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#### Abstract

We show that the torsion in the group of indecomposable $(2,1)$-cycles on a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twist of its Brauer group, away from the characteristic. In particular, this group is infinite as soon as $b_{2}-\rho>0$. We derive a new insight into Roǐtman's theorem on torsion 0 -cycles over a surface.


## Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field $k$. The group

$$
C(X)=H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \simeq C H^{2}(X, 1) \simeq H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))
$$

has been widely studied. Its most interesting part is the indecomposable quotient

$$
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \simeq C H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}(X, 1) \simeq H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)),
$$

defined as the cokernel of the natural homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*} \xrightarrow{\theta} C(X) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It vanishes for $\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant 1$.
Let $\operatorname{Br}(X)=H_{\text {ett }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ be the Brauer group of $X$ : it sits in an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2}(X, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(X) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we write $A(n)$ for $\lim _{\rightarrow(m, p)=1} m A \otimes \mu_{m}^{\otimes n}$ for a prime-to- $p$ torsion abelian group $A$, and we set for $n \geqslant 0, i \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}(n)\right)=\underset{s}{\lim } H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i-n}\left(X, \nu_{s}(n)\right)
$$

where $p$ is the exponential characteristic of $k$ and, if $p>1, \nu_{s}(n)$ is the $s$ th sheaf of logarithmic Hodge-Witt differentials of weight $n$ [Ill79, Mil88, GS88]. (See [Ill79, p. 629, (5.8.4)] for the $p$-primary part in characteristic $p$ in (2).)

Theorem 1. There are natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\prime}: \operatorname{Br}(X)\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}(1) & \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}, \\
\beta_{p}: H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}(2)\right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\{p\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{p\}$ (respectively, $\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}$ ) denotes $p$-primary torsion (respectively, prime-to-p torsion.)
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Theorem 1 gives an interpretation of the Brauer group (away from $p$ ) ${ }^{1}$ in terms of algebraic cycles. In view of (2), it also implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. If $b_{2}-\rho>0, H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is infinite. In characteristic zero, if $p_{g}>0$ then $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is infinite.

To my knowledge, this is the first general result on indecomposable $(2,1)$-cycles. It relates to the following open question.

Question 1 (See also Remark 1). Is there a surface $X$ such that $b_{2}-\rho>0$ but $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ $\otimes \mathbf{Q}=0$ ?

Many examples of complex surfaces $X$ for which $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is not torsion have been given; see, for example, [CDKL14] and the references therein. In most of them, one shows that a version of the Beilinson regulator with values in a quotient of Deligne cohomology takes non-torsion values on this group. On the other hand, there are examples of complex surfaces $X$ with $p_{g}>0$ for which the regulator vanishes rationally [Voi94, Theorem 1.6], but there seems to be no such $X$ for which one can decide whether $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=0$.

Question 1 evokes Mumford's non-representability theorem for the Albanese kernel $T(X)$ in the Chow group $C H_{0}(X)$ under the given hypothesis. It is of course much harder, but not unrelated. The link comes through the transcendental part of the Chow motive of $X$, introduced and studied in [KMP07]. If we denote this motive by $t_{2}(X)$ as in [KMP07], we have

$$
T(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbb{L}^{2}\right)=H^{4}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

[KMP07, Proposition 7.2.3]. Here, all groups are taken in the category $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ of abelian groups modulo groups of finite exponent and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ denotes the refined Hom group on the category $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ of effective Chow motives with $\mathbf{Q}$ coefficients (see $\S 2$ for all this), while $\mathbb{L}$ is the Lefschetz motive; to justify the last term, note that Chow correspondences act on motivic cohomology, so that motivic cohomology of a Chow motive makes sense. We show the following result.

Theorem 2 (See Proposition 3). If $X$ is a surface, we have an isomorphism in $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ :

$$
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq H^{3}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} .
$$

Corollary 2 [CR85, Proposition 2.15]. In Theorem 2, assume that $k$ has infinite transcendence degree over its prime subfield. If $T(X)=0$, then $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is finite.

