

# Extending valuations of local domains to complete local domains without changing the value group.

F.J. Herrera Govantes, M. A. Olalla Acosta, M. Spivakovsky and B. Teissier

## ABSTRACT

Let  $(R, m, k)$  be an excellent local noetherian domain with field of fractions  $K$ . Let

$$\nu : K^* \rightarrow \Gamma$$

be a valuation centered at  $R$  and let  $R_\nu$  be the corresponding valuation ring of  $K$ , dominating  $R$ . Denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $m$ -adic completion of  $R$ . In the applications of valuation theory to commutative algebra and the study of singularities, one is often induced to replace  $R$  by its  $m$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  and  $\nu$  by a suitable extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  to  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{P}$  for a suitably chosen prime ideal  $P$ , such that

$$P \cap R = (0).$$

In [8] we gave a systematic description of all such extensions  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  and defined the notion of tight extensions that are of particular interest for applications. If  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is a tight extension then its graded algebra is birational to that of  $\nu$  (the converse is not known and might not be true). In particular, the value group of  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is  $\Gamma$ . The existence of tight extensions was conjectured by the last author in [15]. In the present paper we give a proof of Teissier's conjecture. An intended application of this result is an important step in two recent approaches to local uniformization in positive characteristic.

## 1. Introduction

All the rings in this paper will be commutative with 1.

Let  $(R, m, k)$  be a local noetherian domain with field of fractions  $K$  and  $R_\nu$  a valuation ring, birationally dominating  $R$ . Let  $\nu : K^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  be the corresponding valuation of  $K$ , centered at  $R$ . Here  $\Gamma$  is the value group; it is totally ordered and abelian. Let  $\widehat{R}$  denote the  $m$ -adic completion of  $R$ . In the applications of valuation theory to commutative algebra and the study of singularities, one is often induced to replace  $R$  by its  $m$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  and  $\nu$  by a suitable extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  to  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{P}$  for a suitably chosen prime ideal  $P$ , such that  $P \cap R = (0)$ . In the paper [8] we gave, assuming that  $R$  is excellent, a systematic description of all such extensions  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  and among them identified the class of tight extensions. The existence of tight extensions (see Conjecture 1 of [8]) is the restatement in the framework of [8] of a conjecture of the last author going back to 2003 (see [15], \*proposition\* 5.19).

The main result of the present paper is a proof of Teissier's conjecture:

**Given an excellent local domain  $R$  and a valuation  $\nu$  of  $R$  that is strictly positive on its maximal ideal  $m$ , there exists a prime ideal  $P$  of the  $m$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  such that  $P \cap R = (0)$  and an extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of  $\nu$  to  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{P}$  such that the natural inclusion**

$$\mathrm{gr}_\nu R \subset \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\nu}_-} \widehat{R}/P \tag{1.1}$$

**of the associated graded rings is scalewise-birational (see the definitions below). In particular, the valuations  $\nu$  and  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  have the same value group.**

The only assumption about  $R$  we ever used in [8] is a weaker and more natural condition than excellence: namely, we require the completion homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$  to be regular. Local rings  $R$  having this property are called G-rings or quasi-excellent.

One specific application we have in mind has to do with the approaches to proving the Local Uniformization Theorem in arbitrary characteristic which are described in [14] and [15]).

These approaches to local uniformization both make important use, in different ways, of the structure of the graded ring  $\text{gr}_\nu R$  associated to the filtration of an excellent local domain  $R$  defined by the valuation  $\nu$ . Extending  $\nu$  to a valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of a complete local domain  $\widehat{R}/P$  in such a manner that the corresponding extension  $\text{gr}_\nu R \subset \text{gr}_{\widehat{\nu}_-} \widehat{R}/P$  of graded rings is scalewise-birational allows one to have access to the many advantages of completeness without losing the algebraicity of the centers of blowing-up (in [14]) or of the embeddings into appropriate larger affine spaces where a birational toric map will provide local uniformization (in [15]).

Let  $r$  denote the (real) rank of  $\nu$ . Let  $(0) = \Delta_r \subsetneq \Delta_{r-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \Delta_0 = \Gamma$  be the isolated subgroups of  $\Gamma$  and  $P_0 = (0) \subsetneq P_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_r = m$  the prime valuation ideals of  $R$ , which need not, in general, be distinct. Assuming that  $R$  is excellent, in [8] we canonically associated to  $\nu$  a chain of  $2r + 2$  prime ideals

$$H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_{2r} = H_{2r+1} = m\widehat{R},$$

satisfying  $H_{2\ell} \cap R = H_{2\ell+1} \cap R = P_\ell$  and such that  $H_{2\ell}$  is a minimal prime of  $P_\ell \widehat{R}$  for  $0 \leq \ell \leq r$ . We called  $H_i$  the  *$i$ -th implicit prime ideal* of  $\widehat{R}$ , associated to  $R$  and  $\nu$ . The role of these ideals is explained at the beginning of section 2.1 below.

