

# Chapter 4-Baire category

## 1 Nowhere dense sets and meager sets

We now introduce some notions of topological smallness and bigness.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $S \subseteq X$ . We say that  $S$  is

- (a) **nowhere dense** if its closure  $\overline{S}$  has empty interior,
- (b) **meager** if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets,
- (c) **comeager** if its complement  $\neg S$  is meager.

**Examples.** (a) Any compact subset of the Baire space  $\mathcal{N}$  is nowhere dense.

(b) A countable subset of a perfect space is meager.

**Definition 1.2** A topological space  $X$  is **Baire** if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (a) every nonempty open set is non-meager,
- (b) every comeager set is dense,
- (c) the intersection of countably many dense open sets is dense.

**Example.** Every completely metrizable space is Baire, by Baire's theorem.

**Exercise.** Any open subset of a Baire space, equipped with the induced topology, is also a Baire space.

**Exercise.** We identify  $\mathcal{C}$  with the power set of  $\omega$ , using characteristic functions. Prove that if  $G \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is comeager, then we can find a partition  $(A_0, A_1)$  of  $\omega$  and, for  $\varepsilon \in 2$ ,  $B_i \subseteq A_i$  such that if  $A \subseteq \omega$  and  $A \cap A_\varepsilon = B_\varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon \in 2$ , then  $A \in G$ .

## 2 Baire measurability

We now introduce a notion of regularity, being equal to an open set modulo a meager set.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces,  $S \subseteq X$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. We say that

- (a)  $S$  has the **Baire property** (denoted **BP**) if there is an open subset  $O$  of  $X$  such that the **symmetric difference**  $S \Delta O := (S \setminus O) \cup (O \setminus S)$  is meager,
- (b)  $f$  is **Baire measurable** if the pre-image of any open subset of  $Y$  has the Baire property in  $X$ .

**Exercise.** Prove that  $S$  has BP if and only if  $S$  is the union of a  $G_\delta$  set and a meager set.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $X$  be a set. A  $\sigma$ -**algebra** on  $X$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\emptyset$  and closed under complements and countable unions (and thus countable intersections).

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the family of the subsets of  $X$  having the BP is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ , contains the open subsets of  $X$  and the meager subsets of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Note first that if  $O$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then  $\overline{O} \setminus O$  is closed nowhere dense and thus meager. Similarly, if  $C$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , then  $C \setminus \text{Int}(C)$  is closed nowhere dense and thus meager. Assume now that  $S \subseteq X$  has the BP, which gives an open subset  $O$  of  $X$  such that  $S \Delta O$  is meager. Note that  $(\neg S) \Delta (\neg O)$  is meager, so that  $(\neg S) \Delta \text{Int}(\neg O)$  is meager, so  $\neg S$  has the BP. Finally, if each  $S_n$  has the BP with witness  $O_n$ , then  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} S_n$  has the BP with witness  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} O_n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $S \subseteq X$  having the BP. Then  $S$  is meager, or there is a nonempty open subset  $O$  of  $X$  such that  $O \setminus S$  is meager.

**Proof.** As  $S$  has the BP, there is a witness  $O$ . If  $S$  is not meager, then  $O$  is as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $X$  be a Baire space,  $Y$  be a second countable space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Baire measurable function. Then we can find a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $G$  of  $X$  such that the restriction  $f|_G$  of  $f$  to  $G$  is continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $(O_n)$  be a countable basis for the topology of  $Y$ . As  $f^{-1}(O_n)$  has the BP in  $X$ , we get an open subset  $U_n$  of  $X$  and a countable union of closed nowhere dense sets  $F_n$  with  $f^{-1}(O_n) \Delta U_n \subseteq F_n$ . Then  $G_n := X \setminus F_n$  is a countable intersection of dense open subsets of  $X$ , as well as  $G := \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n$ . As  $X$  is Baire,  $G$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ . As  $f^{-1}(O_n) \cap G = U_n \cap G$ ,  $f|_G$  is continuous.  $\square$

### 3 The Kuratowski-Ulam theorem

We now consider sets in product spaces. We will see a Fubini-like theorem for Baire category.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  be a second countable space,  $S \subseteq X \times Y$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $S_x := \{y \in Y \mid (x, y) \in S\}$  be the vertical section of  $S$  at  $x$ .

