

TORIC GEOMETRY AND THE SEMPLE-NASH MODIFICATION

PEDRO D. GONZÁLEZ PÉREZ AND BERNARD TEISSIER

ABSTRACT. This paper proposes some material towards a theory of general toric varieties without the assumption of normality. Their combinatorial description involves a fan to which is attached a set of semigroups subjected to gluing-up conditions. In particular it contains a combinatorial construction of the blowing up of a sheaf of monomial ideals on a toric variety. In the second part it is shown that over an algebraically closed base field of zero characteristic the Semple-Nash modification of a general toric variety is isomorphic to the blowing up of the sheaf of logarithmic jacobian ideals and that in any characteristic this blowing-up is an isomorphism if and only if the toric variety is non singular.

INTRODUCTION

In the first part of this paper we study abstract toric varieties without the assumption of normality. Since Sumihiro's Theorem on the existence of a covering of a toric variety by invariant affine varieties fails without the assumption of normality, we have to set the existence of such a covering as part of the definition of a toric variety. Then an abstract toric variety has a combinatorial description: it corresponds to certain semigroups in the convex duals of the cones of a fan, which satisfy a natural gluing-up condition. This generalizes the definition of [8] which concerns toric varieties equivariantly embedded in projective space. In spirit it is also a continuation of our previous work [9] on embedded normalization and embedded toric resolution of singularities of affine toric varieties. We can then define blowing-ups of sheaves of monomial ideals as toric varieties, and describe the corresponding operations on semigroups. We also provide the combinatorial description of torus-invariant Cartier divisors on a toric variety and the general versions of the classical criteria for ampleness and very-ampleness.

In the second part we recall first the definition and basic properties of the Semple-Nash modification. This is a canonical modification of a reduced equidimensional space which replaces each point by the set of limit positions of tangent spaces at nearby non singular points. Apparently, Semple in [21] first asked if the iteration of the Semple-Nash modification eventually resolves the singularities of an algebraic variety defined over a field of characteristic zero. Spivakovskiy proved that iterating the operation consisting of the Semple-Nash modification followed by normalization one eventually resolves singularities of surfaces over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero ([22]).

One can present the relation of this conjectural resolution process with "classical" resolution of singularities as follows: two types of proper birational correspondances naturally associate a singular variety to a non singular one: proper birational projections of an embedded non-singular algebraic variety to a smaller dimensional ambient space, and the taking of the *envelope* of a family of linear subspaces (of an affine or projective space) whose parameter space is a non singular algebraic variety. Hironaka's resolution shows that in characteristic zero all singularities may be created by the first process, and Semple-Nash resolution in general would show that at least all singularities of projective varieties in characteristic zero may be created by iterating the second process, if we allow singular spaces as parameter spaces.

In the general toric case, which we have introduced here, the Semple-Nash modification admits a description in combinatorial terms. If the base field is algebraically closed and of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M25, 14E15, 14B05.

Key words and phrases. Toric geometry, Semple-Nash modification, logarithmic jacobian ideal.

González Pérez is supported by *Programa Ramón y Cajal* and MTM2007-6798-C02-02 grants of MEC Spain. Teissier is partially supported by grant MTM2007-64704 of MEC Spain.

characteristic zero, the Semple-Nash modification of a toric variety is isomorphic to the blowing-up of the sheaf of logarithmic jacobian ideals. This property was observed in the normal toric case by Gonzalez-Sprinberg, who gave a combinatorial proof of the resolution of singularities of normal toric surfaces by the iteration of blowing ups of logarithmic jacobian ideals followed by normalization ([10]). In the recent preprint [1] computer algebra systems have been used to check that the iteration of this modification on many examples of normal toric varieties of dimensions three and four, provides resolution of singularities.

We hope that the results of this paper contribute to the solution of Semple's problem in the toric case.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Monique Lejeune-Jalabert for introducing us to the reference [21], to Ezra Miller for bringing to our attention the work of H.M. Thompson, to Michael Thaddeus for detecting errors in parts of previous versions of this work (those parts have been excluded from the present version), and to the *Institut Mathématique de Jussieu* and the *Dpto. Álgebra, Universidad Complutense de Madrid* for their hospitality.

Part I: Toric varieties

The purpose of this part is to develop the combinatorial theory of toric varieties without the assumption of normality. We refer to [4], [7], [16], [19], and [20] for background on normal toric varieties, and to the books of Oda-Miyake ([19]), Gel'fand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky ([8]) and Sturmfels ([23]) for certain classes of non necessarily normal toric varieties. We also point to previous work by H.M. Thompson towards the development of a general theory of toric varieties, see [27], [28] and, from the perspective of Log Schemes, [29]. We recommend [6] as a particularly accessible introduction to (normal) toric varieties, and mention the forthcoming book of D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck on the subject.

1. SEMIGROUPS AND SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

The theory of affine toric varieties over a field k is the geometric version of the theory of semigroup algebras over k . For part of the theory, one can omit the assumption that the semigroup is finitely generated, and replace the field k by a commutative ring.

Definition 1.1. A (commutative) semigroup Γ is a set equipped with an operation $+$: $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1$, which satisfies the associativity property and is cancellative ($\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3$ implies $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3$). We shall assume that Γ contains a zero element 0 such that $\epsilon + 0 = \epsilon$ and that no multiple of an element of Γ is zero. A system of generators of a semigroup is a subset (γ_i) of Γ such that each element of Γ is a (finite) linear combination of the γ_i with non negative integral coefficients. We denote by $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ the group generated by Γ (defined in a similar way as the field of fractions of an integral domain). The elements of $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ are finite linear combinations of the γ_i with integral coefficients. If the semigroup Γ is finitely generated, the group $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ is a lattice.

Examples of semigroups:

- Given finitely many coprime integers the set of all combinations of these integers with non negative integral coefficients is a subsemigroup Γ of the semigroup \mathbf{N} of integers, and $\mathbf{N} \setminus \Gamma$ is finite. In fact any semigroup of integers is finitely generated.
- Let $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of integers such that $s_i \geq 2$ for $i \geq 2$. Define a sequence of rational numbers γ_i inductively by:

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{s_1}, \quad \gamma_{i+1} = s_i \gamma_i + \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_{i+1}}.$$

The set of integral linear combinations of the γ_i is a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}$, which is not finitely generated. In fact the γ_i form a minimal set of generators.

• Let d be an integer and let $\check{\sigma}$ (the reason for the dual notation will appear below) be a convex cone of dimension d in $\check{\mathbf{R}}^d$. Denote by M the integral lattice of $\check{\mathbf{R}}^d$. Then the intersection $\check{\sigma} \cap M$ is a subsemigroup of the group M , which generates M as a group. By a Theorem of Gordan, if the convex cone $\check{\sigma}$ is rational in the sense that it is the intersection of finitely many half spaces determined by hyperplanes with integral coefficients, then the semigroup $\check{\sigma} \cap M$ is finitely generated.

Definition 1.2. If Δ is a subsemigroup of Λ the saturation of Δ in Λ is the semigroup Θ consisting of those elements of Λ which have a multiple in Δ . The semigroup Δ is saturated in Λ if $\Delta = \Theta$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\check{\tau}$ be a rational convex cone in $\check{\mathbf{R}}^d$ for the lattice M . The semigroup $\check{\tau} \cap M$ is saturated in M and the saturation of a subsemigroup Γ of M is $\check{\sigma} \cap M$ where $\check{\sigma} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma$ is the closed convex cone generated by Γ .*

Proof.: The first statement is clear. If $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma = \check{\sigma}$, any element of $\check{\sigma} \cap M$ is a combination with rational coefficients of elements of Γ . Chasing denominators shows that an integral multiple of this element is in Γ . The converse is clear. \square

Definition 1.4. Let Γ be a finitely generated commutative semigroup and A a commutative ring. The semigroup algebra $A[t^\Gamma]$ of Γ with coefficients in A is the ring consisting of finite sums $\sum_\gamma a_\gamma t^\gamma$ with $a_\gamma \in A$, endowed with the multiplication law

$$\left(\sum_\gamma a_\gamma t^\gamma\right)\left(\sum_\delta b_\delta t^\delta\right) = \sum_\zeta \left(\sum_{\gamma+\delta=\zeta} a_\gamma b_\delta\right) t^\zeta.$$

Proposition 1.5. *If Γ is a finitely generated subsemigroup of the lattice $M \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ such that $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma = M$ and $\check{\sigma} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma$ is the rational convex cone generated by Γ , the integral closure of $k[t^\Gamma]$ in its field of fractions is $k[t^{\check{\sigma} \cap M}]$.*

This follows directly from Lemma 1.3.

Remark 1.6. Quite generally, if k is a field the Krull dimension of $k[t^\Gamma]$ is equal to the rational rank of the semigroup Γ , which is the integer $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ (see [26], Proposition 3.1).

Remark 1.7. If Γ is a semigroup the ideal of $A[t^\Gamma]$ generated by the $(t^\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}}$ is non trivial if and only if the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma$ is strictly convex. If k is a field, it is then a maximal ideal. We shall mostly be interested in the local study of the spectrum of semigroup algebras in the vicinity of the origin of coordinates, which corresponds precisely to that ideal.

The semigroup algebra has the following universal property: any semigroup map from Γ to the multiplicative semigroup of an A -algebra B extends uniquely to an homomorphism $A[t^\Gamma] \rightarrow B$ of A -algebras.

An additive map of semigroups $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ induces a graded map of A -algebras $A[\phi]: A[t^\Gamma] \rightarrow A[t^{\Gamma'}]$ which is injective (resp. surjective) if ϕ is. If the semigroup Γ is torsion-free, the semigroup algebra $A[t^\Gamma]$ injects into $A[t^{\mathbf{Z}^d}] = A[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}]$ and therefore is an integral domain if A is.

Proposition 1.8. *Let Γ, Γ' be two semigroups. The map of A -algebras*

$$A[t^{\Gamma \times \Gamma'}] \rightarrow A[u^\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} A[v^{\Gamma'}]; \quad t^{(\gamma, \gamma')} \mapsto u^\gamma \otimes_A v^{\gamma'}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows immediately from the universal property. \square

2. ALGEBRAIC TORI

Let k be a field. The multiplicative group k^* of non zero elements of k is equipped with the structure of algebraic group over k , usually denoted by $\mathbf{G}_m := \text{Spec}k[t^{\pm 1}]$. A d -dimensional *algebraic torus* over k is an algebraic group isomorphic to a $(k^*)^d$.

If M is a rank d lattice then $T^M := \text{Spec}k[t^M]$ is an algebraic torus over k . If we fix a basis m_1, \dots, m_d of the lattice M we get a group isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}^d \rightarrow M, \quad a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \mapsto \sum_{i=1, \dots, d} a_i m_i$$

and isomorphism of k -algebras $k[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow k[t^M]$ which induces an isomorphism $T^M(k) \rightarrow (k^*)^d$.

