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#### Abstract

We construct, over some minimal translations of the two torus, special flows under a differentiable ceiling function that combine the properties of mixing and rank one.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Rank one and mixing. Rank one and mixing transformations or flows display the strong ergodic property of having minimal self joinings of all orders, a property which in turn implies many features for the transformation or flow such as having a trivial centralizer and having no factors [12, 13, 20,22]. Rank one and mixing transformations or flows are also mixing of any order [7,18]. The very few known examples of transformations or flows combining the rank one property and mixing were all produced in the same abstract frame of pure measure theory with cutting and stacking methods (see Sect. 1.3) inspired by some works of Chacon and Ornstein [2, 16]. While Chacon's seminal examples of cutting and stacking constructions were only weak mixing, Ornstein was the first to prove the existence of mixing rank one transformations. His existence result is based on probabilistic cutting and stacking constructions with random spacers (stochastic constructions of mixing rank one flows were obtained by Prikhod'ko). Later, explicit cutting and stacking constructions were proven to be of rank one and mixing [1].

In this paper we give a differentiable realization of rank one and mixing in the case of flows. More precisely, we will define in Sect. 3 (following [21]) an uncountable dense subset $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, for which we will prove the following

Theorem 1.1. For any $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in Y$, there exists a strictly positive real function $\varphi$ defined on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ of class $C^{1}$ such that the special flow built over $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ with the ceiling function $\varphi$ is of rank one and mixing (with respect to its unique invariant measure).

The exact definitions of special flows, mixing and rank one for transformations and for flows are given in Sect. 2. Roughly, a measure preserving transformation or flow on $(X, \mathcal{A}, v)$ is said to be of rank one if it has a sequence of towers that asymptotically generate the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see Sect. 2.2). The property of rank one essentially reflects the existence of some cyclic approximations for the flow; and clearly, cyclic approximations do not favor mixing. For instance, studying cyclic approximations as defined by Katok and Stepin shows that the speed of approximation required to guarantee a simple spectrum (a weaker property than rank one) implies the absence of mixing [10].

On the other hand, we know, since the work of Katok, Stepin and Shklover, that special flows over Liouvillean irrational rotations of the torus, even with analytic ceiling functions, can combine fast cyclic approximations (implying rank one) [9] as well as some mixing features, namely weak mixing [8, 15, 19]. Using Baire category arguments, rank one and weak mixing can also be derived for most of the time-maps of these special flows [3]. But these examples of weak mixing special flows and transformations are nevertheless rigid, in the sense that $T^{t_{n}} \rightarrow I d_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}$ for some sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Rigidity of smooth special flows over irrational rotations of the circle is due to an improved Denjoy-Koksma inequality involving the Birkhoff sums of the ceiling function over the rotation.
1.2. Uniform stretch mixing for special flows over translations. To obtain mixing special flows over translations one can either consider special flows over circular rotations and under ceiling functions with singularities $[14,11,5]$ or turn to some minimal translations on higher dimensional tori for which the Denjoy-Koksma inequality does not hold [21] and over which it is possible to construct mixing special flows with real analytic ceiling functions [4]. In both cases, the key underlying mixing is the uniform stretch at all times of the Birkhoff sums of the ceiling function (see Sect. 2.3.3 below). Under this stretch, the image of a small interval on the base becomes as time goes to infinity increasingly and uniformly expanded along the fibers of the special flow hence tending to be equally distributed in the space by unique ergodicity of the translation on the base. However, all these examples most likely fail to be of finite rank. Indeed, as we will observe later, the uniform stretch property at all times "plays against" the rank one property.
1.3. The cutting and stacking techniques. In the construction of rank one transformations by the cutting and stacking techniques the space and the transformation are obtained in the same time by considering successive towers of intervals as towers for the transformation (see Sect. 2.2). Each tower $C_{n+1}$ is obtained by cutting the tower $C_{n}$ into $r_{n}$ subcolumns of equal width and adding some number $l_{n, i}$ of spacers above every $i^{\text {th }}$ subcolumn before stacking over it the $(i+1)$ st subcolumn for $i=1, \ldots, r_{n}-1$.

With the latter cutting and stacking construction, it is possible to avoid the cyclic approximations and obtain mixing transformations by actually blowing up the top levels of the successive rank one towers. But in this case, as long as the levels of the towers are thought of as intervals, it appears difficult to adapt the constructions into a differentiable frame.

One of the crucial points in our construction is the following elementary fact: small measure in dimension 2 is not equivalent to small diameter. More precisely, assume we are stacking in a column $n^{2}$ disjoint squares of equal area $1 / n^{2}$, doing so in an isometric way until we reach the top $n^{\frac{3}{2}}$ squares, and then applying uniform stretch of magnitude $1 / n^{\frac{4}{3}}$ on each of the last $n^{\frac{3}{2}}$ levels (clearly this can be done with small derivatives since $1 / n^{\frac{4}{3}}=o(1 / n)$ ); we will thus reach the top of the tower with a cumulated stretch amounting to $n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{4}{3}}$ which is large while the total measure of the squares where the stretch was applied is $n^{\frac{3}{2}-2}=o(1)$.
1.4. Combining uniform stretch and cutting and stacking. The construction we will present here of a mixing rank one flow combines the uniform stretch and the cutting and stacking techniques.

Let us first give a brief description of the mixing special flows constructed in [4]: They are special flows above a minimal translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and under a ceiling function given by

$$
\varphi(x, y)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right)}{e^{q_{n}}}+\frac{\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n}^{\prime} y\right)}{e^{q_{n}^{\prime}}}
$$

where $\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the sequences of denominators of the convergents of $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$. If these sequences are such that $q_{n}^{\prime} \geq e^{3 q_{n}}$ and $q_{n+1} \geq e^{3 q_{n}^{\prime}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then due to the term $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right) / e^{q_{n}}$ we obtain that $S_{m} \varphi(x, y)$ is uniformly stretching in the $x$ direction for $m \in\left[e^{2 q_{n}}, e^{2 q_{n}^{\prime}}\right]$ while the term $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n}^{\prime} y\right) / e^{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ is responsible for uniform stretch in the $y$ direction of $S_{m} \varphi(x, y)$ for $m \in\left[e^{2 q_{n}^{\prime}}, e^{2 q_{n+1}}\right]$. Since the latter intervals cover a neighborhood of infinity $\left[n_{0}, \infty\right) \subset \mathbb{N}$ we deduce that the special flow is mixing.

Here, we will use essentially the same translations on the base but $\varphi$ must be modified in order to gain the property of rank one for the special flow without losing the mixing property. The modification is done in the $C^{1}$ topology but can be made smoother on higher dimensional tori.

First of all, a criterion that guarantees the rank one property for a special flow over a rank one transformation is given: Starting with a rank one sequence of towers of the transformation on the base (see Sect. 2.2), the idea of the criterion is that under a condition of flatness of the Birkhoff sums of the ceiling function computed over the base of the successive towers, it is possible to lift these towers into rank one towers for the special flow. Hence, we first choose for the translation on the base a particular sequence of rank
one towers that we want to lift into rank one towers for the special flow and construct the ceiling function subsequently.

Next, starting with the function $\varphi$ as above, when the uniform stretch of a term like $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right) / e^{q_{n}}$ or $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n}^{\prime} x\right) / e^{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ jeopardizes the rank one property, we have to change it. We do this as follows:

- We can change the cosine by a function that is essentially flat everywhere except over the top steps of the rank one towers that we want to lift, confining thus the uniform stretch to the top levels of the towers. This can be done smoothly as was explained above.
- We can replace the cosine by a staircase-like function constant on the levels of the rank one towers and smoothened-up with bump functions.

By the first procedure, rigidity times due to fast cyclic approximations are precluded and replaced by mixing sequences of time due to the uniform stretch. However before reaching the top levels of a tower of a translation there are intermediate rigidity times that will also be rigidity times for the special flow due to the flatness of the Birkhoff sums.

By the second procedure, uniform stretch of the Birkhoff sums that provided mixing via uniform continuous stretch of intervals gives way to non-uniform stretch, i.e. staircase stretch of intervals similar to the one obtained on the top of each column in the cutting and stacking constructions. Subsequently, the proof of mixing involves arithmetically spaced Birkhoff averages under the action of the flow. These averages are shown to converge using the mixing times obtained previously from uniform stretch.
1.5. Plan of the construction. In the next section we introduce some definitions and notations and we state a criterion that guarantees the rank one property for a special flow over a rank one transformation. We also recall the criterion that yields mixing for the special flow from uniform stretching of the Birkhoff sums of the ceiling function.

Section 3 is reserved to the choice of the translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ and to the description of a special rank one sequence of towers for $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ that we will want to lift into a rank one sequence of towers for the flow.

In Sects. 4 and 5, we list the properties required on the functions $X_{n}(x, y)$ and $Y_{n}(x, y)$ that will substitute the terms $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right) / e^{q_{n}}$ and $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n}^{\prime} y\right) / e^{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ in the expression of the ceiling function. The effective construction of these functions is done in the last sections 8 and 9 .

In Sects. 6 and 7 respectively, we prove that the special flow built over $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ and under the function $\varphi=\varphi_{0}+\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} X_{n}+Y_{n}$ is of rank one and mixing, where $\varphi_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ are chosen so that $\varphi$ is strictly positive and of mean value 1 .
1.6. Question. Rank one flows have a simple spectrum, and since the flows we construct are also mixing, it would be interesting to understand the nature of their maximal spectral measure.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Special flows. Let $(M, T, \mu)$ be a dynamical system. Given a real function $\varphi \in L^{1}(M, \mu)$ such that $\varphi \geq c>0$, we define the special flow constructed over $T$ and under the ceiling function $\varphi$ to be the quotient flow of the action $M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}:(z, s) \rightarrow(z, s+t)$ by the relation $(z, s+\varphi(z)) \sim(T z, s)$. This flow acts on the manifold $M_{T, \varphi}$ obtained from the subset of $M \times \mathbb{R}: M_{\varphi}=\{(z, s) \in M \times \mathbb{R} / 0 \leq s<\varphi(z)\}$ by identifying pairs $(z, \varphi(z))$ and $(T z, 0)$. It preserves the normalized product measure on $M_{T, \varphi}$, i.e. the product of the measure $\mu$ on the base with the Lebesgue measure on the fibers divided by $\int_{M} \varphi(z) d \mu(z)$. Moreover, if the transformation $T$ is uniquely ergodic then so is the special flow. We denote by $T_{T, \varphi}^{t}$ the special flow above a transformation $T$ and under the ceiling function $\varphi$. The Birkhoff sums of $\varphi$ over the iterates by $T$ of a point $z \in M$ are denoted by $S_{m} \varphi(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi\left(T^{i} z\right)$.