Proof. Under the hypothesis on $k, T(X)=0 \Longleftrightarrow t_{2}(X)=0$ [KMP07, Corollary 7.4.9b]. Thus, $T(X)=0 \Rightarrow H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}=0$ by Theorem 2. This means that $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ has finite exponent, hence is finite by Theorem 1 and the known structure of $\operatorname{Br}(X)$.
${ }^{1}$ The group $H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}(2)\right)$ is very different from $\operatorname{Br}(X)\{p\}$. Suppose that $k$ is the algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ over which $X$ is defined. In [Mil88, Remark 5.6], Milne proves

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(1-\gamma t \mid H^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right)\right)=\prod_{v\left(a_{i j}\right)=v\left(q^{n}\right)}\left(1-\left(q^{n} / a_{i j}\right) t\right)
$$

where $\gamma$ is the 'arithmetic' Frobenius of $X$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and the $a_{i j}$ are the eigenvalues of the 'geometric' Frobenius acting on the crystalline cohomology $H^{i}(X / W) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (or, equivalently, on $l$-adic cohomology for $l \neq p$ by Katz and Messing). We get $V_{p}(\operatorname{Br}(X)\{p\})$ for $i=2, n=1$ and $V_{p}\left(H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}(2)\right)\right)$ for $i=2, n=2$.

Remark 1. (1) For $l \neq p, H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\{l\}$ finite $\Longleftrightarrow b_{2}-\rho=0$ by Theorem 1. Under Bloch's conjecture, this implies that $t_{2}(X)=0$ [KMP07, Corollary 7.6.11], hence $T(X)=0$ and (by Theorem 2) $H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is finite. This provides conjectural converses to Corollaries 1 (for a surface) and 2.
(2) The quotient of $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\text {tors }}$ by its maximal divisible subgroup is dual to $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\text {tors }}$, at least away from $p$ : we leave this to the interested reader.

In $\S 4$ we apply Theorem 2 to give a proof of Roirtman's theorem that $T(X)$ is uniquely divisible, up to a group of finite exponent. This proof is related to Bloch's [Blo79], but avoids Lefschetz pencils; we feel that $t_{2}(X)$ gives a new understanding of the situation.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

This proof is an elaboration of the arguments of Colliot-Thélène and Raskind in [CR85], completed by Gros and Suwa [GS88, ch. IV] for $l=\operatorname{char} k$. We use motivic cohomology as it smooths the exposition and is more inspirational, but stress that these ideas go back to [Blo79, Pan82, CR85, GS88]. We refer to [Kah12, § 2] for an exposition of ordinary and étale motivic cohomology and the facts used below, especially to [Kah12, Theorem 2.6] for the comparison with étale cohomology of twisted roots of unity and logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves.

Multiplication by $l^{s}$ on étale motivic cohomology yields 'Bockstein' exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) / l^{s} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z} / l^{s}(n)\right) \rightarrow{ }_{l^{s}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \rightarrow 0
$$

for any prime $l, s \geqslant 1, n \geqslant 0$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\lim ^{1} H_{\text {ét }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) / l^{s}=0$, one gets in the limit exact sequences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{a} H_{\text {êt }}^{i}(X, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(n)) \xrightarrow{b} \hat{T}\left(H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{T}(-)=\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z},-)$ denotes the total Tate module. This first yields the following result.
Proposition 1. For $i \neq 2 n, \operatorname{Im} a \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / p]$ is finite in (3) $\otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / p]$ and $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / p]$ is an extension of a finite group by a divisible group. If $p>1, H_{\text {et }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ is an extension of a group of finite exponent by a divisible group, and is divisible if $i=n$. In particular, $H_{\text {êt }}^{n}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))$ is an extension of a finite group of order prime to $p$ by a divisible group.