Remark 2.1. More generally one can consider the scheme $\text{Spec}A[t^M]$, which is an algebraic torus over $\text{Spec}A$ for any commutative ring A .

A *character* of the torus $T(k)$ is a group homomorphism $T(k) \rightarrow k^*$. The set of characters $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg.groups}}(T^M, k^*)$ of $T^M(k)$ is a multiplicative group isomorphic to the lattice M by the homomorphism given by $m \mapsto t^m$ for $m \in M$. We identify the monomials t^m of the semigroup algebra $k[t^M]$ with the characters of the torus.

By the universal property of the semigroup algebras applied to $k[t^M]$ we have a representation of k -rational points of T^M as group homomorphisms:

$$T^M(k) = \text{Hom}_{\text{groups}}(M, k^*) = N \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} k^*,$$

where $N := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{Z})$ is the dual lattice of M . We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: N \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ the duality pairing between the lattices N and M .

A one parameter subgroup of $T^M(k)$ is group homomorphism $k^* \rightarrow T^M(k)$. Any vector $\nu \in N$ gives rise to a one parameter subgroup λ_ν which maps $z \in k^*$ to the closed point of $T^M(k)$ given by the homomorphism of semigroups $M \rightarrow k^*$, $m \mapsto z^{\langle \nu, m \rangle}$. The set of one parameter subgroups $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg.groups}}(k^*, T^M)$ forms a multiplicative group, which is isomorphic to N by the homomorphism given by $\nu \mapsto \lambda_\nu$.

3. AFFINE TORIC VARIETIES

In this section we consider a finitely generated subsemigroup Γ of a free abelian group M of rank d . We assume in addition that the group $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ generated by Γ is equal to M . We denote by N the dual lattice of M . We introduce some useful notations.

Notation 3.1. We denote by $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ the d -dimensional real vector space $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$. The semigroup Γ , viewed in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$, spans the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ which we denote also by $\check{\sigma}$. The dual cone of $\check{\sigma}$ is the cone $\sigma := \{\nu \in N_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle \nu, \gamma \rangle \geq 0, \forall \gamma \in \check{\sigma}\}$. We use the notation $\tau \leq \sigma$ to indicate that τ is a *face* of σ . Any face of $\check{\sigma}$ is of the form $\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp$ for a unique face τ of σ , where τ^\perp is the linear subspace $\{\gamma \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle \nu, \gamma \rangle = 0, \forall \nu \in \tau\}$.

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ be generators of Γ . Then the semigroup Γ is the image of $\mathbf{N}^r \subset \mathbf{Z}^r$ by the surjective linear map $b: \mathbf{Z}^r \rightarrow M$ determined by $b(e_i) = \gamma_i$ where the e_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$ form the canonical basis of \mathbf{N}^r . The kernel \mathcal{L} of b is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}^{r-d} .

Let us consider the map of semigroup algebras associated to the map $b|_{\mathbf{N}^r}: \mathbf{N}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^d$, whose image is Γ . It is a map of A -algebras $A[U_1, \dots, U_r] \rightarrow A[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}]$. Its image is the subalgebra $A[t^\Gamma]$ of $A[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}]$.

An element $m \in \mathbf{Z}^r$ can be written uniquely $m = m_+ - m_-$ where m_+ and m_- have non negative entries and disjoint support.

By construction, the kernel of the surjection $A[U_1, \dots, U_r] \rightarrow A[t^\Gamma]$ is the ideal generated by the binomials $(U^{m_+} - U^{m_-})$ where $b(m_+) = b(m_-)$. It is the *toric ideal*

associated to the map b . Note that it is not in general generated by the binomials associated to a basis of \mathcal{L} . Since the algebra $A[t^\Gamma]$ is an integral domain if A is, the toric ideal is a prime ideal in that case.

Conversely, assuming now that A is an algebraically closed field k , an ideal generated by binomials in $k[U_1, \dots, U_r]$ is called a *binomial ideal*. Those ideals are studied in [5], where it is shown that a prime binomial ideal $I \subset k[U_1, \dots, U_r]$ gives rise to a semigroup algebra $k[U_1, \dots, U_r]/I \simeq k[t^\Gamma]$, where $\Gamma = \mathbf{N}^r / \sim$, and \sim is an equivalence relation associated to the binomial relations. The *affine toric variety* $T^\Gamma := \text{Spec } k[t^\Gamma]$ is the subvariety of the affine space $\mathbf{A}^r(k)$ defined by the binomial equations generating the toric ideal. By the universal property of the semigroup algebra, there is a bijection

$$\{\text{Closed points of Spec } k[t^\Gamma]\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{semigroup homomorphisms } \Gamma \rightarrow k\},$$

where k is considered as semigroup with respect to multiplication.

In particular, the torus $T^M(k) = \text{Hom}_{\text{groups}}(M, k^*)$ is embedded in T^Γ , as the principal open set where $t^{\gamma_1} \cdots t^{\gamma_r} \neq 0$.

From the description of closed points of T^Γ in terms of homomorphisms of semigroups we have an action of the torus $T^M(k)$ on $T^\Gamma(k)$. Another way to describe this action, which shows that it is algebraic, is to say that thanks to the universal property of semigroup algebras it corresponds to the composed map of k -algebras

$$k[t^\Gamma] \rightarrow k[t^\Gamma] \otimes_k k[t^\Gamma] \rightarrow k[t^M] \otimes_k k[t^\Gamma]$$

where the first map is determined by $t^\gamma \mapsto t^\gamma \otimes_k t^\gamma$ and the second by the inclusion $\Gamma \subset M$. The corresponding map $T^M \times T^\Gamma \rightarrow T^\Gamma$ is the action.

Let us now seek the invariant subsets of T^Γ under the torus action.

Definition 3.2. Given a semigroup Γ , a subsemigroup $F \subset \Gamma$ is a *face* of Γ if whenever $x, y \in \Gamma$ satisfy $x + y \in F$, then x and y are in F .

Let us remark that this condition is equivalent to the fact that the vector space of finite sums $\sum_{\delta \in \Gamma \setminus F} a_\delta t^\delta$ is in fact a prime ideal I_F of $k[t^\Gamma]$. It also implies that $\Gamma \setminus F$ is a subsemigroup of Γ (which in general is not finitely generated) and that the Minkowski sum $\Gamma + (\Gamma \setminus F)$ is contained in $\Gamma \setminus F$.

Lemma 3.3. *The faces of the semigroup Γ are of the form $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$, for $\tau \leq \sigma$.*

Proof. Let F be a face of the semigroup Γ . Then there is a face $\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp$ of $\check{\sigma}$ which contains F and is of minimal dimension. Then F is also a face of the semigroup $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ and there is an element $\gamma_0 \in F$ which belongs to the relative interior of the cone $\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp$. Under these conditions is enough to prove that if $\tau = 0$ then $F = \Gamma$.

Notice that if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and if $(\gamma + \Gamma) \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\gamma_0 \neq \emptyset$ then $\gamma \in F$ since F is a face and $\gamma_0 \in F$. By Theorem 1.9 [15] there is $\delta_0 \in \Gamma \cap \text{int}(\check{\sigma})$ such that $\delta_0 + \check{\sigma} \cap M \subset \Gamma$. We deduce that the intersection $(\gamma + \delta_0 + \check{\sigma} \cap M) \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\gamma_0$ is non-empty, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, since $\gamma_0 \in \text{int}(\check{\sigma}) \cap \Gamma$. \square

Notation 3.4. If $\tau \leq \sigma$ the set $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ is a subsemigroup of finite type of Γ . If $\tau \leq \sigma$ the lattice $M(\tau, \Gamma)$ spanned by $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ is a sublattice of finite index of $M(\tau) := M \cap \tau^\perp$.

Remark 3.5. The torus of the affine toric variety $T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}$ is $T^{M(\tau, \Gamma)}$. If A is a commutative ring, the homomorphism of A -algebras $A[\Gamma] \rightarrow A[\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp] \cong A[\Gamma]/I_{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}$, is surjective and defines a closed embedding

$$i_\tau : T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp} \hookrightarrow T^\Gamma$$

over $\text{Spec}A$. If $k = A$ the image by the embedding i_τ of a closed point $u \in T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}(k)$ (or $u \in T^{M(\tau, \Gamma)}(k)$) is the semigroup homomorphism $i_\tau(u) : \Gamma \rightarrow k$ given by

$$\gamma \mapsto \begin{cases} u(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in \tau^\perp, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.6. *The map*

$$\tau \mapsto \text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma) := i_\tau(T^{M(\tau, \Gamma)}) \quad (\text{resp. } \tau \mapsto i_\tau(T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}))$$

defines a bijection (resp. inclusion-reversing bijection) between the faces of σ and the orbits (resp. the closures of the orbits) of the torus action on T^Γ .

Proof. Let $u : \Gamma \rightarrow k$ be a semigroup homomorphism. Then $u^{-1}(k^*)$ is a face of Γ , hence of the form $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ for some face τ of σ . Any such u extends in a unique manner to a group homomorphism $M(\tau, \Gamma) \rightarrow k^*$ defining an element of the torus $T^{M(\tau, \Gamma)}$ of the affine toric variety $T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}$. Conversely, given a group homomorphism $u : M(\tau, \Gamma) \rightarrow k^*$ we define a semigroup homomorphism $i_\tau(u) : \Gamma \rightarrow k$ as indicated above.

It follows that the orbit of the point defined by u by the action of T^M coincides with the image by i_τ of the orbit $T^{M(\tau, \Gamma)}$ of the point $u|_{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp} : \Gamma \cap \tau^\perp \rightarrow k^*$ on the toric variety $T^{\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp}$. The rest of the assertion follows from Remark 3.5. \square

The partition induced by the orbits of the torus action on T^Γ is of the form:

$$(1) \quad T^\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\tau \leq \sigma} \text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma).$$

Proposition 3.7. *If X is an affine toric variety with torus T^M then X is T^M -equivariantly isomorphic to T^Γ , where $\Gamma \subset M$ a semigroup of finite type such that $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma = M$.*

Proof. This is well-known (see Proposition 2.4, Chapter 5 of [8]). \square

We characterize the affine T^M -invariant open subsets of T^Γ .

Definition 3.8. For any face τ of σ the set

$$(2) \quad \Gamma_\tau := \Gamma + M(\tau, \Gamma)$$

is a semigroup of finite type generating the lattice M .

Notice that the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma_\tau$ is equal to $\check{\tau}$ and if $\tau \leq \sigma$ the set $\text{int}(\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp) \cap \Gamma$ is non empty (int denotes relative interior).

Lemma 3.9.