Definition 2.1. For $(z, s) \in M_{T, \varphi}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$we introduce the notation $m(z, s, t)$ for the only $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that satisfies

$$
0 \leq s+t-S_{m} \varphi(z) \leq \varphi\left(T^{m} z\right)
$$

With this definition of $m=m(z, s, t)$ we get

$$
T^{t}(z, s)=\left(T^{m} z, s+t-S_{m} \varphi(z)\right)
$$

For a point $z \in M$ we sometimes use the notation $z$ and $m(z, t)$ for $(z, 0) \in M_{T, \varphi}$ and $m(z, 0, t)$.

### 2.2. The rank one property

2.2.1. Rank one transformations. Let $(M, T, \mu)$ be a dynamical system. Given a measurable set $A \subset M$ and an integer $h$ such that $A, T(A), \ldots, T^{h-1} A$ are disjoint we say that $A \sqcup T A \sqcup \ldots \sqcup T^{h-1} A$ is a tower of $T$ and denote it by $\mathcal{T}(A, h)$. The set $A$ is called the base of the tower and $h$ its height. Every $T^{k}(A), k \leq h-1$, is called a level of the tower. The measure of the tower is $h \mu(A)$. Rokhlin lemma insures that if the set of periodic points of $T$ is of measure zero then for any $\epsilon>0$ and any $h \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ there exists a tower of $T$ with height $h$ and measure greater than $1-\epsilon$.

Given a measurable partition $\mathscr{P}$ of $M$ and an $\epsilon>0$, we say that a measurable set $A$ is $\epsilon$ - monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if all but a proportion less than $\epsilon$ of the set $A$ is included in one atom of $\mathscr{P}$. We say that a tower of $T$ with base $A$ and height $h$ is $\epsilon-$ monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if all but a proportion less than $\epsilon$ of its levels (i.e. less than $h \epsilon$ levels) are $\epsilon-$ monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a dynamical system $(M, T, \mu)$ is of rank one or has the rank one property if for any finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $M$ and for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a tower for $T$ that has measure greater than $1-\epsilon$
and is $\epsilon-$ monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$. In other words, $(M, T, \mu)$ is of rank one if there exists a sequence of towers for $T$ that generates the sigma algebra of finite partitions of $(M, \mu)$.
2.2.2. Rank one flows. Let $\left(M, T^{t}, \mu\right)$ be an ergodic flow. For any positive real number $H$ and any $\epsilon>0$, we can represent $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ as a special flow over a system $(X, T, \nu)$ with a ceiling function $\varphi$ such that:
(i) $\varphi(x) \leq H$ for every $x$ in $X$,
(ii) $\varphi(x)=H$ on a subset $B \subset X$ of measure $v(B) \geq 1-\epsilon$.

This flow version of Rokhlin's lemma was first introduced by Ornstein in [17]. We call $\bigcup_{t=0}^{H} T^{t} B$ a tower of $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with base $B$ and height $H$. Every $T^{t}(B), t \leq H$, is a horizontal level of the tower. The measure of the tower is $H \nu(B) / \int_{X} \varphi(x) d \nu(x) \geq \nu(B) \geq 1-\epsilon$.

Given a finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $(M, \mu)$ and an $\epsilon>0$, we say that a horizontal level $T^{s} B, s \leq H$ of the tower is $\epsilon$-monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if a proportion not less than $1-\epsilon$ of the $T_{*}^{s} v$-measure of this horizontal level is included in one of the atoms of $\mathcal{P}$. We say that a tower above $B$ of height $H$ is $\epsilon$-monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if all but a proportion less than $\epsilon$ of its horizontal levels (proportion measured with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, H])$ are $\epsilon$-monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$.

Like the Rokhlin lemma, the definition of rank one can also be stated for flows as in [22]
Definition 2.3. Let $\left(M, T^{t}, \mu\right)$ be a dynamical system. We say that the flow $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is of rank one if for any finite measurable partition $\mathscr{P}$ of $M$ and for every $\epsilon>0$, there is a tower for $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of measure greater than $1-\epsilon$ that is $\epsilon-$ monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$.
2.2.3. A criterion that guarantees the property of rank one for special flows over rank one transformations. The criterion involves the Birkhoff-sums of the ceiling function and allows us to "lift" rank one towers of the transformation on the base to rank one towers of the flow.

Let $(M, T, v)$ be a dynamical system of rank one. We call a sequence of towers of $T,\left\{\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, a rank one sequence of towers for $T$ if given any $\epsilon>0$ and any finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $M$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$, the tower $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}\right)$ has measure greater than $1-\epsilon$ and is $\epsilon$-monochromatic with respect to $\mathcal{P}$.
Proposition 2.4 (Criterion for rank one). Let ( $M, T, v$ ) be a dynamical system of rank one and $T_{T, \varphi}^{t}$ be a special flow constructed over $T$ with a ceiling function satisfying $0<c \leq \varphi \leq C<\infty$. If there exists a rank one sequence of towers, $\left\{\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in B_{n}}\left|S_{m} \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right)-S_{m} \varphi(z)\right| \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the flow $T_{T, \varphi}^{t}$ is of rank one.

Proof. Since $\inf _{z \in M} \varphi(z) \geq c>0$, (2.1) implies that for sufficiently large $n$ there exists $H_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sup _{z \in B_{n}} S_{h_{n}-1} \varphi(z)<H_{n}<\inf _{z \in B_{n}} S_{h_{n}} \varphi(z)$. Hence in Definition 2.1 we have for any $z \in B_{n} m\left(z, H_{n}\right)=h_{n}-1$, therefore $\bigcup_{t=0}^{H_{n}} T^{t} B_{n}$ is a tower of the special flow since $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}\right)$ is a tower of $T$. Moreover since the measure of the tower on the base $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}-1\right)$ is greater than $1-\epsilon-v\left(B_{n}\right)$ we get that the measure of the flow tower $\bigcup_{t=0}^{H_{n}} T^{t} B_{n}$ is greater than $1-\left(\nu\left(B_{n}\right)+\epsilon\right) \sup _{z \in M} \varphi(z) / \int_{M} \varphi(z) d \nu(z)$.

On the other hand fix an arbitrary measurable set $A \subset M$. From (2.1) we have that as the levels of the towers $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}, h_{n}\right)$ of $T$ become increasingly monochromatic with respect to the set $A$ then the levels of the flow towers $\bigcup_{t=0}^{H_{n}} T^{t} B_{n}$ become increasingly monochromatic with respect to $\bigcup_{t=s_{0}}^{s_{0}+s_{1}} A$ where $s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since any finite measurable partition of $M_{T, \varphi}$ can be approximated by sets of the latter form the proof of rank one for the flow follows.

### 2.3. The mixing property

2.3.1. Mixing sequences of time. We recall that a dynamical system $\left(M, T^{t}, \mu\right)$ is said to be mixing if for any measurable sets $A, B \subset M$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(T^{-t} A \cap B\right)=\mu(A) \mu(B) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.5. We say that a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ is a mixing sequence for the flow $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ if (2.2) holds along the sequence $t_{n}$ as $n$ goes to infinity. A sequence of subsets of $\mathbb{R},\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a mixing sequence of sets for the flow $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ if any sequence $t_{n} \in I_{n}$ is a mixing sequence.

Clearly, if a neighborhood of $\infty$ can be covered by a finite union of mixing sequences of sets, e.g. for some $a \in \mathbb{R},[a, \infty) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{j, n}$, where each $\left\{I_{j, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a mixing sequence of sets then the flow is mixing.
2.3.2. Good sequences of partial partitions. We denote by a partial partition of $(M, \mu)$ a finite collection of disjoint measurable sets in $M$. In the case $M=M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ we also call partial partitions collections of disjoint sets of $M$ of the form $I \times\{y, s\},(y, s) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{R}, I$ interval on $\mathbb{T}$, or collections of disjoints sets of the form $R \times\{s\}, s \in \mathbb{R}, R$ rectangle in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\left\{\Omega_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (or $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be a family of partial partitions of $(M, \mu)$. We say that $\Omega_{t}$ tends to the partition into points as $t$ goes to infinity and write $\Omega_{t} \rightarrow \epsilon$ if every measurable subset of $M$ becomes arbitrarily well approximated in measure by unions of sets in $\Omega_{t}$ as $t$ goes to infinity.

Definition 2.7. Let $(M, T, \mu)$ be a dynamical system. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers and $A$ a measurable subset of $M$. We say that a family of partial partitions $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is good for the sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and
for $A$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $n \geq n_{0}$, for any atom $\xi \in \Omega_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu\left(\xi \cap T^{-t_{n}} A\right)-\mu(\xi) \mu(A)\right| \leq \varepsilon \mu(\xi) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have for any measurable set $A$ a sequence $\Omega_{n}(A) \rightarrow \epsilon$ that is good then $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a mixing sequence for the flow $\left\{T^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

In the case of partial collections $\Omega_{n}$ of $M=M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ with positive codimension sets we will also say that $\Omega_{n}$ is $\operatorname{good}$ for $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and for a measurable set $A \subset M$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $n \geq n_{0}$, for any atom $\xi \in \Omega_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda^{(i)}\left(\xi \cap T^{-t_{n}} A\right)-\lambda^{(i)}(\xi) \mu(A)\right| \leq \varepsilon \lambda^{(i)}(\xi) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{(1)}=\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on the line and $\lambda^{(2)}=\lambda \times \lambda$ and $i=1,2$ depending on the dimension of $\xi$. A Fubini argument then gives the same conclusions related to mixing as above.

Remark 2.8. In the case of a metric space $M$ and a Borelian measure $\mu$, mixing for a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ follows if we check the conditions of Definition 2.7 for any ball $A \subset M$.

