JEAN-CHRISTOPHE YOCCOZ AND THE THEORY OF CIRCLE DIFFEOMORPHISMS

HAKAN ELIASSON, BASSAM FAYAD AND RAPHAËL KRIKORIAN

1. Circle diffeomorphisms and quasi-periodicity

1.1. Periodicity, almost-periodicity, quasi-periodicity. A bounded sequence of real or complex numbers $u := (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be periodic if there exists an integer p such that $u_{+p} = u$. (the smallest non null such p is called the *period*). More generally, the sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be almostperiodic if the set of all its translates $n \mapsto u_{n-m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a compact closure in $l^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$, which is then denoted by Ω_u and called the hull of u. The set Ω_u has naturally the structure of a topological compact group and as such admits a Haar measure μ . The Pontryagin dual Ω_u of Ω_u , the group of characters, is naturally a countable subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ that can be seen as a \mathbb{Z} -module, the frequency module of u. By Peter-Weyl theorem any element of Ω_u (in particular u) is a uniform limit of finite sums of the form $n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{i\alpha_i n}$ with $\alpha_j \in \hat{\Omega}_u$, $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$. The name frequency module comes from the fact that $\alpha \in \hat{\Omega}_u$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{-i\alpha n} u_n \neq 0$. There are two interesting classes of almost periodic functions. We say that the sequence u is limit-periodic if it's the uniform limit of a sequence of periodic sequences; we say that u is quasi-periodic if its frequency module Ω_u has finite rank. Notice that a function which is both limit-periodic and quasi-periodic is indeed periodic. Quasi-periodic sequences are characterized by the fact that they can be written under the form $n \mapsto u_n = f(n\alpha_1, \dots, n\alpha_r), f : \mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{T}^r$ $(\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Given a topological dynamical system $T: X \to X$ we say that an orbit $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is quasi-periodic if for any continuous observable $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ the sequence $(\varphi(T^n x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is quasi-periodic. The dynamics T is said to be quasi-periodic if all its orbits are quasi-periodic. The obvious example of a quasi-periodic motion is that of a rotation on the torus. Also, a system T that is topologically conjugate to a rotation is a quasi-periodic system.

A crucial discovery made by Kolmogorov around the middle of last century is that quasi-periodic motion is robust and prevalent from a probabilistic (or measure theoretical) sense, in a number of physical situations, including the quasi-integrable Hamiltonian systems. Indeed, KAM Theory (after Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser) established the persistence of most of the invariant quasi-periodic tori of quasi-integrable Hamiltonian systems.

Prior to that, Poincaré and Denjoy's theory of circle homeomorphisms established that any C^2 circle diffeomorphism that does not have periodic orbits is topologically conjugate to an irrational rotation, and is thus quasi-periodic. With the subsequent works of many great mathematicians, the theory of quasi-periodic motions on the circle grew to become one of the most complete and inspiring theories of modern dynamics.

Our aim in this note is to present some of the the crucial contributions of Jean-Christophe Yoccoz in this field. We start with a short historical account before exposing Yoccoz' work. Then we give a brief description of the main conceptual and technical tools of the theory, with a focus on describing Yoccoz' work and contributions.

1.2. Homeomorphisms of the circle. Poincaré theory. Let $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Diff}^r_+(\mathbb{T})$ the group (for the composition) of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of \mathbb{T} which are of class C^r . We shall be particularly concerned with the analytic $(r = \omega)$ and the smooth $(r = \infty)$ cases. By T_{α} , $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, we shall denote the rotation of \mathbb{T} by α , $x \mapsto x + \alpha$ — it is an element of $\mathrm{Diff}^r_+(\mathbb{T})$.

We denote by $D^r(\mathbb{T})$ its universal covering space which is the set of C^r -diffeomorphims of the real axis \mathbb{R} commuting with the translation by 1 $T: x \mapsto x+1$. More generally, when $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, T_α will denote the translation of \mathbb{R} by α — it is an element of $D^r(\mathbb{T})$. We have $\mathrm{Diff}_+^r(\mathbb{T}) \simeq D^r(\mathbb{T})/\{T^p, p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and we denote by π the canonical projection $D^r(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathrm{Diff}_+^r(\mathbb{T})$.

Let $\mathbb{T}_{\nu} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < \nu\}/\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathrm{Diff}_{+}^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\nu})$ denote the subgroup of real analytic diffeomorphismes on \mathbb{T} that extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{T}_{\nu} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. For $f, g \in \mathrm{Diff}_{+}^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\nu})$ let

$$||f - g||_{\nu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}_{\nu}} ||f(z) - g(z)||$$

(where $|| \cdot ||$ denotes the metric on \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}).

The rotation number. Poincaré laid the foundations of the theory of circle dynamics. In particular, he introduced the central notion of rotation number and began the classification up to conjugation of these systems. The rotation number $\rho(\bar{f}) \in \mathbb{R}$ of a homeomorphism $\bar{f} \in D^0(\mathbb{T})$ is the uniform limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\bar{f}^n(x) - x}{n}$$

(this limit exists and is independent of $x \in \mathbb{T}$). If $f \in \operatorname{Diff}_+^r(\mathbb{T})$ and $\pi(\bar{f}) = f$, $\bar{f} \in \operatorname{D}^r(\mathbb{T})$ the rotation number $\rho(f)$ of f is the element of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , $\rho(f) = \rho(\bar{f})$ mod 1; this element of \mathbb{T} is independent of the choice of the lift \bar{f} of f. If μ is an invariant probability measure for f one has the following relation

(1.1)
$$\rho(\bar{f}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\bar{f}(x) - x) d\mu(x).$$

The rotation number has many nice properties; let's mention some of them: the rotation number of a rotation T_{α} is equal to α , the rotation

number $\rho(f)$ depends continuously on f and it is invariant under *conjugation* which means that if $f, g \in \text{Diff}^0_+(\mathbb{T})$ satisfy $f \circ h = h \circ g$ where $h : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ is a homeomorphism then $\rho(f) = \rho(g)$.