The ideals  $H_i$  behave well under local blowing ups along  $\nu$  (that is, birational local homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow R'$  such that  $\nu$  is centered in  $R'$ ). This means that given a local blowing up  $R \rightarrow R'$  along  $\nu$ , the  $i$ -th implicit prime ideal  $H'_i$  of  $\widehat{R}'$  has the property that  $H'_i \cap \widehat{R} = H_i$ . In this situation we say that the collection  $\{H'_i\}$  forms a **tree** (see subsection 1.1).

For a prime ideal  $P$  in a ring  $R$ ,  $\kappa(P)$  will denote the residue field  $\frac{R_P}{P R_P}$ .

Let  $(0) = \mathbf{m}_0 \subsetneq \mathbf{m}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{m}_{r-1} \subsetneq \mathbf{m}_r = \mathbf{m}_\nu$  be the prime ideals of the valuation ring  $R_\nu$ . By definitions, our valuation  $\nu$  is a composition of  $r$  rank one valuations,  $\nu = \nu_1 \circ \nu_2 \cdots \circ \nu_r$ , where  $\nu_\ell$  is a valuation of the field  $\kappa(\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1})$ , centered at  $\frac{(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}$ . In particular,  $\nu_\ell$  defines a valuation on  $\frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}}$  with value group  $\frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}$  by restriction (see [20], Chapter VI, §10, p. 43 for the definition of composition of valuations; more information is given below in subsection 1.1, where we interpret each  $\mathbf{m}_\ell$  as the limit of a tree of ideals). For each integer  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , let  $\mu_\ell := \nu_\ell \circ \nu_{\ell+1} \circ \cdots \circ \nu_r$ ; it is the residual valuation induced by  $\nu$  on  $\frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}}$ .

### 1.1. Trees.

We consider birational  $\nu$ -extensions  $R \rightarrow R'$  of local rings, that is, injective homomorphisms such that  $R'$  is an  $R$ -algebra essentially of finite type with field of fractions  $K$ , dominated by  $R_\nu$  (in particular, we have  $m' \cap R = m$ ). Such extensions form a direct system  $\{R'\}$  with

$$\varinjlim_{R'} R' = R_\nu. \tag{1.2}$$

We will consider many direct systems of rings and of ideals indexed by  $\{R'\}$ ; direct limits will always be taken with respect to the direct system  $\{R'\}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** A **tree** of  $R'$ -algebras is a direct system  $\{S'\}$  of rings, indexed by the directed set  $\{R'\}$ , where  $S'$  is an  $R'$ -algebra. Note that the tree maps are not required to be injective or birational. A morphism  $\{S'\} \rightarrow \{T'\}$  of trees is the datum of a map of  $R'$ -algebras  $S' \rightarrow T'$  for each  $R'$  commuting with the tree morphisms  $S' \rightarrow S''$  and  $T' \rightarrow T''$  for each map  $R' \rightarrow R''$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\{S'\}$  be a tree of  $R'$ -algebras. For each  $S'$ , let  $I'$  be an ideal of  $S'$ . We say that  $\{I'\}$  is a **tree of ideals** if for every arrow  $b_{S'S''}: S' \rightarrow S''$  in our direct system, we have  $b_{S'S''}^{-1}I'' = I'$ . We have the obvious notion of inclusion of trees of ideals. In particular, we may speak about chains of trees of ideals.

EXAMPLES. For each non-negative element  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , the valuation ideals  $\mathcal{P}'_\beta \subset R'$  of value  $\beta$  form a tree of ideals of  $\{R'\}$ . Similarly, the  $i$ -th prime valuation ideals  $P'_i \subset R'$  form a tree. If  $r \neq \nu = r$ , the prime valuation ideals  $P'_i$  give rise to a chain

$$(0) = P'_0 \subsetneq P'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P'_r = m' \tag{1.3}$$

of trees of prime ideals of  $\{R'\}$ .

In [8] we proved that the implicit prime ideals  $H'_i$  form a tree of ideals of  $\widehat{R}$ .

We showed that specifying an extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of  $\nu$  as above is equivalent to specifying a chain

$$\widetilde{H}'_0 \subset \widetilde{H}'_1 \subset \dots \subset \widetilde{H}'_{2r} = m' \widehat{R}' \tag{1.4}$$

of trees of prime valuation ideals of  $\widehat{R}'$  such that  $H'_\ell \subset \widetilde{H}'_\ell$  for all  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, 2r\}$ , and valuations  $\widehat{\nu}_1, \widehat{\nu}_2, \dots, \widehat{\nu}_{2r}$ , where  $\widehat{\nu}_i$  is a valuation of the field  $k_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$  (the residue field of the valuation ring  $R_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$ ), arbitrary when  $i$  is odd and satisfying certain conditions, coming from the valuation  $\nu_{\frac{i}{2}}$ , when  $i$  is even.