Along the line of the definitions above, we have that if for any measurable set $A$ there exists a family of partial partitions $\left\{\Omega_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that $\Omega_{t} \rightarrow \epsilon$ and that $\left\{\Omega_{t_{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is good for $A$ and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as long as $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ then the system $\left(M, T^{t}, \mu\right)$ is mixing.
2.3.3. Uniform stretch. One of the tools we will use to derive mixing is uniform stretch. We recall the definition for a real function on a segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}($ see $[14,4])$

Definition 2.9. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $K>0$. We say that a real function $g$ defined on an interval $[a, b]$ is $(\varepsilon, K)$-uniformly stretching on $[a, b]$ if

$$
\sup _{[a, b]} g-\inf _{[a, b]} g \geq K
$$

and if for any $\inf _{[a, b]} g \leq u \leq v \leq \sup _{[a, b]} g$, the set

$$
I_{u, v}=\{x \in[a, b] / u \leq g(x) \leq v\}
$$

has Lebesgue measure

$$
(1-\varepsilon) \frac{v-u}{|g(b)-g(a)|}(b-a) \leq \lambda\left(I_{u, v}\right) \leq(1+\varepsilon) \frac{v-u}{|g(b)-g(a)|}(b-a)
$$

We assume now that $g$ is at least two times differentiable and we recall the following straightforward but useful criterion on the derivatives of $g$ insuring its uniform stretch on the segment $[a, b]$ :

Lemma 2.10 (A Criterion for uniform stretch). If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{x \in[a, b]}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right||b-a| & \geq K \\
\text { and } \quad \sup _{x \in[a, b]}\left|g^{\prime \prime}(x)\right||b-a| & \leq \varepsilon \inf _{x \in[a, b]}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

then $g$ is $(\varepsilon, K)$-uniformly stretching on $[a, b]$.
The following proposition derives mixing from uniform stretch for a special flow above a minimal translation of the two torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Its proof can be found in [4].

Proposition 2.11 (Criterion for a mixing sequence). Let $T_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}^{t}$ be the special flow constructed over some minimal translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and under a strictly positive continuous function $\varphi$ two times differentiable in the $x$ direction. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. If $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of partial partitions of the circle in intervals and if there exist sequences $K_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

- For any interval $I \in \Omega_{n}$, for any $y \in \mathbb{T}$, for $m=m\left(x, y, t_{n}\right)$ for some $x \in I, S_{m} \varphi(., y)$ is $\left(K_{n}, v_{n}\right)$-uniformly stretching on $I \times\{y\}$,
then any sequence of partial partitions of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ with sets of the form $\xi \times\{y, s\}, \xi \in \Omega_{n}$ is good for $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (and for any measurable set $A$ ). Therefore, if in addition $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \epsilon$ then $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a mixing sequence for the special flow.


### 2.4. Notations

2.4.1. For $d \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ let $\mathbb{T}^{d}=\mathbb{R}^{d} / \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{+\infty\}$ we denote by $C^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ the set of real functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of class $C^{k}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-periodic. By $C^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)$ we will denote the subset of $C^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ of strictly positive functions. We will use the notation $\|\varphi\|:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{T}^{d}}|\varphi(z)|$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the notation $D_{x}^{r} \varphi$ is used for the derivative of order $r$ of $\varphi$ with respect to $x$ and the norm on $C^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ we consider is $\|\varphi\|_{C^{k}}:=\sum_{r+p=k}\left\|D_{x}^{r} D_{y}^{p} \varphi\right\|$.

### 2.4.2. Let $x$ be a real number; we denote by:

$-[x]$ the integer part of $x$,
$-\{x\}=x-[x]$ its fractional part,
$-\| \| x \| \mid=\min (\{x\}, 1-\{x\})$ the distance of $x$ to the closest integer.
2.4.3. We recall some facts about the best approximations of an irrational number by rational ones. When we write $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ we assume that $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 1, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $p$ and $q$ are relatively prime. To each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$, there exists a sequence of rationals $\left\{p_{n} / q_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ called the convergents of $\alpha$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|q _ { n - 1 } \alpha \left\|\left|<\||q \alpha|\| \text { for every } 0<q<q_{n}, q \neq q_{n-1}\right.\right.\right.\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q_{n}\left(q_{n}+q_{n+1}\right)} \leq(-1)^{n}\left(\alpha-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n+1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ and assume that $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}>0$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{n}^{\prime} q_{n+1}^{\prime}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.12. In all the paper we will always assume a fixed parity for $n$, say $n$ odd, so that $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}>0$. The constructions we would have to make at step $n$ if $n$ is even being similar to the ones we will make assuming $n$ is odd.

## 3. The translation on the base $\mathbb{T}^{2}$

3.1. The choice of the translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$. Following [21] we introduce

Definition 3.1. Let $Y$ be the set of couples $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \mathbb{Q}^{2}$ such that the sequences of denominators of the convergents of $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime},\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively, satisfy the following: there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{n}^{\prime} \geq e^{3 q_{n}}  \tag{3.1}\\
q_{n+1} \geq e^{3 q_{n}^{\prime}}  \tag{3.2}\\
q_{n} \wedge q_{n-1}^{\prime}=1, \text { and } q_{n} \wedge q_{n}^{\prime}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $p \wedge q=1$ stands for $p$ and $q$ relatively prime.
The importance of (3.1) and (3.2) in the choice of ( $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ ) was mentioned in the introduction: it is the mechanism of alternation between the $q_{n}$ and $q_{n}^{\prime}$ that is behind uniform stretch for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ of the Birkhoff sums $S_{m} \varphi$ of an adequately chosen ceiling function $\varphi$. In addition to the first two, the third condition is useful to obtain rank one towers for the translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$. It is easy to prove the existence of an uncountable and dense set of couples in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying (3.1)-(3.3) (see [21] and [6]).
3.2. A special tower for $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$. Let $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in Y$ and consider on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ the translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ of vector $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 3.2. For $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, define on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ the rectangles

$$
R_{n}^{j}:=\left(j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}, j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}+\frac{1}{q_{n}}\right) \times\left(j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.3. The rectangles $R_{n}^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, are disjoint and their union is of full Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$.

Proof. Suppose $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ are such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}-j^{\prime} \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}} \in \mathbb{Z} \\
j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-j^{\prime} \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

Because $q_{n}$ and $p_{n}$ are relatively prime $q_{n}$ divides $j-j^{\prime}$, and we have the same for $q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ but since we assumed that $q_{n}$ and $q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are relatively prime $q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ has to divide $j-j^{\prime}$ and $j-j^{\prime} \geq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}$. Hence, up to $q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ the $R_{n}^{j}$ are indeed disjoint.

The rational translation $R_{\left(p_{n} / q_{n}, p_{n-1}^{\prime} / q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)}$ approximates the translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ (equation (2.6)), and the tower of the rational translation, $R_{n}^{0}, \ldots$, $R_{n}^{q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1}$, is almost a tower for the irrational one. To this difference that the rectangle $R_{n}^{0}$ is periodic under the action of $R_{\left(p_{n} / q_{n}, p_{n-1}^{\prime} / q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)}$ while its first return on itself under the action of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ is shifted to the right on the $y$-axis by $\left\|\mid q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\| \| \sim q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$ (from (2.7)). In the $x$ direction, the shift of the first return is far smaller since $\left\|\mid q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha\right\| \| \sim q_{n-1}^{\prime} / q_{n+1}$. This will allow us to select a special tower for $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ with base essentially the rectangle $\left[0,1 / q_{n}\right] \times\left[0, q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}\right]$. The stacking of the levels of the corresponding tower, from left to right in each $R_{n}^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, displays a clear analogy with what happens for an irrational rotation on the circle as well as in the cutting and stacking constructions and will be behind the cumulation of staircase stretch by the Birkhoff sums of the ceiling function that we will later consider over $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ (see Property (X.3) in Sect. 4).

### 3.2.1. Description of the tower. We give now a precise description of the tower we want to consider.

Definition 3.4. Denote by [.] the integer part and let

$$
r_{n}:=\left[\frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right]-1
$$

and

$$
h_{n}:=\left[\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) r_{n}\right] q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}
$$

Define the rectangle

$$
B_{n}^{0}:=\left[\frac{1}{n q_{n}},\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n}}\right] \times\left[\frac{1 q_{n}}{n q_{n}^{\prime}},\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right],
$$

and denote by $B_{n}^{h}$ its image under $h$ iterations of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$.

One of the goals of this section is to prove the following
Proposition 3.5. The translation $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ is rank one by rectangles: the sequence of towers $\left\{\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}^{0}, h_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a rank one sequence for $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$.

Remark 3.6. A more exhaustive tower over $B_{n}^{0}$ for $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ would be the one with $r_{n} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \sim q_{n}^{\prime}$ levels. The term $\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)$ in the expression of $h_{n}$ is used to put aside the top levels over $B_{n}^{0}$ that will not lift to monochromatic levels for the flow but will rather carry uniform stretch (Compare Properties (Y.2) and (Y.3)-(Y.3') in Sect. 5).

Definition 3.7 (The rational rotation). For every $0 \leq i \leq r_{n}$ define the disjoint subsets of $R_{n}^{0}$

$$
D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}:=\left[\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{q_{n}},\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n}}\right] \times\left[i \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}+\frac{q_{n}}{n^{2} q_{n}^{\prime}},(i+1) \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right] .
$$

For every $1 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ define the disjoint subsets of $R_{n}^{j}$

Definition 3.8. Let

$$
\bar{R}_{n}^{0}:=\left[\frac{1}{n q_{n}},\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n}}\right] \times\left[0,\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right] \subset R_{n}^{0},
$$

and for every $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ let

$$
\bar{R}_{n}^{j}:=R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{j}\left(\bar{R}_{n}^{0}\right) .
$$



Fig. 1. The rational rotation


Fig. 2. The special tower of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$

The following lemma encloses the facts that we will need about the combinatorics of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ at step $n$. Recall that we have assumed that $n$ is odd so that $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}>0$.

Lemma 3.9. For any $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{n}^{j} \subset R_{n}^{j} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for each $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, there is a real number $0 \leq \beta_{n, j} \leq q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$, such that for any $0 \leq i \leq r_{n}-1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0,-\beta_{n, j}}\left(B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right) \subset D_{n, j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0, \beta}$ is the translation of vector $(0, \beta)$.
An immediate corollary of the above lemma is that the sets $B_{n}^{h}$ are disjoint for $0 \leq h \leq h_{n}$. Since in addition $h_{n} \lambda^{(2)}\left(B_{n}^{0}\right) \sim\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{3}$ the Proposition 3.5 follows. The above lemma also shows how to construct a function that is constant on the levels of the tower over $B_{n}^{0}$.