Poincaré theorem. The rotation number of a rotation T_{α} is equal to α and it is thus natural to ask whether translations are a universal model for circle homeomorphisms, but this is not always the case. Indeed, a homeomorphism of the circle has rational rotation number if and only if it has at least one periodic point but the set of periodic points of this homeomorphism is in general only countable. On the other hand when the rotation number of a homeomorphism is irrational one has more structure:

Theorem 1 (Poincaré). Let f be a homeomorphism of the circle with irrational rotation number. Then, there exists $h: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ surjective, orientation preserving and continuous such that

$$h \circ f = T_{\rho(f)} \circ h.$$

In other words $(\mathbb{T}, T_{\rho(f)})$ is a factor of (\mathbb{T}, f) .

Poincaré's theorem and its proof have many fundamental consequences. In particular one can prove that any orientation preserving homeomorphism of the circle is uniquely ergodic, i.e. has a unique invariant probability measure. (If μ_f is the invariant probability measure of f, one can choose $h(x) = \mu_f([0, x])$ if x > 0 and $h(x) = -\mu_f([0, x])$ if x < 0). The map h is a homeomorphism if and only if the topological support of μ_f is the whole circle. If not, the intervals on which h is not strictly increasing belong to orbits of wandering intervals of f which accumulate by unique ergodicity on the support of μ_f , which is the minimal set of f. On its minimal set f is isomorphic to $R_{\rho(f)}$.

1.3. Diffeomorphisms of the circle. Denjoy theory. In view of Poincaré theorem it is natural to ask for conditions ensuring C^0 -linearization, i.e. that the semi-conjugation h defined above is in fact a homeomorphism. This question was answered by Arnaud Denjoy in the 30's. Denjoy constructed examples of diffeomorphisms f of class C^1 that are not conjugated to rotations (i.e. such that the support of μ_f is not the whole circle). But he also showed that this cannot happen when f is of class C^2 .

Theorem 2 (Denjoy [2]). Le f be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the circle with an irrational rotation number such that $\ln Df$ has bounded variation (for example f is of class C^2). Then there exists a homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$(1.2) h \circ f = T_{\rho(f)} \circ h.$$

Notice that the above conjugacy h is almost unique in the sense that if \tilde{h} is another homeomorphism conjugating f to $T_{\rho(f)}$ then $\tilde{h} = T_{\beta} \circ h$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$.

1.4. C^r - linearization: the local theory. The next natural step is to ask for conditions ensuring C^r -linearization, i.e. C^r -regularity for the conjugation(s) h given by Denjoy theorem. Already, to get a continuous conjugation we saw that one has to assume that the rotation number is irrational and that the map f is not only a diffeomorphism, but also has higher regularity (for example C^2). However, higher regularity of f is not sufficient as was shown by some examples of Arnold. One also needs arithmetic conditions on the rotation number.

An irrational number $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is said to be *Diophantine* with exponent $\sigma \geq 0$ and constant $\gamma > 0$ if it satisfies

$$\forall (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^*, \ |\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \ge \frac{\gamma}{q^{2+\sigma}}.$$

Notice that this is indeed a condition on the angles $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, and we denote the set of such α by $\mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$. As soon as $\sigma > 0$ the Lebesgue measure of the set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$ goes to zero as γ goes to zero and the union $\mathcal{CD}(\sigma) := \bigcup_{\gamma>0} \mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$ has full Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{T} . An angle is Diophantine if it belongs to $\mathcal{CD} = \bigcup_{\sigma \geq 0} \mathcal{CD}(\sigma)$. An irrational angle that is not Diophantine is called Liouville.

Arnold theorem, KAM theory. Under such an arithmetic condition on the rotation number V. I. Arnold proved the first C^{ω} -linearization result.

Theorem 3 (Arnold [1]). For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{CD}$ and $\nu > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon(\alpha, \nu) > 0$ with the following property: any analytic diffeomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\nu})$ such that $\rho(f) = \alpha$ and $||f - T_{\rho(f)}||_{\nu} < \varepsilon(\rho(f), \nu)$ is conjugated to $T_{\rho(f)}$ by an analytic diffeomorphism h in $\operatorname{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\frac{\nu}{2}})$.

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$, there exists such an $\varepsilon(\alpha, \nu)$ that only depends on σ, γ and ν .

The proof of this result was one of the first application of the new ideas designed by Kolmogorov and Arnold (and later by J. Moser in the smooth case) to handle the so-called *small-divisors problems* (see Section 2.1). This would ulteriorly be encompassed in what is now known as KAM theory. By essence, KAM theory is a perturbative method and requires crucially a closeness condition to the rotation.

Later M. Herman proved a smooth (and also a differentiable) version of this result. He also proved that the Diophantine condition was optimal in the smooth case. Indeed, For any Liouville angle α , it is easy to construct via successive conjugations a smooth circle diffeomorphism with rotation number α that is not absolutely continuously conjugate to T_{α} (See for example [3, Chapter XI]).

Herman knew that the Diophantine condition wasn't optimal in the analytic category, but it was Yoccoz who settled the question of the optimal condition for the local linearization to hold in the local context.

An irrational number $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is said to verify a *Brjuno condition* if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln q_{n+1}}{q_n} < \infty,$$

where p_n/q_n are the convergents of α . This is also a condition on the angles $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, and the set of such α is usually denoted by \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 4 (Yoccoz [13]). For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\nu > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon(\alpha, \nu) > 0$ with the following property: any analytic diffeomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\nu})$ such that $\rho(f) = \alpha$ and $||f - T_{\rho(f)}||_{\nu} < \varepsilon(\rho(f), \nu)$ is conjugated to $T_{\rho(f)}$ by an analytic diffeomorphism h in $\operatorname{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_{\frac{\nu}{\alpha}})$.

Another "local" result obtained by Yoccoz gives analytic linearization under the Brjuno condition, when f is injective on sufficiently large "bands". This is formulated in terms of the *Brjuno function* which is a 1-periodic function $B: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$B(x) = xB({x^{-1}}) + \log x^{-1}, \quad x \in]0,1[.$$

B determines the Brjuno condition because $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ iff $B(\alpha) < +\infty$ (see [13]).