- i. *The minimal face of the semigroup Γ is a sublattice of M equal to $\Gamma \cap \sigma^\perp$.*
- ii. *For any $m \in \Gamma$ in the relative interior of $(\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp)$ we have that*

$$\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m).$$

- iii. *If $\tau \leq \theta \leq \sigma$ we have that $M(\tau, \Gamma_\theta) = M(\tau, \Gamma)$ and $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\theta + M(\tau, \Gamma_\theta)$.*

Proof. i. By Lemma 3.3 the correspondence $\tau \mapsto \Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ is a bijection between the faces of the cone σ and the faces of the semigroup Γ . By duality the minimal face of Γ is equal to $\Gamma \cap \sigma^\perp$. It is enough to prove that if Γ is a semigroup such that $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma = M$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma = M_{\mathbf{R}}$ then $\Gamma = M$. Since M is the saturation of Γ the assertion reduces to the case of rank one semigroups, for which it is elementary by Bezout identity.

ii. If $m \in \text{int}(\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp) \cap \Gamma$ then the semigroup $\Gamma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m) \subset M$ spans the cone $\check{\tau} = \check{\sigma} + \tau^\perp \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$. By i. the minimal face of this semigroup is the lattice $(\Gamma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m)) \cap \tau^\perp$ which coincides by definition with the lattice $M(\tau, \Gamma)$.

iii. The lattices $M(\tau, \Gamma_\theta)$ and $M(\tau, \Gamma)$ are both generated by $\Gamma \cap \tau^\perp$ hence are equal. We have that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\theta + M(\tau, \Gamma_\theta)$ since $\theta^\perp \subset \tau^\perp$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *If $\tau \leq \sigma$ the inclusion of semigroups $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_\tau$ determines a T^M -equivariant embedding $T^{\Gamma_\tau} \subset T^\Gamma$ as an affine open set. Conversely, if $X \subset T^\Gamma$ is a T^M -equivariant embedding of an affine open set then there is a unique $\tau \leq \sigma$ such that X is T^M -equivariantly isomorphic to T^{Γ_τ} .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.9 we have that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m)$. More generally if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f = t^\gamma$, the localization $T_f^\Gamma = \text{Spec } k[\Gamma]_f$ is equal to $T^{\Gamma + (-\gamma)\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and it is embedded in T^Γ as a principal open set.

Conversely, an affine T^M -invariant open subset of T^Γ is an affine toric variety for the torus T^M hence it is of the form T^Λ , for $\Lambda \subset M$ a subsemigroup of finite type, such that $\mathbf{Z}\Lambda = M$ (see Proposition 3.7). We denote the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Lambda$ by $\check{\theta}$. Since the embedding $T^\Lambda \subset T^\Gamma$ is T^M equivariant it is defined by the inclusion of algebras $k[t^\Gamma] \rightarrow k[t^\Lambda]$ corresponding to the inclusion of semigroups $\Gamma \subset \Lambda$. We deduce that $\check{\sigma} \subset \check{\theta}$ and hence that $\theta \subset \sigma$ by duality. We prove that if τ is the smallest face of σ which contains θ then $\Lambda = \Gamma_\tau$. It is enough to prove that if $\text{int}(\theta) \cap \text{int}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ then $\Lambda = \Gamma$.

Notice that the lattice $F = \sigma^\perp \cap M$ is the minimal face of Γ and the prime ideal I_F of $k[t^\Gamma]$ defines the orbit $\text{orb}(\sigma, \Gamma)$, which is embedded as a closed subset of T^Γ . Let us consider a vector ν such that $\nu \in \text{int}(\theta) \cap \text{int}(\sigma)$. Then we get that $\sigma^\perp \cap M = \check{\sigma} \cap \nu^\perp \cap M$ is contained in $\check{\theta} \cap \nu^\perp \cap M = \theta^\perp \cap M$ hence $\Gamma \setminus (\sigma^\perp \cap M)$ is contained in $\Lambda \setminus (\theta^\perp \cap M)$ and therefore $1 \notin I_F k[t^\Lambda]$. Since $T^\Lambda \subset T^\Gamma$ is an open immersion $\text{orb}(\sigma, \Gamma)$ is contained in T^Λ . By (1) and Proposition 3.6 the closure of any orbit contained T^Γ contains $\text{orb}(\sigma, \Gamma)$ thus $T^\Gamma \subset T^\Lambda$. \square

Remark 3.11. The immersion of T^M -invariant affine open subsets is compatible with normalization. By Lemma 3.10 any T^M -invariant affine open set of T^Γ is of the form X_f^Γ for $f = t^\gamma$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{\check{\sigma} \cap M} & \hookrightarrow & T^\Gamma \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ T_f^{\check{\sigma} \cap M} & \hookrightarrow & T_f^\Gamma, \end{array}$$

since $\Gamma + (-\gamma)\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is saturated in $\check{\sigma} \cap M + (-\gamma)\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The vertical arrows are embeddings as principal open sets while the horizontal arrows are normalization maps (see Proposition 1.5).

4. TORIC VARIETIES

Recall that a *fan* is a finite set Σ of strictly convex polyhedral cones rational for the a lattice N , such that if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ any face τ of σ belongs to Σ and if $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ the cone $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ is in Σ . If $j \geq 0$ is an integer the subset of $\Sigma(j)$ of j -dimensional cones of Σ is called the *j -skeleton* of the fan. The *support* of the fan Σ is the set $|\Sigma| = \cup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$.

We give first a *combinatorial definition* of toric varieties.

Definition 4.1. A toric variety is given by the datum of a triple (N, Σ, Γ) consisting of lattice N , a fan Σ in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and a family of finitely generated subsemigroups $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_\sigma \subset \check{\sigma} \cap M\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of a lattice $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbf{Z})$ such that:

- i. $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_\sigma = M$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma = \check{\sigma}$, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$.
- ii. $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + M(\tau, \Gamma_\sigma)$, for a each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and any face τ of σ .

The corresponding toric variety T_Σ^Γ is the union of the affine varieties T^{Γ_σ} for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ where for any pair σ, σ' in Σ we glue up T^{Γ_σ} and $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$ along their common open affine variety $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma \cap \sigma'}}$.

Remark 4.2. The lattice N in the triple (N, Σ, Γ) is determined by Γ . We recall it by convenience. We omit the reference to the lattice N in the notation T_Σ^Γ .

Remark 4.3. This definition is consistent with the case of affine toric varieties. Let T^Γ be an affine toric variety in the sense of Section 3. If $\sigma' := \{\tau \mid \tau \leq \sigma\}$ and $\Gamma' := \{\Gamma_\tau \mid \tau \leq \sigma\}$, where Γ_τ is the semigroup defined by (2) for $\tau \leq \sigma$ then the conditions i. and ii. are satisfied by Lemma 3.9. Then T^Γ is T^M -invariantly isomorphic to $T_{\sigma'}^\Gamma$.

Remark 4.4. A triple (N, Σ, Γ) determines similarly a toric scheme over $\text{Spec} A$, for any commutative ring A .

Lemma 4.5. *Let (Σ, Γ) as in Definition 4.1 define a toric variety T_Σ^Γ . Then we have:*

- i. *If $\sigma, \theta \in \Sigma$ and if $\tau = \sigma \cap \theta$ then $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_\theta$.*
- ii. *The variety T_Σ^Γ is separated.*

Proof. The intersection $\tau = \sigma \cap \theta$ is a face of both σ and θ . By Lemma 3.9 we have that $M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau) = M(\tau, \Gamma_\sigma) = M(\tau, \Gamma_\theta)$. By axiom i. in the Definition 4.1 we get $\Gamma_\theta, \Gamma_\sigma \subset \Gamma_\tau$ and $\Gamma_\theta + \Gamma_\sigma \subset \Gamma_\tau$. Conversely, by the separation lemma for polyhedral cones, for any $u \in \text{int}(\check{\sigma} \cap (-\check{\theta}))$ we have that $\tau = \sigma \cap u^\perp = \theta \cap u^\perp$. Notice that we can assume that $u \in \Gamma_\sigma \cap (-\Gamma_\theta) \cap \text{int}(\check{\sigma} \cap (-\check{\theta})) \neq \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 3.9 we obtain $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-u)$. Hence Γ_τ is contained in $\Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_\theta$ since $-u \in \Gamma_\theta$.

The homomorphism $k[t^{\Gamma_\theta}] \otimes_k k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}] \rightarrow k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}]$ which sends $t^\gamma \otimes t^{\gamma'} \mapsto t^{\gamma+\gamma'}$ is surjective since $\Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_\theta = \Gamma_\tau$. In geometric terms this implies that the diagonal map $T^{\Gamma_\tau} \rightarrow T^{\Gamma_\theta} \times T^{\Gamma_\sigma}$ is a closed embedding for any $\theta, \sigma \in \Sigma$ with $\tau = \theta \cap \sigma$, hence the variety T_Σ^Γ is separated (see Chapter 2 of [13]). \square

Remark 4.6. The morphisms corresponding to the inclusions $k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}] \rightarrow k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_{\sigma'}}]$ are open embeddings compatible with the normalization maps. The normalization of the toric variety T_Σ^Γ is the toric variety T_Σ corresponding to the fan Σ and the normalization map is obtained by gluing-up normalizations $T_\sigma := T^{\check{\sigma} \cap M}$ of the charts T^{Γ_σ} , for $\Gamma_\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let λ_v be a one-parameter subgroup of the torus T^M for some $v \in N$. Then $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \lambda_v(z)$ exists in the toric variety T_Σ^Γ if and only if v belongs to $|\Sigma| \cap N$.*

Proof. The statement is well-known in the normal case (see Proposition 1.6 [20]). The normalization map $n: T_\Sigma \rightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism over the torus T^M . If $\lambda_v: k^* \rightarrow T^M \subset T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ is a one-parameter subgroup defined by $v \in N$ it lifts to the normalization, i.e., there is a morphism $\bar{\lambda}_v: k^* \rightarrow T^M \subset T_\Sigma$ in such a way that $n \circ \bar{\lambda}_v = \lambda_v$. Since the normalization is a proper morphism we get by the valuative criterion of properness that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \lambda_v(z)$ exists in the toric variety T_Σ^Γ if and only if $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \bar{\lambda}_v(z)$ exists in T_Σ . \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let X_Σ^Γ be a toric variety. Then the map*

$$\tau \mapsto \text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma_\tau) := i_\tau(T^{M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)})$$

defines a bijection between the faces of Σ and the orbits of the torus action on T_Σ^Γ .

Proof. This is consequence of the definitions and Lemma 3.6. \square

In order to illustrate the combinatorial definition of a toric variety we describe the orbit closures as toric varieties.

Notation 4.9. If $\tau \in \Sigma$ we denote by N_τ the sublattice of N spanned by $\tau \cap N$ and by $N(\tau)$ the quotient N/N_τ . The lattice $N(\tau)$ is the dual lattice of $M(\tau) = M \cap \tau^\perp$. Since $M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$ is a sublattice of finite index $i(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$ of $M(\tau)$ then the dual lattice $N(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$ of $M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$ contains $N(\tau)$ as a sublattice of finite index equal to $i(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$.

