

$$\{(m, r) \in M \times P; f(m) = g(r)\} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P \\ \downarrow f \\ M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

also consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times P & \xrightarrow{g} & P \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow p \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & N \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

the pullback

of  $f$  and  $g$ . One has  $g$  injective hence the upper part splits and we get a map from  $P$  to  $M$  that makes the diagram commute

\* The pullback goes into a short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \ker g & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & N \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \ker g & \rightarrow & M \times P & \rightarrow & P \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

+ apply snake lemma  $\square$

Thm 5.20 Let  $A$  be an associative ring with 1. Then  $\text{Mod } A$  and  $A\text{-Mod}$  have enough projectives and injectives

Proof (1) Every module is quotient of a free module:  $m \in M; \sum_n A \rightarrow M$   
 then  $L(A) \xrightarrow{f_m} M$  is surjective  
 $m \in M \cap \text{projective}$

Moreover  $A$  is projective since  $\text{Hom}_A(A, -) \simeq \text{Id}_{\text{Mod } A}$

(2) Statement for injective modules is not dual:  $(\text{Mod } A)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Mod } B!$

claim 1  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is an injective abelian gp (see VD)

2  $D = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) : \text{Mod } A \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$  is exact

$$M_A \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

since  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is injective

and  $M \hookrightarrow D(D(M))$  is injective  
 $m \mapsto e_m$

for  $m \in M$  if  $o(m) = n$   $\phi_m : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  gp homo  
 $m \mapsto [\frac{1}{n}]$   
 $M' = \langle m \rangle \subset M$

$$o(m) = \infty \quad \phi_m : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$$m \mapsto [\frac{1}{2}]$$

in both cases

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' \subset M & & \\ \downarrow \phi_m & \searrow \uparrow & \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

and  $\phi(m) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists \phi_m = 0 \Rightarrow m = 0$   
 $e_m \in \text{Im}(\phi)$

Claim 3  $M \in \text{Mod } A$ ,  $D(M) \in A\text{-Mod}$   $P \mapsto D(M)$  by (1)

$\hookrightarrow M \hookrightarrow D(D(M)) \rightarrow D(P)$

$D(P)$  is injective: can choose  $P$  to be  $\bigoplus A$ , then  $D(A) = \prod D(A)$

and  $D(A)$  is injective since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(-, D(A)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(-, \text{Hom}_Z(A \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_Z(A \otimes_A -, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{coproduct of exact factors. } \triangleleft \end{aligned}$$

Rem Here we see that  $- \otimes_A A$  is exact since it is the identity functor on  $\text{Mod } A$ . More generally if  $P$  is a projective  $A$ -module then

$- \otimes_A P$  is flat and  $P \otimes_A -$  is exact.

Indeed this is true for free modules and if  $P$  is a direct summand of a free module, then  $P \otimes_A - / F \otimes_A - \Rightarrow$  exactitude by additivity of the tensor product

Def 5.21 Let  $A$  be a ring. A Module  $M$  is flat if  $M \otimes_A -$  is exact.

$\hookrightarrow$  Proj  $\Rightarrow$  flat.

#### 4. Resolutions and derived functors

Def 5.22(1) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ . A projective resolution of  $M$  is a complex  $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of projective objects together with an homomorphism  $\Sigma: P_0 \rightarrow M$  s.t. the "augmented" complex  $\dots \rightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\Sigma} M \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

(2) An injective (co)resolution of  $M$  is a complex of codomains  $(I_n^M)_{n \geq 0}$  of injective objects with a map  $\Sigma: M \rightarrow I_0^M$  s.t. the complex  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\Sigma} I_0^M \xrightarrow{d_0} I_1^M \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots$  is exact

Prop 5.23 If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives (resp. injectives) then every object has a projective resolution (resp. injective coresolution)

Proof  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{K}er(d_n) \rightarrow 0$   
 + induction + dual for injective □

Thm 5.24 Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category with enough projectives.  
 Let  $\pi, \pi' \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $f: \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ .  $(P_i)$  be a proj resolution of  $\pi$   
 $(Q_i)$  — — —  $\pi'$

Then  $f$  can be extended as a map  $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$  from  $P_i \rightarrow Q_i$ .  
 And given two such maps  $(f_n)$  and  $(f'_n)$  there is a homotopy  $(d_n)$   
 s.t.  $f - f' = d_0 + \dots + d_n$ .

Proof  $P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \pi \rightarrow 0$  by property of projective objects  $f$  lifts as  $f_0$   
 $\pi' \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \delta$   
 $Q_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi'_0} \pi' \rightarrow 0$

Now  $\pi'_0 \circ f_0 \circ d_1 = f \circ \pi_0 \circ d_1 = 0$  so  $\text{Im}(f_0 \circ d_1) \subseteq \text{ker}(\pi'_0) = \text{Im}(d_1)$

↳ we get a morphism  $P_1 \rightarrow Q_1$  and by projectivity of  $P_1$   $f_0 \circ d_1$  lifts as  $f_1$   
 $Q_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} \text{Im}(d_1)$   
 + induction.

Now if we have two lifts  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \pi$   
 $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \delta$   
 $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi'_0} \pi'$

we have  $\pi'_0 \circ (f_0 - f'_0) = 0$  so  $\text{Im}(f_0 - f'_0) \subseteq \text{ker}(\pi'_0) = \text{Im}(d_1)$

so  $P_0 \rightarrow Q_0$   $\rightarrow f_0 - f'_0 = d_1 \circ s_0$   
 $Q_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \text{Im}(d_1)$

Now 
$$d_1' (f_1 - h' - s_0 d_1) = d_1' (h - h') - d_1' s_0 d_1$$

$$= (f_0 - f_0') d_1 - (f_0 - f_0') d_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Dat}_m (f_1 - h' - s_0 d_1) \in \ker(d_1) = \text{Im}(d_2')$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} h - h' - s_0 d_1 & \xrightarrow{P_1} & P_1 \\ \downarrow d_1 & \searrow d_1' & \downarrow \\ Q_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2'} & \text{Im}(d_2') \end{array}$$

+ induction □

Rem

(1) We only need the upper complex to be projective and bottom complex to be exact (stage)

↳ See acyclic models for a generalization

(2) Can do the dual for injective resolutions.

Thm 5.25 (1) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an abelian category with enough projective. Then there exists a functor  $P_R: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow K_+(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E}))$  sending  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  to one of its projective resolutions. Moreover

(a)  $H_0 \circ P_R \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$

(b)  $H_i \circ P_R = 0$  if  $i \neq 0$

(2) Dual for category with enough injective

by Thm 5.24 the choice of two projective resolutions

of  $M$  leads to a lift of  $\text{Id}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_0 & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ Q_0 & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow d_2 & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ P & \rightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Proof

and by unicity of the lift we get  $g \circ f \sim \text{Id}$  and  $f \circ g \sim \text{Id}$

so  $P$  and  $Q$  are isomorphic in  $K_+(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E}))$ .

Moreover once a choice is made this is functorial

$$g \circ f \circ \begin{array}{ccc} P_0 & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_1 \\ Q_0 & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow d_2 & & \downarrow d_2 \\ P & \rightarrow & M \end{array}$$

$(g \circ f)$  lifts  $f$  and  $g$  so it is homotopic to  $P(g) \circ f$ .