Recall that for each  $\beta \in \Gamma$  one associates to  $\beta$  the valuation ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\beta(R) &= \{x \in R / \nu(x) \geq \beta\} \\ \mathcal{P}_\beta^+(R) &= \{x \in R / \nu(x) > \beta\} \end{aligned}$$

of  $R$  (with the convention that  $\nu(0) = \infty$ , an element larger than any element of  $\Gamma$ ) and the graded ring

$$\text{gr}_\nu R = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Gamma_+} \frac{\mathcal{P}_\beta(R)}{\mathcal{P}_\beta^+(R)}.$$

Note that if the ring  $R$  is noetherian, the semigroup  $\Phi \subset \Gamma_{\geq 0}$  of values of  $\nu$  on  $R \setminus \{0\}$  is well ordered. If  $R \rightarrow R'$  is a birational extension of local domains dominated by  $R_\nu$ , we will write  $\mathcal{P}'_\beta$  for  $\mathcal{P}_\beta(R')$ .

NOTATION. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{R'\}$ , the tree defined above. For a ring  $R' \in \mathcal{T}$ , we shall denote by  $\mathcal{T}(R')$  the subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of all the  $\nu$ -extensions  $R''$  of  $R'$ .

Let

$$\Gamma \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Gamma} \tag{1.5}$$

be an extension of ordered groups of the same rank. Let

$$(0) = \widehat{\Delta}_r \subsetneq \widehat{\Delta}_{r-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \widehat{\Delta}_0 = \widehat{\Gamma}$$

be the isolated subgroups of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ , so that the inclusion (1.5) induces inclusions

$$\Delta_\ell \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Delta}_\ell \quad \text{and} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_\ell}{\Delta_{\ell+1}} \hookrightarrow \frac{\widehat{\Delta}_\ell}{\widehat{\Delta}_{\ell+1}}. \tag{1.7}$$

Let  $G \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$  be an extension of graded algebras without zero divisors, such that  $G$  is graded by  $\Gamma_+$  and  $\widehat{G}$  by  $\widehat{\Gamma}_+$ . The graded algebra  $G$  is endowed with a natural valuation with value group  $\Gamma$  and similarly for  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . Both of these natural valuations will be denoted by *ord*.

DEFINITION 3. We say that the extension  $G \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$  is **scalewise-birational** if  $G_0 = \widehat{G}_0$  and for all homogeneous elements  $x \in \widehat{G}$  and  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$  such that  $\text{ord } x \in \widehat{\Delta}_\ell$  there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $\text{ord } y \in \Delta_\ell$  and  $xy \in G$ .

Consider a tree of prime ideals  $H'$  of  $\widehat{R}'$  with  $H' \cap R' = (0)$  and a valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_-$ , centered at  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'}$ .

DEFINITION 4. We say that  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is a **scalewise-birational extension of  $\nu$**  if for each  $R'$  the inclusion

$$\text{gr}_\nu R' \subset \text{gr}_{\widehat{\nu}_-} \widehat{R}' / H' \tag{1.8}$$

is scalewise-birational.

Of course, if  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is a scalewise-birational extension of  $\nu$  then the inclusion (1.8) is birational in the usual sense (that is, induces equality of fraction fields) which, in turn, implies that  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \Gamma$ .

The main result of the present paper is:

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists a tree of prime ideals  $\widetilde{H}'_0$  of  $\widehat{R}'$  with  $\widetilde{H}'_0 \cap R' = (0)$  and a scalewise-birational extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$ , centered at  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widetilde{H}'_0}$ .*

The example given in Remark 5.20, 4) of [15] shows that the morphism of associated graded rings is not an isomorphism in general.

**Acknowledgement.** We acknowledge earlier work on in this area by W. Heinzer and J. Sally [6] (which deals with the case  $\dim R = 2$ ) as well as by the school of S. D. Cutkosky [2, 3] that helped inspire some of the authors to think about the subject.

## 2. A review of some of the main definitions and results from [7]

### 2.1. Definition and first properties of implicit prime ideals

Let  $0 \leq \ell \leq r$ . We recall the definition of one of the main objects in the theory, the *implicit prime ideals* of  $\nu$  in  $\widehat{R}$ . Broadly speaking, the odd-numbered implicit prime ideals represent the fact that elements of  $\widehat{R}$  can be limits of sequences of elements of  $R$  of ever increasing valuation, tending to infinity at least for one of the valuations with which  $\nu$  is composed, while even-numbered implicit ideals represent the fact that while some quotients  $\frac{R}{P_\ell}$  may not be analytically irreducible, the valuation  $\nu$  “chooses” one of the irreducible components of the completion. These representations must then be “stabilized” with respect to the tree  $\mathcal{T}$  in the way shown by equation (2.1) below.