If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\tau \leq \sigma$ the image $\sigma(\tau)$ of σ in $N(\tau)_{\mathbf{R}} = N_{\mathbf{R}}/(N_{\tau})_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a polyhedral cone, rational for the lattice $N(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau})$. The set $\Sigma(\tau) := \{\sigma(\tau) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma, \tau \leq \sigma\}$ is a fan in $N(\tau)_{\mathbf{R}}$. If $\sigma(\tau) \in \Sigma(\tau)$ we set $\Gamma_{\sigma(\tau)} := \Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \tau^{\perp}$. The set $\sigma(\tau) \subset N(\tau)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the dual cone of the cone spanned by $\Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \tau^{\perp}$ in $M(\tau)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let us denote by $\Gamma(\tau)$ the set $\{\Gamma_{\sigma(\tau)} \mid \sigma(\tau) \in \Sigma(\tau)\}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let X_{Σ}^{Γ} be a toric variety. If $\tau \in \Sigma$ the triple $(N(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau}), \Sigma(\tau), \Gamma(\tau))$ defines a toric variety $T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)}$. We have a closed embedding $i_{\tau} : T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma}$. The map*

$$\tau \mapsto i_{\tau}(T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)})$$

defines a bijection between the faces of Σ and orbit closures of the action of T^M on T_{Σ}^{Γ} .

Proof. If τ is not a face of σ , for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ then $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau})$ does not intersect the affine invariant open set $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma}}$; if $\tau \leq \sigma$, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the closure of the orbit $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau})$ in the affine open set $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma}}$ is equal to $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \tau^{\perp}}$ (see Lemma 3.6).

If $\tau \leq \theta \leq \sigma$ then $\theta(\tau) \leq \sigma(\tau)$ and $\theta^{\perp} \subset \tau^{\perp}$ hence $M(\theta, \Gamma_{\sigma}) = M(\theta(\tau), \Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \tau^{\perp})$ is the sublattice spanned by $\Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \theta^{\perp}$.

If $\tau \leq \sigma, \sigma'$ and if $\theta = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ then we deduce from condition ii. in Definition 4.1 that:

$$\Gamma_{\theta} \cap \tau^{\perp} = \Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \tau^{\perp} + M(\theta(\tau), \Gamma_{\sigma(\tau)}) = \Gamma_{\sigma'} \cap \tau^{\perp} + M(\theta(\tau), \Gamma_{\sigma'(\tau)}).$$

We obtain that the triple $(N(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau}), \Sigma(\tau), \Gamma(\tau))$ satisfies the axioms in Definition 4.1 with respect to the torus $T^{M(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau})}$.

We have also described an embedding $T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)} \hookrightarrow T_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma}$ in such a way that the intersection of this variety with any affine chart containing $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma)$ is the closure of the orbit $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma)$ in the chart. The conclusion follows from Lemma 4.8. \square

Remark 4.11. The non singular locus of the toric variety T_{Σ}^{Γ} is the union of the orbits $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma)$ corresponding to *regular* cones $\tau \in \Sigma$ such their index $i(\tau, \Gamma_{\tau})$ is equal to 1.

5. BLOWING UPS

The theory of normal toric varieties deals with normalized equivariant blowing ups, i.e., blowing ups of equivariant ideals followed by normalization. In this section we build blowing ups of equivariant ideals in toric varieties.

Let σ be a strictly convex rational cone in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and Γ a subsemigroup of finite type of the lattice M such that $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma = M$ and the saturation of Γ in M is equal to $\check{\sigma} \cap M$. For simplicity we assume that the cone σ is of dimension d hence $\check{\sigma}$ is strictly convex.

Let us consider a graded ideal \mathcal{I} in $A[t^{\Gamma}]$, which is necessarily generated by monomials t^{m_1}, \dots, t^{m_k} . We build the corresponding *Newton polyhedron* $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{I})$, by definition the convex hull in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ of the $m_i + \check{\sigma}$, which is also the convex hull of the set $|\mathcal{I}|$ of exponents of monomials belonging to the ideal \mathcal{I} of $A[t^{\Gamma}]$. It is quite convenient to denote with the same letter \mathcal{I} the set $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$.

The set \mathcal{I} determines the *order function*:

$$(3) \quad \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}} : \sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \nu \mapsto \min_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \langle \nu, m \rangle.$$

The order function $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}$ coincides with the support function of the polyhedron $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{I})$. It is a gauge ($\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(\lambda u) = \lambda \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(u)$ for $\lambda > 0$) which is piecewise linear. The maximal cones of linearity of the function $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}$ form the d -skeleton of the fan $\Sigma(\mathcal{I})$ subdividing σ . Each such cone σ_i in the d -skeleton of $\Sigma(\mathcal{I})$ is the convex dual of the convex rational cone generated by the vectors $(m - m_i)_{m \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{I})}$, where m_i is a vertex of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{I})$. The

correspondence $m_i \mapsto \sigma_i$ is a bijection between the set of vertices $\{m_1, \dots, m_s\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ of the polyhedron $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(\mathcal{I})$ and the d -skeleton of $\Sigma(\mathcal{I})$, such that

$$m_i \mapsto \sigma_i \text{ if and only if } \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(\nu) = \langle \nu, m_i \rangle \text{ for all } \nu \in \sigma_i.$$

Note that $\Gamma \subset \check{\sigma} \cap M \subset \check{\sigma}_i \cap M$. In each of the cones $\check{\sigma}_i$ we consider the semigroup

$$(4) \quad \Gamma_i = \Gamma + \langle m_1 - m_i, \dots, m_{i-1} - m_i, m_{i+1} - m_i, \dots, m_k - m_i \rangle \subset \check{\sigma}_i \cap M.$$

By Lemma 1.3, the saturation in M of this semigroup is equal to $\check{\sigma}_i \cap M$. We denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{I})$ the set consisting of the semigroups Γ_i , together with $\Gamma_{i,\tau}$ (defined by equation (2)) for $\tau \leq \sigma_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Proposition 5.1. *The triple $(N, \Sigma(\mathcal{I}), \Gamma(\mathcal{I}))$ defines a toric scheme B over $\text{Spec } A$. The inclusions $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, determine a map of schemes*

$$\pi: B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A[t^\Gamma]$$

over $\text{Spec } A$, which is the blowing up of the ideal \mathcal{I} .

Proof. We prove first that the triple $(N, \Sigma(\mathcal{I}), \Gamma(\mathcal{I}))$ verifies the compatibility conditions stated in Definition 4.1. By Lemma 3.9 it is enough to check them for the affine open sets corresponding to two vertices, say m_1 and m_2 , of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(\mathcal{I})$. Then, if $\tau = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ the condition we have to prove is that $\Gamma_{1,\tau} = \Gamma_{2,\tau}$.

Notice that the vector $m := m_2 - m_1 \in \Gamma_1$ belongs to the interior of $\check{\sigma}_1 \cap \tau^\perp$. By Lemma 3.9 and the definitions we get $\Gamma_{1,\tau} = \Gamma_1 + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m)$ and similarly $\Gamma_{2,\tau} = \Gamma_2 + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}m$. Then the assertion follows since $\Gamma_{1,\tau}$, which is equal to

$$\Gamma + \mathbf{Z}(m_2 - m_1) + \sum_{j=2, \dots, k} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(m_j - m_1) = \Gamma + \mathbf{Z}(m_1 - m_2) + \sum_{j=2, \dots, k} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(m_j - m_2),$$

is the same semigroup as $\Gamma_{2,\tau}$.

It follows that the scheme B is covered by the affine sets $\text{Spec } A[t^{\Gamma_i}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since each Γ_i contains Γ , there is a natural map $\pi: \text{Spec } A[t^{\Gamma_i}] \rightarrow \text{Spec } A[t^\Gamma]$. The sheaf of ideals on B determined by the compositions with π of the generators of \mathcal{I} is generated by $t^{m_i} \circ \pi$ in the chart $\text{Spec } A[t^{\Gamma_i}]$.

It is not difficult to prove that any semigroup Γ_i defined by (4), for $i > s$, that is when m_i is not a vertex of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(\mathcal{I})$, is of the form $\Gamma_{j,\tau}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $\tau \leq \sigma_j$. This means that the corresponding affine chart $\text{Spec } A[t^{\Gamma_i}]$ of the blowing up of \mathcal{I} is in fact an affine open subset of $\text{Spec } A[t^{\Gamma_j}]$, where m_j is a vertex of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(\mathcal{I})$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The blowing-up of an equivariant sheaf of ideals on a toric variety T_Σ^Γ is a toric variety. Its description above each equivariant open affine chart of T_Σ^Γ is given by Proposition 5.1.*

6. TORIC MORPHISMS

Recall that a morphism $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$ of algebraic tori gives rise to two group homomorphisms

$$\phi^*: M \rightarrow M' \text{ and } \phi_*: N' \rightarrow N$$

between the corresponding lattices of characters and between the corresponding lattices of one-parameter subgroups. The homomorphisms ϕ^* and ϕ_* are mutually dual and determine the morphism $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$ of algebraic tori. Note that ϕ is defined algebraically by

$$k[t^M] \rightarrow k[t^{M'}], \quad t^m \mapsto t^{\phi^*(m)}, \quad m \in M.$$

Now suppose that we have two toric varieties T_Σ^Γ and $T_{\Sigma'}^{\Gamma'}$ with respective tori T^M and $T^{M'}$ defined by the combinatorial data given by the triples (N, Σ, Γ) and (N', Σ', Γ') (see Definition 4.1).

Definition 6.1. The homomorphism ϕ_* is a *map of fans with attached semigroups* $(N, \Sigma, \Gamma) \rightarrow (N', \Sigma', \Gamma')$ if for any $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $\phi^*(\Gamma_\sigma) \subset \Gamma'_{\sigma'}$.

Note then that ϕ_* is a *map of fans*, that is, for any $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ there is a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that image by σ' by the \mathbf{R} -linear extension of ϕ_* is contained in σ . See Section 1.5 [20].

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$ be a morphism of algebraic tori. If ϕ_* defines a map of fans with attached semigroups $(N, \Sigma, \Gamma) \rightarrow (N', \Sigma', \Gamma')$ then it gives rise to a morphism: $\bar{\phi}: T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma \rightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ which extends $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$ and is equivariant with respect to ϕ . Conversely, if $f: T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma \rightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ is an equivariant morphism with respect to ϕ then ϕ_* defines a map of fans with attached semigroups $(N', \Sigma', \Gamma') \rightarrow (N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ and $f = \bar{\phi}$. In addition we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\Sigma'} & \longrightarrow & T_\Sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & T_\Sigma^\Gamma \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are normalizations and the horizontal ones are the toric morphisms which extend $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$.