Corollary 3.10. If a real function $\kappa$ defined on the torus is constant on $D_{n}^{j+i i_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ for some $i \leq r_{n}-1$ and some $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, then the function $\kappa \circ T_{0,-\beta_{n, j}}$ is constant on $B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$. In particular, if $\kappa$ is $\left(1 / q_{n}, 1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ periodic and constant on $D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \subset R_{n}^{0}$, then for any $0 \leq$ $j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ we have that $\kappa \circ T_{0,-\beta_{n, j}}$ is constant on $B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.9. We will only prove (3.5) that actually implies (3.4) if we take $i=0$. We will proceed separately for the $x$ and the $y$ direction. Take
a couple $(i, j)$ of integers such that $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ and $0 \leq i \leq r_{n}$. Take $(x, y) \in B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$, hence

$$
\frac{1}{n q_{n}} \leq\left\{x-j \alpha-i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha\right\} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n}} .
$$

From (2.6) we have

$$
\left|i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha-i q_{n-1}^{\prime} p_{n}\right| \leq i \frac{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n} q_{n+1}}=o\left(\frac{1}{n q_{n}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|j \alpha-j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right| \leq \frac{j}{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n+1}}=o\left(\frac{1}{n q_{n}}\right),
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2} q_{n}} \leq\left\{x-j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{q_{n}}-\frac{1}{n^{2} q_{n}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the coordinate $y$ we have that $(x, y) \in B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ implies

$$
\frac{1}{n} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} \leq\left\{y-j \alpha^{\prime}-i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right\} \leq \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} .
$$

From (2.7) we have

$$
\left\{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}-i \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right\} \leq \frac{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime} q_{n+1}^{\prime}}=o\left(\frac{q_{n}}{n q_{n}^{\prime}}\right),
$$

again from (2.7) we have

$$
\left|j \alpha^{\prime}-j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{j}{q_{n-1}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{j}{q_{n}^{\prime} q_{n+1}^{\prime}}=o\left(\frac{q_{n}}{n q_{n}^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

It follows that if we take $\beta_{n, j}$ to be $j / q_{n-1}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime} \leq q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$, we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} \leq\left\{y-j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-i \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}-\beta_{n, j}\right\} \leq \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lemma follows from (3.6) and (3.7).

## 4. The properties of the function $X_{n}$

The function $X_{n}$ will be essentially a staircase function over every $R_{n}^{j}$ almost constant on each level of the tower $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}^{0}, h_{n}\right)$ (see Sect. 3.2). It will also be a trigonometric polynomial so that the derivatives of its Birkhoff sums over $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ must be uniformly bounded (in $m$ ). We will list here the properties required of $X_{n}$ and postpone its effective construction to Sect. 8. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n}:=\frac{\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{7}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. There exists a sequence of functions $X_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with the following properties,
(X.1) For any $r \geq 1$, there exists a constant $c(r)$ such that $\left\|D_{x}^{r} X_{n}\right\| \leq$ $c(r) n^{2 r+2} q_{n}^{r} \epsilon_{n}$.
(X.2) There exists a constant $c$ such that $\left\|X_{n}\right\|+\left\|D_{y} X_{n}\right\| \leq c n^{4} \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}} \epsilon_{n}$.
(X.3) Let $B_{n}^{0}$ and $r_{n}$ be as in Definition 3.4. For every $j \leq q_{n-1}^{\prime} q_{n}-1$ and every $i \leq\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) r_{n}$, we have for $(x, y) \in B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left|X_{n}(x, y)-i \epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

(X.4) For $r \geq 1$, for $n$ large enough we have for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{r} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n} X_{l}\right\| \leq \frac{q_{n+1}}{e^{q_{n}}}
$$

(X.5) For $p \geq 1$, for $n$ large enough we have for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|D_{y}^{p} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n} X_{l}\right\| \leq q_{n}^{(p+4)}
$$

Remark 4.2 (Choice of $\epsilon_{n}$ ). Due to (3.1) and (3.2) and our choice of $\epsilon_{n}$ in (4.1) we have that (X.1) yields $\left\|D_{x}^{r} X_{n}\right\| \leq 1 / \sqrt{q_{n}^{\prime}}$ and (X.2) yields $\left\|X_{n}\right\|+\left\|D_{y} X_{n}\right\| \leq 1 / \sqrt{q_{n}}$. On the other hand, with this choice of $\epsilon_{n}$, (X.3) insures that already from $q_{n} / n^{2}$ the Birkhoff sums of $X_{n}$ are stretching in the $y$ direction above intervals of length $1 /\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{6}$ (see (7.7)) while (X.5) implies that the lower order terms are almost constant above such intervals.

## 5. The properties of the function $Y^{n}$

The function $Y_{n}$ is obtained from $\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right) / e^{q_{n}}$ multiplied by a bump function essentially equal to 0 over the rectangles $\bar{R}_{n}^{j} \subset R_{n}^{j}$ of Definition 3.8 (i.e. over the first $h_{n}$ levels of the tower $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}^{0}, h_{n}\right)$ and equal to 1 on the last $1 / n$ proportion of the rectangles $R_{n}^{j}$ (to produce uniform stretch when this end part of $R_{n}^{j}$ is visited). In addition $Y_{n}$ is taken to be a trigonometric polynomial in order for its Birkhoff sums over $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ to be uniformly bounded (in $m$ ). We will list here the properties required of $Y_{n}$ and postpone its effective construction to Sect. 9.

Proposition 5.1. There exists a sequence of functions $Y_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with the following properties,
(Y.1) For $r, p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $c^{\prime}(r, p)$ such that we have

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{r} D_{y}^{p} Y_{n}\right\| \leq c^{\prime}(r, p) n^{3 p}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{p} \frac{q_{n}^{r}}{e^{q_{n}}}
$$

(Y.2) For $h_{n}$ and $B_{n}^{0}$ as in Sect. 3.2 we have

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z \in B_{n}^{0}}\left|S_{m} Y_{n}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

(Y.3) For $n$ sufficiently large, for $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime}, 2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$, for $x$ such that $\left\{q_{n} x\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right] \cup\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right]$ and for any $y \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{x} S_{m} Y_{n}(x, y)\right| & \geq \frac{\pi}{2 n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}}} m \\
\left\|D_{x}^{2} S_{m} Y_{n}\right\| & \leq 5 \pi^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{e^{q_{n}}} m
\end{aligned}
$$

(Y.3') For any $\eta>0$, we have for $n$ sufficiently large, for $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime} / 2 n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right]$, for $x$ such that $\left\{q_{n} x\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right] \cup\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right]$ and for $y \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $1-m / q_{n}^{\prime}+\eta \leq\left\{q_{n-1}^{\prime} y\right\} \leq 1-\eta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{x} S_{m} Y_{n}(x, y)\right| & \geq \frac{\pi}{2 n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}}} m \\
\left\|D_{x}^{2} S_{m} Y_{n}\right\| & \leq 5 \pi^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{e^{q_{n}}} m
\end{aligned}
$$

(Y.4) For $r \geq 1$, for $n$ large enough we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{r} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n} Y_{l}\right\| \leq \frac{q_{n+1}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n}}}
$$

(Y.5) For $p \geq 1$, for $n$ large enough we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|D_{y}^{p} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n} Y_{l}\right\| \leq \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n}}}
$$

Remark. We stated (Y.3) and (Y.3') separately because they will be used at different places in the proof, (Y.3) corresponding to a scale of time where uniform stretch in the $x$ direction is enough to yield mixing while (Y.3') is used at a scale of time where it yields mixing only on "part" of the space as will be explained in Sect. 7.3.

Define now

$$
\varphi(x, y):=\varphi_{0}+\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} X_{n}(x, y)+Y_{n}(x, y)
$$

where $\varphi_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n_{0}$ are chosen such that $\varphi$ is strictly positive and has mean value one. From (X.1), (X.2) and (Y.1) we get that $\varphi$ is of class $C^{1}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and is $C^{\infty}$ in the $x$ variable. With $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in Y$ and with the above properties on $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ we will prove in the next two sections the following

Theorem 5.2. The special flow $T_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}^{t}$ is of rank one and mixing.

## 6. Proof of the rank one property

We want to check Criterion 2.4 for $T_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}^{t}$. More precisely, given $h_{n}$ and $B_{n}^{0}$ as in Definition 3.4 and having Proposition 3.5, we want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in B_{n}^{0}}\left|S_{m} \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right)-S_{m} \varphi(z)\right|=0 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to to the Properties (3.1) and (3.2) of the sequences $\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have for $n$ large enough:

- (X.2) (Remark 4.2) implies that

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}}\left\|S_{m}\left(\sum_{l \geq n+1} X_{l}\right)\right\| \leq h_{n} \sum_{l \geq n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{l}}} \leq q_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{l \geq n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{l}}} \leq 2 \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{q_{n+1}}},
$$

- (Y.1) implies that

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}}\left\|S_{m}\left(\sum_{l \geq n+1} Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq c^{\prime}(0,0) q_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{l \geq n+1} \frac{1}{e^{q_{l}}} \leq 2 c^{\prime}(0,0) \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{e^{q_{n+1}}},
$$

- (X.4) and (X.5) imply that

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in B_{n}^{0}}\left|S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} X_{l}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} X_{l}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{e^{q_{n-1}}}+\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{5} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}},
$$

- (Y.4) and (Y.5) imply that

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in B_{n}^{0}}\left|S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} Y_{l}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} Y_{l}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}}+\frac{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}},
$$

- (X.3) implies that

$$
\sup _{m \leq h_{n}} \sup _{z, z^{\prime} \in B_{n}^{0}}\left|S_{m} X_{n}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-S_{m} X_{n}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2}{q_{n}}
$$

Together with (Y.2) the above estimations yield the required (6.1).

## 7. Proof of mixing

We will prove mixing in three steps depending on the range of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In Step 1, mixing is obtained for some range of time due to uniform stretch of the Birkhoff sums of $Y_{n}$ (Property (Y.3)). In Step 2 mixing is obtained for another range of time due to staircase stretch of the Birkhoff sums of $X_{n}$ (Property (X.3)). For the remaining times mixing is established in Step 3 due to a combination of uniform stretch and staircase stretch mechanisms. The proof of mixing in Steps 2 and 3 uses the existence of mixing intervals of time established in Step 1.
7.1. Step 1. Uniform stretch. We will prove in this step that the sequence of intervals $\left[2 q_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right.$ ] is mixing for the special flow $T_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}^{t}$ as in Definition 2.5.

Definition 7.1. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be a partition of the set

$$
J_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{T} /\left\{q_{n} x\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right] \cup\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right]\right\}
$$

in intervals of length between $\frac{1}{2} e^{-q_{n}}$ and $e^{-q_{n}}$. Clearly $\Omega_{n}$ converges to the partition into points of $\mathbb{T}$ as $n \rightarrow 0$.