The  $(2\ell + 1)$ -st **implicit prime ideal**  $H_{2\ell+1} \subset \widehat{R}$  is defined by

$$H_{2\ell+1} = \bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta_\ell} \left( \left( \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{P}'_\beta \widehat{R}' \right) \cap \widehat{R} \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $R'$  ranges over  $\mathcal{T}$ . As usual, we think of (2.1) as a tree equation: if we replace  $R$  by any other  $R'' \in \mathcal{T}$  in (2.1), it defines the corresponding ideal  $H''_{2\ell+1} \subset \widehat{R}''$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. ([8], Proposition 3.1) We have  $H'_{2\ell+1} \cap R' = P'_\ell$ .

The implicit ideal  $H_{2\ell}$  is defined as the unique minimal prime ideal of  $P_\ell \widehat{R}$  contained in  $H_{2\ell+1}$ .

Here the uniqueness follows from the fact that  $R$  being excellent (or a  $G$ -ring), the ring  $\widehat{R} \otimes_R \kappa(P_\ell)$  is regular, hence a domain. Thus the only minimal prime of  $\widehat{R} \otimes_R \kappa(P_\ell)$  is  $(0)$  and hence the unique minimal prime of  $P_\ell \widehat{R}$  in  $\widehat{R}$  is the kernel of the natural map  $\widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R} \otimes_R \kappa(P_\ell)$ .

In ([8], Theorem 8.1) we prove the primality of the implicit ideals.

2.2. A classification of extensions of  $\nu$  to  $\widehat{R}$ .

One is naturally led to consider the more general problem of extending  $\nu$  not only to rings of the form  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{P}$  but also to the ring  $\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ R'}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{P'}$ , where  $P'$  is a tree of prime ideals of  $\widehat{R}'$ , such that  $P' \cap R' = (0)$ .

In §5 of [8], we gave a systematic description of all the possible extensions  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of  $\nu$  to  $\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ R'}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{P'}$ , as compositions of  $2r$  valuations,

$$\widehat{\nu}_- = \widehat{\nu}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{\nu}_{2r}, \tag{2.2}$$

satisfying certain conditions. We associate to each extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of  $\nu$  a chain

$$\widetilde{H}'_0 \subset \widetilde{H}'_1 \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{H}'_{2r} = m' \widehat{R}' \tag{2.3}$$

of prime  $\widehat{\nu}_-$ -ideals, corresponding to the decomposition (2.2), satisfying

$$\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell} \cap R' = \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1} \cap R' = P'_\ell. \tag{2.4}$$

By definitions, for  $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ ,  $\widehat{\nu}_i$  is a valuation of the field  $k_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. ([8], Proposition 5.3) We have

$$H'_i \subset \widetilde{H}'_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{0, \dots, 2r\}. \tag{2.5}$$

One of the main theorems of [8] (Theorem 5.4) says that specifying the valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is equivalent to specifying the following data:

- (1) A chain of trees (2.3) of prime ideals of  $\widehat{R}'$  satisfying (2.5) and some additional conditions.
- (2) For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ , a valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_i$  of  $k_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$  (where  $\widehat{\nu}_0$  is taken to be the trivial valuation

by convention), whose restriction to  $\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ R'}} \kappa(\widetilde{H}'_{i-1})$  is centered at the local ring  $\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ R'}} \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widetilde{H}'_i}}{\widehat{H}'_{i-1} \widehat{R}'_{\widetilde{H}'_i}}$ .

The data  $\{\widehat{\nu}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2r}$  is subject to the following additional condition: if  $i = 2\ell$  is even then  $r k \widehat{\nu}_i = 1$  and  $\widehat{\nu}_i$  is an extension of  $\nu_i$  to  $k_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$  (which is naturally an extension of  $k_{\nu_{i-1}}$ ).

In particular, such extensions  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  always exist, and usually there are plenty of them.

2.3. Tight extensions and scalewise birationality

In §6 of [8] we define and study, among other things, a class of extensions  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  which are particularly useful for the applications called the **tight** extensions (see [8, Definition 6.1]). One of the properties of tight extensions is the fact that

$$\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell} = \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \ell \leq r. \tag{2.6}$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. ([8], Proposition 6.7) *The extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  is tight if and only if for each  $R'$  in our direct system the natural graded algebra extension  $gr_\nu R' \rightarrow gr_{\widehat{\nu}_-} \widehat{R}'$  is scalewise-birational.*

REMARK 1. Proposition 2.3 allows us to rephrase Conjecture 1 of [8] as follows: the valuation  $\nu$  admits at least one tight extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$ .

The only material from [8] used in the proof of the main theorem of the present paper is the definition (2.1) of implicit ideals, their primality (Theorem 8.1) and the equality

$$H'_{2\ell+1} \cap R' = P'_\ell$$

(Proposition 3.1), but not the somewhat technical Theorem 5.4.

### 3. A proof of the existence of a scalewise-birational extension $\widehat{\nu}_-$

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1 by constructing a valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  whose associated graded algebra is a scalewise-birational extension of  $gr_\nu R$ .

