

On the toric locus of ℓ -adic local systems arising from geometry

ANNA CADORET

(joint work with Jakob Stix)

For an algebraic group G , let G° denote its neutral component. Let k be a number field. Let X be a smooth, separated, geometrically connected variety over k and let $|X|$ denote the set of closed points of X . For an infinite $\infty : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (resp. finite $v : k \hookrightarrow k_v$) places, let $X \rightsquigarrow X_\infty \rightsquigarrow X_\infty^{\text{an}}$ (resp. $X \rightsquigarrow X_v \rightsquigarrow X_v^{\text{an}}$) denote the base-change and analytification functors. Fix a prime ℓ and a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -local system \mathcal{V}_ℓ on X *via* a continuous representation of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ on $V_\ell := \mathcal{V}_{\ell, \bar{x}}$. Write $\overline{G}_\ell, G_\ell \subset \text{GL}_{V_\ell}$ for the Zariski-closure of the images of $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})$ and $\pi_1(X)$ acting on V_ℓ and, for $x \in X$, write $G_{\ell, x} \subset \text{GL}_{V_\ell}$ for "the"¹ Zariski-closure of the image of $\pi_1(x)$ acting on V_ℓ *via* $\pi_1(x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. The degeneracy locus of \mathcal{V}_ℓ is the set

$$|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell} := \{x \in |X| \mid G_{\ell, x}^\circ \subsetneq G_\ell^\circ\}$$

For a smooth projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, the \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -local systems of the form $\mathcal{V}_\ell = R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(j)$ control certain arithmetico-geometric invariants of the $Y_x, x \in |X|$ (*e.g.* ℓ -primary torsion of the Picard variety or of the Brauer group, rank of the Néron-Severi group, of motivated cycles, rank of the the Picard variety *etc.*) and understanding $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}$ amounts to understanding how those invariants degenerate in the family $Y_x, x \in |X|$ - see [C23, §3] for details.

The leading conjecture about $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}$ is the following. For every integer $d \geq 1$ let $|X|^{\leq d}$ denote the set of all $x \in |X|$ such that $[k(x) : k] \leq d$. Then

Conj. 1 *Assume \overline{G}_ℓ has finite abelianization. Then $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell} \cap |X|^{\leq d}$ is not Zariski-dense in X .*

For X a curve, Conj. 1 is proved in [CT13]. In contrast, if X has dimension ≥ 2 , it is widely open. Actually, the strategy of [CT13] provides a heuristic for Conj. 1 when $d = 1$ - see [C23, §4]; this heuristic relies on the diophantine Lang conjecture (that on a number field k the set of k -rational point of a variety of general type is not Zariski-dense), which seems currently out of reach, even for surfaces.

Assume $\mathcal{V}_\ell = R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(j)$ for some smooth projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$. In this case \overline{G}_ℓ is known to be semisimple - hence, in particular, to have finite abelianization; assume furthermore it is not finite. In this work, we investigate a weaker form of Conj. 1, replacing $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}$ by the toric locus $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}} := \{x \in |X| \mid G_{\ell, x}^\circ \text{ is a torus}\}$, which, informally, corresponds to the most degenerate members of the family of motivated motive $\mathfrak{h}^i(Y_x)(j)$.

Conj. 2 *With the above assumptions, $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}} \cap |X|^{\leq d}$ is not Zariski-dense in X .*

¹Actually only well defined up to conjugacy.

Conj. 2 follows from Conj. 1 but it is also a consequence of the Mumford-Tate conjecture and the generalized André-Oort conjecture (an unlikely intersection type conjecture); this implication is deep as it involves the average Colmez conjecture. Actually, the Mumford-Tate conjecture predicts that the points $x \in X_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ lifting those of $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ are exactly the CM points of the polarizable \mathbb{Q} -VHS $\mathcal{V}_\infty := R^i f_\infty^{\text{an}} \mathbb{Q}(j)$ and that $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ should play a similar part in controlling the geometry of the exceptional locus $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}$ as the CM points do in controlling the geometry of the Hodge locus. Unfortunately, in general, we do not know how $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ compares with the CM locus; actually, we do not even know if $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ is independent of ℓ . The only things which are known are that

