

Transcendence problems related to heights.

D. Bertrand (UPMC)

Heights and Applications to Unlikely Intersections

Fields Inst., U. Toronto, Feb. 13-17, 2017

References

- [Serre 1989] Lectures on Mordell-Weil ...; Vieweg, Aspects E15.
- [B 1994] 1-motifs et relations d'orthogonalité ...; Mat. Zap. 2, 7-22.
- [B 1995] Minimal heights and polarizations...; Duke MJ 80, 223-250.
- [B 1998] Relative splitting of 1-motives; Contemp. Maths 210, 3-17
- [Bost-Künnemann 2009] Hermitian... II; Astérisque 327, 361-424.
- [Kim 2010] Massey products for ell. c. of rank 1; JAMS 23, 725-747.
- [B 2013] Unlikely intersections ...; NDJFL 54, 365-375, 2013.
- [B-Masser-Pillay-Zannier 2016] RMM on semi-ab...; PEMS 59, 837-875.
- [B-Pillay, 2016] Galois theory, functional LW ...; Pacific JM 281, 51-82.
- [B-Edixhoven] Pink's conjecture, Poincaré biextensions and generalised Jacobians; in prep. (see also ArXiv 1104.5178v1).

(*) **Comments** added after talk : see last slide.

I. Motivations

- Serre's question [1989] on the Néron-Tate pairing over an ell. c. E/\mathbb{Q} :
 $x, \eta \in E(\mathbb{Q}), \langle x, \eta \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x \text{ or } \eta \text{ torsion ?}$

NB : can't hope this for a n.f. $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$, nor on an ab. var. A/\mathbb{Q} with $g > 1$.

- Zilber-Pink for a curve in the (4-dim'l) Poincaré bi-extension \mathcal{P}^\times of the Legendre curve \mathcal{E}/S (cf. [B 2013], [B.-Edixhoven]).

Reduces to two "mixed" RMM problems :

P1 (recently solved by F. Barroero): let $(x, \eta) \in \mathcal{E} \times_S \mathcal{E}(S)$. If x_t, η_t are $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_t)$ -lin. dep. for inf'tly many CM $t \in S$, then x, η are (\mathbb{Z}) -lin. dep.

P2: let $E/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with CM, and let s be section of a non constant semi-ab. scheme $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Ext}_S(E, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightsquigarrow \eta \in \hat{E}(S) \setminus \hat{E}(\mathbb{C})$: if s_t is a Ribet point of \mathcal{G}_t for inf'tly many $t \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, is then s a Ribet section ?

NB : let x be the projection of s to $E(S)$. Then,

$$s_t \text{ Ribet} \Rightarrow x_t, \eta_t \text{ are } \text{End}^{\text{antisym}}(E)\text{-related} \Rightarrow \langle x_t, \eta_t \rangle = 0.$$

Isotropic abelian subvarieties

For A/k , k a n.f., L symmetric ample, and \mathcal{P} the Poincaré bundle on $A \times \hat{A}$

$$\langle x, \eta \rangle := h_{\mathcal{P}}(x, \eta) = - \langle x, y \rangle_L, \text{ where } \eta = \phi_L(y).$$

$\langle \sigma x, \sigma \eta \rangle = \langle x, \eta \rangle$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$, and $\langle x, f(y) \rangle = \langle y, \hat{f}(x) \rangle$, so orthogonality occurs as soon as $\eta = f(x)$ with $f \in \text{Hom}^{\text{antisym}}(A, \hat{A})$, or because of relations on conjugates.

More generally, let $B = B_{x, \eta}$ be the abelian variety generated by (x, η) in $A \times \hat{A}$. Then, $\mathcal{P}|_B$ torsion ($\Leftrightarrow c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0$) implies that $h_{\mathcal{P}}(x, \eta) = 0$.

Conjecture [B 1994] : let A/\mathbb{Q} and $(x, \eta) \in (A \times \hat{A})(\mathbb{Q})$. Then

$$h_{\mathcal{P}}(x, \eta) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0 ?$$

NB 1: rigidifying \mathcal{P}^\times above $A \times 0$, the relation $\mathcal{P}|_B = 0$ provides a canon' point s_R above (x, η) (a). We call s_R the **Ribet point** of $G_\eta \in \text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$ above x . Ditto for its orbit under $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$.