The construction consists of describing the trees of ideals  $\widetilde{H}'_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 2r$  and, for each  $i$ , a valuations  $\widehat{\nu}_i$  of the residue field  $k_{\widehat{\nu}_{i-1}}$ , such that  $\widehat{\nu}_- = \widehat{\nu}_1 \circ \dots \circ \widehat{\nu}_{2r}$ . More precisely, for  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , we will construct, recursively in the descending order of  $\ell$ , a tree  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}$  of prime ideals of  $\widehat{R}'$ ,  $R' \in \mathcal{T}$ , such that  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1} \cap R' = P'_{\ell-1}$ , and a scalewise-birational extension  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  of  $\mu_\ell$  to  $\lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}}$ . The valuation  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  will be the desired scalewise-birational extension  $\widehat{\nu}_-$  of  $\mu_1 = \nu$ .

We will have  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell} = \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1}$ .

Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} := \lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \widehat{R}'$  and  $\mathbf{H}_i = \lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \widetilde{H}'_i$ . Then  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell+1}$  will be the trivial valuation of the field  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell+1}}}{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell+1}}}$ .

We define  $\widetilde{H}'_{2r} = \widetilde{H}'_{2r+1} = m' \widehat{R}'$  and let  $\widehat{\mu}_{2r+2} = \widehat{\nu}_{2r+2}$  be the trivial valuation of the residue field  $k'$ .

Next, assume that  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , that the tree  $\left\{ \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1} \right\} \supset \left\{ H'_{2\ell+1} \right\}$  of prime ideals of  $\widehat{R}'$  and a scalewise-birational extension  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}$  of  $\mu_{\ell+1}$  to  $\lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1}}$  are already constructed for  $R' \in \mathcal{T}$  and that

$$\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1} \cap R' = P'_\ell.$$

Define  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell} := \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell+1}$  and let  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell+1}$  be the trivial valuation of the field  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell+1}}}{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell+1}}}$ .

It remains to construct the ideals  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1} \subset \widehat{R}'$  and a scalewise-birational extension  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  of  $\mu_\ell$  to  $\frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}}$  for  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Consider the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of all the trees of prime ideals  $\{H'\}$  of  $\left\{ \widehat{R}' \right\}$  contained in  $\widetilde{H}'_{2\ell}$  and satisfying the condition

$$H' \cap R' = P'_{\ell-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

The collection  $\mathcal{I}$  is not empty since the tree  $\{H'_{2\ell-1}\}$  belongs to it by Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 3.1 of [8]). Let  $\left\{ \widetilde{H}'_{2\ell-1} \right\}$  be a maximal (in the sense of inclusion) element of

$\mathcal{I}$ . Here by “maximal” we mean that there is no tree  $\{I'\}$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  containing  $\{\tilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}\}$  such that  $\{\tilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}\} \subsetneq I'$  for some  $I'$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  and hence for all  $I'$  that are sufficiently far out in the tree. Such a maximal tree  $\{\tilde{H}'_{2\ell-1}\}$  exists by Zorn’s Lemma.

By the induction assumption, the valuation  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}$  is already defined on  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{2\ell+1}}$  and, for all  $R' \in \mathcal{T}$ , the inclusion  $\text{gr}_{\mu_{\ell+1}} \frac{R'}{P'_\ell} \subset \text{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell+1}}$  of graded algebras is scalewise-birational.

It remains to describe the valuation  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  centered in  $\frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}}$ ,  $R' \in \mathcal{T}$ . We will first define  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  and then define  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  as  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell} \circ \widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $\mu$  be a rank one valuation, centered in a local noetherian domain  $(A, M)$ . Let*

$$\Psi = \mu(A \setminus \{0\}).$$

*Then  $\Psi$  contains no infinite strictly increasing bounded sequences.*

*Proof.* For an element  $\beta \in \Psi$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_\beta := \mathcal{P}_\beta(A)$  denote the  $\mu$ -ideal of  $A$  of value  $\beta$ . An infinite ascending sequence  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots$  in  $\Psi$ , bounded above by an element  $\beta \in \Psi$ , would give rise to an infinite descending chain of ideals in  $\frac{A}{\mathcal{P}_\beta}$ . Thus it is sufficient to prove that  $\frac{A}{\mathcal{P}_\beta}$  has finite length.

Let  $\delta := \mu(M) (= \min(\Psi \setminus \{0\}))$ . Since  $\text{rk } \mu = 1$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\beta \leq n\delta$ . Then  $M^n \subset \mathcal{P}_\beta$ , so there is a surjective homomorphism  $\frac{A}{M^n} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{A}{\mathcal{P}_\beta}$ . Thus  $\frac{A}{\mathcal{P}_\beta}$  has finite length, as desired.  $\square$

REMARK 2. See [4, Theorem 3.2] for a more general result about the absence of accumulation points in semigroups.