- (1) the solvable locus $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{solv}} := \{x \in |X| \mid G_{\ell,x}^\circ \text{ is solvable}\} \subset |X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}$, is independent of ℓ ; this follows from class field theory [Se68] (and is true more generally for any \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -local system which is almost pointwise geometric in the sense of Fontaine-Mazur).
- (2) For every prime ℓ , $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ contains the set $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tor}}}$ of all $x \in |X|$ such that the connected component of the motivated Galois group (in the sense of André) of the motivated motive $\mathfrak{h}^i(Y_x)(j)$ is a torus.

Our main result is the following. We keep the assumptions in Conj. 2. Consider the level condition: (Lev $_\ell$) The image of $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}})$ acting on V_ℓ is a pro- ℓ group.

Thm. *Assume (Lev $_\ell$) holds for at least two primes $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. Then there exists a set \mathcal{L} of primes of positive Dirichlet density such that for every $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ the set $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor-}} \cap X(k)$ is not Zariski-dense in X . Furthermore, if the complex period map describing \mathcal{V}_∞ is finite-to-one, then $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor-}} \cap X(k)$ is finite.*

Here, $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor-}}$ denotes the subset of all $x \in |X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ such that $x \in |X|_{\mathcal{V}_{\ell_x}}^{\text{tor}}$ for another $\ell_x \neq \ell$. In particular, $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tor}}} \cap X(k)$ is not Zariski-dense in X .

Remark. We hope our strategy to prove Thm. can be extended to prove Conj. 2 in general (namely for arbitrary $d \geq 1$ and without level conditions) but still with the restriction that ℓ belongs to a subset \mathcal{L} of primes of positive Dirichlet density. For the time being, treating all primes ℓ seems to require a truly new idea.

Brief sketch of proof.

Step 1: "toric points are integral". Fix a non-empty open subscheme $U \subset \text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ and $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{cpt}} \rightarrow U$ with $\mathcal{X}^{\text{cpt}} \rightarrow U$ smooth, projective, $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{cpt}}$ an open immersion such that $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{X}^{\text{cpt}} \setminus \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ is a relative normal crossing divisor and $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ a smooth projective morphism with generic fiber $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Let $\mathcal{Z}^+ \subset \mathcal{Z}$ denote the union of those irreducible components around which the monodromy of \mathcal{V}_∞ is trivial and set $\mathcal{X}^+ := \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Z}^+$. It is not difficult to check that \mathcal{V}_ℓ extends to a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -local system on the generic fiber X^+ of \mathcal{X}^+ . By the nilpotent orbit theorem, \mathcal{V}_∞ also extends to a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS on $X_\infty^{+\text{an}}$. The key lemma

is the following. Fix $v \in U$, $v|p$ let \mathcal{O}_v, k_v denote respectively the completions of \mathcal{O}_k, k at v .

Lem. (Good reduction criterion) *Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime such that the image of $\pi_1(X_{k_v})$ acting on V_ℓ is of prime-to- p order. Then for every $x \in X^+(k_v)$, $x \in \mathcal{X}^+(\mathcal{O}_v)$ iff $x^*\mathcal{V}_\ell$ is unramified at v .*

The proof of Lem. is similar to [PST21, §4]; it relies on the interpretation of Kummer theory in terms of intersection data and the nilpotent orbit theorem. That Lem. applies to toric points follows from the theory of complex multiplication [Se68], Serre-Tate criterion [SeT68], and the level assumption in Thm. It is to apply Lem. that we have to replace $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$ with $|X|_{\mathcal{V}_\ell}^{\text{tor}-}$.