NB 2 : $c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists F \in \text{Hom}^{\text{as}}(A \times \hat{A}, \hat{A} \times A)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \cdot (\eta, x) = F(x, \eta)$. If x generates A , $\Leftrightarrow \exists f \in \text{Hom}^{\text{as}}(A, \hat{A})$ s.t. $N\eta = f(x)$.

One archimedean place

Bloch's construction of $\langle x, \eta \rangle = h_\eta(x)$. By the product formula, the (absolute, logarithmic) normalized height on $\mathbb{G}_m(k)$ is

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{[k_v:\mathbb{Q}_p]}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} |\log(|\alpha|_v)|.$$

For $G = G_\eta$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, there is a unique extension of $\log|\cdot|_v$ to

$\lambda_v = \lambda_v^{(\eta)} : G(k_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} :$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & k_v^* & \longrightarrow & G(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A(k_v) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \log|\cdot|_v & & \downarrow (\lambda_v, \pi) & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \times A(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A(k_v) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Then, $\ker(\lambda_v) =$ maximal compact subgroup $G(k_v)^c$ of $G(k_v)$, and for any $s \in G_\eta(k)$ above x :

$$\langle x, \eta \rangle = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{[k_v:\mathbb{Q}_p]}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \lambda_v(s)$$

We may choose s with all finite λ_v 's vanishing, so if k has just **one inf'te** place, $\langle x, \eta \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ this s lies in $G(k_v)^c$ for *all* $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

NB : if $c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0$, the Ribet point $s_R \in G_\eta(k)$ above x satisfies this property for *any* number field k .

II. Transcendence

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{L} = \log(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times) \supset \mathbb{Q}.\log(\mathbb{Q}^\times).$$

Still assume that k has only one infinite place ∞ , but take any $s \in G_\eta(k)$ above x . Then,

$$\langle x, \eta \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in k^\times, s + \alpha \in G(k_\infty)^c \Rightarrow \lambda_\infty(s) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

To turn this into an amenable transcendence problem, we'd rather have a *complex analytic* expression for λ_∞ , which happens if ∞ is real. This leads to :

Question : assume that the n.f. k has *at least* one real place w , and that $\lambda_w(s) \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, $c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0$? (If so, s will lie in the \mathbb{G}_m -orbit of s_R .)

This may be too bold, so let's go back to an elliptic curve E , firstly over \mathbb{C} , with $\wp, \zeta, \sigma, \omega_i, \eta_i$ as usual, $u = \log_E(x), v = \log_E(\eta)$, and

$$\kappa_v(\omega_i) = \zeta(v)\omega_i - \eta_i v, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

These are the basic periods of the standard logarithmic form ξ_η on E with residue divisor $-1.(0) + 1.(-\eta)$.

Let $G = G_\eta$ (maybe $G_{-\eta}$?). Then, $G \xrightarrow{\pi} E$ admits a rational section $\rho: E \dashrightarrow G$, with $(\rho) = (-\eta) - (0)$, and the exponential map of G is

$$LG(\mathbb{C}) \ni \begin{pmatrix} t \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_v(z) e^t \\ (\wp, \wp')(z) \end{pmatrix} \in G(\mathbb{C})$$

where $f_v(z) = \frac{\sigma(v+z)}{\sigma(v)\sigma(z)} e^{-\zeta(v)z}$, so $\frac{df_v}{f_v} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(z) - \wp'(v)}{\wp(z) - \wp(v)} dz = \exp_E^*(\xi_\eta)$.

Over $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, a point $s \in G(k)$ above $x \in E(k)$ is given by

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_s \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \log_G(s) = \begin{pmatrix} -g(u, v) + \zeta(v)u + l_s \\ u \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta_s := s - \rho(x) \in k^\times$, $l_s = \log(\delta_s)$, and

$$g(u, v) = \log \frac{\sigma(u+v)}{\sigma(v)\sigma(u)}$$

is the "Green function" for the divisor $\Delta^\pm - E \times 0 - 0 \times E$ on $E \times E$.

