inverse limit, we have the corollary

**Corollary 6.34.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}$ . Then*

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, \widehat{\mathfrak{S}^{ur}})$$

*is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{S}}\mathfrak{M}$ , and the functor  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M})$  is an exact functor in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Moreover, the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, \widehat{\mathfrak{S}^{ur}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \varphi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}})$$

*is a bijection.*

Since  $E(u) \cong u^e \pmod{p}$ ,  $e = [K : K_0]$ ,  $E(u)$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  which is a discrete valuation ring with uniformizer  $p$ . Hence we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi} &\rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi} \\ \mathfrak{M} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi}$  is the full subcategory of  $\Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{ét}}$  consisting of finite free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -modules. Note that the natural map  $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  factors through the map  $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . The latter map is a local extension of discrete valuation ring, so it is faithfully flat. As the localization map

is flat,  $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$  is also flat. So the functor  $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$  is actually exact. In fact, we want to prove this functor is fully faithful. But we need some lemmas before that.

**Lemma 6.35.** *Let  $h : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$  be a morphism in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$  which becomes an isomorphism after tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ , then  $h$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $h$  is an isomorphism after tensoring  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}'$  have the same rank as  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules. So  $h$  is an isomorphism if and only if its determinant is an isomorphism. Now it suffices to prove the result for the rank 1 case.

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{M}' = \mathfrak{M}' \otimes \mathcal{O}$ . By [Kis, 1.3.10 and 1.3.13]  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$  are both in the category  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, \nabla}$ . Let  $D = D(\mathcal{M})$  and  $D' = D(\mathcal{M}')$ , since we have the equivalence of categories by Theorem 6.12

$$MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Mod_{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi, N, \nabla}$$

we have a nonzero map  $h' : D \rightarrow D'$ . Since they are of dimension 1,  $h'$  is an isomorphism. By the fully faithful functor in Corollary 6.30

$$MF_K^{\varphi, N, Fil \geq 0, w.a} \rightarrow Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

We see that  $h$  becomes an isomorphism after inverting  $p$ . So  $h$  has to be the multiplication of  $p^r$  for some non-negative integer  $r$  and a unit. As  $h$  becomes an isomorphism after tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ ,  $r$  must be 0. Then  $h$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.36.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$  with  $\mathfrak{S}$ -rank  $d$ , define*

$$\mathfrak{M}' := Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{K_\infty}]}(V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}), \widehat{\mathfrak{S}^{ur}})$$

*then  $\mathfrak{M}'$  is a finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module of rank  $d$  and the natural map  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$  is injective.*

**Proposition 6.37.** *The functor  $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} : Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \rightarrow Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}}^\varphi$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* We first give an important construction. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}}^\varphi$ . If  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module which is  $\varphi$ -stable and such that  $\mathfrak{M}/\varphi^*\mathfrak{M}$  is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ . Define  $F(\mathfrak{M}) := \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}$ . According to [Fon07, B1.2.4]  $F(\mathfrak{M})$  is a finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module and is naturally a submodule of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which contains  $\mathfrak{M}$ . In particular,  $F(\mathfrak{M})$  is in the category  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$ .

We need to prove for any objects  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$  in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathfrak{M}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$

$$Hom_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}, \varphi}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$$

is bijective.

Suppose  $h : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$  is a morphism in  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}}^\varphi$ . We have to show this induces a map  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$ .

We first consider the case that  $h$  is the identity map. Then  $V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}_1) = V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ , so  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$  are both contained in  $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{K_\infty}]}(V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}_1), \widehat{\mathfrak{S}^{ur}})$  which is a finite  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module of rank  $d = rk_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ . We write  $\mathfrak{M}_3 := \mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_3$  is a finite  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module of rank  $d$  and it is also  $\varphi$ -stable and of finite  $E$ -height since  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  are. Since  $F(\mathfrak{M}_3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{M}_1$ , the morphisms  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{M}_3)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{M}_3)$  are both isomorphisms via the lemma.

In the general case, let  $\mathcal{M}_3 = h(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_3 = h(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  (note that the natural map  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$  is injective). Write  $\mathfrak{M}'_3 = \mathcal{M}_3 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2$ .  $\mathfrak{M}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{M}'_3$  are  $\varphi$ -stable. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_3 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_3$  is of finite  $E$ -height. As for  $\mathfrak{M}'_3$ , consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$$

the second map is defined by  $m \rightarrow m \oplus m$  and the third map is defined by  $m_1 \oplus m_2 \rightarrow m_1 - m_2$ . Note that  $1 \otimes \varphi$  is injective on each term of this sequence and  $\mathfrak{M}_3$  is in the category of  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^\varphi$ . The cokernel of  $1 \otimes \varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{M}'_3$  may be considered as a  $\mathfrak{S}$ -submodule of the cokernel of  $1 \otimes \varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_2$ . We have  $F(\mathfrak{M}_3) = F(\mathfrak{M}'_3)$ . Then we define the composite map

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{M}_3) = F(\mathfrak{M}'_3) \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{M}_2) = \mathfrak{M}_2.$$

□

**Proposition 6.38.** *Let  $D$  be an effective, weakly admissible filtered  $\varphi$ -module, and  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$  a module whose image in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  is equal to the image of  $D$  under the fully faithful functor  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi, Fil \geq 0, w.a} \rightarrow Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then there exists a canonical bijection*

$$V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{cris}^*(D) = Hom_{Fil, \varphi}(D, B_{cris}).$$

which is compatible with the action of  $G_{K_\infty}$  on the two sides.