Theorem 5 (Yoccoz [13]). There exists $\nu_0 > 0$ such that if $f \in \text{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T})$, with $\rho(f) = \alpha \in \mathcal{B}$, and f is analytic and injective on \mathbb{T}_{ν} , with $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}B(\alpha) + \nu_0$, then f is C^{ω} -linearizable.

It is not hard to obtain Theorem 4 as a corollary of Theorem 5.

Combining the results of Yoccoz [11] on the optimality of condition \mathcal{B} for holomorphic germs and a theorem of R. Perez-Marco [8] that makes a bridge between holomorphic germs and analytic circle diffeomorphisms it can be seen that condition \mathcal{B} is also optimal in Theorem 4. Indeed, Yoccoz shows that

Theorem 6. For any $\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}$, any $\nu > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, the following holds: there exists an analytic diffeomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Diff}^{\omega}_{+}(\mathbb{T}_{\nu})$ such that $\rho(f) = \alpha$ and $\|f - T_{\rho(f)}\|_{\nu} < \varepsilon$ that is not analytically linearizable.

1.5. **Smooth linearization.** It is one of the great achievements of Michel Herman to have proved that one can in fact get a *global* (*i.e.* non perturbative) linearization result for smooth circle diffeomorphisms of the circle for *almost every* rotation number. The important contribution of Yoccoz was to prove that Herman's result extended to all Diophantine numbers (in the smooth case).

Herman-Yoccoz theorem.

Theorem 7 (Herman [3], Yoccoz [9]). Any $f \in \text{Diff}_+^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ with $\rho(f) \in \mathcal{CD}$ is C^{∞} -linearizable.

It is clear that the Diophantine condition is optimal here since it is already optimal in the local case.

Yoccoz (as well as Herman) also proves a result for finitely differentiable diffeomorphisms. In finite regularity, the conjugacy is less regular than the diffeomorphism: this phenomenon of loss of differentiability is typical of small divisors problems.

Theorem 8 (Herman [3], Yoccoz [9]). Any $f \in \text{Diff}_+^r(\mathbb{T})$ with $\rho(f) \in \mathcal{CD}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{CD}$ and $\max(3, 2\sigma + 1) < r < \infty$ is $C^{r-\sigma-1-\epsilon}$ -linearizable for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Other versions of linearization of circle diffeomorphisms with alternative proofs were later obtained by K. Khanin and Y. Sinai [6] (for a subclass of full measure of the Diophantine numbers) and by Y. Katznelson and D. Ornstein [4, 5] (for all Diophantine numbers) that give the best known loss of differentiability.

1.6. Analytic linearization — Yoccoz' renormalization theory. The local theorem was first proven in the analytic category (Arnold's result, Theorem 3). As is often the case in KAM theory, the analytic category is easier to handle compared to the finite differentiability case. This is not the case in the global theory of circle diffeomorphisms. For instance, as was discovered by Yoccoz, the local condition for linearizability turns out to be weaker than the one necessary for the non-perturbative linearization theorem.

The extension to the analytic case of Herman-Yoccoz global theorem does not follow from the smooth case and Yoccoz had to design a new approach to attack this problem, inspired by his work on the optimality of the Brjuno condition for the linearization of holomorphic germs [11]. He found the optimal arithmetic condition on the rotation number, which he named condition \mathcal{H} in honor of M. Herman, that insures the analytic linearization of analytic orientation diffeomorphisms of the circle. Indeed, for an arbitrary number that does not satisfy condition \mathcal{H} , Yoccoz constructs examples of real analytic diffeomorphisms with this rotation number that are not analytically linearizable.

Thus, if we simply define the set \mathcal{H} as the set of all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ such that any $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+^{\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ with rotation number α is analytically linearizable, then Yoccoz gave a full description of this set¹ and showed

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}(r) := \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1}(r - \ln \alpha^{-1} + 1) \text{ for } r \ge \ln \alpha^{-1} \\ e^r \text{ for } r \le \ln \alpha^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Next, define inductively

$$R_{n+1}(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_n}(R_n(\alpha)), \quad R_0(\alpha) = 0$$

¹Condition \mathcal{H} is defined as follows. Let

Theorem 9 (Yoccoz [13]).

$$\mathcal{CD} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{B}$$

and both inclusions are strict.

Yoccoz also gave a combinatorial description of \mathcal{H} and showed in particular that it is an $F_{\sigma,\delta}$ -set but not an F_{σ} -set (see [13]).

In his 1994 ICM lecture [12], Yoocoz gives some examples that illustrate the difference between \mathcal{CD} , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{B} . If $\{p_n/q_n\}$ is the sequence of convergents of α , then α is Diophantine if, and only if,

$$\log(q_{n+1}) = \mathcal{O}(\log q_n) \quad \forall n,$$

while any α with

$$\log(q_{n+1}) = \mathcal{O}((\log q_n)^c) \quad \forall n,$$

for some c > 0, belongs to \mathcal{H} . If $\{a_n\}$ are the coefficients in the continued fraction expansion of α , then any α with

$$e^{(a_n)^c} \le a_{n+1} \le e^{a_n} \quad \forall n,$$

for some 0 < c < 1, is always Brjuno but never in \mathcal{H} .

This theorem implies in particular that there are angles $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ and analytic orientation preserving circle diffeomorphisms f with the rotation number α that are not analytically linearizable.

1.7. Beyond linearization.

Density of linearization. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ be the set of diffeomorphisms in $\mathrm{Diff}_{+}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ with rotation number α , and let $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ be the subset of C^{∞} -linearizable diffeomorphismes.

The content of Herman-Yoccoz theorem is that if α is Diophantine, then $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$. What can be said for Liouville α 's?

Herman proved that if α is Liouville, then $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ is meager in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ (see [3, Chapter XI]).

Theorem 10 (Yoccoz [10]). For any Liouville $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}}$ (where the closure is for the C^{∞} -topology).

In other words, any smooth orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the circle can be approximated in the smooth topology by smoothly linearizable ones.

