

# Polish topologies on groups of non-singular transformations

François Le Maître<sup>†</sup>

November 15, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove several results concerning Polish group topologies on groups of non-singular transformation. We first prove that the group of measure-preserving transformations of the real line whose support has finite measure carries no Polish group topology. We then characterize the Borel  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\lambda$  on a standard Borel space for which the group of  $\lambda$ -preserving transformations has the automatic continuity property. We finally show that the natural Polish topology on the group of all non-singular transformations is actually its only Polish group topology.

## 1 Introduction

The study of measure-preserving (or more generally non-singular) transformations on a standard measured space  $(Y, \lambda)$  is broadened once one realises that such transformations form a Polish group. Indeed, the Baire category theorem is then available and so the question of generic properties of such transformations arises naturally.

As a somewhat degenerate case, one may first look at the case where the measure  $\lambda$  is completely atomic. Then  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  only acts by permuting atoms of the same measure and thus splits as a direct product of permutation groups. In the case where all the atoms have the same measure and  $\lambda$  is infinite, we get the Polish group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  of permutations of the integers. In this group, the generic permutation has only finite orbits and infinitely many orbits of size  $n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such permutations thus form a comeager conjugacy class.

Actually a much stronger property called *ample generics* holds for the Polish group  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , and this has several nice consequences as was shown by Kechris and Rosendal [KR07], among which the *automatic continuity property*.

**Definition 1.1.** A Polish group  $G$  has the **automatic continuity property** if whenever  $\pi : G \rightarrow H$  is a group homomorphism taking values in a separable topological group  $H$ , the homomorphism  $\pi$  has to be continuous.

It is well-known that as soon as  $\lambda$  has a non-atomic part, the group  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  fails to have ample generics. However, it was shown by Ben Yaacov, Berenstein and Melleray that when  $\lambda$  is a non-atomic *finite* measure,  $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$  still has the automatic continuity

---

<sup>†</sup>Research partially supported by ANR AGRUME (ANR-17-CE40-0026) and ANR AODynG (19-CE40-0008-01).

property [BYBM13]. Later on Sabok developed a framework to show automatic continuity for automorphism groups of metric structures [Sab19]. In particular, he got another proof of automatic continuity for  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ , and then Malicki simplified his approach [Mal16]. We first observe that this framework can also be applied when  $\lambda$  is infinite.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a standard Borel space equipped with a non-atomic  $\sigma$ -finite infinite measure  $\lambda$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  has the automatic continuity property.*

Note that as a concrete example for the above result, one can take  $X$  to be the reals equipped with the Lebesgue measure. In general, we can actually characterize when  $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$  has the automatic continuity as follows, where the  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity of a real  $r > 0$  is the number of atoms whose measure is equal to  $r$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  has the automatic continuity property;
- (ii) There are only finitely many positive reals whose  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity belongs to  $[2, +\infty[$ .

Let us now consider the group  $\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda)$  of non-singular transformations of  $(Y, \lambda)$ , i.e. the group of Borel bijections which preserve  $\lambda$ -null sets. If  $\lambda_{at}$  denotes the atomic part of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_{cont}$  denotes the atomless part, we see that  $\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda)$  splits as a direct product

$$\text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda) = \text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda_{at}) \times \text{Aut}^*(Y, \lambda_{cont}).$$

The group  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda_{at})$  is a permutation group, so it has the automatic continuity and thus we focus on  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda_{cont})$ , assuming that  $\lambda_{cont}$  is non-trivial. Observe that  $\lambda_{cont}$  is then equivalent to an atomless probability measure, so we may as well assume  $\lambda_{cont}$  is a probability measure. We are thus led to ask:

**Question.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a standard probability space. Does the group  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  of all non-singular transformations of  $(X, \mu)$  have the automatic continuity property ?*

The main difficulty with this question is that the framework of Sabok is not available for  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  because it cannot be the automorphism group of a complete homogeneous metric structure as was recently shown by Ben Yaacov [BY18]. While we cannot answer this question, we still manage to obtain a basic consequence of automatic continuity, namely having a unique Polish group topology.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a standard probability space. The group  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  has a unique Polish group topology, namely the weak topology.*

The techniques we use to prove the above theorem are quite standard, except for the fact that we use the automatic continuity for  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  so as to know that  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  is a Borel subgroup of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  for any Polish group topology on  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . This trick may be of use for other Polish groups.