Proof. This is the argument of [CR85, 1.8 and 2.2]. Let us summarise it: $H_{\text {êt }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))$ is 'of weight 0 ' and $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}(X, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(n))$ is 'of weight $i-2 n$ ' by Deligne's proof of the Weil conjectures. It follows that $a \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / p]$ has finite image in every $l$-component, hence has finite image by Gabber's theorem [Gab83]. One derives the structure of $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / p]$ from this.

At the referee's request, we give more details. Since $X$ is defined over a finitely generated field, motivic cohomology commutes with filtering inverse limits of smooth schemes (with affine transition morphisms) and $l$-adic cohomology is invariant under algebraically closed extensions, to show that $a$ has finite image we may assume that $k$ is the algebraic closure of a finitely generated field $k_{0}$ over which $X$ is defined. If $i \neq 2 n$ and $l \neq p$, then $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(n)\right)^{U}$ is finite for any open subgroup $U$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k_{0}\right)$ [CR85, 1.5], while $H_{\text {êt }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))=\bigcup_{U} H_{\text {êt }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))^{U}$. Thus the image $I(l)$ of the composition $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))_{l}^{\sim} \xrightarrow{a_{l}} H_{\text {êt }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(n)\right)$ is contained in the (finite) torsion subgroup of $H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(n)\right)$, hence this composition factors through $H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{i}(X$, $\mathbf{Z}(n)) / l^{s}$ for $s \gg 0$, implying that $\operatorname{Im} a_{l}=I(l)$ is finite, and 0 for almost all $l$ by [Gab83]. The conclusion now follows by Lemma 1 below.
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If $l=p$, the group $H_{\text {et }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right)^{U}$ is still 0 for $i \neq 2 n$ by [GS88, II.2.3]. The group $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(n)\right)$ has the structure of an extension of a finitely generated pro-étale group by a unipotent quasi-algebraic group by [IR83, ch. IV, Theorem 3.3(b)], hence its torsion has finite exponent independent of $k$. Therefore $H_{\text {et }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(n)\right)^{U}$ has bounded exponent when $U$ varies, hence (as above) $\operatorname{Im} a_{p}$ has finite exponent, and the first claim. For the second one, $H_{\text {ett }}^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(n)\right)$ is always torsion-free by [Ill79, ch. II, Corollary 2.17].

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be an abelian group such that $\hat{A}=\lim _{\leftarrow} A / m$ has finite exponent. Then $A$ is an extension of $\hat{A}$ by a divisible group.

Proof. This is the argument of [CR85, Theorem 1.8], that we reproduce here. First, $\hat{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A / m_{0}$ for some $m_{0} \geqslant 1$, hence $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is surjective. Now $A / m \xrightarrow{\sim} A / m_{0}$ for any multiple $m$ of $m_{0}$, hence $\operatorname{Ker}(A \rightarrow \hat{A})=m A$ for any such $m$; thus this kernel is divisible as claimed.

Remark 2. In characteristic $p$, the torsion subgroup of $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(n)\right)$ may well be infinite for $i>n$ (compare [IIl79, ch. II, §7]), and then so is the quotient of $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ by its maximal divisible subgroup.

Consider now the case $n=2$. Recall that $H^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ for $i \leqslant 3$ from the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem (cf. [Kah12, (2-6)]).

For $l \neq p$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{n}}^{\otimes 2}\right) & =\operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mu_{l^{n}} \rightarrow H_{\text {ett }}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{n}}^{\otimes 2}\right)\right), \\
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(2)\right) & =\operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l}(1) \rightarrow H_{\text {êt }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(2)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. For $l \neq p$, there is a canonical isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(2)\right) \simeq T_{l}(\operatorname{Br}(X))(1)$. In particular, this group is torsion-free.