We want to apply Criterion 2.11 to the sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and show that any sequence $t_{n} \in\left[2 q_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$ is mixing. Since $\varphi$ is continuous and has mean value 1 we have by unique ergodicity of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ that for sufficiently large $n$, for any $t \in\left[2 q_{n}^{\prime}, q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$, for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$

$$
m(x, y, t) \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime}, 2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]
$$

where $m(x, y, t)$ is as in Definition 2.1. Hence Step 1 will follow from Criterion 2.11 if we prove that for any interval $I \in \Omega_{n}$ and any $y \in \mathbb{T}$ we have for $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime}, 2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m} \varphi(., y) \text { is }\left(K_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\text { uniformly stretching on } I \times\{y\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sequences $K_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
To get this we deduce from the properties of $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ the following estimates for $i=1,2$ and for $n$ large enough:

- (X.4) and (Y.4) imply that

$$
\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|D_{x}^{i} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1}\left(X_{l}+Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq 2 q_{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}
$$

- (X.1) implies that $\left\|D_{x}^{i} S_{m}\left(\sum_{l \geq n} X_{l}\right)\right\| \leq m \frac{2}{\sqrt{q_{n}^{\prime}}}$,
- (Y.1) implies that $\left\|D_{x}^{i} S_{m}\left(\sum_{l \geq n+1} Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq m \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n+1}}}$.

The above quantities being negligible with respect to $m q_{n} / n^{2} e^{q_{n}}$ if $m \in$ $\left[q_{n}^{\prime}, 2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$, we deduce from (Y.3) that for this range of $m$ and for $n$ large enough we have for $x \in J_{n}, y \in \mathbb{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{x} S_{m} \varphi(x, y)\right| & \geq \frac{\pi}{3 n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}}} m \\
\left\|D_{x}^{2} S_{m} \varphi\right\| & \leq 6 \pi^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{e^{q_{n}}} m
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.1) implies that for any interval $I \in \Omega_{n}$ (Definition 7.1) we have for $m$ as above and any $y \in \mathbb{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{x \in I}\left|D_{x} S_{m} \varphi(x, y)\right||I| & \geq \frac{\pi q_{n}}{6 n^{2}} \frac{m}{e^{2 q_{n}}} \\
& \geq \frac{\pi q_{n}}{6 n^{2}} e^{q_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $|I| \leq e^{-q_{n}}$ we get

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{2} S_{m} \varphi\right\||I| \leq \frac{18 \pi n^{2} q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}}} \inf _{x \in I}\left|D_{x} S_{m} \varphi(x, y)\right|
$$

and the desired (7.1) follows from Lemma 2.10 with $K_{n}=\frac{\pi q_{n}}{6 n^{2}} e^{q_{n}}$ and $v_{n}=\frac{18 \pi n^{2} q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}}}$.
7.2. Step 2. Staircase stretch. We will prove in this step that the sequence of intervals $\left[q_{n} / n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}\right]$ is mixing for the special flow $T_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}^{t}$ as in Definition 2.5. From now on we will assume that $A$ is a fixed ball in $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$.
7.2.1. Consequence of Step 1. We begin with a preliminary lemma that is due to the existence of mixing intervals obtained in Step 1 and that will be useful in establishing mixing in this Step 2.
Lemma 7.2. There exists a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_{1, l} \rightarrow 0$ such that if $\delta>0$ and $H \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $\delta H \in\left[3(l+1) q_{l}^{\prime}, q_{l+1} /(l+1)^{2}\right]$ and $H \geq l^{2}$ then there exists a set $\mathcal{U}(H, \delta) \subset M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{U}) \geq 1-\varepsilon_{1, l}$ such that for any $z \in \mathcal{U}$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=0}^{H-1} \chi_{A}\left(T^{-i \delta} z\right)-\mu(A)\right|<\varepsilon_{1, l}
$$

where $C$ is a constant.
Proof. Given $f, g \in L^{2}\left(M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we define the scalar product

$$
<f / g>:=\int_{M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}} f(z) g(z) d \mu(z)
$$

The lemma clearly follows if we prove that there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{l} \rightarrow 0$ such that for any $f \in L^{2}\left(M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $\int_{M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}} f(z) d \mu(z)=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=0}^{H-1} f \circ T^{-i \delta}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C(f) \varepsilon_{l} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Step 1 that there exists $\theta_{l} \rightarrow 0$ such that for any $\tau \in$ $\left[2 q_{l}^{\prime}, q_{l+1} /(l+1)^{2}\right]$ we have

$$
\left|<f \circ T^{-\tau} / f>\right| \leq C(f) \theta_{l}
$$

Hence we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime} & =\left[\frac{H}{l+1}\right] \\
\tau & =H^{\prime} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

and we see that since $\tau \in\left[2 q_{l}^{\prime}, q_{l+1} /(l+1)^{3}\right]$, we have for any $1 \leq j \leq l$, $\left|<f \circ T^{-j \tau} / f>\right| \leq C(f) \theta_{l}$, then

$$
\left(\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{l} f \circ T^{-j \tau}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)^{2} \leq(l+1)\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left(l^{2}+l\right) C(f) \theta_{l}
$$

which gives

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l} f \circ T^{-j \tau}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}\|f\|_{L^{2}}+C(f)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_{l}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and since the measure $\mu$ is invariant by the flow we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{1}{H^{\prime}(l+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{H^{\prime}(l+1)-1} f \circ T^{-i \delta}\right\|_{L^{2}} & =\left\|\frac{1}{H^{\prime}(l+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{H^{\prime}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l} f \circ T^{-j \tau-p \delta}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}\|f\|_{L^{2}}+C(f)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_{l}^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude observing that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=0}^{H-1} f \circ T^{-i \delta}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\frac{1}{H^{\prime}(l+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{H^{\prime}(l+1)-1} f \circ T^{-i \delta}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{l+1}{H}\|f\|_{L^{2}}
$$

and using the hypothesis $H \geq l^{2}$.
7.2.2. Good partial partitions at time $t$. Recall from Sect. 2.3.2 that to prove that $\left[q_{n} / n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}\right]$ is an interval of mixing it is enough to show that for any $t \in\left[q_{n} / n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}\right]$ there exists a sequence of partial partitions $\Omega_{t}$ with sets of the form $\xi=R \times\{s\}, R \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$, such that $\Omega_{t}$ converges to the partition into points of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and such that for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have for $n$ large enough (2.4) for any set $\xi \in \Omega_{t}$, that is

$$
\left|\lambda^{(2)}\left(\xi \cap T^{-t_{n}} A\right)-\lambda^{(2)}(\xi) \mu(A)\right| \leq \varepsilon \lambda^{(2)}(\xi),
$$

In all this section we will assume $t \in\left[q_{n} / n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}\right]$ is fixed. In relation with Lemma 7.2 we give the following

Definition 7.3. Given $\epsilon_{n}$ as in (4.1) let

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & :=[t] \\
\delta & :=M \epsilon_{n} \\
H & :=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{q_{n-2}^{\prime}}{\delta}\right] \text { if } M \leq e^{q_{n}}} \\
{\left[\frac{\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{8}}{\delta}\right] \text { if } M>e^{q_{n}} .}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 7.4. It is easy to see that in both cases we have $H \geq n^{2}$ and hence that we can apply Lemma 7.2 to the couple $(\delta, H)$ with $l=n-2$ if $M \leq e^{q_{n}}$ and $l=n-1$ if $M>e^{q_{n}}$. It is also clear that $H \leq \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{6}}$. The latter will be crucial when we will want to prove that, for $m$ comparable to $t$ and above the sets of "length" $H$ (Definition 7.5), only the Birkhoff sums of $S_{m} X_{n}$ are responsible for the variations of $S_{m} \varphi$ (see Lemma 7.7).

Definition 7.5. With the notations of Definitions 3.4 and 7.3, we will call a set $\xi \times\{s\} \subset M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}\left(\xi \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}\right.$ and $\left.0 \leq s \leq \inf _{(x, y) \in \xi} \varphi(x, y)\right) \operatorname{good}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi:=B_{n}^{j+i_{0} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \cup B_{n}^{j+\left(i_{0}+1\right) q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \cup \ldots B_{n}^{j+\left(i_{0}+H-1\right) q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ and $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{0}+H<\left(1-\frac{4}{n}\right) r_{n} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if there exists a point $z_{0} \in B_{n}^{j+i_{0} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \times\{s\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} \in T^{-t} \cup \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}(\delta, H)$ is the set obtained in Lemma 7.2 (with $l=n-2$ if $M \leq e^{q_{n}}$ and $l=n-1$ if $\left.M>e^{q_{n}}\right)$.

From the fact that for $n$ large $H$ is negligible with respect to $r_{n}$ (see Remark 7.4) and the fact that the measure of $\mathcal{U}$ can be made arbitrarily close to 0 , and from what was said in Sect. 7.2 .2 we will finish if we prove (2.4) for any good set $\xi \times\{s\}$.

A set $\xi \times\{s\}$ being given we denote it for simplicity by $\xi$ and denote the sets $B_{n}^{j+\left(i_{0}+i\right) q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ by $B(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq H-1$. We can also assume that $s=0$ since this does not alter the proof.

Fix hereafter $\varepsilon>0$. Fix also two balls in $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}, A^{+}$and $A^{-}$such that $A \subset \operatorname{int}\left(A^{+}\right)$and $A^{-} \subset \operatorname{int}(A)$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right) \mu\left(A^{+}\right) \leq \mu(A) \leq\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \mu\left(A^{-}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition encloses the essential consequence of the staircase stretch displayed by the Birkhoff sums of $\varphi$ due to our definition of $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$.

Proposition 7.6. There exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that given any time $t \in\left[q_{n} / n^{2}\right.$, $q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}$ ] and any good set $\xi$ (see Definition 7.5), we have for any $0 \leq i \leq H-1$ and any $z_{0} \in B(0)$

- If $T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right) \in A^{-}$then $T^{t}(B(i)) \subset A$.
- If $T^{t}(B(i)) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ then $T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right) \in A^{+}$.