(a) If  $S$  is nowhere dense, then  $S_x$  is nowhere dense in  $Y$  for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ .

(b) If  $S$  is meager, then  $S_x$  is meager in  $Y$  for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** (a) We can assume that  $Y$  is not empty and  $S$  is closed. Let  $O$  be the complement of  $S$ . It is enough to show that  $O_x$  is dense for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ . Let  $(Y_n)$  be a basis for the topology of  $Y$  made of nonempty sets. Then  $O_n := \text{proj}_X(O \cap (X \times Y_n))$  is dense open in  $X$ . If  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} O_n$ , then  $O_x \cap Y_n$  is not empty for all  $n$ , i.e.,  $O_x$  is dense.

(b) This follows from (a).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $X, Y$  be second countable spaces,  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$ . Then  $A \times B$  is meager if and only if  $A$  is meager or  $B$  is meager.

**Proof.** If  $A \times B$  is meager and  $A$  is not meager, then there is  $x \in X$  such that  $(A \times B)_x = B$  is meager, by Lemma 3.1. Conversely, if  $A$  is meager and  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} N_n$  with  $N_n$  nowhere dense, then  $A \times B = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} N_n \times B$ , so it is enough to show that  $N_n \times B$  is nowhere dense. This comes from the fact that if  $O$  is dense open in  $X$ , then  $O \times Y$  is dense open in  $X \times Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Kuratowski-Ulam) *Let  $X, Y$  be second countable spaces, and  $S \subseteq X \times Y$  having the BP.*

(a)  $S_x$  has the BP for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ . Similarly,  $S^y := \{x \in X \mid (x, y) \in S\}$  has the BP for comeagerly many  $y \in Y$ .

(b)  $S$  is meager is equivalent to  $S_x$  is meager for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ , and to  $S^y$  is meager for comeagerly many  $y \in Y$ .

(c)  $S$  is comeager is equivalent to  $S_x$  is comeager for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ , and to  $S^y$  is comeager for comeagerly many  $y \in Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $O$  be an open set and  $M$  be a meager set with  $S \Delta O \subseteq M$ .

(a) Note that, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $S_x \Delta O_x \subseteq M_x$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $S_x$  has the BP for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ .

(b) By Lemma 3.1, if  $S$  is meager, then  $S_x$  is meager for comeagerly many  $x \in X$ . Conversely, if  $S$  is not meager, then  $O$  is not meager, which gives open sets  $U \subseteq X$  and  $V \subseteq Y$  such that  $U \times V \subseteq O$  and  $U \times V$  is not meager. By Lemma 3.2,  $U, V$  are not meager. This gives  $x \in U$  such that  $S_x$  and  $M_x$  are meager. As  $V \setminus M_x \subseteq O_x \setminus M_x \subseteq S_x$ ,  $V \subseteq S_x \cup M_x$  is meager, a contradiction.

(c) This comes from (b).  $\square$

## 4 Meager relations

We now strengthen the perfect set theorem.

**Notation.** If  $X$  is a set, then  $\Delta(X) := \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$  is the **diagonal** of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.1** (Mycielski-Kuratowski) *Let  $X$  be a nonempty perfect Polish space, and  $R \subseteq X^2$  be meager. Then  $X$  contains a copy  $C$  of the Cantor space  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $(x, y) \notin R$  if  $x \neq y \in C$ .*

**Proof.** As  $R$  is meager, there is an increasing sequence  $(C_l)$  of closed nowhere dense relations on  $X$  whose union contains  $R$ . We set  $U_l := X^2 \setminus C_l$ , so that  $U_l$  is dense open in  $X^2$ . Fix a complete metric  $d \leq 1$  defining the topology of  $X$ . We construct a family  $(O_s)_{s \in 2^{<\omega}}$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\overline{O_{s\varepsilon}} \subseteq O_s$
- (2)  $\text{diam}(O_s) \leq 2^{-|s|}$
- (3)  $O_{s0} \cap O_{s1} = \emptyset$
- (4)  $O_s \times O_t \subseteq U_l$  if  $s \neq t \in 2^l$