The immediate consequence of Theorem 10 that a property that is dense in the C^{∞} closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}}$ is actually dense in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$, plays a crucial role in understanding the generic properties of diffeomorphisms in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$. Indeed, many examples of "exotic" (far from linearizable) behaviors that appear

where $\alpha_n = G^n(\alpha)$ and $G(x) := \{1/x\}$ denotes the Gauss map. Then, a Brjuno number satisfies Condition \mathcal{H} if for any m, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that

$$R_k(\alpha_m) \ge B(\alpha_{m+k}),$$

where B is the Brjuno function.

for quasi-periodic systems with Liouville frequencies are built in the class of diffeomorphisms that are limits of conjugates to periodic translations. If α is a fixed Liouville frequency, it is sometimes possible to build these examples in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}}$. The density of $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ then permits to show that the observed behavior is dense or even generic in all of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$. An example is the Theorem below on the centralizer of a generic diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$, α Liouville.

Centralizers. Let $Z^{\infty}(f)$ be the C^{∞} -centralizer of $f \in \text{Diff}_{+}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, i.e. $Z^{\infty}(f) = \{g \in \text{Diff}_{+}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}), f \circ g = g \circ f\}$ and $Z_{0}^{\infty}(f)$ the closure for the C^{∞} -topology of the group of iterates of f; obviously $Z_{0}^{\infty}(f)$ is a closed subgroup of $Z^{\infty}(f)$. By Herman-Yoccoz Theorem, when $\alpha \in \mathcal{CD}$, for all $f \in F_{\alpha}^{\infty}$, $Z_{0}^{\infty}(f) = Z^{\infty}(f)$ and is indeed equal to $\{h \circ T_{\beta} \circ h^{-1}, \beta \in \mathbb{T}\}$ where h is the linearizing diffeomorphism of f; in particular it is uncountable. When α is Liouville, Yoccoz proves the following theorem

Theorem 11 (Yoccoz [10]). For any Liouville α , the generic diffeomorphism f in $\mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{\alpha}$ is such that $Z^{\infty}_{0}(f)$ is uncountable.

Yoccoz has also obtained other important results on centralizers for diffeomorphisms in

$$\mathcal{F}_I^{\infty} = \bigcup_{\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}} F_{\alpha}^{\infty}.$$

Theorem 12 (Yoccoz [10]). For a generic set of $f \in F_I^{\infty}$ one has

$$Z^{\infty}(f) = Z_0^{\infty}(f).$$

Moreover for a dense set of $f \in F_I^{\infty}$ one has

$$Z^{\infty}(f) = Z_0^{\infty}(f) = \{f^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

2. Conceptual and technical tools

We describe in this section some important concepts and tools used in the study of quasi-periodic systems and in particular in the theory of circle diffeomorphisms.

2.1. Local aspects: KAM theory. Let $f(x) = x + \alpha + v(x) = T_{\alpha}(x) + v(x)$ be (the lift of) a smooth orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the circle of class C^r (then v is a 1-periodic C^{∞} function defined on \mathbb{R}). Let $\rho(f) = \alpha$, and let us assume that that f is close to the translation T_{α} , or equivalently that v is small, in some C^r -topology. We look for a conjugating map h of the form $x \mapsto x + w(x)$, with w 1-periodic and small in some C^r -norm, such that $h \circ f = T_{\alpha} \circ h$, i.e.

$$x + \alpha + v(x) + w(x + \alpha + v(x)) = x + \alpha + w(x)$$

Up to higher order terms we must have

$$w(x+\alpha) - w(x) = -v(x) + O_2(v,w)$$

where the term $O_2(v, w)$ involves quadratic terms in v, w and their derivatives. Conversely if w satisfies

$$(\mathcal{L}) w(x+\alpha) - w(x) = -v(x)$$

then with h(x) = x + w(x) one has a conjugacy

$$(\mathcal{C}) h \circ f = (T_{\alpha} + v_2) \circ h$$

where $v_2 = O_2(v, w)$ is quadratic in v, w and their derivatives. The linearized equation (\mathcal{L}) is a cohomological equation which is usually called the homological equation. It can be solved by using Fourier series: if $v(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}(k) e^{2\pi i k x}$, $w(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{w}(k) e^{2\pi i k x}$ one must have $\hat{v}(0) = 0$ and

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^*, \ \hat{w}(k) = \frac{\hat{v}(k)}{e^{2\pi k\alpha} - 1}.$$

To overcome the *small divisors* problem caused by the possibly small (or zero) denominators in the previous equation, a Diophantine assumption has to be made on α . If $\alpha \in \mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$, then one gets

$$|\hat{w}(k)| \lesssim \gamma^{-1} |k|^{2+\sigma} |\hat{v}(k)|.$$

Under this condition (and the assumption that $\hat{v}(0) = 0$) one can get a smooth w solving (\mathcal{L}) , but with a loss of differentiability: to get a control on the C^s -norm of w one needs for example a control on the C^s -norm of v for v > v + v + v (this is not optimal). Strictly speaking, in equation (\mathcal{L}) v has to be changed in $v - \hat{v}(0)$ to ensure that $\hat{v}(0) = v$ and as a consequence in equation (\mathcal{C}) v has to be changed to v and it can be seen that the condition v and its derivative.

To conclude, if α is Diophantine (in $\mathcal{CD}(\gamma, \sigma)$) one can solve equation (\mathcal{L}) (with loss of derivatives) and get (\mathcal{C}) with $v_2 = O_2(v, w)$. Since $||w||_{C^s} \lesssim \gamma^{-1}||v||_{s+\sigma+3}$ (for short $w = O_1(v)$) one has $v_2 = O_2(v)$ where $O_2(v)$ means quadratic with respect to v and its derivatives.