Proof. Straightforward from the Kummer exact sequence.
We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mu_{l^{s}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{s}}^{\otimes 2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{s}}^{\otimes 2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\quad \text { surjective } \downarrow  \tag{4}\\
0 \longrightarrow l^{s}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*}\right) \longrightarrow l^{s} H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow l^{s} H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gather*}
$$

where the upper row is exact and the lower row is a complex. This diagram is equivalent to the one in [CR85, 2.8], but the proof of its commutativity is easier, as a consequence of the compatibility of Bockstein boundaries with cup-product in hypercohomology. This yields maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{s}}^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{s}} l_{l^{s}} H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

an inverse limit commutative diagram
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(note that $\left.\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mu_{l^{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mu_{l^{s}}\right)$ and a direct limit commutative diagram

where $\beta_{l}$ defines the map $\beta^{\prime}$ in Theorem 1 . Note that the left vertical map in (7) is injective because $\operatorname{Tor}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X), k^{*} \otimes \mathbf{Z}[1 / l]\right)\{l\}=0$.

Lemma 3. If $X$ is defined over a subfield $k_{0}$ with algebraic closure $k$, the map $\pi$ of (6) has a $G$-equivariant section after $\otimes \mathbf{Q}$, where $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k_{0}\right)$. In particular, if $k_{0}$ is finitely generated, then $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}(2)\right)^{U}=0$ for any open subgroup $U$ of $G$.

Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{dim} X$; we may assume $d>1$. If $d=2$, the perfect Poincaré pairing $H_{\text {ét }}^{2}(X$, $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{l}(1)\right) \times H_{\text {ét }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ restricts to the perfect intersection pairing $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l} \otimes \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes$ $\mathbf{Q}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{l}$; the promised section is then given by the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}(1)$ in $H_{\text {ett }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}(2)\right)$. If $d>2$, let $L \in H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)$ be the class of a smooth hyperplane section defined over $k_{0}$. The hard Lefschetz theorem and Poincaré duality provide a perfect pairing on $H_{\text {ett }}^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}(1)\right)$ :

$$
(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot L^{d-2} \cdot y
$$

which restricts to a similar pairing on $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$. The Hodge index theorem for divisors [SGA6, Proposition 7.4, p. 665] implies that the latter pairing is also non-degenerate, so we get the desired section in the same way. The last claim now follows from the vanishing of $H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{l}(2)\right)^{U}$; see the proof of Proposition 1.

We shall use the following fact, which is proved in [CR85, 2.7] (and could be re-proved here with motivic cohomology in the same fashion).

Lemma 4. In (1), $N:=\operatorname{Ker} \theta$ has no $l$-torsion.
Proposition 2 (Cf. [CR85, Remark 2.13]). $\beta_{s}$ is surjective in (5) and $\hat{\beta}$ is bijective in (6); $N$ is uniquely divisible; the lower row of (7) is exact and $\beta_{l}$ is bijective.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*}$ is $l$-divisible, Lemma 4 yields exact sequences

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \rightarrow{ }_{l^{s}}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*}\right) \rightarrow{ }_{l^{s}} A \rightarrow N / l^{s} \rightarrow 0  \tag{8}\\
0 \rightarrow{ }_{l^{s}} A \rightarrow l^{s} H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \rightarrow{ }_{l^{s}} H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A=\operatorname{Im} \theta$, and (9) implies the surjectivity of $\beta_{s}$, hence of $\hat{\beta}$ since the groups $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}\left(X, \mu_{l^{s}}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ are finite. Since $\alpha_{s}$ is surjective in (4), we also get that all groups in (8) and (9) are finite. Now the upper row of (6) is exact; in its lower row, the homology at $T_{l}\left(H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\right.$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{N_{l}}$ by taking the inverse limit of (8) and (9). A snake chase then yields an exact sequence

$$
H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}(2) \widehat{)_{l}} \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\beta} \rightarrow \widehat{N_{l}} \rightarrow 0\right.
$$

where Ker $\hat{\alpha}$ is finite by Proposition 1.
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If, as in the proof of Proposition $1, k$ is the algebraic closure of a finitely generated field $k_{0}$ over which $X$ is defined and $U$ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k_{0}\right)$, we have an isomorphism

$$
(\operatorname{Ker} \hat{\beta})^{U} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\hat{N_{l}}\right)^{U} \otimes \mathbf{Q} .
$$