Before proving this proposition we show how to derive (2.4) from it. For $n \geq n_{0}$ and $z_{0} \in B(0)$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=0}^{H-1} \chi_{A^{-}}\left(T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \lambda^{(2)}(B(0)) \leq \lambda^{(2)}\left(\xi \cap T^{-t} A\right) \\
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{H-1} \chi_{A^{+}}\left(T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \lambda^{(2)}(B(0))
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 7.2 is applicable due to Remark 7.4, hence considering the latter equation for $z_{0} \in B(0) \cap T^{-t} U$ (see (7.5)) we obtain if $\varepsilon_{1, n-2}$ and $\varepsilon_{1, n-1}$ are sufficiently small that

$$
\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right) H \mu\left(A^{-}\right) \lambda^{(2)}(B(0)) \leq \lambda^{(2)}\left(\xi \cap T^{-t} A\right) \leq\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) H \mu\left(A^{+}\right) \lambda^{(2)}(B(0)) .
$$

Since $H \lambda^{(2)}(B(0))=\lambda^{(2)}(\xi)$ this last inequality and (7.6) lead to (2.4) if $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

In our proof of Proposition 7.6 we will need the following lemma
Lemma 7.7. There exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{2, n} \rightarrow 0$ such that if $0 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq$ $\left(1-\frac{4}{n}\right) r_{n}$ and $i_{2}-i_{1} \leq q_{n}^{\prime} /\left(q_{n}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{6}\right)$ and $m \leq 2 q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}$ then for any $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ we have for any $z_{1} \in B^{j+i_{1} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ and $z_{2} \in B^{j+i_{2} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{m} \varphi\left(z_{2}\right)-S_{m} \varphi\left(z_{1}\right)-\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right) m \epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2, n} . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $j, i_{1}, i_{2}$, and $m$ as above we have for every $l \leq m$ that $j+$ $i_{1} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}+l \leq j+i_{2} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}+l \leq\left(1-\frac{3}{n}\right) r_{n} q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ hence (X.3) implies that

$$
\left|X_{n}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{l} z_{2}\right)-X_{n}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{l} z_{1}\right)-\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right) \epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{m} X_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)-S_{m} X_{n}\left(z_{1}\right)-\left(i_{2}-i_{1}\right) m \epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \frac{2}{n^{2} q_{n}} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We still have to bound $\left|S_{m}\left(\varphi-X_{n}\right)\left(z_{2}\right)-S_{m}\left(\varphi-X_{n}\right)\left(z_{1}\right)\right|$. The condition $i_{2}-i_{1} \leq q_{n}^{\prime} /\left(q_{n}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{6}\right)$ implies that the distance between the $y$ coordinates of $z_{2}$ and $z_{1}$ is less than $1 /\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{6}$ (see Definition 3.4). Therefore (X.4) and (X.5) imply that $\left|S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} X_{l}\left(z_{2}\right)-S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1} X_{l}\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leq 1 / e^{q_{n-1}}+$ $1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}$.

The control of the other terms in $S_{m} \varphi$ is similar to the one obtained in the proof of the rank one property in Sect. 6.
7.2.3. Proof of Proposition 7.6. We will prove the first point in the proposition, the second one being obtained similarly. Let $0 \leq i \leq H-1$ and denote by $z_{i}$ some arbitrarily fixed point in $B(i)$. Define $V \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V:=t-S_{M} \varphi\left(z_{i}\right) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need in the sequel an upper bound on $|V|$ :
Lemma 7.8. For $n$ sufficiently large, we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$

$$
\left|S_{m} \varphi(z)-m\right| \leq 6 \frac{m}{\sqrt{q_{n}}}+2 \frac{q_{n}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}}+2\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{5}
$$

In particular since $M=[t]$ we have

- If $\frac{q_{n}}{n^{2}} \leq M \leq e^{q_{n}}$ then $|V| \leq \frac{M}{e^{q_{n-2}^{\prime}}}$,
- If $e^{q_{n}} \leq M \leq \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{n^{2}}$ then $|V| \leq \frac{M}{e^{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}}$.

We will prove this lemma at the end of the section.
Define now

$$
\begin{equation*}
U:=t-i \delta-S_{M} \varphi\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of a special flow we have from (7.10) and (7.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right) & =T^{U}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{0}\right), \\
T^{t}\left(z_{i}\right) & =T^{V}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But from Lemma 7.7 and Remark 7.4 it follows that as $n$ goes to $\infty$

$$
|U-V| \rightarrow 0
$$

hence if we consider a ball $A^{-1}$ strictly included in $A$ and strictly including $A^{-}$(i.e. $A^{-1} \subset \operatorname{int} A, A^{-} \subset \operatorname{int} A^{-\prime}$ ) we have for sufficiently large $n$ that if as in the statement of the Proposition $T^{U}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{0}\right)=T^{t-i \delta}\left(z_{0}\right) \in A^{-}$then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{V}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{0}\right) \in A^{-^{\prime}} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To finish we must prove that for sufficiently large $n$ the latter implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{V}\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{i}\right) \in A \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this it is enough to show that as $n$ goes to infinity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{m \leq 2|V|}\left|S_{m} \varphi\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{i}\right)-S_{m} \varphi\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2|V|+M \leq 2 q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}$ we have by Lemma 7.7 again that for any $m \leq 2|V|$

$$
\left|S_{m} \varphi\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{i}\right)-S_{m} \varphi\left(R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{M} z_{0}\right)-i m \epsilon_{n}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{3, n}
$$

hence to get (7.13) and finish we just have to check that

$$
|V| H \epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

In light of the Definition 7.3 of $H$ and Lemma 7.8 we have two cases: If $M \leq e^{q_{n}}$, then $|V| H \epsilon_{n}=|V| q_{n-2}^{\prime}{ }^{8} / M \leq q_{n-2}^{\prime}{ }^{8} / e^{q_{n-2}^{\prime}}$; If $M>e^{q_{n}}$ then $|V| H \epsilon_{n}=|V|\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{8} / M \leq\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{8} / e^{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$.

It only remains to give the
Proof of Lemma 7.8. As in the proof of the rank one property in Sect. 6, it follows from Propositions 4.1 and 5.1 that for $n$ sufficiently large we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|S_{m} \sum_{l \geq n}\left(X_{l}+Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq 3 \frac{m}{\sqrt{q_{n}}}
$$

while

$$
\left\|D_{x} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1}\left(X_{l}+Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq 2 \frac{q_{n}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|D_{y} S_{m} \sum_{l \leq n-1}\left(X_{l}+Y_{l}\right)\right\| \leq 2\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{5}
$$

which yields for any $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$

$$
\left|S_{m} \varphi(z)-S_{m} \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 6 \frac{m}{\sqrt{q_{n}}}+2 \frac{q_{n}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}}+2\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{5}
$$

integrating along $z^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\left|S_{m} \varphi(z)-m\right| \leq 6 \frac{m}{\sqrt{q_{n}}}+2 \frac{q_{n}}{e^{\frac{1}{2} q_{n-1}}}+2\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{5}
$$

from which Lemma 7.8 easily follows due to the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) between the denominators of the best approximations of $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$.
7.3. Step 3. Combining staircase stretch and uniform stretch. In this step we want to complete the proof of mixing by showing that the intervals [ $q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}, 2 q_{n}^{\prime}$ ] form a sequence of mixing intervals of time for the special flow. In this range of time, both mechanisms of mixing displayed in Step 1 and Step 2 enter into play and imply mixing for sets lying in different parts of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$. In all this section we assume $t \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime} / n^{2}, 2 q_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ is fixed and introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta:=\frac{t}{q_{n}^{\prime}} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the definition of $m(z, t)$ given in Sect. 2.1, observe that by unique ergodicity of $R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$ and continuity of $\varphi$ and since we chose $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \varphi(x, y) d x d y=1$ then for $\eta>0$ arbitrarily small there exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that for any $t \geq t_{0}$ and any $z \in M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) t \leq m(z, t) \leq\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) t \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the subsets of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ corresponding to uniform stretch and staircase stretch respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{u}(\theta, \eta) & :=\left\{(x, y, s) \in M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi} / 1-\theta+\eta \leq\left\{q_{n-1}^{\prime} y\right\} \leq 1-\eta\right\} \\
M^{s}(\theta, \eta) & :=\left\{(x, y, s) \in M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi} /\left\{q_{n-1}^{\prime} y\right\} \leq 1-\theta-\eta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for instance if $\theta \geq 1-\eta$ we have $M^{s}=\emptyset$.
We see now how the combination of uniform and staircase stretch occurs:

- Due to (Y.3') we can repeat exactly the arguments of Step 1 and define in $M^{u}(\theta, \eta)$ a collection $\Omega^{u}(t)$ consisting of intervals in the $x$ direction as in Definition 7.1 covering all but an arbitrarily small proportion (as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) of $M^{u}(\theta, \eta)$ for which due to uniform stretch (2.4) holds at time $t$ (for an arbitrary ball $A$ and an arbitrary precision $\varepsilon$ provided $n$ is large enough).
- For any $z \in M^{s}(\theta, \eta)$, for any $u \leq t$ we have $T^{u}(z) \in M^{s}\left(0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ (follows from the arithmetics of $\alpha$ ) hence all the calculations of Step 2 are still valid at this time $t$ for the points in $M^{s}(\theta, \eta)$. Hence we can consider a collection $\Omega^{s}(t)$ consisting of good sets as in Definition 7.5, covering all but an arbitrarily small measure of $M^{s}(\theta, \eta)$, for which (2.4) holds (for an arbitrary ball $A$ and an arbitrary precision $\varepsilon$ provided $n$ is large enough).

Since the measure of the set of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ that is not included in $M^{s}(\theta, \eta) \cup$ $M^{u}(\theta, \eta)$ converges to 0 as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ the partial partition $\Omega^{u}(t) \cup \Omega^{s}(t)$ converges to the partition into points of $M_{R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}, \varphi}$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ and Step 3 is thus completed.

## 8. Construction of $X_{n}$

8.1. Construction of a first function $\tilde{X}_{n}$. Let $\theta$ be a $C^{\infty}$ increasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(s)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad s \leq 0 \\
& \theta(s)=1 \quad \text { for } \quad s \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

With $r_{n}$ as in Sect. 3.2 and $\epsilon_{n}$ as in (4.1), consider on $\left[0, \frac{1}{q_{n-1}}\right]$ the following function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{n}(y)=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{r_{n}} \epsilon_{n} \theta\left(n^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}\left(y-l \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right)\left(1-\theta\left(n q_{n-1}^{\prime} y-n+2\right)\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

extended to a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ independent of the variable $x$ and of period $1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ in the variable $y$. This is possible because the left hand side in the above expression is identically zero when $y \leq q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$, while the right hand side is identically zero for $y \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) 1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}$.