The next step is to iterate the preceding step leading to equation (\mathcal{C}): this way one gets sequences v_n, w_n with $w_n = O_1(v_n), v_{n+1} = O_2(v_n)$ and

$$(id + w_n) \circ \cdots \circ (id + w_1) \circ f = (T_\alpha + v_n) \circ (id + w_n) \circ \cdots \circ (id + w_1)$$

The main goal is to prove that for each fixed r, $||v_n||_{C^r}$ and $||w_n||_{C^r}$ go to zero fast enough to ensure that the sequence $(id+w_n) \circ \cdots \circ (id+w_1)$ converges in the C^{∞} -topology to some h and that at the end $h \circ f = T_{\alpha} \circ h$. This is where the quadratic convergence of the scheme (Newton iteration scheme) is crucial: combined with a truncation procedure it allows to overcome the loss of derivatives phenomenon.

The scheme we have described is the prototypical example of a KAM scheme. It can be used in many situations but its weaknesses are the following: it can only be applied in *perturbative situations*; the smallness condition is related to the Diophantine condition and, hence, can hold only for a set of *positive Lebesque measure* of rotation numbers.

2.2. Global aspects: Linearizability as a compactness result. Let's start with an abstract result. A deep theorem of Gleason-Montgomery-Zippin [7] asserts that a locally compact topological group without small subgroups (which means that there exists a neighborhood of the identity containing no other topological subgroup than the one reduced to the identity) can be endowed with the structure of a Lie group. The case where the group is compact is much easier to prove. The interesting thing is that groups of diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold have the property of being without small subgroups. As a consequence, if f is a diffeomorphism on a compact manifold such that its iterates form a relatively compact set for the C^r -topology, $r \geq 1$, the closure of the group of its iterates form a compact abelian Lie group and (its connected component containing the identity) is basically a finite dimensional torus. It is thus natural to expect in this case quasi-periodicity of the diffeomorphism. To illustrate this in a more concrete situation, we observe that if a smooth diffeomorphism f of the circle is C^r -linearizable then its iterates f^n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a relatively compact set for the C^r -topology. Conversely, Herman proved [3] that if the iterates of an orientation preserving diffeomorphism f form a relatively compact set in the C^r -topology then f is C^r -linearizable. In this case the conjugating map is more or less explicit: define

$$h_n = \frac{id + f + \dots + f^{n-1}}{n}$$

and observe that

$$h_n \circ f \circ h_n^{-1} = id + \frac{f^n - id}{n} \circ h_n^{-1}.$$

From the definition of the rotation number, the right hand side of the equation converges (uniformly) as n goes to infinity to $T_{\rho(f)}$. On the other hand, since the iterates f^n are bounded in the C^r -topology, one can extract a sequence n_k such that h_{n_k} converges in the C^{r-1} -topology to some C^r diffeomorphism h that, as Herman shows, turns out to be actually C^r , which implies the C^r -linearization.

Compactness criteria are also useful in the analytic case (for holomorphic germs or analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle) but then take the form of topological stability: an orientation preserving analytic diffeomorphism of the circle f is analytically linearizable if there is a complex neighborhood of the circle on which (a complex extension of) f is Lyapunov stable. The proof of this geometric compactness criterium follows from the previous construction and Montel's theorem or from an argument of conformal representation.

2.3. Global aspects: Importance of the geometry in the proof of Herman-Yoccoz Theorem. For $\alpha \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, let p_n/q_n denote the convergents of α . The topological conjugacy of Theorem 2 obtained by Denjoy

relies on the following estimate of the growth of the derivatives of f

$$|\ln Df^{q_n}(x)| \leq \operatorname{Var}(\ln Df) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

This estimate in turn relies on the same ordering of the orbits of f and those of T_{α} , and on Koksma's inequality: for any function φ of bounded variation

$$\left|\sum_{j=0}^{q_n-1} \varphi(x+j\alpha) - \sum_{j=0}^{q_n-1} \varphi(y+j\alpha)\right| \le \operatorname{Var}(\varphi) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The idea of Herman for obtaining the C^r -boundedness of the iterates for a smooth diffeomorphism of Diophantine rotation number was to start by proving a C^r -version of Denjoy's estimate for iterates at times q_n . Then, he would concatenate the resulting bounds using the adequate arithmetic conditions.

In the remaining part of this section 2.3 we briefly describe Yoccoz' work [9] where the same strategy is followed to prove the global linearization theorem in the smooth category for every Diophantine rotation number. A very interesting feature of Yoccoz' work is the neat and efficient separation between the Denjoy-like estimates that he obtains for a circle diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, regardless of its arithmetics, and the linearizability consequences that follow if Diophantine properties are thrown in. Moreover, this separation allows to use the same clear cut bounds on the growth of the derivatives, at the special times q_n , to study the Liouville case. Namely, the crucial estimate that we will present in Section 2.3.2 and in Section 2.3.4 yield the linearizability result of Theorem 8 in the Diophantine case, as well as the density of the linearizable diffeomorphisms of Theorem 10 in the Liouville case. This will be a brief presentation and we refer to that excellent text [9] for more details.

We recall the set-up. Let f be a smooth circle diffeomorphism with rotation number α non-rational. Let p_n/q_n be the convergents of α and let

$$\alpha_n = |q_n \alpha - p_n|.$$

We denote by C an arbitrary constant that is independent of n and $x \in \mathbb{T}$ (but may depend on f and r).

2.3.1. Dynamical partitions of the circle and a criterion for C^1 conjugacy. For any $x \in \mathbb{T}$, let for n even

$$I_n(x) = (x, f^{q_n}(x))$$
 and $I_{n+1}(x) = (f^{q_{n+1}}(x), x)$

(for n odd the positions around x are reversed). From the topological conjugation of f to T_{α} (Denjoy) we have that the intervals

$$I_n(x), \dots, f^{q_{n+1}-1}(I_n(x)), I_{n+1}(x), \dots, f^{q_n-1}(I_{n+1}(x))$$

form a partition of the circle (up to the endpoints of the intervals). A criterion of C^1 -linearization is that these intervals have a comparable size. Indeed, let

$$\beta_n(x) = |I_n(x)|$$

and let

$$m_n = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \beta_n(x) \le M_n = \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \beta_n(x).$$

Proposition 2.1. If the sequence $(\frac{M_n}{m_n})$ is bounded, then f is C^1 -conjugated to the rotation T_{α} .