On the one hand, $(\operatorname{Ker} \hat{\beta})^{U} \otimes \mathbf{Q}=0$ by Lemma 3 because $\operatorname{Ker} \hat{\beta}$ is a subgroup of $H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{2}(X$, $\left.\mathbf{Z}_{l}(2)\right)$; on the other hand, since $N / l$ is finite,

$$
\widehat{N_{l}}=\bigcup_{U}\left(\widehat{N_{l}}\right)^{U}
$$

Indeed, a finite set of generators $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $N$ modulo $l N$ also generates $N$ modulo $l^{s} N$ for all $s \geqslant 1$, and an open subgroup $U$ of $G$ fixing all the $n_{i}$ also fixes $\widehat{N_{l}}$ (so the union is in fact stationary).

This gives $\widehat{N_{l}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}=0$, hence $\widehat{N_{l}}=0$ by Lemma 4 ; thus Ker $\hat{\beta}$ is finite, hence 0 by Lemma 2 . This also shows the $l$-divisibility of $N$, which thanks to (8) and (9) implies the exactness of the lower row of (4), hence of (7). Now $\alpha_{l}$ is surjective, and also injective since $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{l} \simeq H^{2}(X$, $\mathbf{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l} / \mathbf{Z}_{l}$ is 0 by Proposition 1. Hence $\beta_{l}$ is bijective.

The case of $p$-torsion is similar and easier: by Proposition 1, we have an isomorphism

$$
H^{2}\left(X, \mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}(2)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\{p\}
$$

and $H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\{p\} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text {ind }}^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))\{p\}$ since $k^{*}$ is uniquely $p$-divisible, hence also $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Refined Hom groups

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category; write $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and Hom groups tensored with $\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}$ for the pseudo-abelian envelope of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}$ is still abelian and is the localisation of $\mathcal{A}$ by the Serre subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{\text {tors }}$ of objects $A$ such that $n 1_{A}=0$ for some integer $n>0$ (e.g. [BK, Proposition B.3.1]).

For $\mathcal{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}$, the category of abelian groups, one has a chain of natural functors

$$
\mathbf{A b} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{b} \mathbf{V e c}_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

where $\mathbf{V e c}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the category of $\mathbf{Q}$-vector spaces and the second functor is induced by 'tensoring objects with $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$. The functor $b$ is fully faithful when restricted to the full subcategory of $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ given by finitely generated abelian groups, but it is not faithful in general; for example, $a(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \neq 0$ while $b a(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=0$. Thus $a$ retains torsion information that is lost when composing it with $b$. For simplicity, we shall write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(A)=A_{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad b a(A)=A \otimes \mathbf{Q} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the image of an abelian group $A \in \mathbf{A b}$ respectively in $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{V e c}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Let $F$ be an additive functor (covariant or contravariant) from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathbf{A b}$, the category of abelian groups. It then induces a functor

$$
F_{\mathbf{Q}}: \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q} .
$$

In particular, we get a bifunctor

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}:(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

which refines the bifunctor Hom of $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}$.
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We shall apply this to $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{M}_{\text {rat }}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$, the category of effective Chow motives with integral coefficients: the category $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}$ is then equivalent to the category $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rat }}^{\text {eff }}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ of Chow motives with rational coefficients.