In relation with (X.1), (X.2) and (X.3) we will need the following lemmas on $\kappa_{n}$

Lemma 8.1. With $r_{n}$ and $D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ as in Definitions 3.4 and 3.7 we have for every $i \leq\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) r_{n}$ that $\kappa_{n}$ is constant on $D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$. More precisely

$$
\kappa_{n}{ }_{\mid D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}} \equiv i \epsilon_{n} .
$$

Proof. When $i \leq\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) r_{n},(x, y) \in D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$ implies that $0 \leq y \leq$ $\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$, in this case the right hand side in the expression of $\kappa_{n}$ is equal to 1 and

$$
\kappa_{n}(y)=\sum_{l=1}^{r_{n}} \epsilon_{n} \theta\left(n^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}\left(y-l \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)
$$

but for every $l, \theta\left(n^{2} q_{n}^{\prime} / q_{n}\left(y-l q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is constant equal to 0 when $y \leq$ $l q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$, and constant equal to 1 when $y \geq l q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}$. Hence every term in the sum above is constant on $D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}$, equal to $\epsilon_{n}$ if $l \leq i$ and to 0 if $l>i$ and the proposition follows.

Since for every $y$ at most one of the functions $\theta\left(n^{2} q_{n}^{\prime} / q_{n}\left(y-i q_{n} / q_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is not locally constant we obtain the following straightforward estimates for $\kappa_{n}$ :

Lemma 8.2. For any $p \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\kappa_{n}\right\| & \leq r_{n} \epsilon_{n}  \tag{8.2}\\
\left\|\kappa_{n}\right\|_{C^{p}} & \leq 2\|\theta\|_{C^{p}} n^{2 p}\left(\frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}\right)^{p} \epsilon_{n} \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To complete our construction of the function $X_{n}$, we still have to adjust $\kappa_{n}$ in order to have a function that satisfies (X.3) not only on the sets $B_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \subset$ $D_{n}^{i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \subset R_{n}^{0}$, but on all the levels $B_{n}^{h}$ of the tower $\mathcal{T}\left(B_{n}^{0}, h_{n}\right)$ (see the definitions in Sect. 3.2). Define on the real line the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n}(x)=\theta\left(n^{2} q_{n} x\right)-\theta\left(n^{2} q_{n}\left(x-\frac{1}{q_{n}}+\frac{2}{n^{2} q_{n}}\right)\right)  \tag{8.4}\\
& v_{n}(y)=\theta\left(n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime} y+2\right)-\theta\left(n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(y-\frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}+\frac{3}{n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n}(x)=0 \text { for } x \leq 0, \text { and } x \geq \frac{1}{q_{n}}-\frac{1}{n^{2} q_{n}} \\
& v_{n}(x)=1 \text { for } \frac{1}{n^{2} q_{n}} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{q_{n}}-\frac{2}{n^{2} q_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n}(y)=0 \text { for } y \leq-\frac{2}{n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, \text { and } y \geq \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{2}{n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \\
& v_{n}(y)=1 \text { for }-\frac{1}{n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{3}{n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we can consider the restriction of the function $v_{n}(x) v_{n}(y)$ on the rectangle $\left[0,1 / q_{n}\right] \times\left[-2 / n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}, 1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}-2 / n^{2} q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right]$ and extend it by 0 to a $C^{\infty}$ function on the two torus. With $\bar{R}_{n}^{0}$ as in Definition 3.8 we have that the ${ }_{j}$ latter function is constant equal to 1 on $\bar{R}_{n}^{0}$ and to 0 on all the other $\bar{R}_{n}^{j}, 1 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$. It is also easy to see that the functions $v_{n}\left(x-l p_{n} / q_{n}\right) v_{n}\left(y-l p_{n-1}^{\prime} / q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are equal to 1 on $\bar{R}_{n}^{l}$ and to 0 on all the other $\bar{R}_{n}^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$.

Having Corollary 3.10 in mind and the definition of $\beta_{n, j}$ in Lemma 3.9, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{n}(x, y):=\sum_{j=0}^{q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1} \kappa_{n}\left(y-\beta_{n, j}\right) v_{n}\left(x-j \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right) v_{n}\left(y-j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{n}, v_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ are defined in (8.1), (8.4) and (8.5). The latter function is of class $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and is equal to $\kappa_{n}\left(y-\beta_{n, j}\right)$ on $\bar{R}_{n}^{j}$. Hence, Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 8.1 imply that

Proposition 8.3. With $h_{n}$ and $B_{n}^{p}$ as in Definition 3.4, the function $\tilde{X}_{n}$ is constant on every $B_{n}^{p}$, for all $p_{2} \leq h_{n}$. More precisely, for every $0 \leq j \leq$ $q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$, for every $i \leq\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) r_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{n \mid B_{n}^{j+i q_{n} q^{\prime}}{ }_{n-1}} \equiv i \epsilon_{n} . \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following estimates follow immediately from (8.2)-(8.6)
Proposition 8.4. We have for $n$ large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\| \leq r_{n} \epsilon_{n} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $(r, p) \neq(0,0)$ we have for some constant $c(r, p)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{x}^{r} D_{y}^{p} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\| \leq c(r, p) n^{2 r+2 p} q_{n}^{r}\left(\frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}\right)^{p} \epsilon_{n} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

8.2. The function $X_{n}$. Propositions 8.3 and 8.4 enclose the properties (X.1), (X.2) and (X.3) required for $X_{n}$. Nevertheless the function $\tilde{X}_{n}$ may fail to satisfy the uniform bounds required in (X.4) and (X.5). The presence of high frequencies in the Fourier expansion of $\tilde{X}_{n}$ may indeed put in jeopardy such control. To overcome this problem we turn to truncations. The function $\tilde{X}_{n}$ defined in (8.6) being of class $C^{\infty}$ we consider its Fourier coefficients $X_{l, j}^{n}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(x, y):=\sum_{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4} ;(l, j) \notin\left(\mathbb{Z} q_{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)} X_{l, j}^{n} \chi_{l, j}, \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $(l, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$

$$
\chi_{l, j}(x, y):=e^{i 2 \pi(l x+j y)} .
$$

It is for the function $X_{n}$ that we want to check the properties of Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 8.5. For any $r \geq 1$, we have for $n$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|X_{n}-\tilde{X}_{n}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}  \tag{8.11}\\
\left\|D_{y}\left(X_{n}-\tilde{X}_{n}\right)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{q_{n}^{2}}  \tag{8.12}\\
\left\|D_{x}^{r}\left(X_{n}-\tilde{X}_{n}\right)\right\| & \leq q_{n}^{r-1} \epsilon_{n} . \tag{8.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By definition

$$
\tilde{X}_{n}-X_{n}=\sum_{l^{2}+j^{2}>q_{n}^{\prime 4}} X_{l, j}^{n} \chi_{l, j}+\sum_{\substack{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4} \\(l, j) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)}} X_{l, j}^{n} \chi_{l, j}+\sum_{0<|p| \leq q_{n}^{\prime}} X_{0, p q_{n}^{\prime}}^{n} \chi_{0, p q_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

we will denote in this proof by $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ the first, second and third term in the latter expression of $\tilde{X}_{n}-X_{n}$.

Since $\left(l^{2}+j^{2}\right)^{2}\left|X_{l, j}^{n}\right| \leq\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{4}}$ and $\left(p q_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left|X_{0, p q_{n}^{\prime}}\right| \leq\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{2}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A+C\| & \leq\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{4}} \sum_{l^{2}+j^{2}>q_{n}^{\prime 4}} \frac{1}{\left(l^{2}+j^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{2}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 2}} \sum_{0<|p| \leq q_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{p^{2}} \\
& \leq c_{1} \frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{4}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 4}}+c_{2} \frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{2}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 2}} \\
& =o\left(\frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from Proposition 8.4 and our choice of $\epsilon_{n}$ in (4.1). Likewise

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B\| & \leq \sum_{(l, j) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\left\|D_{x}^{2} D_{y}^{2} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}{l^{2} j^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{q_{n}^{2} q_{n}^{\prime 2}}\left\|D_{x}^{2} D_{y}^{2} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\| \\
& =o\left(\frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

again from Proposition 8.4 and our choice of $\epsilon_{n}$ in (4.1). We have proved (8.11).

In the same way as above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{y}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}-X_{n}\right)\right\| & \leq c_{3} \frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{5}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 4}}+c_{4} \frac{\left\|D_{x}^{2} D_{y}^{3} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}{q_{n}^{2}{q_{n}^{\prime 2}}^{2}}+c_{5} \frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{3}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 2}} \\
& =o\left(\frac{1}{q_{n}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for any $r \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{x}^{r}(A)\right\| & \leq c_{5} \frac{\left\|D_{x}^{r} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{C^{4}}}{q_{n}^{\prime 4}} \\
& =o\left(q_{n}^{r-1} \epsilon_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from Proposition 8.4 and our choice of $\epsilon_{n}$ in (4.1), while we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{x}^{r}(B)\right\| & \leq c_{6} \frac{\left\|D_{x}^{r+2} D_{y}^{2} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}{q_{n}^{2} q_{n}^{\prime 2}} \\
& =o\left(q_{n}^{r-1} \epsilon_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

8.3. Checking the properties of Proposition 4.1 for $X_{n}$. In light of Lemma 8.5, the Propositions 8.3 and 8.4 yield (X.3), (X.1) and (X.2). It remains to give the

Proof of (X.4) and (X.5). We will need the following lemma
Lemma 8.6. We have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{m} \chi_{l, j}\right\| \leq \inf \left(m, \frac{1}{2\| \| l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime} \| \mid}\right) \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where |||.||| denotes the distance to the closest integer.