*Proof.* See [BC09, Prop11.3.3], for a more general case, see [Kis, Prop2.1.5]. □

Now we can prove the conjecture given by Breuil in [Bre02]

**Corollary 6.39.** *The functor  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris} \rightarrow Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}$  defined by restricting the action of a  $G_K$ -representation to  $G_{K_\infty}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the corollary for the full subcategory  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris, +}$  consisting of crystalline representations with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights (otherwise we can twist by  $\mathbb{Q}_p(n)$  for large enough  $n$ ).

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rep_{G_K}^{cris, +} & \longrightarrow & Rep_{G_{K_\infty}} \\ \downarrow & & \simeq \uparrow \\ Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

The left side functor is the composite  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris, +} \xrightarrow{\cong} MF_K^{\varphi, Fil \geq 0, w.a} \xrightarrow{\text{fully faithful}} Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . So it is also fully faithful. The functor in the bottom is fully faithful via the Lemma 6.37. The functor on the right sends  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^\varphi$  to  $Hom_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{ur})$  and it is an equivalence according to the Theorem 5.15. So it rests to prove this diagram is commutative. In fact, by Proposition 6.39 and Corollary 6.35, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MF_K^{\varphi, w.a, Fil \geq 0} & \xrightarrow{V_{cris}^*} & Rep_{G_K}^{cris} \longrightarrow Rep_{G_{K_\infty}} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & Mod_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^\varphi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

is commutative. The commutativity of the first diagram follows. □

Now by using  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules, we can describe  $G_{K_\infty}$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in  $p$ -adic  $G_{K_\infty}$ -representations.

**Lemma 6.40.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be in  $Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$  and  $V = V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Set  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathfrak{M}$ . Then the map*

$$\mathfrak{N} \rightarrow V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N}) = Hom_{\mathfrak{S}, \varphi}(\mathfrak{N}, \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}^{ur})$$

*is a bijection (up to isomorphism) between finite free  $\varphi$ -stable  $\mathfrak{S}$ -submodules  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{N} \simeq \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}/\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N})$  is killed by some power of  $E(u)$ , and  $G_{K_\infty}$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices  $L \subset V$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.15, the set of  $G_{K_\infty}$ -stable lattices  $L \subset V$  is in bijection (up to isomorphism) with the set of finite free,  $\varphi$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -lattices  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{N} \in \Phi M_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\acute{e}t}$ .

To prove the injection, choose any  $\mathfrak{N}$ , then  $V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N})$  is a  $G_{K_\infty}$ -lattice in  $V$  by Proposition 6.39. If there exists  $\mathfrak{N}'$  such that  $V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N}) = V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N}')$ , then  $\mathfrak{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \mathfrak{N}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . By Lemma 6.36, we have  $\mathfrak{N} \simeq \mathfrak{N}'$ . So the map of this lemma is injective.

To prove the surjectivity, given a  $G_{K_\infty}$ -stable lattice  $L \subset V$ , let  $\mathcal{N} = V_{\mathcal{E}}^*(L) = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{K_\infty}]}(L, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{ur}})$  be the corresponding finite free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module. Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{M}[1/p]$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module such that  $\mathfrak{n}/\varphi^*(\mathfrak{N})$  is killed by some power of  $E(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{N}$  ( see the proof of [Kis, Lemma1.3.13]). Then  $V_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{N}) \simeq V_{\mathcal{E}}^*(\mathcal{N}) \simeq L$ . So the map of the lemma is surjective.  $\square$

Denote by  $Rep_{G_K}^{criso}$  the category of  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices spanning representations in  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris}$ , the category of crystalline representations. To give an important statement concerning the category  $Rep_{G_K}^{criso}$ , we set a new category  $Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi,+}$ , which is the category of finite free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules  $\mathfrak{M}$  equipped with a Frobenius semi-linear isomorphism

$$1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^*(\mathfrak{M})[1/E(u)] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{M}[1/E(u)].$$

This definition is slightly different from  $Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$ . Indeed,  $Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi$  is a full subcategory of  $Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi,+}$ . As we will see, the aim of constructing this new category is to consider crystalline representations with arbitrary Hodge-Tate weights.

**Theorem 6.41.** *There exists a fully faithful functor*

$$\mathfrak{M} : Rep_{G_K}^{criso} \rightarrow Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi,+}.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^\circ$  the category of continuous representations of  $G_{K_\infty}$  on finite free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules. Then we have functors

$$Mod_{/\mathfrak{S}}^\varphi \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}} Mod_{/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^\varphi \xrightarrow{\simeq} Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^\circ.$$

This composite functor is fully faithful. We denote by  $Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^{fh,\circ}$  the essential image of this functor.