Finally, we prove a kind of opposite result by showing that the group of all measure-preserving transformations of the real line which have finite support cannot carry any Polish group topology.

The paper is organized in two independent sections. Section 2 deals with groups of measure-preserving transformations over a  $\sigma$ -finite space. After a preliminary section, we start with the above mentioned absence of Polish group topology on the group of finite support transformations in Section 2.2. We then check that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \nu)$  has the automatic continuity property in Section 2.3, and we prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 2.4. Section 3 is finally devoted to the proof of the uniqueness of the Polish group topology of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  (Theorem 1.4).

**Remark.** Throughout the paper, we will often neglect what happens on null set without explicit mention.

## 2 Groups of transformations preserving a $\sigma$ -finite measure

### 2.1 Preliminaries

A **standard  $\sigma$ -finite space** is a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel nonatomic  $\sigma$ -finite infinite measure measure. All such spaces are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the Lebesgue measure, and we fix from now on such a standard  $\sigma$ -finite space  $(Y, \lambda)$ .

The first group we are interested in is denoted by  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  and consists of all Borel bijections  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  which preserve the measure  $\lambda$ : for all Borel  $A \subseteq Y$ , we have  $\lambda(A) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A))$ . As usual two such bijections are identified if they coincide on a conull set.

Consider the space  $\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  of finite measure Borel subsets of  $Y$  equipped with the metric  $d_\lambda(A, B) := \lambda(A \Delta B)$ , where we identify  $A$  and  $B$  if  $\lambda(A \Delta B) = 0$ . Because  $Y$  is standard and  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the metric space  $(\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$  is separable. Moreover, the Borel-Cantelli lemma yields that  $(\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$  is a complete metric space.

Now if  $(X, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, then every Borel subset has finite measure, and by definition the measure algebra  $(\text{MAlg}(X, \mu), d_\mu)$  is defined as its set of Borel subsets up to measure zero, equipped with the metric  $d_\mu(A, B) := \mu(A \Delta B)$ . If  $(Z, \nu)$  is another standard probability space, any isometry between  $(\text{MAlg}(X, \mu), d_\mu)$  and  $(\text{MAlg}(Z, \nu), d_\nu)$  sending  $\emptyset$  to  $\emptyset$  comes from a measure-preserving bijection which is unique up to a null set (see [Kec10, Sec. 1 (B)]). Using the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $(Y, \nu)$  and the above fact, we easily get the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.**  *$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is equal to the group of isometries of  $(\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda)$  which fix  $\emptyset$ .*

The above proposition implies that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is a Polish group as it is a closed subgroup of the isometry group of a separable complete metric space. The corresponding topology is called the weak topology; it is thus defined by  $T_n \rightarrow T$  iff for all  $A \subseteq Y$  of finite measure, one has

$$\lambda(T_n(A) \Delta T(A)) \rightarrow 0$$

Note that since  $\lambda(T_n(A)) = \lambda(T(A))$ , this condition is in turn equivalent to  $\lambda(T_n(A) \setminus T(A)) \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ , we define its **support** to be the following Borel set, which is only well-defined up to measure zero:

$$\text{supp } T := \{y \in Y : T(y) \neq y\}.$$

Note that we have the following relation: for all  $S, T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ ,

$$\text{supp}(STS^{-1}) = S(\text{supp } T).$$

**Definition 2.2.** The group  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  is the normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  consisting of all  $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  such that  $\lambda(\text{supp}(T)) < +\infty$ .