Proof. For any $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ there exists a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that the restriction of ϕ^* determines a semigroup homomorphism $\Gamma_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma'_{\sigma'}$. The corresponding homomorphism of k -algebras $k[\Gamma_\sigma] \rightarrow k[\Gamma'_{\sigma'}]$ defines a morphism:

$$\bar{\phi}_{\sigma', \sigma}: T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}} \rightarrow T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \text{ given on closed points by } \bar{\phi}_{\sigma', \sigma}(x) = x \circ \phi_{|\Gamma_\sigma}^*,$$

where $x \in T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}; x: \Gamma'_{\sigma'} \rightarrow k$ is a homomorphism of semigroups. The morphism $\bar{\phi}_{\sigma', \sigma}$ is equivariant through ϕ since for any $y \in T^{M'}$, $y: M' \rightarrow k^*$ group homomorphism and any $x \in T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}$ we get:

$$\bar{\phi}_{\sigma', \sigma}(y \cdot x) = (y \cdot x) \circ \phi_{|\Gamma_\sigma}^* = (y \circ \phi^*) \cdot (x \circ \phi_{|\Gamma_\sigma}^*) = \phi(y) \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\sigma', \sigma}(x).$$

By gluing-up the affine pieces together we get a morphism $\bar{\phi}: T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma \rightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ which is equivariant with respect to ϕ .

For the converse, since f is assumed to be equivariant through ϕ the image by f of each orbit of the action of $T^{M'}$ on $T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma$ is contained in one orbit of the action of T^M on T_Σ^Γ . If $\tau' \leq \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ then the orbit $\text{orb}(\sigma', \Gamma'_{\sigma'})$ is contained in the closure of $\text{orb}(\tau', \Gamma'_{\tau'})$ by Proposition 3.6. Then there exist $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$ such that

$$f(\text{orb}(\sigma', \Gamma'_{\sigma'})) \subset \text{orb}(\sigma, \Gamma_\sigma) \text{ and } f(\text{orb}(\tau', \Gamma'_{\tau'})) \subset \text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma_\tau).$$

Since f is continuous $\text{orb}(\sigma, \Gamma_\sigma)$ must be contained in the closure of $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$, hence τ is a face of σ by Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.5. By (1) it follows that $f(T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}) \subset T^{\Gamma_\sigma}$. The restriction $f_{|T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}}: T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}} \rightarrow T^{\Gamma_\sigma}$ is equivariant with respect to $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^M$.

Hence $f_{|T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}}: T^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}} \rightarrow T^{\Gamma_\sigma}$ is defined algebraically by the homomorphism of k -algebras $k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}] \rightarrow k[t^{\Gamma'_{\sigma'}}]$, which is obtained by restriction from the homomorphism of k -algebras $k[t^M] \rightarrow k[t^{M'}]$ which maps $t^m \mapsto t^{\phi^*(m)}$ for $m \in M$. This implies that $\phi^*(\Gamma_\sigma) \subset \Gamma'_{\sigma'}$ and also that $f = \bar{\phi}$.

Since ϕ_* is a map of fans it defines a toric morphism between the normalizations of $T_{\Sigma'}^\Gamma$ and T_Σ^Γ . Finally, it is easy to check that the diagram above is commutative. \square

It is sometimes useful to consider morphisms of toric varieties which send the torus of the source into a non dense orbit of the target: Let (N, Σ, Γ) and (N', Σ', Γ') be two triples defining toric varieties T_Σ^Γ and $T_{\Sigma'}^{\Gamma'}$. Let τ be a cone of Σ . Suppose that we have a morphism of algebraic tori $\phi: T^{M'} \rightarrow T^{M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)}$ such that $\phi_*: N' \rightarrow N(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)$ defines

a map of fans with attached semigroups $(N', \Sigma', \Gamma') \rightarrow (N(\tau, \Gamma_\tau), \Sigma(\tau), \Gamma(\tau))$. Then by Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 3.5 we have a toric morphism

$$\bar{\phi} : T_{\Sigma'}^{\Gamma'} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)}.$$

Let us denote by $n : T_\Sigma \rightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ the normalization map and by $\bar{i}_\tau : T_{\Sigma(\tau)} \rightarrow T_\Sigma$ the closed embedding of the closure of $\text{orb}(\tau)$ in T_Σ . The following Proposition is consequence of Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 3.5.

Proposition 6.3. *The composite of $\bar{\phi}$ with the closed embedding $i_\tau : T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)} \hookrightarrow T_\Sigma^\Gamma$ lifts to the normalization of T_Σ^Γ , i.e., there exists a toric morphism $\psi : T_{\Sigma'}^{\Gamma'} \rightarrow T_{\Sigma(\tau)}^{\Gamma(\tau)}$ such that $i_\tau \circ \bar{\phi} = n \circ \bar{i}_\tau \circ \psi$ if and only if there is a lattice homomorphism $\varphi^* : M(\tau) \rightarrow M'$ such that $\varphi^*_{|M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)} = \phi^*$ and then $\psi = \bar{\varphi}$.*

Example 6.4. By Proposition 6.3 the map $u \mapsto (u, 0, 0)$, which parametrizes the singular locus of the Whitney umbrella $\{x_1^2 x_2 - x_3^2 = 0\}$ does not lift to the normalization while $u \mapsto (u^2, 0, 0)$ does.

7. ABSTRACT TORIC VARIETIES

We recall the *usual* definition of toric variety.

Definition 7.1. A toric variety X is an irreducible (separated) algebraic variety equipped with an action of an algebraic torus T embedded in X as a Zariski open set such that the action of T on X is morphism which extends the action of T over itself by multiplication.

As stated in Proposition 3.7 any affine toric variety is the spectrum of certain semigroup algebra. Gel'fand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky have defined and studied those projective toric varieties which are equivariantly embedded in the projective space, which is viewed as a toric variety, see [8], Chapter 5.

The following Theorem, which is consequence of a more general result of Sumihiro, provides the key to establish a combinatorial description of normal toric varieties.

Theorem 7.2. (see [24]) *Any normal toric variety X has a finite covering by T -invariant affine normal toric varieties.*

The statement of Theorem 7.2 does not hold if the normality assumption is dropped.

Example 7.3. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ be the projective nodal cubic with equation $y^2 z - x^2(x+z)$. It is a rational curve with a node singularity at $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$ and only one point $Q = (0 : 1 : 0)$ at the line of infinity $z = 0$. The curve C is rational and has a parametrization $\pi : \mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow C$ such that $\pi(0) = \pi(\infty) = P$ and $\pi(1) = Q$. Then we have that $\pi_{|\mathbf{C}^*} : \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow C \setminus \{P\}$ is an isomorphism. The multiplicative action of \mathbf{C}^* on $\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}^1$ corresponds by π to the group law action on the cubic hence it is algebraic. It follows that C is a toric variety with respect to Definition 7.1. Notice that C is the only open set containing P which is invariant by the action of \mathbf{C}^* . This example is also a projective toric curve which does not admit any equivariant embedding in the projective space (see [19] page 4 and [8] Chapter 5, Remark 1.6).

We modify the abstract definition of toric varieties as follows:

Definition 7.4. A toric variety X is an irreducible separated algebraic variety equipped with an action of an algebraic torus T embedded in X as a Zariski open set such that the action of T on X is morphism which extends the action of T over itself by multiplication and X has a finite covering by affine T -invariant Zariski open sets.

Theorem 7.5. *If X is a toric variety in the sense of Definition 7.4 with torus T , then there exists a triple (N, Σ, Γ) as in Definition 4.1 and an isomorphism $\varphi: T \rightarrow T^M$ such that the pair (T, X) is equivariantly isomorphic to (T^M, T_Σ^Γ) with respect to φ .*

Proof. We denote by M the lattice of characters of the torus T hence $T = T^M$ and N is the dual lattice of M .

By Proposition 3.7 an affine T^M -invariant open subset is of the form T^{Γ_σ} where Γ_σ is a subsemigroup of finite type of M such that $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma_\sigma = M$, and $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the dual cone of $\check{\sigma} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma_\sigma \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$. By Lemma 3.10 the open affine T^M -invariant subsets of T^{Γ_σ} are T^{Γ_τ} , for $\tau \leq \sigma$, where $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + M(\tau, \Gamma_\sigma)$.

By definition X is covered by a finite number of T^M -invariant affine open subsets of the form $\{T^{\Gamma_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$. We can assume that if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and if $\tau \leq \sigma$ then $\tau \in \Sigma$. We are going to show that Σ is a fan in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$, hence $T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \neq T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$ if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$.

We have that for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ the intersection $T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \cap T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$ is an affine open subset of the separated variety X (see Chapter 2 of [13]). It is also a T^M -invariant affine subset of both T^{Γ_σ} and $T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$, hence it is of the form T^{Γ_τ} . By Lemma 3.10 we obtain two inclusion of semigroups $\Gamma_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma_\tau$ and $\Gamma_{\sigma'} \rightarrow \Gamma_\tau$. Since X is separated the diagonal map $T^{\Gamma_\tau} \rightarrow T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \times T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$ is a closed embedding (see Chapter 2 of [13]). Algebraically, this implies the surjectivity of the homomorphism

$$k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}] \otimes_k k[t^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}] \rightarrow k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}], \text{ determined by } t^\gamma \otimes t^{\gamma'} \mapsto t^{\gamma+\gamma'}.$$

It follows that the homomorphism of semigroups $\Gamma_\sigma \times \Gamma_{\sigma'} \rightarrow \Gamma_\tau$, $(\gamma, \gamma') \mapsto \gamma + \gamma'$ is surjective. This proves that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_{\sigma'}$ thus

$$\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Gamma_\tau = \check{\tau} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Gamma_\sigma + \Gamma_{\sigma'}) = \check{\sigma} + \check{\sigma'}$$

By duality we deduce that $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$. By Proposition 3.10 we obtain

$$\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + M(\tau, \Gamma_\sigma) = \Gamma_{\sigma'} + M(\tau, \Gamma_{\sigma'}).$$

In conclusion, Σ is a fan in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and if $\Gamma := \{\Gamma_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ the triple (N, Σ, Γ) verifies the compatibility properties of Definition 4.1 and the variety X_Σ^Γ is T^M -equivariantly isomorphic to X . \square

The following corollary is consequence of Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 7.5.

Corollary 7.6. *The category with objects the triples (N, Σ, Γ) of Definition 4.1 and morphisms those maps of fans with attached semigroups of Definition 6.1 is equivalent to the category with objects the toric varieties of Definition 7.4 and morphisms those equivariant morphism which extend morphisms of the corresponding algebraic tori; see Proposition 6.2.*

8. INVERTIBLE SHEAVES ON TORIC VARIETIES

In this section we describe how some of the classical results in the study of invariant invertible sheaves on a normal toric variety extend to the general case.

Let T_Σ^Γ denote a toric variety defined by the triple (N, Σ, Γ) . Recall that if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we denote by $T_\sigma = T^{\sigma \cap M}$ the normalization of the chart T^{Γ_σ} and by T_Σ the normalization of T_Σ^Γ .