## 3. Chow-Künneth decomposition of $\mathcal{K}_{2}$-cohomology

In this section, $X$ is a connected surface. Its Chow motive $h(X) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ then enjoys a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X)=h_{0}(X) \oplus h_{1}(X) \oplus h_{2}^{\operatorname{alg}}(X) \oplus t_{2}(X) \oplus h_{3}(X) \oplus h_{4}(X) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[KMP07, Propositions 7.2.1 and 7.2.3]. The projectors defining this decomposition act on the groups $H^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$; we propose to compute the corresponding direct summands $H^{i}(M, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$. To be more concrete, we shall express this in terms of the $\mathcal{K}_{2}$-cohomology of $X$.

We keep the notation

$$
H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

to which we adjoin

$$
H_{\text {ind }}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Coker}\left(K_{2}(k) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

To relate to the notation in $\S 1$, recall that $H^{2}(k, \mathbf{Z}(2))=K_{2}(k)$ and $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))=H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)$.
We shall also need a smooth connected hyperplane section $C$ of $X$, appearing in the construction of (11) [Mur90, Sch94], and its own Chow-Künneth decomposition attached to the choice of a rational point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(C)=h_{0}(C) \oplus h_{1}(C) \oplus h_{2}(C) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projectors defining (12) have integral coefficients, while those defining (11) only have rational coefficients in general.

The following proposition extends the computations of [KMP07, 7.2.1 and 7.2.3] to weight-2 motivic cohomology.

Proposition 3. (a) We have the following table for $H^{i}(M, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ :

| $M=$ | $h_{0}(C)$ | $h_{1}(C)$ | $h_{2}(C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=2$ | $K_{2}(k)$ | $H_{\text {ind }}^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)$ | 0 |
| $i=3$ | 0 | $V(C)$ | $k^{*}$ |
| $i>3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

where $V(C)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{N} k^{*}\right)$ is Bloch's group.
(b) We have the following table for $H^{i}(M, \mathbf{Z}(2))$, where all groups are taken in $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (see § 2):

| $M=$ | $h_{0}(X)$ | $h_{1}(X)$ | $h_{2}^{\text {alg }}(X)$ | $t_{2}(X)$ | $h_{3}(X)$ | $h_{4}(X)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=2$ | $K_{2}(k)$ | $A$ | 0 | $B$ | 0 | 0 |
| $i=3$ | 0 | $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) k^{*}$ | $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes k^{*}$ | $H_{\text {ind }}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $i=4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $T(X)$ | $\operatorname{Alb}(X)$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| $i>4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## B. Kahn

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) k^{*} & =\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) \otimes k^{*} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)\right) \\
A & =\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)\right), \\
B & =\operatorname{Ker}\left(H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ind}}^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We proceed by exclusion as in the proof of [KMP07, Theorem 7.8.4]. Let us start with (a). We use the notation (10) of $\S 2$.

- For $i>3, H^{i}(M, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a direct summand of $H^{i}(C, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}=0$.
- One has $h_{2}(C)=\mathbb{L}$, hence

$$
H^{i}\left(h_{2}(C), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{i-2}(k, \mathbf{Z}(1))_{\mathbf{Q}}= \begin{cases}k_{\mathbf{Q}}^{*} & \text { if } i=3 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- One has

$$
H^{i}\left(h_{0}(C), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{i}(k, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}= \begin{cases}K_{2}(k)_{\mathbf{Q}} & \text { if } i=2, \\ 0 & \text { if } i>2\end{cases}
$$

- The case of $M=h_{1}(C)$ follows from the two previous ones by exclusion.

Let us turn to (b).

- For $i>4, H^{i}(M, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a direct summand of $H^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}=0$.
- One has $h_{4}(X)=\mathbb{L}^{2}$, hence

$$
H^{i}\left(h_{4}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{i-4}(k, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathbf{Q}}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Q}} & \text { if } i=4 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- One has $h_{3}(X)=h_{1}(X)(1)$, hence

$$
H^{i}\left(h_{3}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{i-2}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(1)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} .
$$

As $h_{1}(X)$ is a direct summand of $h_{1}(C), H^{i-2}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(1)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a direct summand of $H^{i-2}(C, \mathbf{Z}(1))_{\mathbf{Q}}$. This group is 0 for $i \neq 3,4$. For $i=3$, one has $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{Z}(1))_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{1}\left(h_{0}(C)\right.$, $\mathbf{Z}(1))_{\mathbf{Q}}$, hence

$$
H^{1}\left(h_{1}(C), \mathbf{Z}(1)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{1}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(1)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=0 .
$$

For $i=4, H^{2}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(1)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=\operatorname{Alb}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}(c f .[\operatorname{Mur} 90])$.