Step 2: "Integral toric points are not Zariski-dense". This step follows the strategy of [LawV20] using the enhanced version of the local v -adic period map constructed in [BS22] (building on recent development in variational p -adic Hodge theory - [LiZ17], [Shi20], [DLanLiZ23]). Write $\mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}} := \mathbb{R}^i f_* \Omega_{Y|X}$ for the relative de Rham cohomology; this is a filtered vector bundle with flat connexion. The strategy of [LawV20] relies on the motivic properties of the collection $\mathcal{V}_p, \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}}, \mathcal{V}_\infty$ - in particular that \mathcal{V}_p be pointwise pure with characteristic polynomial of Frobenius in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ and with bounded denominators, that $(\mathcal{V}_\infty, \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}, \infty}^{\text{an}})$ is a polarizable \mathbb{Z} -VHS on X_∞^{an} , that $(\mathcal{V}_p, \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}, v}^{\text{an}})$ is a de Rham pair on X_v^{an} etc. In step 1, we have extended \mathcal{V}_p from X to X^+ but there is no reason why $f : Y \rightarrow X$ should extend to a smooth projective morphism over X^+ (and it does not in general) so, to run the [LawV20] strategy, we have to check that $\mathcal{V}_p, \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}}, \mathcal{V}_\infty$ extend from X to X^+ with all the expected properties; this involves the nilpotent orbit theorem (as already mentioned in step 1), the companion conjecture [L02, Thm. VII.6] and the rigidity of the de Rham property [LiZ17, Thm. 3.9 (iv)]. Once this is done, fix $v \in U_p$. Let \underline{r} be the type of the filtration on \mathcal{V}_{dR} and let $\check{\mathbf{D}}(\underline{r})$ denote the Grassmannian over k classifying filtration of type \underline{r} on $\mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}, x} \simeq k^{\oplus r}$. The crux of the argument is that for every $x_0 \in \Sigma := |X^+|_{\mathcal{V}_p}^{\text{tor}} \cap X(k)$ there exists an admissible open neighbourhood U_v of x_0 in X_v^{an} and a v -adic analytic period map $\Phi_v : U_v \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{D}}(\underline{r})_v^{\text{an}}$ such that the fibers of $\Phi_v : U_v \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{D}}(\underline{r})_v^{\text{an}}$ above points in $\Phi_v(X(k) \cap U_v)$ are not-Zariski dense in X (see [LawV20, §9.2]), and which, for every $x \in U_v$, fits into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (*) & & U_v & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\text{et}}} & \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}(\pi_1(k_v)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & \pi_0(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}(\pi_1(k_v))) \\
 & & \vdots & \searrow \Phi_{\text{pH}} & \downarrow D_{\text{cris}} & & \downarrow D_{\text{cris}} \\
 & & \text{FM}_{k_v}(M_{0,x,\underline{r}}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & \pi_0(\text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi)), \\
 & \swarrow \Phi_v & \downarrow & & \nearrow \alpha_x & & \\
 & & \check{\mathbf{D}}(\underline{r})_v^{\text{an}} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\Phi_{\text{et}} : U_v \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}(\pi_1(k_v))$ is the map that sends $x \in U_v$ to $x^*\mathcal{V}_v$, which is automatically crystalline - [Shi20], $D_{\text{cris}} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}(\pi_1(k_v)) \rightarrow \text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi)$ is Fontaine's crystalline period functor $V_p \rightarrow (V_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}})^{\pi_1(k_v)}$ (with value in the category $\text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi)$ of filtered ϕ -modules); $\text{FM}_{k_v}(M_{\text{cris},x}, \underline{r})$ denotes the set of filtered ϕ -modules with fixed underlying ϕ -module $(M_{0,x}, \phi_x)$ and filtration of fixed type \underline{r} and $\pi_0(-)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes (of p -adic $\pi_1(k_v)$ -representations and filtered ϕ -modules respectively). From step 1, $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{X}^+(\mathcal{O}_v)$ hence, as $\mathcal{X}^+(\mathcal{O}_v)$ is compact, one can cover Σ by finitely many U_v as above. This reduces the proof of Thm. to showing that (1) $\pi_0 \circ \Phi_{\text{et}}(\Sigma \cap U_v)$ is finite and (2) for every $x \in \Sigma \cap U_v$, the set $\alpha_x^{-1}(\alpha_x \circ \Phi_v(x))$ is finite². Assertion (1) follows from a classical lemma of Faltings [FW84, V.5]. The proof of Assertion (2) is more demanding; the rough idea is as follows. Let $G_{\text{cris},v}$ denote the Galois group of $\Phi_{pH}(x) = (M_{0,x}, \phi_x, F_x^\bullet)$ in $\text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi)$ extended from \mathbb{Q}_p to k_v so that, tautologically its centralizer $Z(G_{\text{cris},v})$ stabilizes F_x^\bullet and is contained in the centralizer $Z(\varphi_x)$ of the linearized crystalline Frobenius φ_x . Assertion (2) amounts to showing that $Z(\varphi_x)$ stabilizes F_x^\bullet so that to conclude, it is enough to show $Z(\varphi_x) = Z(G_{\text{cris},v})$. By the fully faithfulness of $D_{\text{cris}} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}(\pi_1(k_v)) \rightarrow \text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi)$, and the fact that $x \in |X|_{\mathbb{V}_p}^{\text{tor}}$, we already know that $G_{\text{cris},v}$ is a torus so that it is actually enough to show that φ_x has maximal rank in $G_{\text{cris},v}$. This is to ensure the later hold for *every* (*a priori* infinitely many!) $x \in \Sigma$ that we have to restrict to a subset \mathcal{L} of primes of positive Dirichlet density. On top of several ingredients already mentioned, the proof uses Frobenius tori, [KM74] and, as one can guess, the Chebotarev density theorem.