A *support function* $h: |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function such that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the restriction $h|_\sigma: \sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is linear. We say that h is *integral* with respect to N if $h(|\Sigma| \cap N) \subset \mathbf{Z}$. We denote by $\text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$ the set of support functions integral with respect to N . If h is a support function integral with respect to N then for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there exists $m_\sigma \in M$ such that

$$h(\nu) = \langle \nu, m_\sigma \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \sigma.$$

Notice that by continuity we have that

$$(5) \quad m_\tau = m_\sigma \pmod{M(\tau)} = M \cap \tau^\perp, \text{ for } \tau \leq \sigma, \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

The set $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ determines h but may not be uniquely determined.

Definition 8.1. A support function for the triple (N, Σ, Γ) is a support function $h : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ integral with respect to N which in addition verifies the compatibility property

$$(6) \quad m_\tau = m_\sigma \pmod{M(\tau, \Gamma_\tau)}, \text{ for } \tau \leq \sigma, \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

We denote by $\text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ the additive group of support functions for the triple (N, Σ, Γ) . It is a subgroup of $\text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$. A vector $m \in M$ defines an element of $\text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ hence we have a homomorphism $M \rightarrow \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$, which is injective if the support of Σ spans $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ as a real vector space.

Any $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$ determines T^M -invariant Cartier divisor D_h on T_Σ by

$$(7) \quad D_{h|_{T_\sigma}} = \text{div}(t^{-m_\sigma}) \text{ for } \sigma \in \Sigma,$$

where $\text{div}(g)$ denotes the *principal Cartier divisor* of the rational function g on an irreducible variety. Notice that D_h is independent of the possible choices of different Cartier data $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ defining h . If $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$, $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ then $T_\tau = T_\sigma \cap T_{\sigma'}$ and (5) guarantees that $t^{-m_\sigma + m_{\sigma'}}$ and $t^{m_\sigma - m_{\sigma'}}$ are both regular functions on T_τ . Any T^M -invariant Cartier divisor on T_Σ is of the form D_h for $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$, i.e., it is defined by Cartier data.

Lemma 8.2. *If $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$ is defined by the Cartier data $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ then it defines a T^M -invariant Cartier divisor on T_Σ^Γ if and only if (6) holds, that is, if and only if $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$.*

Proof. The condition to determine a Cartier divisor is that for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$, $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ the transition function $t^{-m_\sigma + m_{\sigma'}}$ is an invertible regular function on $T^{\Gamma_\tau} = T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \cap T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$. By Lemma 3.9 this is equivalent to (6). \square

We have shown that the group $\text{CDiv}_{T^M}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma)$ of T^M -invariant Cartier divisors on T_Σ^Γ can be seen as a subset of $\text{CDiv}_{T^M}(T_\Sigma)$. The set $\{\text{div}(t^m)\}_{m \in M}$ is a subgroup of $\text{CDiv}_{T^M}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma)$ consisting of principal Cartier divisors.

The map

$$\text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{CDiv}_{T^M}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma), \quad h \mapsto D_h.$$

is a group isomorphism. The inverse map sends a Cartier divisor D on T_Σ^Γ , given by the Cartier data $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$, to the function

$$h_D := |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad h_D(\nu) = \langle \nu, m_\sigma \rangle \text{ if } \nu \in \sigma.$$

A Cartier divisor on T_Σ determines an *invertible sheaf* $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma}(D)$. If U is an affine open set in which $D = \text{div}(g_U)$ for some rational function g_U then the set of sections $H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma}(D))$ consists of those rational functions f which verify that fg_U is a regular function on U .

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ the structure sheaf on the toric variety T_Σ^Γ . The *invertible sheaf* of a T^M -invariant Cartier divisor D on T_Σ^Γ is the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ -modules $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D)$. By (7) the set of sections of this sheaf on T^{Γ_σ} is

$$(8) \quad H^0(T^{\Gamma_\sigma}, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D)) = t^{m_\sigma} k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}].$$

We denote by P_Σ^Γ the following subset of M :

$$(9) \quad P_D^\Gamma := \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} m_\sigma + \Gamma_\sigma.$$

The set of global sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ is equal to

$$(10) \quad H^0(T_\Sigma^\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D)) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t^{m_\sigma} k[t^{\Gamma\sigma}] = \bigoplus_{m \in P_D^\Gamma} kt^m.$$

Remark 8.3. As in the normal case, a T^M -invariant Cartier divisor D defines an equivariant line bundle \mathcal{L}_D whose sections coincide with those of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D)$. See [20], Chapter 2.

The *Picard group* $\text{Pic}(X)$ of a variety X consists of the isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves in X .

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose that $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$. For any Cartier divisor D on the toric variety T_Σ^Γ we have an $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ -module isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D_h)$ for some $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$. The following are equivalent for $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$.*

- i. $h \in M$
- ii. D_h is a principal Cartier divisor.
- iii. \mathcal{L}_{D_h} is a trivial line bundle.
- iv. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D_h)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ -module.

Proof. See Proposition 2.4 of [20]. □

Proposition 8.5. *Suppose that $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$\text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)/M \rightarrow \text{Pic}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{CDiv}_{T^M}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma)/\{\text{div}(t^m)\}_{m \in M},$$

from which we deduce a canonical injection $\text{Pic}(T_\Sigma^\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(T_\Sigma)$.

Proof. This follows by using the same arguments as in Corollary 2.5 [20]. □

If ρ belongs to the 1-skeleton $\Sigma(1)$ of the fan Σ we denote by ν_ρ the primitive integral vector for the lattice N in the ray ρ , that is the generator of the semigroup $\rho \cap N$. We associate to $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$ the polyhedron

$$(11) \quad P_h := \{m \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle \nu_\rho, m \rangle \geq h(\nu_\rho), \rho \in \Sigma(1)\}.$$

Recall that

$$(12) \quad lP_h = lP_h \text{ and } P_h + P_h = P_{h+h'}$$

for any integer $l \geq 1$ and $h, h' \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma)$.

Proposition 8.6. *Suppose that $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$. The following are equivalent for $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ defining a Cartier divisor $D = D_h$.*

- i. The $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}$ -module $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D)$ is generated by its global sections.
- ii. h is upper convex, i.e., $h(\nu) + h(\nu') \leq h(\nu + \nu')$ for all $\nu, \nu' \in N_{\mathbf{R}}$.
- iii. The polytope P_h has vertices $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$.

If these conditions hold the convex hull of the set P_D^Γ is the polytope P_h and h is the support function of the polytope P_h .

Proof. The proof follows as in the normal case (see Theorem 2.7 [20]). □

If $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$ the support function $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$, defined by the Cartier data $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$, is *strictly upper convex* if it is upper convex and in addition

$$h(\nu) = \langle \nu, m_\sigma \rangle \text{ if and only if } \nu \in \sigma, \text{ for } \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

Suppose that $h \in \text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ verifies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 8.6. Set $D = D_h$. If $P_D^\Gamma = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ we have a morphism

$$(13) \quad \Phi_D: T_\Sigma^\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1}, \quad \Phi_D = (t^{u_1} : \dots : t^{u_s}).$$

(defined in homogeneous coordinates of \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1}). The morphism Φ_D is equivariant with respect to the map of tori $\Phi|_{T^M}: T^M \rightarrow T^{M'}$, where $T^{M'}$ denotes the torus of \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1} with respect to the fixed coordinates.

Proposition 8.7. *Suppose that $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$. The following are equivalent for $h \in SF(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ defining a Cartier divisor $D = D_h$.*

- i. D is very ample.
- ii. h is strictly upper convex and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(d)$ the set $\{m - m_\sigma \mid m \in P_D^\Gamma\}$ generates the semigroup Γ_σ .

Proof. Suppose that h is not strictly upper convex. Then there exists d -dimensional cones $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ such that $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$ is of dimension $d - 1$ and $m_\sigma = m_{\sigma'}$. This implies that the section defined by t^{m_σ} in the open set $U = T^{\Gamma_\sigma} \cup T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}$ is nowhere vanishing.

By definition there exists $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $m_\sigma = u_i$.

The restriction of Φ_D to U factors through the affine open set \mathbf{C}^{s-1} , where the i -th homogeneous coordinate does not vanish. It is of the form:

$$\Phi|_U: U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{s-1}, \text{ with } \Phi|_U = (t^{u_1 - m_\sigma}, \dots, t^{u_{i-1} - m_\sigma}, t^{u_{i+1} - m_\sigma}, \dots, t^{u_s - m_\sigma}).$$

By Lemma 3.5 the closure of the orbit $\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma)$ is a complete one-dimensional toric variety contained in U . The restriction $\Phi|_{\overline{\text{orb}(\tau, \Gamma)}}$ must be constant hence Φ is not an embedding. This implies that if D_h is very ample h is strictly upper convex.

Suppose that h is strictly upper convex. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is a d -dimensional cone then m_σ belongs to $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^s$, say $m_\sigma = u_s$. The restriction of Φ to T^{Γ_σ} factors through the affine open set of \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1} where the last homogeneous coordinate does not vanish. It is described algebraically by the homomorphism of k -algebras:

$$k[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}] \rightarrow k[t^\Gamma], \quad y_i \mapsto t^{u_i - m_\sigma}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s-1.$$

This map defines a closed immersion if and only if it is surjective. This happens if and only if the set of vectors $\{u_i - m_\sigma\}_{1 \leq i \leq s-1}$ generate the semigroup Γ_σ . \square

Proposition 8.8. *Suppose that $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbf{R}}$. The following are equivalent for $h \in SF(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$.*

- i. D_h is ample
- ii. h is strictly upper convex.

Proof. If D is ample then lD is very ample for $l \gg 0$. Since $lD = D_{lh}$ it follows that h is strictly upper convex if lh is and the assertion holds by Proposition 8.7.

Conversely suppose that h is strictly upper convex. We prove that lD_h is very ample for $l \gg 0$. By Proposition 8.7 it is sufficient to prove that there exists an integer $l \gg 0$ such that for each d -dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the semigroup Γ_σ is generated by $\{m - lm_\sigma \mid m \in P_{D_{lh}}^\Gamma\}$.

If $\sigma' \in \Sigma$, $\dim \sigma' = d$, $\tau = \sigma' \cap \sigma$ we have that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-u)$ for any $u \in \Gamma_\sigma$ in the relative interior of the cone $\tau^\perp \cap \check{\sigma}$ (see Lemma 3.9). For instance we take $u = m_{\sigma'} - m_\sigma$. We obtain similarly that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_{\sigma'} + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(u)$.