Proof. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$. For any even n, there exists $\xi \in I_n(x)$ such that $\beta_n(f^i(x)) = Df^i(\xi)\beta_n(x)$. Hence $|Df^i(\xi)| \in [C^{-1}, C]$, where C is a bound for $\frac{M_n}{m_n}$, and as n tends to infinity this implies that $|Df^i(x)| \in [C^{-1}, C]$. Since i and x were arbitrary, this shows that the iterates of f are bounded in C^1 -norm and implies the C^1 -linearization.

2.3.2. Distorsion estimates: Cancellations and estimates on the derivatives growth. The crucial estimate on the growth of derivatives is due to Denjoy-like distortion bounds based on formulas involving the chain rule for the Schwarzian derivatives, as well as on the following simple observation that is derived from the fact that

$$I_n(x), \dots, f^{q_{n+1}-1}(I_n(x))$$

are disjoint intervals of the circle.

Lemma. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and any $1 \le l \le r$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q_{n+1}-1} (Df^i(x))^l \le C \frac{M_n^{l-1}}{\beta_n(x)^l} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proposition 2.2. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $j \leq q_{n+1}$ we have

$$|D^r \ln Df^j(x)| \le C \left[\frac{M_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\beta_n(x)} \right]^r \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

2.3.3. A priori bounds: Improvement of Denjoy inequality. If we let $J_n(x) = (f^{-q_n}(x), f^{q_n}(x))$, then the intervals

$$J_n(x), \dots, f^{q_{n+1}-1}(J_n(x))$$

cover all the circle.

Proposition 2.3.

$$|\ln Df^{q_n}(x)| \le CM_n^{1/2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Take a point z such that $\beta_n(z) = m_n$. Then $\ln Df^{q_n}(z) = 0$. Now, any point $x \in \mathbb{T}$ can be represented as $f^i(t)$ for some $i \in [0, q_{n+1})$ and $t \in J_n(z)$. Observe that

$$Df^{q_n+i}(t) = Df^{q_n}(x)Df^i(t) = Df^i(f^{q_n}(t))Df^{q_n}(t)$$

hence

$$\ln Df^{q_n}(x) = \ln Df^i(f^{q_n}(t)) - \ln Df^i(t) + \ln Df^{q_n}(t)$$
$$= \ln Df^i(f^{q_n}(t)) - \ln Df^i(t) + \ln Df^{q_n}(t) - \ln Df^{q_n}(z).$$

From here, the mean value theorem applied to the two differences above, and the estimate of Proposition 2.2 for r=1, and the fact that $|J_n(z)| \leq Cm_n$ (because by the usual Denjoy estimate Df^{q_n} is bounded), yield the improved Denjoy inequality.

2.3.4. Controlling the geometry: Relating $m_{n+1}(x)$ to $m_n(x)$. The main ingredient in the proof of C^1 -linearization is the following estimate.

Proposition 2.4. If f is of class C^k then

$$\left|\beta_{n+1}(x) - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n}\beta_n(x)\right| \le C[M_n^{(k-1)/2}\beta_n(x) + M_n^{1/2}\beta_{n+1}(x)] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proof. For every $x \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists $y \in [x, f^{q_n}(x)], z \in [f^{q_{n+1}}(x), x]$ such that

$$\beta_{n+1}(y) = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} \beta_n(z).$$

Indeed, this follows from (1.1) and the mean value theorem applied to the two sides of the following identity that is satisfied by the invariance of μ

$$\int_{x}^{f^{q_n}(x)} (f^{q_{n+1}} - \mathrm{Id}) d\mu = \int_{x}^{f^{q_{n+1}}(x)} (f^{q_n} - \mathrm{Id}) d\mu.$$

Hence, the proposition will follow if one proves

and

$$(2.4) |\beta_{n+1}(y) - \beta_{n+1}(x)| \le C(M_n^{1/2}\beta_{n+1}(x) + M_n^{(k-1)/2}\beta_n(x)).$$

Now, (2.3) comes from the improved Denjoy inequality and the fact that $|z-x| \le \beta_{n+1}(x)$. Finally, (2.4) is is proved using an alternative that we now sketch.

Alternative 1. There exists $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ such that β_{n+1} is monotonous on $I_n(\xi)$. Then (2.4) will follow if we show that for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $y \in I_n(x)$

$$(2.5) |\beta_{n+1}(y)/\beta_{n+1}(x) - 1| \le CM_n^{1/2}.$$

To see this, observe that the improved Denjoy inequality gives

$$|\beta_{n+1}(f^{q_n}(\xi))) - \beta_{n+1}(\xi)| = |\beta_n(f^{q_{n+1}}(\xi))) - \beta_n(\xi)| \le CM_n^{1/2}\beta_{n+1}(\xi)$$

yielding by monotonicity (2.5) if we replace x and y by any pair $t, t' \in [f^{-2q_n}(\xi), f^{q_n}(\xi)]$. In particular one can choose the pair t, t' such that $f^j(t) = x$, $f^j(t') = y$ for some $j < q_{n+1}$. Now, by the intermediate value theorem

$$\beta_{n+1}(y)/\beta_{n+1}(x) = (\beta_{n+1}(t')/\beta_{n+1}(t))(Df^{j}(\theta')/Df^{j}(\theta))$$

for some $\theta, \theta' \in [f^{-3q_n}(\xi), f^{q_n}(\xi)]$. Integrating the estimate of Proposition (2.2) for r = 1 we get that $|Df^j(\theta')/Df^j(\theta) - 1| \leq M^{1/2}$, hence (2.5).

Alternative 2. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, β_{n+1} is not monotonous on $I_n(\xi)$. Let $K(x) = [f^{-kq}(x), f^{kq}(x)]$. Observe that by the improved Denjoy estimate we have that

 $|K(x)| \leq C\beta_n(x)$ and $\beta_n(t)$ is comparable to $\beta_n(x)$ for any $t \in K(x)$. The non-monotonicity hypothesis implies that $Df^{q_{n+1}}-1$ has at least k zeros inside K(x). Hence, an iterative application of Rolle's theorem implies that $D^j \ln Df^{q_{n+1}}$ for $j \leq k-1$, all have zeros inside K(x). The integration of (2.2) then gives for every $t \in K(x)$ that $|\ln Df^{q_{n+1}}(t)| \leq CM^{(k-1)/2}$, which ends the proof of (2.4) and thus of Proposition 2.4.