REFERENCES

- [BS22] A. BETTS and J. STIX *Galois sections and p -adic period mappings*, Preprint 2022.
- [C23] A. CADORET, *Degeneration locus of \mathbb{Q}_p local systems: conjectures*, Expositions Math. - Special issue in the memory of Bas Edixhoven, to appear.
- [CT13] A. CADORET and A. TAMAGAWA, *A uniform open image theorem for l -adic representations II* Duke Math. Journal **162**, p. 2301–2344, 2013.
- [DLanLiZ23] H. DIAO, K.W. LAN, R. LIU and X. ZHANG, *Logarithmic Riemann–Hilbert correspondences for rigid varieties*, Journal of the American Math. Soc. **36**, p. 483–562, 2023.
- [FW84] G. FALTINGS, G. WÜSTHOLZ (eds.), *Rational Points*, Aspects of Mathematics, E6, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1984.
- [KM74] N. M. KATZ and W. MESSING, *Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **23**, p. 73–77, 1974.
- [L02] L. LAFFORGUE, *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands*, Invent. Math. **147**, p.1–241, 2002.
- [LawV20] B. LAWRENCE and A. VENKATESH, *Diophantine problems and p -adic period mappings*, Invent. Math. **221**, p. 893–999, 2020.
- [LiZ17] R. LIU and X. ZHU, *Rigidity and a Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for p -adic local systems*, Invent. Math. **207**, p. 291–343, 2017.
- [PST21] J. PILA, A. SHANKAR and J. TSIMERMAN, *Canonical heights on Shimura varieties and the André–Oort conjectures*, Preprint 2021. (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.08788>)

²By construction, the fibers of $\alpha_x : \mathring{D}(\underline{r})_v^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{FM}_{k_v}(\phi))$ are homogeneous spaces under the centralizer $Z(\phi_x)$ of the crystalline Frobenius so, another way to phrase (2) is to say that points in $\alpha_x \circ \Phi_v(\Sigma \cap U_v)$ have finite $Z(\phi_x)$ -orbits.

- [Se68] J.-P. SERRE, *Abelian ℓ -adic representations and Elliptic curves*, W.A. Benjamin, 1968.
- [SeT68] J.-P. SERRE and J. TATE, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, *Annals of Math.* **88**, p. 492-517, 1968.
- [Shi20] K. SHIMIZU, *A p -adic monodromy theorem for de Rham local systems*, *Compositio Math.* **158**, p. 2157–2205, 2022.
-