Assume that this is done. Fix  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $(\overline{O_{\alpha|n}})$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  with vanishing diameters. As  $X$  is complete, its intersection, equal to  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} O_{\alpha|n}$ , is a singleton  $h(\alpha)$ . Note that  $h$  is injective and continuous, and thus a homeomorphism onto its range  $C$  by compactness of  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $x \neq y \in C$ , then we can write  $x = h(\alpha)$  and  $y = h(\beta)$ , where  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ . This gives  $l_0$  with  $\alpha(l_0) \neq \beta(l_0)$ . If  $l > l_0$ , then  $\alpha|l \neq \beta|l$ , so that  $(x, y) \in O_{\alpha|l} \times O_{\beta|l} \subseteq U_l$  and  $(x, y) \notin R$  since  $(C_l)$  is increasing.

We now construct  $O_s$ , by induction on  $|s|$ . We first set  $O_\emptyset := X$ . We enumerate

$$\{(s, t) \in 2^{l+1} \times 2^{l+1} \mid s \neq t\}$$

by  $\{(s_i, t_i) \mid i < N\}$ . We construct, inductively on  $i$ , a family  $(O_s^i)_{s \in 2^{l+1}}$  of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\overline{O_{s_i}^i} \subseteq O_{s_i|l} \cap \bigcap_{j < i} O_{s_i}^j$
- (2)  $\text{diam}(O_{s_i}^i) \leq 2^{-l-1}$
- (3)  $O_{s_i}^i \times O_{t_i}^i \subseteq U_{l+1} \setminus \Delta(X)$
- (4)  $O_s^i \subseteq O_{s|l} \cap \bigcap_{j < i} O_s^j$

Then we will just have to take  $O_s := O_s^{N-1}$ . Note that  $(O_{s_i|l} \cap \bigcap_{j < i} O_{s_i}^j) \times (O_{t_i|l} \cap \bigcap_{j < i} O_{t_i}^j)$  meets  $U_{l+1} \setminus \Delta(X)$  since  $U_{l+1}$  is dense open and  $\Delta(X)$  is closed nowhere dense since  $X$  is perfect. Let  $(x, y)$  be in the intersection  $I$ . We choose open subsets  $O_{s_i}^i, O_{t_i}^i$  of  $X$  with diameter at most  $2^{-l-1}$  such that  $(x, y) \in O_{s_i}^i \times O_{t_i}^i \subseteq \overline{O_{s_i}^i} \times \overline{O_{t_i}^i} \subseteq I$ . If  $s \in 2^{l+1} \setminus \{s_i, t_i\}$ , then we set  $O_s^i := O_{s|l} \cap \bigcap_{j < i} O_s^j$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise.** (Galvin) Let  $X$  be a nonempty perfect Polish space, and  $R \subseteq X^2$  be non meager and having the Baire property. Prove that we can find copies  $C_0, C_1$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $X$  with  $C_0 \times C_1 \subseteq R$ .

## 5 Choquet and strong Choquet games

Being a Baire space can be expressed in terms of a game.

**Definition 5.1** Let  $X$  be a nonempty topological space.

(a) The **Choquet game**  $G_X$  on  $X$  is defined as follows. Players 1 and 2 take turns in playing nonempty open subsets of  $X$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1 & U_0 & U_1 \\ & & \dots \\ 2 & V_0 & V_1 \end{array}$$

in such a way that  $U_0 \supseteq V_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq V_1 \dots$ . We say that 2 **wins this run of the game** if  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n$  ( $= \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$ ) is nonempty (1 wins if  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n$  is empty).

(b) Let

$$\mathcal{T}_X := \{s \in (2^X)^{<\omega} \mid \forall i < |s| \ s(i) \text{ is a nonempty open subset of } X \wedge \forall i < |s| - 1 \ s(i) \supseteq s(i+1)\}.$$

A **strategy for 1** in  $G_X$  is a subtree  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  such that

- (1)  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$
- (2)  $\forall (U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in \sigma, \forall V_n \subseteq U_n$  nonempty open,  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n, V_n) \in \sigma$
- (3)  $\forall (U_0, V_0, \dots, U_{n-1}, V_{n-1}) \in \sigma, \exists! U_n$  nonempty open such that  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in \sigma$

Similarly, we define the notion of a **strategy for 2** in  $G_X$ .