## 2.2 Absence of Polish group topology on $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$

### 2.2.1 Non-Polishability

Our first lemma is well-known, we provide a proof for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For all  $R > 0$ , the set of  $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  such that  $\lambda(\text{supp } T) \leq R$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Take  $T$  such that  $\lambda(\text{supp } T) > R$ , then there exists a partition of  $\text{supp } T$  in countably many sets of positive measure  $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\mu(T(A_i) \cap A_i) = 0$ . By our hypothesis, we may then find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) > R$ , and up to shrinking each  $A_i$  we may furthermore assume  $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) < +\infty$ .

Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}(\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) - R)$ . Now take  $T' \in \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  such that  $\lambda(T(A_i) \Delta T'(A_i)) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $B_i := A_i \setminus T'(A_i)$ . By construction we have  $\lambda(B_i) > \lambda(A_i) - \epsilon$ . Moreover  $T'(B_i)$  is disjoint from  $B_i$  so each  $B_i$  is contained in the support of  $T'$ , and since they are disjoint we conclude that the support of  $T'$  has measure greater than  $\lambda(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) - n\epsilon > R$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** A subgroup  $H$  of a Polish group  $G$  is called **Polishable** if it admits a Polish group topology which refines the topology of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *The subgroup  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) \leq \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is not Polishable.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  is Polishable. Then by definition its Polish group topology  $\tau$  refines the weak topology. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$F_n := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \mu) : \lambda(\text{supp } T) \leq n\}.$$

By the previous lemma, each  $F_n$  is closed in  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ . Since  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ , the Baire category theorem yields that there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_n$  has nonempty interior. Since  $\tau$  is second-countable, we deduce that  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  is covered by countably many  $F_n$ -translates. This means that  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  contains a countable set which is  $n$ -dense for the metric  $d_\lambda$  given by

$$d_\lambda(T, T') := \lambda(\{x \in Y : T(x) \neq T'(x)\}).$$

Let us explain why this cannot happen.

Fix a Borel set  $A \subseteq Y$  of measure  $2n$ , and identify  $A$  with the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  equipped with the finite measure  $3n\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Take  $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and consider  $T_z$  the translation by  $z$  in  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , which we can see through our identification as a measure preserving transformation of  $(Y, \lambda)$  supported on  $A$ . Observe that for  $z \neq z'$ , we have  $d_\lambda(T_z, T_{z'}) = 3n$ . So in  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  there is an uncountable subgroup all whose distinct elements are  $3n$ -apart for the metric  $d_\lambda$ , contradicting the fact that  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  contains a countable set which is  $n$ -dense for the metric  $d_\lambda$  by the pigeonhole principle.  $\square$

## 2.2.2 Non-existence of a Polish group topology

We now upgrade the previous theorem to see that  $G := \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  cannot carry a Polish group topology. Fortunately, the arguments we need were carried out by Kallman in [Kal85] to prove the uniqueness of the Polish topology of  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ . We reproduce them here for the convenience of the reader.

For a Borel subset  $A \subseteq Y$  we let

$$G_A := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \text{supp } T \subseteq A\}.$$

For a subset  $F \subseteq \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  we let

$$\mathcal{C}(F) := \{U \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : TU = UT \text{ for all } T \in F\}$$

denote its centraliser.

**Lemma 2.6.** *We have  $\mathcal{C}(G_A) = G_{Y \setminus A}$ .*

*Proof.* We clearly have  $G_{Y \setminus A} \leq \mathcal{C}(G_A)$ .

Take  $T \notin G_{Y \setminus A}$ . Then there exists  $B \subseteq A$  with  $T(B)$  disjoint from  $B$ . But clearly  $T$  does not commute with an element of  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  supported in  $B$ , in particular  $T \notin \mathcal{C}(G_A)$ .  $\square$