An immediate consequence of the Proposition is the following.

Corollary. If f is of class C^k then

$$M_{n+1} \le M_n \frac{\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} + CM_n^{(k-1)/2}}{1 - CM_n^{1/2}}$$
$$m_{n+1} \ge m_n \frac{\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} - CM_n^{(k-1)/2}}{1 + CM_n^{1/2}}$$

2.3.5. The Diophantine property and C^1 -linearizability. Note that by the mean value theorem and (1.1), we have that

$$m_n \le \alpha_n \le M_n$$
.

As one can see from the first inequality of the corollary of Section 2.3.4, if the term $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n}$ is dominated by the second one $CM_n^{(k-1)/2}$ then one essentially would have $M_{n+1} \leq M_n^{(k+1)/2}$ which cannot happen too often since $\alpha_n \leq M_n$ and $\alpha_{n+1} \geq C\alpha_n^{1+\beta}$. Indeed, using this idea it is easy for Yoccoz to show that in fact the first term is dominating all the time after some n and that as an immediate consequence $\frac{M_n}{\alpha_n}$ is upper bounded. The Corollarythen implies that $\frac{\alpha_n}{m_n}$ is also bounded and finish the C^1 -conjugacy proof by Proposition 2.1

2.3.6. Interpolation inequalities: Bootstrapping the regularity of the conjugacy. Fix $\gamma \geq 0$ and assume that f is $C^{1+\gamma}$ conjugated to T_{α} . The goal is to show that f is $C^{1+\gamma_1}$ conjugated to T_{α} for $\gamma_1 \in (\gamma, g(\gamma))$ where g is the function $g(\gamma) = ((r-2-\sigma)+\gamma(1+\sigma))/(2+\sigma)$. Iterating this argument gives that f is $C^{r-1-\sigma-\epsilon}$ as claimed in Theorem 8, since g satisfies $g(\gamma) > \gamma$ on $[0, r-2-\sigma)$ and $g(r-2-\sigma) = r-2-\sigma$. This iteration method takes advantage of a priori bounds as in Proposition 2.2 and Hadamard convexity (or interpolation) inequalities that were already used by Herman in [3].

The $C^{1+\gamma}$ conjugacy implies

$$||f^{q_n} - \mathrm{id} - q_n \alpha||_{C^{\gamma}} \le C ||q_n \alpha||$$

From Proposition 2.2 and the C^1 -conjugacy we get that

$$||D^{r-1} \ln Df^{q_n}||_{C^0} \le Cq_n^{(r-1)/2}.$$

Combining the last two inequalities and using convexity estimates Yoccoz gets a bound on $\|\ln Df^{q_n}\|_{\gamma_1}$ that after careful concatenation yields for some

 $\epsilon > 0$ and for any $n \geq 0$ and any $m \in [0, q_{n+1}/q_n]$

$$\|\ln Df^{mq_n}\|_{C^{\gamma_1}} \le Cq_n^{-\epsilon}$$

Boundedness of the iterates f^n in the C^{γ_1} norm then follow since every integer N writes as $N = \sum_{n=0}^{S} b_n q_n$, $b_n \in [0, q_{n+1}/q_n]$.

2.4. Global dynamics in the Liouville case. Outline of the proof of Yoccoz theorem $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{\infty}}$. When α is Diophantine, the result follows from Herman-Yoccoz global theorem that established $F_{\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$ in that case.

In the Liouville case, the strategy of Yoccoz is to first show that a special class of diffeomorphisms called *quasi-rotations* are smoothly linearizable, and then show that Liouville circle diffeomorphisms can be perturbed into quasi-rotations of the same rotation number.

A quasi-rotation is a circle diffeomorphism of which the topological conjugacy to the rotation is affine of nonzero slope on some interval. Another equivalent way of defining them is by supposing that there exists $n \geq 0$ such that f^{q_n} and $f^{q_{n+1}}$ behave like translations (with angles $\theta \alpha_n$ and $\theta \alpha_{n+1}$ for some $\theta > 0$) in adequate small neighborhoods of some point x_0 .

From the latter definition of quasi-rotations it is easy to see that for any $N = \sum_{s \geq n+1} b_s q_s$ with $b_s \leq q_{s+1}/q_s$, it holds that f^{-N} is a translation on a small neighborhood of x_0 . This implies that the negative iterates of f have bounded derivatives of all orders at x_0 . Since the negative orbit of x_0 is dense on the circle (by Denjoy topological conjugacy to T_{α}) the smooth linearizability of quasi-rotations follows (see Section 2.2).

To show that a Liouville circle diffeomorphism can be perturbed into a quasi-rotation, estimates similar to the one of Proposition 2.2 where, in addition the Liouville condition $\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1}^{(k+1)/2}$ is taken into account, lead to

$$|D^r \ln Df^{q_n}(x)| \le C \frac{M_{n-1}^{\frac{(k-1)}{2}}}{\beta_{n-1}(x)^r} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The latter inequality, when specialized to the neighborhood of a point x_0 where β_{n-1} reaches its maximum, that is $\beta_{n-1}(x_0) = M_{n-1}$ yields the required estimate of local flatness of f^{q_n} and allows to perturb f so that the first part of the quasi-rotation condition (the one involving f^{q_n}) holds. Then it is possible to further perturb f to make the second part hold. The fact that the first part of the condition holds is used to prove the local flatness of $f^{q_{n+1}}$ required for the second perturbation since it is not possible anymore to rely on the Liouville property between α_{n+2} and α_{n+1} (that may not hold).