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_\sigma$ then γ belongs to Γ_τ and there exists $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{\sigma'}$ and an integer $p \geq 0$ such that $\gamma = \gamma' + pu$. If $l \geq p$ we obtain:

$$(14) \quad lm_{\sigma'} + \gamma' + (l-p)(m_\sigma - m_{\sigma'}) = lm_\sigma + \gamma.$$

If l is big enough, a formula of the form (14) holds for any γ in a finite set G_σ of generators of Γ_σ (where p and γ' vary with γ) and for any cone $\sigma' \in \Sigma(d)$. Since γ' and $m_\sigma - m_{\sigma'}$ belong to $\Gamma_{\sigma'}$ this implies $t^{lm_\sigma + \gamma}$ defines a section in $H^0(T^{\Gamma_{\sigma'}}, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D_{lh}))$ (see (8)) for any cone $\sigma' \in \Sigma(d)$. We deduce that for any $\gamma \in G_\sigma$ the vector $lm_\sigma + \gamma$ belongs to the set $P_{D_{lh}}^\Gamma$ and $t^{lm_\sigma + \gamma}$ defines a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Sigma^\Gamma}(D_{lh})$. \square

Remark 8.9. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ be a subset of a lattice M such that $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{A} = M$, i.e., \mathcal{A} spans M as a lattice. Gel'fand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky [8] define a projective toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ as the closure of the image of the map

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A}} = (t^{u_1} : \dots : t^{u_s}) : T^M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1}.$$

Let us explain how their definition fits with our notion of projective toric variety. Let P be the convex hull of \mathcal{A} in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ and Σ the dual fan of P . Each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of maximal dimension determines a vertex m_{σ} of P , which is necessarily an element of \mathcal{A} . We associate to σ the semigroup $\Gamma_{\sigma} := \sum \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(u_i - m_{\sigma})$. If $\tau \leq \sigma$ we define Γ_{τ} by (2). The set $\Gamma := \{\Gamma_{\theta} \mid \theta \in \Sigma\}$ is well-defined and the triple (N, Σ, Γ) defines a toric variety T_{Σ}^{Γ} (the argument is the same as the one used in the proof of Proposition 5.1). The support function h of P belongs to $\text{SF}(N, \Sigma, \Gamma)$ and is strictly upper convex. If $D = D_h$ we deduce from the definitions that $P_D^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{A}$. By Proposition 8.7 the Cartier divisor D is very ample, and the morphism (13) is an equivariant embedding of T_{Σ}^{Γ} in the projective space \mathbf{P}_k^{s-1} such that $(\Phi_D)|_{T^M} = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. It follows that $X_{\mathcal{A}} = \Phi_D(T_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma})$.

Remark 8.10. If $F = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i t^{u_i} \in k[t^M]$ is a polynomial with $c_1 \dots c_s \neq 0$, then F defines a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{T_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma}}(D)$ such that the closure of $\{F = 0\} \cap T^M$ in T_{Σ}^{Γ} does not meet any zero-dimensional orbit of T_{Σ}^{Γ} .

Part II: The Semple-Nash modification in the toric case

In this part we recall first the definition and basic properties of the Semple-Nash modification. Then it is shown that the Semple-Nash modification of a toric variety, defined over an algebraically closed base field of characteristic zero, is isomorphic to the blowing up of the sheaf of logarithmic jacobian ideals. This last blowing-up is defined for toric varieties in any characteristic and is an isomorphism if and only if the toric variety is non singular.

9. THE SEMPLE-NASH MODIFICATION: PRELIMINARIES

In [21], Semple introduced the Semple-Nash modification of an algebraic variety and asked whether a finite number of iterations would resolve the singularities of the variety. The same question was apparently rediscovered by Chevalley and Nash in the 1960's, and studied notably by Nobile (see [18]), Gonzalez-Sprinberg (see [12] and [10]), Hironaka (see [14]), and Spivakovsky (see [22]). The best consequence so far of all this work is the Theorem, due to Spivakovsky, stating that by iterating the operation consisting of the Semple-Nash modification followed by normalization one eventually resolves singularities of surfaces over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Let X be a reduced algebraic variety or analytic space, which we may assume of pure dimension d for simplicity. Whenever we speak of the Semple-Nash modification, we assume that we are working over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Consider the Grassmanian $g: \text{Grass}_d \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow X$; it is a proper algebraic map, which has the property that its fiber over a point of x is the Grassmanian of d -dimensional subspaces of the Zariski tangent space $E_{X,x}$. The map g is characterized by the fact that $g^* \Omega_X^1$ has a locally free quotient of rank d and g factorizes in a unique manner every map to X with this property. Let X^o denote the non singular part of X , which is d -dimensional and dense in X by our assumptions. Since the restriction $\Omega_X^1|_{X^o}$ is locally free the map g has an algebraic section over X^o and the Semple-Nash modification is defined as the closure NX of the image of this section, endowed with the natural projection $n_X: NX \rightarrow X$ induced by g . The map n_X is proper and is an isomorphism over X^o ; it is a modification. Like the Grassmanian of Ω_X^1 , it is defined up to a unique X -isomorphism.

A local description can be given for a chart $X|U$ of X embedded in affine space $\mathbf{A}^N(k)$ by taking the closure in $(X|U) \times \mathbf{G}(N, d)$ of the graph of the Gauss map $\gamma: (X|U)^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(N, d)$ sending each non singular point to the class of its tangent space in the Grassmanian of d -dimensional vector subspaces in $\mathbf{A}^N(k)$. For any point $x \in X$ the fiber $n_X^{-1}(x)$ is the subset of $\mathbf{G}(N, d)$ consisting of limit positions at $x \in X$ of tangent spaces to X along sequences of non singular points tending to x . In this guise, the Semple-Nash modification appears in a complex-analytic framework in the paper [30] of Hassler Whitney in connection with equisingularity problems.

Proposition 9.1. (Nobile), see [18] and [25]. *Let X be a reduced equidimensional space; if the map*

$$n_X: NX \rightarrow X$$

is an isomorphism, the space X is non singular.

For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the proof found in [25]: If the map n_X is an isomorphism, the sheaf Ω_X^1 has a locally free quotient of rank d . The problem is local, so it is enough to prove that the existence of a surjective map $\phi: \Omega_{X,x}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^d$ implies, in characteristic zero, that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular. Passing to the completion and tensoring $\Omega_{X,x}^1$ by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is complete. We consider the linear map $e: \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ sending the first basis vector to 1 and the others to 0. The composition of e with the map ϕ gives a surjective map, so that there has to be an element $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that the image of dh in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by $e \circ \phi$ is equal to 1, and then the k -derivation $D: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ corresponding to $e \circ \phi$ is such that $Dh = 1$. In characteristic zero one can formally integrate this non vanishing vector field using the formal expansion of $\exp(-hD)$ to get an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_1[[h]]$ where $\mathcal{O}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/(h)$. By construction \mathcal{O}_1 satisfies the same assumptions as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ in one less dimension. By induction we are reduced to dimension zero, but a reduced zero dimensional complete equicharacteristic local ring is k in our case. We refer to [25] for details, and to [18] for the original proof.

Remark 9.2. Note that the Semple-Nash modification is defined in any characteristic but its being an isomorphism does not imply regularity in positive characteristic; it is the case for $y^p - x^q = 0$ with $(p, q) = 1$ in characteristic p . See [18].

10. THE SEMPLE-NASH MODIFICATION IN THE TORIC CASE

The following is an extension to the case of not necessarily normal toric varieties of a result of Gonzalez-Sprinberg ([10]; a summary of this work appeared in [11]) which was revisited by Lejeune-Jalabert and Reguera in the appendix to [17].

Let X be an affine toric variety over an algebraically closed field k . Using the notations of Section 3 we write its ring

$$R = k[U_1, \dots, U_r]/P,$$

where P is a prime binomial ideal $(U^{m^\ell} - U^{n^\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbf{L}}$ of the polynomial ring $k[U_1, \dots, U_r]$. Let d be the dimension of X and denote by $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{Z}^r$ the lattice generated by the differences $(m^\ell - n^\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbf{L}}$; by [E-S], it is a direct factor of \mathbf{Z}^r since X is irreducible and k is algebraically closed. Setting $c = r - d$, we may identify \mathbf{L} with $\{1, \dots, L\}$ with $L = |\mathbf{L}|$ in such a way that the lattice generated by $(m^1 - n^1, \dots, m^c - n^c)$ has rank c . The quotient \mathbf{Z}^r/\mathcal{L} is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}^d and we have an exact sequence

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^d \rightarrow 0.$$

Our affine toric variety X is $\text{Spec}k[t^\Gamma]$, where Γ is the semigroup generated in \mathbf{Z}^d by the images $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ of the basis vectors of \mathbf{Z}^r .

Proposition 10.1. (Generalizing [10] [11] and [17]) *Keeping the notations just introduced, let X be an affine toric variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The Semple-Nash modification of X is isomorphic to the blowing-up of the ideal of R generated by the images of the products $U_{i_1} \dots U_{i_d}$ such that $\text{Det}(\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_d}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. A straightforward computation using logarithmic differentials shows that the jacobian determinant $J_{K, \mathbf{L}'}$ of rank $c = r - d$ of the generators $(U^{m^\ell} - U^{n^\ell})_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}}$ of our prime binomial ideal $P \subset k[U_1, \dots, U_r]$, associated to a sequence $K = (k_1, \dots, k_c)$ of distinct elements of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ and a subset $\mathbf{L}' \subseteq \{1, \dots, L\}$ of cardinality c , satisfies the congruence

$$U_{k_1} \dots U_{k_c} \cdot J_{K, \mathbf{L}'} \equiv \left(\prod_{\ell \in \mathbf{L}'} U^{m^\ell} \right) \text{Det}_{K, \mathbf{L}'}(\langle m - n \rangle) \pmod{P},$$

where $\langle m - n \rangle$ is the matrix of the vectors $(m^\ell - n^\ell)_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}}$, and $\text{Det}_{K, \mathbf{L}'}$ indicates the minor in question. By Lemma 6.3 of [26], the rank of the image in $k^{r \times L}$ of the matrix $\langle m - n \rangle$ is equal to c .

By ([18], proof of Th.1) the Nash modification of X is isomorphic to the blowing up in X of the ideal generated as $K = (k_1, \dots, k_c)$ runs through the sets of c distinct elements of $(1, \dots, r)$ by the elements J_{K, \mathbf{L}_0} satisfying the congruences

$$U_{k_1} \dots U_{k_c} \cdot J_{K, \mathbf{L}_0} \equiv \left(\prod_{\ell \in \mathbf{L}_0} U^{m^\ell} \right) \text{Det}_{K, \mathbf{L}_0}(\langle m - n \rangle) \pmod{P},$$

where $\mathbf{L}_0 = (1, \dots, c)$ is, after renumbering of $\{1, \dots, L\}$, a subset such that these jacobian determinants are not all zero; such subsets exist since the $J_{K, \mathbf{L}'}$ are not all zero. Remark the necessity that $J_{K, \mathbf{L}_0} = 0$ whenever the determinant on the right side is zero.

Remark also that by [3], we may not suppose that the first c binomials define a complete intersection.