$G(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 / \Omega_G$, where $\Omega_G = \mathbb{Z}\varpi_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varpi_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varpi_2$ with

$$\varpi_0(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varpi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_v(\omega_1) \\ \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varpi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_v(\omega_2) \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume now that ∞ is a **real** place of k . Then, $E(\mathbb{R})^0 = \mathbb{R}\omega_1/\mathbb{Z}\omega_1$ and $G(\mathbb{R})^c = \mathbb{R}\varpi_1/\mathbb{Z}\varpi_1$ is 1-dim'l (while $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G(\mathbb{C})^c) = 3$). So,

$$s \in G(\mathbb{R})^c \Leftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} -g(u, v) + \zeta(v)u + \ell_s & \zeta(v)\omega_1 - \eta_1 v \\ u & \omega_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g(u, v) - \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} uv = \ell_s (= \log(\delta_s) \in \mathcal{L}).$$

Not a surprise : this is the restriction to \mathbb{R} of the log of the "polar form" of the Klein form $\mathfrak{k}(u) = \sigma(u)\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\eta(u)u)$.

We can now forget about the reality assumption and consider any $k \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Conjecture (b) : assume E, x, η defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, $u, v, u + v \notin \Omega_E$. Then,

$$g(u, v) - \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} uv \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow x \text{ or } \eta \text{ is a torsion point. } (\Rightarrow \text{yes to Serre})$$

Known : 1) if $g(u, v) - \zeta(v)u \in \mathcal{L}$, then η is torsion.

2) in the CM case, let $s_2 \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, given by the Hecke form of weight 2.

If $\exists f \in \text{End}^{as}(E), \eta = f(x)$, then $g(u, v) - s_2 uv \in \mathcal{L}$ (c).

But for a **complex** place, $s \in G(k) \cap G(\mathbb{C})^c$ does **not** imply $c_1(\mathcal{P}|_B) = 0$.

Kim Minhyong has given an "anabelian-Chabauty" proof of Siegel's theorem on $E(\mathbb{Z})$, when E/\mathbb{Q} has rank 1 (i.e. just above the analogue of Chabauty's condition). The idea is that $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the set of zeroes of a non trivial p -adic analytic function on $E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Take p ordinary, so there is a p -adic height h_p on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, which is the sum of the p -adic log of a rational number and of $\log_p(\sigma(u)) - \kappa u^2$ (with $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}s_2$ in the CM case).

For $x \in E(\mathbb{Z})$, the first term vanishes, so $h_p(x) = \log_p(\sigma(u)) - \kappa u^2$. Now, $\frac{h_p(x)}{u^2}$ is a constant C since h_p is quadratic and $rk(E(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$. Therefore $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the set of zeroes of the p -adic analytic function $\log_p(\sigma(z)) - (\kappa + C)z^2$, non trivial since (say by Ax-Schanuel on G_x) $\log(\sigma(z))$ and z are algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} . Done !

It's anabelian because $\log(\sigma(u))$ is an iterated integral $\int_0^x \omega(\int_0^\eta \eta)$, which Kim relates to $\pi_1^{unip}(E(\mathbb{C}) \setminus 0)$.

Additive interlude

For an ell. c. E over $k \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $\tilde{E} \in \text{Ext}(E, \mathbb{G}_a)$ be its universal extension. Its maximal compact subgroup $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R})^c$ is $\mathbb{R}\tilde{\omega}_1/\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\omega}_1$ for the real period $\tilde{\omega}_1$ of \tilde{E} . Let $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}(k)$, above $x \in E(k)$; then (cf. [B 1998]),

$$\tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}(\mathbb{R})^c \Leftrightarrow \kappa_u(\omega_1)/\omega_1 \in k \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}_{tors}.$$

Indeed, $\log_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(u) - \alpha \\ u \end{pmatrix}$ (for some $\alpha \in k$) and $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}$ are

\mathbb{R} -lin. dep. iff $\zeta(u)\omega_1 - \eta_1 u = \alpha\omega_1 \Rightarrow x \in E_{tor} \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}_{tor}$.

