

On the Graded Injectivity of the Conze Embedding

ANTHONY JOSEPH (Weizmann Institute)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its enveloping algebra. Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{m} the image of the nilradical of \mathfrak{p} under a Chevalley antiautomorphism. Let M be a generalized Verma module, that is to say a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ module obtained by induction from a one dimensional \mathfrak{p} module. Let $F(M)$ (resp. $A(M)$) denote the subspace of locally finite elements of $\text{End } M$ with respect to the diagonal action of \mathfrak{g} (resp. of \mathfrak{m}). The embedding of $U(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Ann } M$ into $A(M)$ is called the Conze embedding. One may identify $A(M)$ with the algebra of differential operators on \mathfrak{m}^* and as such it inherits a \mathfrak{g} invariant filtration through the usual degree of a polynomial on \mathfrak{m}^* .

The main result is that $\text{gr } A(M)$ is an injective module in the appropriate version of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) category. The proof is accomplished in three stages. First it is shown that $A(M)$ is injective, whenever M is a simple module. Secondly using a Koszul resolution and spectral sequence argument (following B. Broer) together with some properties of the BGG category, the assertion is established for \mathfrak{p} being a Borel subalgebra. This involves some combinatorics which do not extend to the parabolic case. It implies that the isomorphism class of $A(M)$ is independent of M and this is combined with the first result to establish the general case. Finally the multiplicity of each injective in $A(M)$ is computed.

The main application of graded injectivity is to compute the multiplicity of a simple module in each filtration degree of $F(M)$. Furthermore if the parameters which define M are taken to be independent variables (which then generate the centre $Z(M)$ of $F(M)$) graded injectivity implies that $F(M)$ is free over $Z(M)$. This allows one to define and compute the so-called KPRV determinants.

Generically the map of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ into $F(M)$, defined by its action on M , is surjective and moreover this holds for all parameters if the appropriate moment map is bijective with normal closure (through a result of Borho-Brylinski or directly). A description of the precise failure of surjectivity in general is an open problem.