Let  $Rep_{cris}^{-,\circ}$  be the category of  $G_K$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in crystalline representations with Hodge-Tate weights  $\leq 0$ . Then the functor

$$Rep_{cris}^{-,\circ} \rightarrow Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^\circ; L \rightarrow L|_{G_{K_\infty}}.$$

is fully faithful (Note that in the proof of the Corollary 6.40, we restrict the functor to the full subcategory  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris,+}$  and use the contravariant functor  $D_{cris}^* : Rep_{G_K}^{cris,+} \rightarrow Mod_K^{\varphi, Fil \geq 0, w.a.}$ . Now we restrict to the full subcategory consisting of those representations with non-positive Hodge-Tate weights, then we need to use the covariant functor  $D_{cris}$  correspondently).

If  $L$  is in  $Rep_{cris}^{-,\circ}$ , then by the Proposition 6.39, we have  $L[1/p]$  has a  $G_{K_\infty}$ -stable lattice in  $Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^{fh,\circ}$ . Then by the Corollary 6.35 and the bijection in the Lemma 6.41,  $L|_{G_{K_\infty}}$  is also in  $Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^{fh,\circ}$ .

We now can define the functor  $\mathfrak{M}$  as the composite

$$\mathfrak{M} : Rep_{cris}^{-,\circ} \rightarrow Rep_{G_{K_\infty}}^{fh,\circ} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi}.$$

To extend this functor to one on  $Rep_{G_K}^{cris,\circ}$ , let  $\mathfrak{S}(1)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{S}(-1)$ ) be the object with the underlying  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module equal to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\varphi$  given by sending 1 to  $E(0)/pE(u)$  (resp.  $pE(u)/E(0)$ ). We can see that in  $\mathfrak{S}(-1)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(1)$  are both in  $Mod_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\varphi,+}$ . Now for any  $L$  in  $Rep_{cris}^{\circ}$ , we set  $\mathfrak{M}(L) = \mathfrak{M}(L(-m)) \otimes \mathfrak{S}(i)^{\otimes m}$  for  $m$  a positive integer such that  $L(-m)[1/p]$  has all Hodge-Tate weights  $\leq 0$  ( $L(-m)$  is the Tate-twist of  $L$ ).

□

## REFERENCES

- [BC09] Olivier Brinon and Brian Conrad. Cmi summer school notes on p-adic hodge theory. 2009.
- [Ber06] Pierre Berthelot. *Cohomologie Cristalline des Schemas de Caractéristique p O*, volume 407. Springer, 2006.
- [BO15] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus. *Notes on Crystalline Cohomology. (MN-21)*. Princeton University Press, 2015.
- [Bre02] Christophe Breuil. Integral p-adic hodge theory. *Advanced Studies in Pure Math*, 36:51–80, 2002.
- [Bri08] Olivier Brinon. *Représentations p-adiques cristallines et de de Rham dans le cas relatif*. Société Mathématique de France, 2008.
- [CF00a] Pierre Colmez and Jean-Marc Fontaine. Construction des représentations p-adiques semi-stables. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 140(1):1–43, 2000.
- [CF00b] Pierre Colmez and Jean-Marc Fontaine. Construction des représentations p-adiques semi-stables. 140:1–43, 01 2000.
- [Fal88] Gerd Faltings. p-adic hodge theory. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(1):255–299, 1988.
- [FL82] JM Fontaine and G Laffaille. Construction de représentations p-adiques, annls scient. c. *Norm. Sup*, 4(15):547608, 1982.
- [FM87] Jean Marc Fontaine and William Messing. *p-adic periods and p-adic étale cohomology*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, 1987.
- [FO08] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Yi Ouyang. Theory of p-adic galois representations. *preprint*, 2008.
- [Fon07] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux (1ere partie). In *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, pages 249–309. Springer, 2007.
- [Fon17] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Le corps des p-ériodes p-adiques. 08 2017.
- [Ked04a] Kiran S Kedlaya. Frobenius modules and de jong’s theorem. *arXiv preprint math/0402420*, 2004.
- [Ked04b] Kiran S Kedlaya. A p-adic local monodromy theorem. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 93–184, 2004.
- [Ked05] Kiran S Kedlaya. Slope filtrations revisited. *Doc. Math*, 10(447525.15), 2005.
- [Ked06] Kiran S Kedlaya. Slope filtrations for relative frobenius. *arXiv preprint math/0609272*, 2006.
- [Kis] Mark Kisin. Crystalline representations and f-crystals, algebraic geometry and number theory, 459–496. *Progr. Math*, 253.
- [Kis10] Mark Kisin. Integral models for shimura varieties of abelian type. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(4):967–1012, 2010.
- [Mat89] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8. Cambridge university press, 1989.
- [Ser13] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Tsu99] Takeshi Tsuji. p-adic étale cohomology and crystalline cohomology in the semi-stable reduction case. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 137(2):233–411, 1999.