But much better : let $k \in \mathbb{C}$ be **any** n.f., let $A' = \hat{A} \simeq \text{Pic}^0(A)$ be an ab. var., with universal extension $\tilde{A}' \in \text{Ext}(A', \Omega_A^1)$, and let $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{A}'(k)$, above $\eta \in A'(k)$. Then [Bost-Künnemann 2009]:

$$\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{A}'(\mathbb{C})^c \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{A}'_{tor}.$$

Idea : $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{A}' \iff G_\eta$, plus a connection on the line bundle $(G_\eta \cup 0)/A \iff$ a character χ_α of $\pi_1(A)$, for some $\alpha = \alpha(\tilde{\eta}) \in \text{Hom}(\text{Lie}A, \text{Lie}\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \Omega_A^1$, and $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{A}'(\mathbb{C})^c$ iff χ_α is unitary ($\Rightarrow \pm 1$ over \mathbb{R}). E.g. on an elliptic curve : $|\chi_\alpha(\gamma)| = 1 \Leftrightarrow \kappa_v(\omega) - \alpha\omega \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Unlikely intersections

Let S be a curve over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, \mathcal{E}/S an elliptic scheme, x, η two sections. On $\mathcal{E}(S)$ (and $\mathcal{E}(S')$ for $S' \rightarrow S$), we have the Néron-Tate height at the generic point and its polar form $\langle x, \eta \rangle$, non degenerate on $\mathcal{E}(S)/\mathcal{E}^\sharp$, where \mathcal{E}^\sharp is the Manin kernel (= torsion + constant parts).

- Assume that there are infin'ly many CM points $t \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\langle x_t, \eta_t \rangle = 0$ in $\mathcal{E}_t(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. If \mathcal{E}/S not isoconstant, then (Silverman) $h_\eta(x) = \langle x, \eta \rangle = 0$. Requires conditions on S to go further.
- In Problem P2 on Zilber-Pink for \mathcal{P}^\times , over an E with CM, all x_t, η_t are $\text{End}(E)$ -dep., so $h_S(t)$ is bounded (d). How to use $\text{End}(E)^{as}$?
- On G/k , the *relative height* $h_{G,rel}(s) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{[k_v:\mathbb{Q}_p]}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} |\lambda_v(s)|$ is "linear" and vanishes on Ribet points. Under suitable conditions on \mathcal{G}/S , it too satisfies $\lim_{h_S(t) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{\mathcal{G},rel}(s_t)}{h_S(t)} = h_{\mathcal{G},rel}(s)$. Does (not) lead to study sections s in $\mathcal{G}(S)$ with $h_{\mathcal{G},rel}(s) = 0$.

III. Functional transcendence

Geometric heights have no “transcendental” parts, but the following alg. indep. results may help for an o-minimal approach to **P2**.

Let S/\mathbb{C} , $K = \mathbb{C}(S) \subset F$, embedded in some diff'l field of meromorphic functions, let $E/K, G/F \in \text{Ext}_F(E, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightsquigarrow y \in E(F), x \in E(F)$, and let E_0, G_0 be the constant parts. The universal extension $\tilde{G} = G \times_E \tilde{E}$ of G has dimension 3, and carries differential operators $\nabla_{L\tilde{G}} : L\tilde{G} \rightarrow L\tilde{G}$, $\partial \ln_{\tilde{G}} := \nabla_{L\tilde{G}} \circ \log_{\tilde{G}} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow L\tilde{G}$. Ditto with \tilde{E} . Their solutions generate the Picard-Vessiot extensions $K_{L\tilde{E}}^\# = K(\omega_{1,2}, \eta_{1,2})$ of $K = K_{\tilde{E}}^\#$ and $F_{L\tilde{G}}^\# = F(\omega_{1,2}, \eta_{1,2}, \kappa_v(\omega_{1,2}))$ of F , while $F_{\tilde{G}}^\# / F$ is still mysterious. Finally, let $u = \log_E(x), v = \log_E(y)$.