By this lemma, whenever  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff group topology on  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  the set  $G_{Y \setminus A}$  is  $\tau$ -closed. Moreover for all  $T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  and all  $A \subseteq Y$ , we have

$$G_{T(A)} = TG_AT^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Denote by  $G(A, B)$  the set of  $T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  such that  $T(A) \subseteq B$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff group topology on  $G = \text{Aut}_f(Y, Y)$ . For all  $A, B \subseteq Y$ , the set  $G(A, B)$  is  $\tau$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Observe first that  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $G_A \leq G_B$ : the direct implication is clear, conversely if  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$  then we find a transformation supported on  $A \setminus B$ , thus witnessing that  $G_A \not\leq G_B$ . By equality (1), we then have  $G(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \nu) : TG_AT^{-1} \subseteq G_B\}$ . So by the previous lemma  $G(A, B)$  is the set of all  $T \in \text{Aut}(Y, \nu)$  such that for all  $U \in G_A$ ,  $TUT^{-1}$  commutes with every element of  $G_{X \setminus B}$ . This is clearly a  $\tau$ -closed condition.  $\square$

Now take  $A \subseteq Y$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and pick  $B \subseteq Y$  containing  $A$  such that  $\lambda(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.8.**  $G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$ .

*Proof of claim.* Note that  $G_{Y \setminus A}$  is a group, and that the set  $F := \{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$  is left  $G_{Y \setminus A}$ -invariant. Moreover since  $\lambda(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$  we clearly have  $G(A, B) \subseteq F$  so  $G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B) \subseteq F$ .

For the reverse inclusion, take  $T \in F$ . Since  $\mu(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon$  and  $\mu(B \setminus A) = \epsilon$  we may find  $U \in G_{Y \setminus A}$  such that  $U(T(A) \setminus A) \subseteq B \setminus A$ . We conclude that  $UT \in G(A, B)$  so  $T \in G_{Y \setminus A} \cdot G(A, B)$ .  $\square$

The above lemma implies that if  $\tau$  is a Polish group topology on  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$ , then for all  $A \subseteq Y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{T \in \text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) : \lambda(T(A) \setminus A) \leq \epsilon\}$  is analytic (it is the pointwise product of two closed sets) hence Baire-measurable. It easily follows that the inclusion map  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is Baire-measurable, hence continuous by Pettis' lemma (see e.g. [Gao09, Thm. 2.3.2]). But this is impossible by Theorem 2.5. This proves the following result.

**Theorem 2.9.** *The group  $\text{Aut}_f(Y, \lambda)$  cannot carry a Polish group topology.*

## 2.3 Automatic continuity for $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$

Let us now briefly indicate why  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  has the automatic continuity property by checking the criteria given by Sabok [Sab19] and then simplified by Malicki [Mal16]. We won't give full details since the proofs adapt verbatim and we refer the reader to Malicki's paper for definitions of the terms used thereafter.

As explained in Section 2.1, we may view the group  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  as the group of automorphisms of the metric structure  $(\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda), d_\lambda, \Delta, \cap)$  where

- $\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  is the set of finite measure Borel subsets of  $Y$ , up to measure zero;
- $d_\lambda(A, B) = \lambda(A \Delta B)$ ;
- $\Delta$  and  $\cap$  are the usual set-theoretic operations, thus making  $(\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda), \Delta, \cap)$  a Boolean algebra (without unit).

It is well-known that  $\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  is homogeneous and complete as a metric structure.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Finite tuples of disjoint subsets of  $(Y, \lambda)$  are ample and relevant.*

*Proof.* The proof of [Mal16, Lem. 6.2] adapts verbatim. □

**Lemma 2.11.**  *$\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  locally has finite automorphisms and has the extension property.*

*Proof.* Every finitely generated substructure of  $\text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  has a unit  $X$  so that we may see it as a substructure of the measure algebra  $\text{MAlg}(X, \lambda_X)$ , which up to rescaling is the measure algebra over a standard probability space. The result then follows from [Sab19, Lem. 8.1 and Lem. 8.2]. □

As a consequence of Malicki's theorem [Mal16, Thm. 3.4], we thus have the following result.

**Theorem 2.12.** *The group  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  of measure-preserving transformations of an infinite  $\sigma$ -finite standard measured space has the automatic continuity property.*

**Corollary 2.13** (Kallman [Kal85]). *The group  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  has a unique Polish group topology.*

**Remark.** Let  $\text{MAlg}_1(Y, \lambda)$  denote the closed set of all  $A \in \text{MAlg}_f(Y, \lambda)$  whose measure is at most 1. It is easy to check that the  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ -action on  $\text{MAlg}_1(Y, \lambda)$  is approximately oligomorphic and that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is a closed subgroup of the isometry group of  $\text{MAlg}_1(Y, \lambda)$ . By [BYT16, Thm. 2.4], we conclude that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  is a Roëcke precompact Polish group.