COROLLARY 3.2. *The semigroup  $\nu \left( \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \setminus \{0\} \right)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{N}$  as an ordered set.*

*Proof.* Since  $\nu_\ell$  is a rank 1 valuation, centered in the local noetherian domain  $\frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}}$ , the semigroup  $\nu \left( \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \setminus \{0\} \right)$  contains no infinite strictly increasing bounded sequences. The corollary now follows from the fact that  $\nu \left( \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \setminus \{0\} \right)$  is well ordered.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3. *Fix a ring  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  and a non-zero element  $x \in \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1} \widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$ . Then*

$$(x) \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \neq (0).$$

*Proof.* Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  be the set of minimal primes of  $(x)$  in  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$ . It is sufficient to prove that

$$Q_i \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \neq (0) \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, s\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Indeed, once (3.2) is established, let  $y_i$  be a non-zero element of  $Q_i \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}}$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $0 \neq \left(\prod_{i=1}^s y_i\right)^N \in (x) \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}}$ , as desired.

To prove (3.2), we argue by contradiction. Assume that  $(x)$  has a minimal prime  $Q'$  in  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$  satisfying

$$Q' \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} = (0).$$

For every  $\nu$ -extension  $R' \rightarrow R''$ , there exists a minimal prime  $Q''$  of  $(x)$  in  $\frac{\widehat{R}''_{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}''_{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell}}}$  lying over  $Q'$  and satisfying

$$Q'' \cap \frac{R''_{P''_\ell}}{P''_{\ell-1}} = (0). \quad (3.3)$$

By Zorn's lemma, there exists a tree  $\{P''\}$  of prime ideals of  $\left\{\frac{\widehat{R}''_{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}''_{\widehat{H}''_{2\ell}}}\right\}$  satisfying (3.3). Taking the preimage of  $\{P''\}$  in  $\{\widehat{R}''\}$  produces a tree  $\{H''\}$  satisfying (3.1) and properly containing the tree  $\{\widetilde{H}''_{2\ell-1}\}$ , contradicting the maximality of  $\{\widetilde{H}''_{2\ell-1}\}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

For a positive element  $\bar{\beta} \in \frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}$  denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}, \ell}$  the ideal

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}, \ell} = \frac{\left(\mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}} + \widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\right)\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since by the definition of  $\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}$  we have  $\bigcap_{\bar{\beta}} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}, \ell} = (0)$ , we can define the pseudo-valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  centered at  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$  by

$$\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(x) := \max \left\{ \bar{\beta} \in \left(\frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}\right)_+ \mid x \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}, \ell} \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

LEMMA 3.4. *We have*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}} \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} = \mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}}. \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\supset$  is clear from definitions. Let us prove the opposite inclusion.

By Zorn's lemma, there exist extensions  $\tilde{\nu}$  of  $\nu_\ell$  to valuations centered in the ring  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$ .

Fix one such extension  $\tilde{\nu}$  and let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}}$  denote the greatest  $\tilde{\nu}$ -ideal of  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$  among those of

value at least  $\bar{\beta}$ . We have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta},\ell} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}}, \quad (3.7)$$

so  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta},\ell} \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta}} \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}} = \mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}}$ , which proves the desired inclusion.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *The restriction of the pseudo-valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  to  $\frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}}$  coincides with  $\nu_\ell$ .*

**REMARK 3.** The inclusion (3.7) is equivalent to saying that for every  $x \in \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$  we have  $\tilde{\nu}(x) \leq \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(x)$ . The next lemma shows that this inequality is, in fact, an equality.

Keep the notation of the above remark. Let  $\bar{\beta} = \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(x)$ .

**LEMMA 3.6.** *We have*

$$\tilde{\nu}(x) = \bar{\beta}. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* Take a non-zero element  $y \in (x) \cap \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}}$  (such an element exists by Lemma 3.3). Let  $u \in \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$  be such that  $y = ux$ . Since  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is a pseudo-valuation extending  $\nu_\ell$ , we have

$$\nu_\ell(y) = \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(y) = \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(xu) \geq \bar{\beta} + \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}(u) \geq \tilde{\nu}(x) + \tilde{\nu}(u) = \tilde{\nu}(y) = \nu_\ell(y), \quad (3.9)$$

where the first inequality is given by the ultrametric triangle rule and the second follows from Remark 3, applied separately to  $x$  and  $u$ . Thus all the inequalities in (3.9) are equalities and the result follows.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.7.**

- (i) *The pseudo-valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is, in fact, a valuation.*
- (ii) *The valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is the unique valuation extending  $\nu_\ell$  to  $\frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$ .*

**REMARK 4.** Since the construction above is valid for all  $R''$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  extends naturally to a valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell} : \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{2\ell+1}} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}$  (which we still denote by  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$ ). The valuation  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is centered in the local ring  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{2\ell+1}}$ . Moreover,  $\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is the unique valuation extending  $\nu_\ell$  with this property.