- One has $h_{2}^{\text {alg }}(X)=\operatorname{NS}(X)(1)$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}\left(h_{2}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} & =\left(H^{i-2}(k, \mathbf{Z}(1)) \otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(\operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes k^{*}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} & \text { if } i=3, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- One has

$$
H^{i}\left(h_{0}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=H^{i}(k, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}= \begin{cases}K_{2}(k)_{\mathbf{Q}} & \text { if } i=2, \\ 0 & \text { if } i>2 .\end{cases}
$$

- As $h^{1}(X)$ is a direct summand of $h^{1}(C), H^{i}\left(h^{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a direct summand of $H^{i}(C$, $\mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$; this group is therefore 0 for $i>3$. This completes row $i=4$ by exclusion.
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- The action of refined Chow-Künneth projectors respects the homomorphism $\left(\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes k^{*}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ $\rightarrow H^{3}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$. As the action of $\pi_{2}^{\operatorname{tr}}\left(\right.$ defining $\left.t_{2}(X)\right)$ is 0 on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, we get $H^{3}\left(t_{2}(X)\right.$, $\mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq H_{\text {ind }}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, which completes row $i=3$ by exclusion.
- The construction of $\pi_{2}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ [KMP07, proof of 2.3] shows that the composition

$$
h(C) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} h(X) \rightarrow t_{2}(X)
$$

is 0 . Hence the composition

$$
H^{i}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow H^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{i}(C, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

is 0 for all $i$. Applying this for $i=2$, we see that $H^{2}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \subseteq B_{\mathbf{Q}}$. On the other hand, $H^{2}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a direct summand of $H^{2}\left(h_{1}(C), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, hence injects in $A_{\mathbf{Q}}$. By exclusion, we have $H^{2}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus H^{2}\left(h_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq H_{\text {ind }}^{0}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathbf{Q}}$, hence row $i=2$.

Remark 3. Let us clarify the 'reasoning by exclusion' that has been used repeatedly in this proof. Let $F$ be a functor from smooth projective varieties to $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, provided with an action of Chow correspondences. Then $F$ automatically extends to $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rat }}^{\text {eff }}(k, \mathbf{Q})$, and we wish to compute the effect of a Chow-Künneth decomposition of $h(X)$ on $F(X)$. The reasoning above is as follows in its simplest form.

Suppose that we have a motivic decomposition $h(X)=M \oplus M^{\prime}$, hence a decomposition $F(X)=F(M) \oplus F\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that we know an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0
$$

and an isomorphism $F(M) \simeq A$. Then $F\left(M^{\prime}\right) \simeq B$.
Of course this reasoning is incorrect as it stands; to justify it, one should check that if $\pi$ is the projector with image $M$ yielding the decomposition of $h(X)$, then $F(\pi)$ does have image $A$. This can be checked in all cases of the above proof, but such a verification would be tedious, double the length of the proof and probably make it unreadable. I hope the reader will not disagree with this expository choice.