## 2.4 A characterization of automatic continuity

We finally use the previous results to characterize automatic continuity for  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ , where  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda$ . Recall that for such a measure there are only countably many atoms and they have finite measure (by  $\sigma$ -finiteness), and that each atom is a singleton (because  $Y$  is standard). Let us say that the  **$\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity** of a positive real  $r$  is the (possibly infinite) number of atoms in  $Y$  whose measure is equal to  $r$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i)  $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$  has the automatic continuity property;

(ii) There are only finitely many positive reals whose  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity belongs to  $[2, +\infty[$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the contrapositive of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose there are infinitely many positive reals whose  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity belongs to  $[2, +\infty[$  and enumerate them as  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then if  $A_n$  is the set of atoms of measure  $r_n$ , we see that each  $A_n$  is  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$ -invariant and we thus get natural surjection

$$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \prod_n \mathfrak{S}(A_n).$$

For each  $n$ , let  $\sigma_n$  be the signature map  $\mathfrak{S}(A_n) \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . By composing our previous homomorphism with  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we get a continuous surjection  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Since the latter has  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$  distinct homomorphisms onto  $\{\pm 1\}$  (indeed each ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  provides such a homomorphism) and there are at most  $2^{\aleph_0}$  continuous homomorphisms  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , we conclude that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda)$  does not have the automatic continuity property.

We now prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $(r_i)_{i=1}^n$  be the reals whose  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity belongs to  $[2, +\infty[$  and let  $A_i$  be the set of atoms of measure  $r_i$ . Let  $(s_j)_{j \in J}$  denote the reals whose  $\lambda$ -atomic multiplicity is infinite and let  $B_j$  be the set of atoms of measure  $s_j$ . Finally, let  $\eta$  be the non-atomic part of  $\lambda$ . We then have a decomposition

$$\text{Aut}(Y, \lambda) = \text{Aut}(Y, \eta) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}(B_j)$  is equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence, viewing  $B_j$  as a discrete set.

Let us show that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i)$  and  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j)$  have automatic continuity. Since  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -finite,  $\eta$  also is. We then have three cases to check.

- If  $\eta$  is trivial,  $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$  also is and hence has automatic continuity.
- If  $\eta$  is finite,  $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$  has automatic continuity by [BYBM13, Thm. 6.2].
- If  $\eta$  is infinite,  $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$  has automatic continuity by Theorem 2.12.

The group  $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}(A_i)$  is finite and thus has automatic continuity. Finally the group  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{S}(B_j)$  is a countable product of groups with ample generics and hence has ample generics. By [KR07, Thm. 1.10] it has automatic continuity.

Since any finite product of groups with automatic continuity has automatic continuity, we conclude from (2) that  $\text{Aut}(Y, \eta)$  has the automatic continuity property.  $\square$

## 3 The group of non-singular transformations

### 3.1 Preliminaries

A **standard probability space** is a standard Borel space equipped with a Borel nonatomic probability measure. All such spaces are isomorphic, and we fix from now on such a standard probability space  $(X, \mu)$ .

A Borel bijection  $T$  of  $(X, \mu)$  is called **non-singular** if the pushforward measure  $T_*\mu$  is equivalent to  $\mu$ , that is, if for all Borel  $A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\mu(A) = 0$  if and only if

$\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = 0$ . Denote by  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  the group of non-singular Borel bijections of  $(X, \mu)$ , two such bijections being identified if they coincide up to measure zero.