Let

$$\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}} := \lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}} R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1} R'_{P'_\ell}},$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell} := \lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta},\ell},$$

and similarly for  $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}}^+$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}^+$ . Recall that

$$\mathbf{m}_\ell = \lim_{R' \in \mathcal{T}} P'_\ell.$$

By definitions (see (3.4)), for all  $\bar{\beta} \in \left(\frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}\right)_+$ , we have a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\lambda_{\bar{\beta}} : \frac{\mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}}}{\mathcal{P}'_{\bar{\beta}}^+} \otimes_{\kappa(P'_\ell)} \kappa(\tilde{H}'_{2\ell}) \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta},\ell}}{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}'_{\bar{\beta},\ell}^+} \quad (3.10)$$

of  $\kappa(\tilde{H}'_{2\ell})$ -vector spaces. Passing to direct limits as  $R'$  runs over the tree  $\mathcal{T}$ , we obtain a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\lambda_{\bar{\beta}} : \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}}}{\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}}^+} \otimes_{\kappa(\mathbf{P}_\ell)} \kappa(\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}) \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}}{\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}^+} \quad (3.11)$$

of  $\kappa(\mathbf{H}_{2\ell})$ -vector spaces.

REMARK 5.

(i) We have

$$\frac{(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}} = R_{\nu_\ell}.$$

The ideal  $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}} \subset \frac{(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}$  is the  $\nu_\ell$ -ideal of value  $\bar{\beta}$ ; in particular,  $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}}$  is principal, generated by any element  $x \in \frac{(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}$  such that  $\nu_\ell(x) = \bar{\beta}$ .

(ii) By definitions (see (3.4)), we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell} = \mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence the ideal  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}$  is also principal.

By Remark 5, both  $\kappa(\mathbf{H}_{2\ell})$ -vector spaces in (3.11) are one-dimensional.

COROLLARY 3.8. *The map (3.11) (and hence also (3.10)) is an isomorphism.*

COROLLARY 3.9. *The graded algebra*

$$gr_{\nu_\ell} \frac{(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(R_\nu)_{\mathbf{m}_\ell}} \otimes_{\kappa(\mathbf{m}_\ell)} \kappa(\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}) \cong \bigoplus_{\bar{\beta} \in \left(\frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{\Delta_\ell}\right)_+} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}}{\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{\bar{\beta},\ell}^+} = gr_{\widehat{\nu}_{2\ell}} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}$$

is an integral domain.

The extension  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$  of  $\mu_\ell$  to  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}}{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1}}$  is defined by  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell} = \widehat{\nu}_{2\ell} \circ \widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}$ . This completes the definition of  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , by descending recursion on  $\ell$ .

LEMMA 3.10. *The natural inclusion*

$$gr_{\mu_\ell} \frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}} \hookrightarrow gr_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}} \frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_{2\ell-1}} \quad (3.13)$$

of graded algebras is scalewise-birational.

*Proof.* In the proof that follows we will keep in mind the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_\ell} \frac{R'}{P'_{\ell-1}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}} & (3.14) \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_{\ell+1}} \frac{R}{P_\ell} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_{\ell+1}} \frac{R'}{P'_\ell} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}} & 
 \end{array}$$

of natural inclusions, valid for all  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Here the lower row is a composition of two birational injections of graded algebras, where the second inclusion is scalewise-birational by the induction hypothesis, and the top row is an inclusion of graded algebras whose scalewise birationality we want to prove.

Take a homogeneous element  $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}} \frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_{2\ell-1}}$  and let  $x$  be a representative of  $\bar{x}$  in  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_{2\ell-1}}$ . Let  $\bar{\beta} = \widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}(x)$ . Take an element  $y \in \mathbf{P}_{\bar{\beta}}$  and  $u \in \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}} \setminus \mathbf{H}_{2\ell} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1}}}$  such that  $x = yu^{-1}$  (the existence of  $y$  and  $u$  follows from Remark 5 (ii)).

Let  $R'$  be such that  $y \in \frac{R'_{P'_\ell}}{P'_{\ell-1}R'_{P'_\ell}}$  and  $u \in \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}} \setminus \widehat{H}'_{2\ell} \frac{\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}\widehat{R}'_{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}}$ . Write  $y = \frac{y_1}{y_2}$  with  $y_1, y_2 \in \frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}}$ . Write  $u$  as  $u = \frac{w}{s}$ , where

$$w, s \in \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}} \setminus \frac{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell-1}} \quad (3.15)$$

for some  $R'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $\bar{\cdot}$  denote the operation of taking the natural image of an element of  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_{2\ell-1}}$  in  $\mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}} \frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_{2\ell-1}}$ , its initial form with respect to the filtration defined by  $\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell}$ . The inclusion (3.15) implies that  $\bar{w}, \bar{s} \in \mathrm{gr}_{\widehat{\mu}_{2\ell+2}} \frac{\widehat{R}'}{\widehat{H}'_{2\ell}}$ . In view of the birationality of the second row of diagram (3.14), there exist  $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2 \in \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_{\ell+1}} \frac{R}{P_\ell}$  satisfying  $\bar{w} = \frac{\bar{w}_1}{\bar{w}_2}$  and  $\bar{s} = \frac{\bar{s}_1}{\bar{s}_2}$ .