(c) A strategy  $\sigma$  for 1 is a **winning strategy for 1** if 1 wins every run  $(U_0, V_0, U_1, V_1, \dots) \in [\sigma]$ . Similarly, we define the notion of a **winning strategy for 2** in  $G_X$ .

**Theorem 5.2** (Oxtoby) *Let  $X$  be a nonempty topological space. Then  $X$  is a Baire space if and only if player 1 has no winning strategy in the Choquet game  $G_X$ .*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $X$  is not a Baire space, and let  $U_0$  be a nonempty open subset of  $X$  and  $(O_n)$  be a sequence of dense open subsets of  $X$  whose intersection does not meet  $U_0$ . Player 1 first plays  $U_0$ . Then player 2 plays  $V_0 \subseteq U_0$ . Note that  $U_1 := V_0 \cap O_0$  is nonempty, so that 1 can play it. Then 2 plays  $V_1 \subseteq U_1$ . Note that  $U_2 := V_1 \cap O_1$  is nonempty, so that 1 can play it. And so on. Note that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \omega} O_n \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ , so that this strategy is winning for 1.

Assume now that player 1 has a winning strategy  $\sigma$  in the Choquet game  $G_X$ . Let  $U_0$  be player 1's first move according to  $\sigma$ . We will show that  $U_0$  is not Baire. We construct a nonempty pruned tree  $S \subseteq \sigma$  as follows. We determine inductively which sequences from  $\sigma$  of length  $n$  we put in  $S$ . First  $\emptyset \in S$ . If  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_{n-1}, V_{n-1}) \in S$ , then  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in S$ , for the unique  $U_n$  with  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in \sigma$ . If now  $p := (U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in S$  and  $V_n \subseteq U_n$  is nonempty open, then let  $V_n^* := U_{n+1}$  be what  $\sigma$  requires 1 to play next. Zorn's lemma gives a maximal collection  $\mathcal{V}_p$  of nonempty open subsets  $V_n \subseteq U_n$  such that  $\{V_n^* \mid V_n \in \mathcal{V}_p\}$  is pairwise disjoint. We put in  $S$  all  $(U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n, V_n, V_n^*)$  with  $V_n \in \mathcal{V}_p$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_p := \{V_n^* \mid V_n \in \mathcal{V}_p\}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint sets and  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_p$  is dense in  $U_n$ , by maximality. We now set  $W_n := \bigcup \{U_n \mid (U_0, V_0, \dots, U_n) \in S\}$ . Note that  $W_n$  is open and dense in  $U_0$  for each  $n$ . It remains to show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n = \emptyset$ . We argue by contradiction, which gives  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n$ . Then there is a unique  $(U_0, V_0, U_1, V_1, \dots) \in [S]$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$ , which contradicts the fact that  $(U_0, V_0, U_1, V_1, \dots) \in [\sigma]$  and  $\sigma$  is winning for 1.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3** *A nonempty topological space  $X$  is a **Choquet space** if player 2 has a winning strategy in the Choquet game  $G_X$ .*

By Theorem 5.2, every Choquet space is Baire. A strong version of the Choquet game will help us to characterize the Polish spaces.

**Definition 5.4** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty topological space.*

(a) *The **strong Choquet game**  $G_X^s$  on  $X$  is defined as follows. Players 1 and 2 take turns in playing points of  $X$  and nonempty open subsets of  $X$*

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 1 & x_0, U_0 & x_1, U_1 & \dots \\
 & & & \\
 2 & & V_0 & V_1
 \end{array}$$

*in such a way that  $U_0 \supseteq V_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq V_1 \dots$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ . We say that 2 **wins this run of the game** if  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n (= \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n)$  is nonempty (1 wins if  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n$  is empty).*

(b)  $X$  is a **strong Choquet space** if player 2 has a winning strategy in the strong Choquet game  $G_X^s$ .

**Exercise.** (a) Any strong Choquet space is Choquet.

(b) Every nonempty completely metrizable space is strong Choquet.

(c) Products of strong Choquet spaces are strong Choquet.