The **weak topology** on  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  is a metrizable group topology defined by declaring that a sequence  $(T_n)$  of elements of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  weakly converges to  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  if for all Borel  $A \subseteq X$ , one has  $\mu(T_n(A) \Delta T(A)) \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\left\| \frac{d(T_n \mu)}{d\mu} - \frac{d(T \mu)}{d\mu} \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

We refer the reader to [DS11] for more on this topology, which is actually a Polish group topology. Our purpose here will be to show that it is the unique Polish group topology one can put on  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ .

For  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ , we define as before its **support** to be the Borel set

$$\text{supp } T := \{x \in X : T(x) \neq x\}.$$

Note that we have again the following relation: for all  $S, T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ ,  $\text{supp}(STS^{-1}) = S(\text{supp } T)$ .

We denote by  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  the group of measure-preserving transformations of  $(X, \mu)$ , which is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . Similarly to Proposition 2.1,  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  is the group of isometries of the **measure algebra**  $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$ , defined as the set of Borel subsets of  $(X, \mu)$  up to measure zero and equipped with the metric  $d_\mu(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B)$ . The following theorem of Ben Yaacov, Berenstein and Melleray will imply it is always a Borel subset regardless of the Polish group topology we put on  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (see [BYBM13, Thm. 6.3]). *Every homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu) \rightarrow H$  where  $H$  is a separable topological group has to be continuous.*

Finally, we will need the following kind of converse of the fact that elements of  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  preserve the measure: given two subsets  $A, B \subseteq X$  of the same measure, there exists  $T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  supported on  $A \cup B$  such that  $T(A) = B$  up to measure 0.

## 3.2 Uniqueness of the Polish group topology of $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The weak topology is the unique Polish group topology on the group  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us fix a countable dense subalgebra of  $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$  and enumerate it as  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} := \left\{ T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : \mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

Let us first show that the Borel group structure of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  is generated by the subsets  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ .

First note that since  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  acts continuously on  $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$ , we have that each  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  is closed, hence Borel.

By density of  $(A_n)$  in  $\text{MAlg}(X, \mu)$ , we have that  $(\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k})_{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable *separating* family of Borel subsets of the standard Borel space  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . We conclude that  $(\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k})_{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  generates the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ .



Let now  $\tau$  be a Polish group topology on  $\mathbb{G} := \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . To conclude that  $\tau$  is the weak topology, it suffices to show that each  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  is  $\tau$ -Baire-measurable. We need a few easy lemmas already present in the previous section.

For a Borel subset  $A \subseteq X$ , we let  $\mathbb{G}_A$  denote the group of  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  such that  $\text{supp } T \subseteq A$ . For a subset  $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{B})$  denote its centraliser. We now repeat the short proofs of Lemma 2.6 and 2.7.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For all  $A \subseteq X$  we have  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A) = \mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A}$ . In particular  $\mathbb{G}_A$  is  $\tau$ -closed.*

*Proof.* We clearly have  $\mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A} \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A)$ .

Take  $T \notin \mathbb{G}_{X \setminus A}$ . Then there exists  $B \subseteq A$  with  $T(B)$  disjoint from  $B$ . But clearly  $T$  does not commute with any nontrivial element of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  supported in  $B$ , in particular  $T \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_A)$ .  $\square$

Note that for all  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \lambda)$  and all  $A \subseteq X$ , we have again  $\mathbb{G}_{T(A)} = T\mathbb{G}_AT^{-1}$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$  the set of  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  such that  $T(A) \subseteq B$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For all  $A, B \subseteq X$ , the set  $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$  is  $\tau$ -closed.*

*Proof.* By the equality (1), we have  $\mathbb{G}(A, B) = \{T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : T^{-1}\mathbb{G}_AT \subseteq \mathbb{G}_B\}$ . So by the previous lemma  $\mathbb{G}(A, B)$  is the set of all  $T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$  such that for all  $U \in \mathbb{G}_A$ ,  $TUT^{-1}$  commutes with every element of  $\mathbb{G}_{X \setminus B}$ . This is clearly a  $\tau$ -closed condition.  $\square$