## 4. Generalisation

In this section, we take the gist of the previous arguments. For convenience we pass from effective Chow motives $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rat }}^{\text {eff }}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ to all Chow motives $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rat }}(k, \mathbf{Q})$. Since étale motivic cohomology has an action of Chow correspondences and verifies the projective bundle formula, it yields well-defined contravariant functors

$$
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(k, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

such that $H_{\text {êt }}^{i}(X, \mathbf{Z}(n))_{\mathbf{Q}}=H_{\text {ét }}^{i-2 n}(h(X)(-n))$ for any smooth projective $k$-variety $X$ and $i, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We also have (contravariant) realisation functors

$$
H_{l}^{i}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(k, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{l} \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

extending $l$-adic cohomology for $l \neq \operatorname{char} k$, where $\mathcal{C}_{l}$ denotes the category of $l \mathbf{Z}$-adic inverse systems of abelian groups [SGA5, V.3.1.1]. For $l=$ char $k$ we use logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology as in Theorem 1 [Mil88, §2], [GS88].
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Definition 1. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$. If $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we say that $M$ is pure of weight $i$ if $H_{l}^{j}(M)=0$ for all $j \neq i$ and all primes $l$.

For example, if $h(X)=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{2 d} h_{i}(X)$ is a Chow-Künneth decomposition of the motive $h(X)$ of a $d$-dimensional smooth projective variety $X$, then $h_{i}(X)$ is pure of weight $i$. If $d=2$, the motive $t_{2}(X)(-2)$ is pure of weight -2 as a direct summand of $h_{2}(X)(-2)$.

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be pure of weight $i$. Then $H_{\text {êt }}^{j}(M)$ is uniquely divisible for $j \neq i, i+1$. If, moreover, $i \neq 0$, then $H_{\text {ett }}^{i}(M)$ is uniquely divisible and $H_{\text {et }}^{i+1}(M)\{l\} \simeq H_{l}^{i}(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$.
(An object $A \in \mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is uniquely divisible if multiplication by $n$ is an automorphism of $A$ for any integer $n \neq 0$.)

Proof. As in § 1, we have Bockstein exact sequences in $\mathcal{C}_{l} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$,

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{j}(M) / l^{*} \xrightarrow{a} H_{l}^{j}(M) \rightarrow l_{l^{*}} H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{j+1}(M) \rightarrow 0,
$$

which yields the first statement. For the second one, the weight argument of [CR85] (developed in the proof of Proposition 1 above) yields $\operatorname{Im} a=0$.

Let $X$ be a surface. Applying Theorem 3 to $M=t_{2}(X)(-2)$ as above, we get that $H_{\text {êt }}^{i}\left(t_{2}(X)\right.$, $\mathbf{Z}(2))$ is uniquely divisible for $i \neq 3$ and

$$
H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{3}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right)\{l\} \simeq H_{\mathrm{tr}}^{3}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{l}(2)\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z} \simeq \operatorname{Br}(X)\{l\}
$$

in $\mathbf{A b} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, recovering a slightly weaker version of Theorem 1 in view of Proposition 3. For $i=4$, the exact sequence [Kah12, (2-7)]

$$
0 \rightarrow C H^{2}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text {êt }}^{4}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text {êt }}^{3}(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}(2))\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

shows that $C H^{2}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text {et }}^{4}(X, \mathbf{Z}(2))$ since $\operatorname{dim} X=2$, whence

$$
T(X)=H^{4}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{ett}}^{4}\left(t_{2}(X), \mathbf{Z}(2)\right),
$$

yielding a proof of Roǐtman's theorem up to small torsion.
Remark 4. This argument is not integral because the projector $\pi_{2}^{\operatorname{tr}}$ defining $t_{2}(X)$ is not an integral correspondence. It is, however, $l$-integral for any $l$ prime to a denominator $D$ of $\pi_{2}^{\mathrm{tr}}$. This $D$ is essentially controlled by the degree of the Weil isogeny

$$
\operatorname{Pic}_{X / k}^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C / k}^{0}=\operatorname{Alb}(C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(X)
$$

where $C$ is the ample curve involved in the construction of $\pi_{2}^{\mathrm{tr}}$. If one could show that various $C$ s can be chosen so that the corresponding degrees have gcd equal to 1 , one would deduce a full proof of Roirtman's theorem from the above.
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