(d) Nonempty  $G_\delta$  subspaces of strong Choquet spaces are strong Choquet.

## 6 Characterization of Polish spaces

**Theorem 6.1** (Choquet) *Let  $X$  be a nonempty metrizable separable space, and  $\hat{X}$  be a Polish space in which  $X$  is dense. Then  $X$  is strong Choquet if and only if  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $\hat{X}$  if and only if  $X$  is Polish.*

**Proof.** The last equivalence is known. If  $X$  is Polish, then  $X$  is strong Choquet by the last exercise. Conversely, let  $d$  be a metric defining the topology of  $\hat{X}$ , and  $\sigma$  be a winning strategy for 2 in the strong Choquet game  $G_X^s$ . Using point-finite refinement, we can construct (as in the proof of Theorem 5.2) a tree  $S$  of sequences of the form  $(x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), x_1, (V_1, \hat{V}_1), \dots, x_n)$  or  $(x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), x_1, (V_1, \hat{V}_1), \dots, x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n))$ , where  $V_i$  is open in  $X$ ,  $\hat{V}_i$  is open in  $\hat{X}$ ,  $x_i \in \hat{V}_{i-1} \cap X$  (with  $\hat{V}_{-1} := \hat{X}$ ),  $x_i \in V_i$ ,  $\hat{V}_i \cap X \subseteq V_i$ ,  $\hat{V}_0 \supseteq \hat{V}_1 \supseteq \dots$ , and  $((x_0, X), V_0, (x_1, \hat{V}_0 \cap X), V_1, \dots)$  is compatible with  $\sigma$ , such that  $S$  additionally has the following property: for each

$$p = (x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), x_1, (V_1, \hat{V}_1), \dots, x_{n-1}, (V_{n-1}, \hat{V}_{n-1})) \in S$$

(including the empty sequence), if

$$\mathcal{V}_p := \{ \hat{V}_n \mid (x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), x_1, \dots, (V_{n-1}, \hat{V}_{n-1}), x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n)) \in S \},$$

then  $X \cap \hat{V}_{n-1} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}_p$ ,  $\text{diam}(\hat{V}_n) < 2^{-n}$  for all  $\hat{V}_n \in \mathcal{V}_p$ , and for every  $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$  there are at most finitely many  $(x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n))$  with  $(x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), \dots, (V_{n-1}, \hat{V}_{n-1}), x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n)) \in S$  and  $\hat{x} \in \hat{V}_n$ . Let  $W_n := \bigcup \{ \hat{V}_n \mid (x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), \dots, x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n)) \in S \}$ . Then  $W_n$  is open and  $X \subseteq W_n$  (as we can see by an easy induction on  $n$ ). It remains to show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n \subseteq X$ . Let  $\hat{x} \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n$ . Consider the subtree  $S_{\hat{x}}$  of  $S$  consisting of all initial segments of the sequences

$$(x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), \dots, x_n, (V_n, \hat{V}_n)) \in S$$

for which  $\hat{x} \in \hat{V}_n$ . Since  $\hat{x} \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n$ ,  $S_{\hat{x}}$  is infinite. By the preceding conditions on  $S$ , it is also finite splitting. So, by König's Lemma,  $[S_{\hat{x}}] \neq \emptyset$ . Say  $(x_0, (V_0, \hat{V}_0), \dots) \in [S_{\hat{x}}]$ . Then  $((x_0, X), V_0, (x_1, \hat{V}_0 \cap X), V_1, (x_2, \hat{V}_1 \cap X), \dots)$  is a run of  $G_X^s$  compatible with  $\sigma$ , so  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \hat{V}_n \cap X$  is not empty; thus, since  $\text{diam}(\hat{V}_n) < 2^{-n}$ ,  $\hat{x} \in X$ .  $\square$

The next characterization will be very important in the applications.

**Theorem 6.2** (Choquet) *A nonempty, second countable topological space is Polish if and only if it is  $T_1$ , regular, and strong Choquet.*

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 6.1 and the Urysohn Metrization Theorem.  $\square$

**Exercise.** (Sierpinski) Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $Y$  be a metrizable separable space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Prove that if  $f$  is continuous, open and onto, then  $Y$  is Polish.