We now make a crucial remark which relies on the automatic continuity property for  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $\mathbb{H}_A = \{T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu) : \text{supp } T \subseteq A\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{H}_A$  is a  $\tau$ -Borel subset of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* By the automatic continuity property for  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ , we know that  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  has to be a  $\tau$ -Borel subset of  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . But  $\mathbb{H}_A = \mathbb{G}_A \cap \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  and by Lemma 3.3 we have that  $\mathbb{G}_A$  is closed, so  $\mathbb{H}_A$  is Borel.  $\square$

**Remark.** We could replace  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  by the full group of any measure-preserving ergodic equivalence relation on  $(X, \mu)$  in our argument. Indeed such a group also has the automatic continuity property by a result of Kittrell and Tsankov [KT10], and acts transitively on sets of equal measure.

Let  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we finally prove that the set

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \left\{ T \in \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu) : \mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}$$

is  $\tau$ -Baire-measurable. We may assume that  $\mu(A_m) < 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$  because otherwise  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ . Let  $B$  a Borel set containing  $A_m$  such that  $\mu(B) = \mu(A_m) + \frac{1}{2^k}$ .

**Claim.** We have  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k} = \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$

*Proof of claim.* Note that  $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$  is a group, and that  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  is left  $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$ -invariant. Moreover since  $\mu(B \setminus A_m) = \frac{1}{2^k}$  we clearly have  $\mathbb{G}(A_n, B) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  so  $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ .

For the reverse inclusion, take  $T \in \mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$ . Since  $\mu(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$  and  $\mu(B \setminus A_m) = \frac{1}{2^k}$  we may find  $U \in \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$  such that  $U(T(A_n) \setminus A_m) \subseteq B \setminus A_m$ . We conclude that  $UT \in \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$  so  $T \in \mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m} \cdot \mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 3.4 the set  $\mathbb{G}(A_n, B)$  is  $\tau$ -closed, while by Lemma 3.5 the set  $\mathbb{H}_{X \setminus A_m}$  is  $\tau$ -Borel. Being the pointwise product of two Borel sets, the set  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  is analytic, hence Baire-measurable.

We can then conclude the proof in a standard manner: since the sets  $\mathbb{B}_{n,m,k}$  generate the  $\sigma$ -algebra of the weak topology  $w$  on  $\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu)$ , the identity map  $(\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu), \tau) \rightarrow (\text{Aut}^*(X, \mu), w)$  is continuous by Pettis' lemma [Gao09, Thm. 2.3.2]. Being injective, its inverse is Borel by the Lusin-Suslin theorem [Kec95, Thm. 15.1], and thus continuous as well by one last application of Pettis' lemma.  $\square$

## References

- [BY18] Itai Ben Yaacov. On a Roelcke-precompact Polish group that cannot act transitively on a complete metric space. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 224(1):105–132, April 2018.
- [BYBM13] Itai Ben Yaacov, Alexander Berenstein, and Julien Melleray. Polish topometric groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365(7):3877–3897, 2013.
- [BYT16] Itai Ben Yaacov and Todor Tsankov. Weakly almost periodic functions, model-theoretic stability, and minimality of topological groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(11):8267–8294, 2016.
- [DS11] Alexandre I. Danilenko and Cesar E. Silva. Ergodic Theory: Non-singular Transformations. In Robert A. Meyers, editor, *Mathematics of Complexity and Dynamical Systems*, pages 329–356. Springer, New York, NY, 2011.
- [Gao09] Su Gao. *Invariant Descriptive Set Theory*, volume 293 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton)*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [Kal85] Robert R. Kallman. Uniqueness results for groups of measure preserving transformations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 95(1):87–90, 1985.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kec10] Alexander S. Kechris. *Global Aspects of Ergodic Group Actions*, volume 160 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [KR07] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal. Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 94(2):302–350, March 2007.
- [KT10] John Kittrell and Todor Tsankov. Topological properties of full groups. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 30(2):525–545, April 2010.
- [Mal16] Maciej Malicki. Consequences of the existence of ample generics and automorphism groups of homogeneous metric structures. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 81(3):876–886, September 2016.

- [Sab19] Marcin Sabok. Automatic continuity for isometry groups. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 18(3):561–590, May 2019.