2.5. Global aspects: Renormalization. The analytic case. The strategy of Yoccoz to analyze linearization in the analytic case follows a different path from the smooth case, namely renormalization. The idea is that some well chosen iterates of a dynamical system can be rescaled yielding a new dynamical system which is closer to a universal situation. Dynamical systems that can be renormalized are a priori rare. One has first to identify a

fundamental domain with a not too complicated geometry and then to provide estimates for the first return map in this fundamental domain. These estimates usually rely on arguments of geometric nature. In the case of analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle the choice of the fundamental domain is quite canonical: let's still denote by f a holomorphic and univalent extension of f on an neighborhood of the circle \mathbb{T} and consider in this complex neighborhood a real symmetric vertical segment L. A choice of a fundamental domain is to consider a domain U (with a deformed rectangular shape) delimited vertically by L and f(L) and horizontally by straight lines joining the boundaries of L and f(L). A first difficulty is to prove that, up to considering a thiner fundamental domain R in U, first returns of points of Rin U are well defined. Now comes the rescaling (or the normalization): by gluing L and f(L) the domain U becomes an abstract Riemann surface \hat{U} which is topologically an annulus. The Uniformization theorem tells us that U is conformally equivalent to an annulus of (horizontal) length 1. The conformal equivalence transports the domain R and the first return map defined on R into an annulus \hat{R} and a holomorphic univalent map $F: \hat{R} \to \hat{U}$. The gain in this procedure is that if the (vertical) width of the initial cylinder Uis of order 1 and if its (horizontal) length is small (more or less it compares to $||f - id||_{C^0}$) by conformality the width of \hat{U} (the horizontal length of which is now of order 1) is of the order of the inverse of the initial horizontal length. If the width of \hat{R} is of the same order of magnitude then one ends up with a univalent map F defined on a annulus of horizontal length one and large vertical width: but by Grötzsch's theorem this forces the univalent map to be close to a translation (the universal model) on a possibly smaller domain. There are several difficulties in this construction. First we should assume in order to properly define the fundamental domain that f is already close (in some complex strip) to a translation: considering iterates of the form f^q (and a partial renormalization) this is a case to which one can reduce by using the C^k -theory of the Herman-Yoccoz theorem, an analysis that is done on the real axis only. Once this is done, a control in the vertical (complex) direction of the iterates of f is necessary to control the width of R(resp. \hat{R}) and hence the first returns of f (resp. F) in U (resp. \hat{U}): Yoccoz proves to that end a complex version of Denjoy theorem. The renormalization procedure that associates F to f is then iterated yielding a sequence $(F_n)_n$ of univalent maps defined on annuli of horizontal length 1 and larger and larger vertical width. The preceding analysis then shows that when α satisfies an adequate arithmetic condition the domain of definitions of the renormalized maps (when viewed in the initial coordinates of the first step) do not shrink to zero, thus providing the proof of Lyapunov stability of the initial map and hence its linearizability (see Section 2.2). The new feature of this renormalization scheme compared to the one Yoccoz had designed ten years earlier for holomorphic germs having elliptic fixed points [11] is that in the case of analytic circle diffeomorphisms two different regimes appear:

one where the nonlinearity v_n of $F_n = T_{\alpha_n} + v_n$ is small compared to its translation part T_{α_n} ($\alpha_n = G^n(\alpha)$) and one where this nonlinearity dominates. In the first case, the logarithm of the nonlinearity of F_{n+1} is of the order of $-\alpha_n^{-1}$ while in the second case it is of the order of $-\|v_n\|^{-1}$ (and is thus not anymore related to the arithmetics of α in a direct way). This is at the origin of the fact that the needed arithmetic assumptions to ensure the linearization of an analytic circle diffeomorphism is different whether we are in the local (condition \mathcal{B}) or the global case (condition \mathcal{H}); this also explains why condition \mathcal{H} is more difficult to describe than condition \mathcal{B} .

An important outcome of this renormalization paradigm is that it enabled Yoccoz to prove that condition \mathcal{H} is stronger than condition \mathcal{B} . By inverting his renormalization construction he was able to construct analytic circle diffeomorphism satisfying condition \mathcal{B} which are not analytically linearizable; cf. Theorem 9. This is a very nice construction that we shall not describe here; the interested reader is referred to the excellent text [13].

Technically, it is more convenient to implement the preceding procedures by using *commuting pairs* (instead of first return maps) since the link with the arithmetics of α is more transparent; this is a point we shall not develop further.

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, Small Denominators I; on the Mapping of a Circle into itself *Isvestijia Akad. Nauk, Math series*, **25**, 1, (1961), p. 21-96. *Transl. A.M.S*, 2nd series, **46**, p. 213-284.
- [2] A. Denjoy: Sur les courbes definies par les equations differentielles a la surface du tore, J. Math. Pures et Appl. 11, serie 9, 333-375, (1932)
- [3] M. Herman, Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 49 (1979), 5-233.
- [4] Y. Katznelson and D. Ornstein, The differentiability of the conjugation of certain diffeomorphisms of the circle, Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 9 (1989), p. 643–680.
- [5] Y. Katznelson and D. Ornstein, The absolute continuity of the conjugation of certain diffeomorphisms of the circle, Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 9 (1989), p. 681–690.
- [6] K. Khanin and Y. Sinai, A new proof of Herman theorem, Comm. Math. Phys., 112 (1987), p. 89–101.
- [7] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin, Topological transformation groups. Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955. 282 pp.
- [8] R. Pérez-Marco, Fixed points and circle maps. Acta Math. 179 (1997), no. 2, 243-294.
- [9] J.-C. Yoccoz, Conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle dont le nombre de rotation vérifie une condition diophantienne., *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **17** (1984), no. 3, 333-359.
- [10] J.-C. Yoccoz, Centralisateurs et conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle, Petits diviseurs en dimension 1. Astérisque No. 231 (1995), 89-242.
- [11] J.-C. Yoccoz, Théorème de Siegel, nombres de Bruno et polynômes quadratiques, Petits diviseurs en dimension 1. Astérisque No. 231 (1995), 3-88.
- [12] J.-C. Yoccoz, Recent developments in Dynamics, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), 246-265, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[13] J.-C. Yoccoz, Analytic linearization of circle diffeomorphisms. Dynamical systems and small divisors (Cetraro, 1998), 125-173, Lecture Notes in Math., 1784, Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser., Springer, Berlin, 2002.