Proof. We have

$$
S_{m} \chi_{l, j}(x, y)=U_{l, j, m} \chi_{l, j}(x, y)
$$

where

$$
U_{l, j, m}=\frac{1-e^{i 2 \pi m\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)}}{1-e^{i 2 \pi\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)}}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{l, j, m}\right| & =\left|\frac{\sin \left(\pi m\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\sin \left(\pi\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left|\sin \left(\pi\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

but $\mid \sin \left(\pi\left(l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right)|=| \sin \left(\pi\left(| |\left|l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right|| |\right)|\geq 2|\left|l \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right|| |\right.\right.$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{m} X_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4} ;(l, j) \notin\left(\mathbb{Z} q_{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{*} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)} X_{l, j}^{n} S_{m} \chi_{l, j} \\
&=\sum_{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4} ;(l, j) \notin\left(\mathbb{Z} q_{n}, \mathbb{Z} q_{n}^{\prime}\right)} X_{l, j}^{n} S_{m} \chi_{l, j}+\sum_{|l| \leq \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}} S_{m} \chi_{l q_{n}, 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.7) in Sect. 2.4 and Properties (3.1)-(3.3) in our choice of $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ in Sect. 3.1 we deduce that

- For $|l| \leq q_{n}^{\prime 2}$ and $|j| \leq q_{n}^{\prime 2}$ such that $|j| \notin \mathbb{N} q_{n}^{\prime}$ or $|l| \notin \mathbb{N} q_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\alpha+j \alpha^{\prime} \|\right| \geq \frac{1}{2 q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime}}\right. \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $|l|<q_{n+1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid\|l \alpha\| \| \geq \frac{1}{2 q_{n+1}} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 8.6 we hence get for $r \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{x}^{r} S_{m} X_{n}(x, y)\right\| \leq & q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4}}(2 \pi|l|)^{r}\left|X_{l, j}^{n}\right| \\
& +q_{n+1} \sum_{0<|l| \leq q_{n}^{\prime 2}}(2 \pi|l|)^{r}\left|X_{l, 0}^{n}\right| \\
\leq & c q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime 5}\left\|D_{x}^{r} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|+q_{n+1}\left\|D_{x}^{r+2} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\| \sum_{0<|l| \leq q_{n}^{\prime 2}} \frac{1}{l^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (X.4) of Proposition 4.1 due to Proposition 8.4.

Similarly, to get (X.5) we write for $p \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{y}^{p} S_{m} X_{n}(x, y)\right\| & \leq q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{l^{2}+j^{2} \leq q_{n}^{\prime 4}}(2 \pi|j|)^{p}\left|X_{l, j}^{n}\right| \\
& \leq q_{n} q_{n}^{\prime 5}\left\|D_{y}^{p} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (X.5) due to Proposition 8.4.

## 9. Construction of $Y_{n}$

9.1. Construction of a first function $\tilde{Y}_{n}$. Define, for $y \in\left[0, \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right]$ the following function

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{n}(y):=\theta\left(n^{3} q_{n-1}^{\prime} y-n^{3}+n^{2}\right)-\theta\left(n^{3} q_{n-1}^{\prime} y-n^{3}+n\right) .
$$

Since $\theta$ is increasing and $\theta(s)=0$ for $s \leq 0$, and $\theta(s)=1$ for $s \geq 1$, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \tilde{\phi}_{n}(y) \leq 1 \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\phi}_{n}(y)=0, \quad \text { if } y \in\left[0,\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right] \cup\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right] \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\phi}_{n}(y)=1, \text { if } y \in\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}},\left(1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right] \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (9.2) it is possible to extend $\tilde{\phi}_{n}$ to the circle as a $C^{\infty}$ periodic function with period $1 / q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ with the following estimate for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{y}^{p} \tilde{\phi}_{n}\right\|=\|\theta\|_{C^{p}} n^{3 p}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{p} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now on the two torus the function

$$
\tilde{Y}_{n}(x, y):=\frac{-\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right)}{e^{q_{n}}} \tilde{\phi}_{n}(y)
$$

The function $\tilde{Y}_{n}$ is of class $C^{\infty}$, and satisfies
Proposition 9.1. For $r, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|D_{x}^{r} D_{y}^{p} \tilde{Y}_{n}\right\|=\|\theta\|_{C^{p}}(2 \pi)^{r} n^{3 p}\left(q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)^{p} \frac{q_{n}^{r}}{e^{q_{n}}}
$$

In preparation for (Y.3) and (Y.3') we have

Proposition 9.2. For $x$ such that $\left\{q_{n} x\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right] \cup\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}, 1-\frac{1}{n}\right]$, and $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime}, 2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{x} S_{m} \tilde{Y}_{n}(x, y)\right| & \geq \frac{\pi}{n^{2}} \frac{q_{n}}{e^{q_{n}} m}, \\
\left\|D_{x}^{2} S_{m} \tilde{Y}_{n}\right\| & \leq 4 \pi^{2} \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{e^{q_{n}}} m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\eta>0$, the same inequalities above hold for sufficiently large $n$ for $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime} / 2 n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ if we restrict $y$ to $1-m / q_{n}^{\prime}+\eta \leq\left\{q_{n-1}^{\prime} y\right\} \leq 1-\eta$.
Proof. We have

$$
D_{x} \tilde{Y}_{n}(x, y)=2 \pi q_{n} \frac{\sin \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right)}{e^{q_{n}}} \tilde{\phi}_{n}(y) .
$$

Assume that $\left\{q_{n} x\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right]$, the other case being similar. For $k \leq m \leq$ $2 q_{n+1} /(n+1)^{2}(2.6)$ implies that

$$
\left\{q_{n}(x+k \alpha)\right\} \in\left[\frac{1}{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 n}\right],
$$

hence, $\sin \left(2 \pi q_{n}(x+k \alpha)\right) \geq \sin (\pi / n) \geq 2 / n$ and because $\tilde{\phi}_{n}$ is positive this implies

$$
D_{x} \tilde{Y}_{n}\left(x+k \alpha, y+k \alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq \tilde{\phi}_{n}\left(y+k \alpha^{\prime}\right) \frac{4 \pi q_{n}}{n e^{q_{n}}} \geq 0 .
$$

In light of (9.3), we will finish if we prove that for every $y$ and for every $m \geq q_{n}^{\prime}$ there is more than $m / 4 n$ integers $k \leq m$ such that $\left\{q_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(y+k \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right\} \in$ $\left[1-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}, 1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right]$. The latter follows if for every $y$, there is at least $\frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{2 n}$ integers $k \leq q_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfying the desired property. This in turn follows from the good approximation of $R_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ by $R_{p_{n}^{\prime} / q_{n}^{\prime}}$. The proof in the case $m \in\left[q_{n}^{\prime} / 2 n^{2}, q_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ follows in the same way.

To obtain the inequality involving the second derivative we just bound the cosine by 1 and use $\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{n}\right\| \leq 1$.

In preparation for (Y.2) we have
Proposition 9.3. With $h_{n}$ and $B_{n}^{0}$ as in Definition 3.4 we have for any $m \leq h_{n}$ that $S_{m} \tilde{Y}^{n}$ is identically zero on $B_{n}^{0}$.
Proof. Given $h \leq m \leq h_{n}$, let $i \leq(1-2 / n) r_{n}$ and $0 \leq j \leq q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}-1$ be such that $h=j+i q_{n} q_{n-1}^{\prime}$. From (3.5) in Sect. 3.2 we have that for $(x, y) \in R_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}^{h}\left(B_{n}^{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & \in\left[j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}+(i+2) \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right] \\
& \subset\left[j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}, j \frac{p_{n-1}^{\prime}}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}+\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\prime}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\tilde{\phi}_{n}(x, y)=0$ from (9.2).
9.2. The function $Y_{n}$. The function $\tilde{Y}_{n}$ has all the required properties by Proposition 5.1 except for the uniform bounds on the Birkhoff sums of the derivatives. As we did in the last section we replace $\tilde{\phi}_{n}$ by

$$
\phi_{n}(y)=\sum_{j=-q_{n}^{\prime}+1}^{q_{n}^{\prime}-1} \phi_{j}^{n} e^{i 2 \pi j y}
$$

where the $\phi_{j}^{n}$ are the Fourier coefficient of $\tilde{\phi}_{n}$. We then let

$$
Y_{n}(x, y):=-\frac{\cos \left(2 \pi q_{n} x\right)}{e^{q_{n}}} \phi_{n}(y)
$$

The truncation here is less delicate than in the definition of $X_{n}$ due to the fact that the sequence $Y_{n}$ converges to zero in the $C^{\infty}$ norm.
9.3. Checking the properties of Proposition 5.1 for $Y_{n}$. From (9.4) it is easy to see that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have for $n$ large enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{n}-\phi_{n}\right\|_{C^{p}} & \leq \sum_{|j| \geq q_{n}^{\prime}}(2 \pi|j|)^{p}\left|\phi_{j}^{n}\right| \\
& \leq\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{C^{p+4}} \sum_{|j| \geq q_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{j^{4}} \\
& =o\left(\frac{1}{{q_{n}^{\prime 2}}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with Propositions 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 the above yields (Y.1), (Y.2), (Y.3) and (Y.3') for $Y_{n}$. It remains to give the

Proof of (Y.4) and (Y.5). The proof is similar yet easier than that for $X_{n}$ : For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
S_{m} Y_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{|j|<q_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{\phi_{j}^{n}}{2 e^{q_{n}}} S_{m}\left(\chi_{q_{n}, j}+\chi_{-q_{n}, j}\right)
$$

Since $\left|\left|q_{n} \alpha \|\right|<1 / q_{n+1}\right.$ while $|\left\|j \alpha^{\prime}\right\| \mid>1 / 2 q_{n}^{\prime}$ for any $0<|j|<q_{n}^{\prime}$ then for such $j$ we have $\left|\left\| \pm q_{n} \alpha+j \alpha^{\prime}\right\|\right| \geq 1 / 4 q_{n}^{\prime}$, hence Lemma 8.6 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{y}^{p} S_{m} Y_{n}(x, y)\right\| & \leq 2 \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{e^{q_{n}}} \sum_{0<|j|<q_{n}^{\prime}}(2 \pi|j|)^{p}\left|\phi_{j}^{n}\right| \\
& \leq C \frac{q_{n}^{\prime}}{e^{q_{n}}}\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{n}\right\|_{C^{p+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (Y.5) due to (9.4).

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{x}^{r} S_{m} Y_{n}(x, y) & =\sum_{0<|j|<q_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{\phi_{j}^{n}}{2 e^{q_{n}}}\left(i 2 \pi q_{n}\right)^{r} S_{m}\left(\chi_{q_{n}, j}+\chi_{-q_{n}, j}\right) \\
& +\frac{\phi_{0}^{n}}{2 e^{q_{n}}}\left(i 2 \pi q_{n}\right)^{r} S_{m}\left(\chi_{q_{n}, 0}+\chi_{-q_{n}, 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As in our proof of (Y.5) the first term is uniformly bounded away from $q_{n}^{\prime}$; while the second term is bounded by $q_{n+1}\left(2 \pi q_{n}\right)^{r} / e^{q_{n}}$ since $0 \leq \phi_{0}^{n} \leq$ $\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{n}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\left\|S_{m} \chi_{ \pm q_{n}, 0}\right\| \leq 1 /\left(2\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \leq q_{n+1}$. Hence (Y.4) is proved.
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