Putting together all of the above, we obtain

$$\bar{x}(\bar{y}_2\bar{w}_1\bar{s}_2) \in \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_\ell} \frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}}$$

and  $\bar{y}_2\bar{w}_1\bar{s}_2 \in \mathrm{gr}_{\mu_\ell} \frac{R}{P_{\ell-1}}$ , the latter containment implying that

$$\mathrm{ord}(\bar{y}_2\bar{w}_1\bar{s}_2) \in \Delta_{\ell-1}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We put  $\hat{\nu}_- = \widehat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\nu}_2 \circ \cdots \circ \hat{\nu}_{2r}$ , where for each  $\ell$  the valuation  $\hat{\nu}_{2\ell}$  is centered in the local ring  $\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell}}}{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{H}_{2\ell-1}}}$ .

This completes the construction of the valuation  $\hat{\nu}_-$ .

The scalewise birationality of the extension  $\hat{\nu}_-$  of  $\nu$  to the ring  $\frac{\widehat{R}}{\widehat{H}_1}$  is given by Lemma 3.10, applied with  $\ell = 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

## References

1. S. Abhyankar, *Local uniformization on algebraic surfaces over ground fields of characteristic  $p \neq 0$* , Ann. of Math., 63 (1956) 491–526.

2. S. D. Cutkosky and S. El Hitti, *Formal prime ideals of infinite value and their algebraic resolution*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 19 (2010), no. 3-4, 635–649.
3. S. D. Cutkosky and L. Ghezzi, *Completions of valuation rings*, Contemp. Math. 386 (2005), 13–34.
4. S.D. Cutkosky and B. Teissier, *Semigroups of valuations on local rings*, Michigan Math. J., Vol. 57 (2008), 173–194.
5. A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie algébrique*, Chap. IV, Pub. Math. IHES, No. 24, 1965.
6. W. Heinzer and J. Sally, *Extensions of valuations to the completion of a local domain*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, Vol. 71, no 2–3, pp. 175–186, (1991).
7. J. Herrera, M.A. Olalla, M. Spivakovsky, *Valuations in algebraic field extensions*, J. Algebra 312 (2007), no. 2, 1033–1074.
8. J. Herrera, M. A. Olalla, M. Spivakovsky, B. Teissier, *Extending a valuation centered in a local domain to its formal completion*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) 105 (2012) 571–621,
9. J. Herrera, M. A. Olalla, M. Spivakovsky, B. Teissier, *Extending valuations to formal completions*, in “Valuation theory in interaction”, Proceedings of the second international conference on valuation theory, Segovia–El Escorial, 2011. Edited by A. Campillo, F-V. Kuhlmann and B. Teissier. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Congress Reports Series, Sept. 2014, pp 252–265.
10. J. Lipman, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*, Ann. Math. 107 (1978) 151–207.
11. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*. Commutative algebra. Second edition. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, 56. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.
12. M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience Publishers, 1960.
13. M. Spivakovsky, *Valuations in function fields of surfaces*. Amer. J. Math., 112, 1, 107–156 (1990).
14. M. Spivakovsky, *Resolution of singularities I: Local Uniformization of an equicharacteristic quasi-excellent local domain*, in preparation.
15. B. Teissier, *Valuations, deformations, and toric geometry*, Proceedings of the Saskatoon Conference and Workshop on valuation theory (second volume), F-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, editors, Fields Institute Communications, **33**, 2003, 361–459.
16. B. Teissier, *Overweight deformations of affine toric varieties and local uniformization*, in “Valuation theory in interaction”, Proceedings of the second international conference on valuation theory, Segovia–El Escorial, 2011. Edited by A. Campillo, F-V. Kuhlmann and B. Teissier. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Congress Reports Series, Sept. 2014, 474–565.
17. M. Vaquié, *Valuations*, in “Resolution of Singularities, a research textbook in tribute to Oscar Zariski”, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. No. 181, 2000.
18. M. Vaquié, *Famille admissible de valuations et défaut d’une extension*, J. Algebra 311 (2007), no. 2, 859–876.
19. O. Zariski, *Local uniformization theorem on algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math., 41 (1940), 852–896.
20. O. Zariski, P. Samuel *Commutative Algebra, Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag (1960).

F. J. Herrera Govantes and M. A. Olalla  
Acosta  
Departamento de Álgebra  
Facultad de Matemáticas  
Apdo. de correos 1160  
41080 Sevilla Spain

jherrera@algebra.us.es  
miguelolalla@algebra.us.es

M. Spivakovsky  
Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse  
UMR 5219 du CNRS,  
Université Paul Sabatier  
118, route de Narbonne  
31062 Toulouse cedex 9, France.

mark.spivakovsky@math.univ-toulouse.fr

B. Teissier  
Université Paris Cité and Sorbonne  
Université, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75013  
Paris, France

bernard.teissier@imj-prg.fr