Ax-Schanuel (on G_0): if E and G are constant (so $v := v_0 \in E_0(\mathbb{C})$), y not torsion, x not constant and $\ell \in F$ arbitrary, then

$$\text{tr.deg}_K K(u, \zeta(u), \wp(u), \ell, e^\ell \exp(g(u, v_0))) \geq 3.$$

For instance, if $x \in E_0(K) \setminus E_0(\mathbb{C}) : \text{tr.deg}_K(u, \zeta(u), g(u, v_0) - \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} uv_0) = 3$.

Assume now that $y \in E(K)$, so G/K . For $s \in G(F)$, set $\log_G(s) = U$.

Theorem

(Exponential Ax = L-W) [B.-Pillay 2016] Let $U \in LG(K)$, projecting to $u \in LE(K)$, such that $\forall H \neq G, U \notin LH + LG_0(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\tilde{U} \in L\tilde{G}(K)$ be any lift of U , and let $\tilde{s} = \exp_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{U}) \in \tilde{G}$. Then,

$$\text{tr.deg.}(K_{\tilde{G}}^{\sharp}(\tilde{s})/K_{\tilde{G}}^{\sharp}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{in general, except} \\ 1 & \text{if } u \in LE_0(\mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

(Logarithmic Ax) [B.-Masser-Pillay-Zannier 2016] Let $s \in G(K)$, proj. to $x \in E(K)$, such that $\forall H \neq G, s \notin H + G_0(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{G}(K)$ be any lift of s , and let $\tilde{U} = \ln_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{s}) \in L\tilde{G}$. Then,

$$\text{tr.deg.}(K_{L\tilde{G}}^{\sharp}(\tilde{U})/K_{L\tilde{G}}^{\sharp}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{in general, except} \\ 1 & \text{if } N x \in \text{End}(E)y \pmod{E_0(\mathbb{C})}, \text{ except} \\ 0 & \text{if } s \text{ is Ribet } \pmod{G_0(\mathbb{C})}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, assume that $y \in E(K) \setminus E^\sharp$ where $E^\sharp = E_0(\mathbb{C}) + E_{tors}$, i.e. G/K is not isoconsant nor isotrivial, and that $x \in E(F) \setminus E^\sharp$. Then,

Exponential Ax : if $u \in K$ and $\ell \in K^\times$,

$$tr.deg_K K(\wp(u), \zeta(u), \frac{\sigma(u+v)}{\sigma(u)\sigma(v)} e^{\ell - \zeta(v)u}) = 3.$$

Logarithmic Ax : if $x \in E(K) \setminus E^\sharp$ and $\ell = \log(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in K^\times$,

$$tr.deg_{K(\omega_{1,2}, \eta_{1,2})}(u, v, \zeta(u), \zeta(v), g(u, v)) - \ell$$

is equal to

- 5 , in general, e.g. if E is not constant and x, y are lin. indep. over \mathbb{Z} ;
- 3 , if $E = E_0$ and x, y are lin. dep. over $End(E_0) \bmod E_0(\mathbb{C})$, unless x, y are $End^{as}(E_0)$ -related mod $E_0(\mathbb{C})$, in which case $\exists \ell \in \log(K^\times)$ such that it is equal to
- 2 , and indeed $g(u, v) - s_2 uv$ then lies in $\log(K^\times) := \mathfrak{L}$.

Corollary (e) : let $x, y \in E(K)$, not both constant if $E = E_0$. Then

$$g(u, v) - \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} uv \in \mathfrak{L} \Rightarrow x \text{ or } y \text{ is torsion.}$$

So, the functional version of the Conjecture holds true (but to no avail...).

Further comments

- (a) That is, if (x, η) itself lies in B . In the general case, s_R is defined only up to addition of a root of unity.
- (b) (answering a question of B. Zilber) This conjecture would follow from Grothendieck's period conjecture, applied to the 1-motive $[\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_\eta \times \mathbb{G}_m, 1 \mapsto (s, \alpha)]$ with $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$.
- (c) In fact, $g(u, v) - s_2 uv \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \text{End}^{as}(E), N\eta = f(x)$, unless x or η is torsion. See Springer LN 1068, p. 19-22, Corollaire 3.
- (d) assuming that x and η are $\text{End}(E)$ -linearly independent modulo $E(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.
- (e) This corollary also follows from Ayoub's theorem on the functional analogue of Grothendieck's conjecture.