Now for each K let us multiply both sides by $U_{i_1} \dots U_{i_d}$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_d) = \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus K$. We obtain for each K the equality:

$$(16) \quad U_1 \dots U_r \cdot J_{K, \mathbf{L}_0} \equiv U_{i_1} \dots U_{i_d} \left(\prod_{\ell \in \mathbf{L}_0} U^{m^\ell} \right) \text{Det}_{K, \mathbf{L}_0}(\langle m - n \rangle) \pmod{P}.$$

Taking exterior powers for the map ψ in the sequence (15) gives an injection

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{r-d} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{r-d} \psi} \Lambda^{r-d} \mathbf{Z}^r$$

whose image is a primitive vector in $\Lambda^{r-d} \mathbf{Z}^r$ since it is a direct factor. Let $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$ be the lattice generated by the differences $(m^1 - n^1, \dots, m^c - n^c)$, that is, corresponding to the first c binomial equations. The image of its $(r - d)$ -th exterior power is a non zero multiple of the primitive vector $\Lambda^{r-d} \mathcal{L}$; all the $c \times c$ minors of the matrix $\langle m - n \rangle$ involving vectors $m^\ell - n^\ell$ with $\ell > c$ are rationally dependent upon those which do not. Consider now the d -th exterior power of the map dual to the surjection $\mathbf{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^d \rightarrow 0$ of (15):

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^d \rightarrow \Lambda^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^r.$$

The image of $\Lambda^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^d$ is a primitive vector in $\Lambda^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^r$.

By the natural duality isomorphism between $\bigwedge^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^r$ and $\bigwedge^{r-d} \mathbf{Z}^r$ (see [2] §11, No. 11, Prop. 12) deduced from the pairings

$$\bigwedge^d \check{\mathbf{Z}}^r \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathbf{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, \quad \bigwedge^d \mathbf{Z}^r \otimes \bigwedge^{r-d} \mathbf{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{Z},$$

this vector correspond to the image of $\bigwedge^{r-d} \mathcal{L}$ in such a way that the coordinate which corresponds to the determinant of the vectors $\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_d}$ in \mathbf{Z}^d is a rational multiple of the determinant $\text{Det}_{K, \mathbf{L}_0}(\langle\langle m - n \rangle\rangle)$, which is non zero since our base field is of characteristic zero.

Equation 16 now shows that the ideal of R generated by the J_{K, \mathbf{L}_0} differs from the ideal generated by the images of the products $U_{i_1} \dots U_{i_d}$ such that $\text{Det}(\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_d}) \neq 0$ only by the product by invertible ideals, so that these two ideals determine isomorphic blowing ups, which proves the Proposition. \square

Remark 10.2. The proof found in [LJ-R] is valid in the non-normal case; the proof given here makes explicit the connection of the logarithmic jacobian ideal with the usual one.

11. THE SHEAF OF LOGARITHMIC JACOBIAN IDEALS ON A TORIC VARIETY

Let the pair (Σ, Γ) define a toric variety T_Σ^Γ as in Definition 4.1.

On the affine open set T^{Γ_σ} , $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we consider the ideal \mathcal{J}_σ of $k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}]$ generated by monomials of the form t^α , where α belongs to the set

$$|\mathcal{J}_\sigma| = \{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \Gamma_\sigma \text{ and } \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_d \neq 0\}.$$

The ideal \mathcal{J}_σ is called the *logarithmic jacobian ideal* of T^{Γ_σ} .

Remark 11.1. If $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ are generators of Γ_σ the semigroup Γ_σ then the monomials t^α , for α in

$$(17) \quad \{\gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_d} \mid \gamma_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{i_d} \neq 0, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq r\},$$

generate the ideal \mathcal{J}_σ . Abusing notation we denote the set (17) with the same letter \mathcal{J}_σ , whenever the set of generators of Γ_σ is clear from the context.

Remark 11.2. The isomorphism of Proposition 1.8 carries the logarithmic jacobian ideal of $k[t^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}]$ onto the tensor product of the logarithmic jacobian ideals of the factors.

Remark 11.3. In the one-dimensional case the logarithmic jacobian ideal is the maximal ideal corresponding to the closed orbit. It is a classical fact that iterating the blowing-up of the singular point resolves the singularities of any branch.

Proposition 11.4. *The family $\{\mathcal{J}_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ defines a T^M -invariant sheaf of ideals \mathcal{J} on T_Σ^Γ , which is called the sheaf of logarithmic jacobian ideals of T_Σ^Γ .*

Proof. It is sufficient to check that if $\tau \leq \sigma$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then the ideal \mathcal{J}_τ coincides with the extension $\mathcal{J}_\sigma k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}]$, induced by the inclusion $k[t^{\Gamma_\sigma}] \hookrightarrow k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}]$ defined by $\Gamma_\sigma \subset \Gamma_\tau$.

By Lemma 3.9 if $m \in \Gamma_\sigma$ belongs to the relative interior of the cone $\check{\sigma} \cap \tau^\perp$ then we have that $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\sigma + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}(-m)$ (such a vector m always exists).

If $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ are generators of Γ_σ then $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, -m$ are generators of Γ_τ . This implies the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{J}_\tau$. By Remark 11.1 an exponent α in \mathcal{J}_τ which does not belong to the set \mathcal{J}_σ is of the form: $\alpha = \gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_{d-1}} - m$, with $\gamma_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{i_{d-1}} \wedge (-m) \neq 0$. Then, the element $\beta := \gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_{d-1}} + m$ belongs to \mathcal{J}_σ and we obtain that: $t^\alpha = t^{-2m} t^\beta \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}]$, and $\mathcal{J}_\sigma k[t^{\Gamma_\tau}] = \mathcal{J}_\tau$. \square

Lemma 11.5. *There is a continuous piecewise linear function $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{J}}: |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for each $\tau \in \Sigma$ the function $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{J}_\tau}$ is the restriction of $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{J}}$ to τ .*

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{J}_\sigma}$ (see (3)), by using that \mathcal{J} is a sheaf of monomial ideals.

Remark 11.6. Note that Lemma 11.5 holds more generally if we replace \mathcal{J} by any sheaf of monomial ideals \mathcal{I} on T_Σ^Γ .

The following corollary is consequence of Propositions 11.4 and 10.1.

Corollary 11.7. *The Semple-Nash modification of the toric variety T_Σ^Γ , defined over an algebraically closed base field of characteristic zero, is isomorphic to the blowing up of its sheaf of logarithmic jacobian ideals.*

This allows us to extend Proposition 9.1 to arbitrary characteristic in the case of toric varieties:

Proposition 11.8. *The toric variety T_Σ^Γ is non singular if and only if the blowing up of the logarithmic jacobian ideal is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We only have to prove that if the blowing up of the logarithmic jacobian ideal of an affine toric variety is an isomorphism the variety is smooth. Remembering that Γ generates the group M and that the cone $\check{\sigma}$ generated by Γ is the dual of a d -dimensional cone with $d = \text{rank}M \geq 1$ and is strictly convex, let us choose a minimal system of generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d, \gamma_{d+1}, \dots$ such that $m^{(1)} = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d$ corresponds to the generator of the logarithmic jacobian ideal which, by our assumption, is principal. If there are more than d generators, then γ_{d+1} is linearly dependent on the previous ones which gives us another element $m = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_{d+1} + \gamma_{i+1} + \dots + \gamma_d$ of our ideal. Our assumption ensures that $m - m^{(1)} = \gamma_{d+1} - \gamma_i$ is in Γ , which contradicts the assumption of minimality since the appearance of γ_{d+1} in the right hand side of an expression $\gamma_{d+1} - \gamma_i = \sum a_k \gamma_k$, $a_k \in \mathbf{N}$, would contradict the strict convexity of the cone $\check{\sigma}$ by implying either that some positive multiple of $-\gamma_{d+1}$ is in Γ or that $-\gamma_i$ is in Γ . Therefore Γ has d independent generators which generate M and $k[t^\Gamma]$ is a polynomial ring. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. Atanasov, C. Lopez, A. Perry, N. Proudfoot, and M. Thaddeus, *Resolving toric varieties with Nash blow-ups*, ArXiv math.AG/09105028 (2009).
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. VII. Première partie: Les structures fondamentales de l'analyse. Livre II: Algèbre. Chapitre III: Algèbre multilinéaire*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1044, Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1948.
3. E. Cattani, R. Curran and A. Dickenstein, *Complete intersections in toric ideals*, to appear in Proc. A.M.S., ArXiv: math.AC/0508400.
4. V. I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **33** (1978), no. 2(200), 85–134, 247.
5. D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, *Binomial ideals*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
6. G. Ewald, *Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 168, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
7. W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
8. I. M. Gel'fand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
9. P. D. González Pérez and B. Teissier, *Embedded resolutions of not necessarily normal affine toric varieties*, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (2002), no. 5, 379–382.
10. G. Gonzalez Sprinberg, *Éventails en dimension 2 et transformé de Nash*, Publications du Centre de Mathématiques de l'E.N.S., 45, rue d'Ulm, Paris 5e (1977), 1–68.
11. ———, *Transformé de Nash et éventail de dimension 2*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), no. 1, A69–A71.
12. ———, *Résolution de Nash des points doubles rationnels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), no. 2, x, 111–178.
13. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

14. H. Hironaka, *On Nash blowing-up*, Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 36, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1983, pp. 103–111.
15. K. Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii, *Newton convex bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, ArXiv math.AG/09043350 (2009).
16. G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 339, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
17. M. Lejeune-Jalabert and A. J. Reguera, *The Denef-Loeser series for toric surface singularities*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Singularities (Spanish) (Sevilla, 2001), Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana vol. 19, 2003, pp. 581–612.
18. A. Nobile, *Some properties of the Nash blowing-up*, Pacific J. Math. **60** (1975), no. 1, 297–305.
19. T. Oda, *Torus embeddings and applications*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 57, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978, Based on joint work with Katsuya Miyake.
20. ———, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
21. J. G. Semple, *Some investigations in the geometry of curve and surface elements*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **4** (1954), 24–49.
22. M. Spivakovsky, *Sandwiched singularities and desingularization of surfaces by normalized Nash transformations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **131** (1990), no. 3, 411–491.
23. B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
24. H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28.
25. B. Teissier, *The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants*, Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, Sijthoff and Noordhoff International publishers, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977, pp. 565–677.
26. ———, *Valuations, deformations, and toric geometry*, Valuation theory and its applications, Vol. II (Saskatoon, SK, 1999), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 33, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 361–459.
27. H.M. Thompson, *Fan is to monoid as scheme is to ring: a generalization of the notion of a fan*, ArXiv math.AG/0306221.
28. ———, *Comments on toric varieties*, ArXiv math.AG/0310336.
29. ———, *Toric singularities revisited*, J. Algebra 299 (2006), no. 2, 503–534.
30. H. Whitney, *Tangents to an analytic variety*, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 1965 496–549.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS-CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM. DPTO. DE ALGEBRA. FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS. UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID. PLAZA DE LAS CIENCIAS 3. 28040. MADRID. SPAIN.

E-mail address: pgonzalez@mat.ucm.es

INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE DE JUSSIEU, UMR 7586 DU CNRS, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET, 75013 PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: teissier@math.jussieu.fr