

Approximation by rational numbers

Ex. 1. $\sqrt{2}$ has good approximations: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad (1 \pm \sqrt{2})^n = p_n \pm 2^n \sqrt{2} \Rightarrow p_n^2 - 2 \cdot 2^{2n} = (-1)^n$
 $\Rightarrow \left(\frac{p_{2n}}{2^{2n}} - \sqrt{2} \right) \left(\frac{p_{2n}}{2^{2n}} + \sqrt{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \quad , \quad \left| \frac{p_{2n}}{2^{2n}} - \sqrt{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} \cdot 2^{2n}}$

Ex. 2. $\sqrt{2}$ does not have very good approximations: $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad |p^2 - 2q^2| \geq 1 \Rightarrow$
 $\left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{2} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{2} \right)}$. If $\left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{2} \right| < c \Rightarrow \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{2} < 2\sqrt{2} + c \Rightarrow \left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{2} \right| > \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{2} + c)q^2}$

Important general principle: $a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow |a| \geq 1$ (above, $a = p^2 - 2q^2$)

Def. $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic number of degree $n \geq 1$ if $\exists f \in \mathbb{Q}[X], \deg(f) = n, f(\alpha) = 0$, but $\forall g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of $\deg(g) < n \quad g(\alpha) \neq 0$ (f is then unique up to \mathbb{Q}^\times).

Thm (Liouville) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic of degree $n \geq 2$, then \exists explicit constant $c(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0 \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{c(\alpha)}{q^n}$. (*)

Pf. If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow |\alpha - p/q| \geq |\text{Im}(\alpha)| > 0$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f = a_0 X^n + \dots + a_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X], a_0 \neq 0, f(\alpha) = 0$. If $|\alpha - p/q| \geq 1$, then we can take $c(\alpha) = 1$. If $|\alpha - p/q| \leq 1$, then $f(\alpha) - f(p/q) = (\alpha - p/q) f'(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, |\beta - \alpha| \leq 1$. But $f(\alpha) = 0, 0 \neq q^n f(p/q) = a_0 p^n + \dots + a_n q^n \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow |q^n f(p/q)| \geq 1 \Rightarrow |\alpha - p/q| \geq \frac{1}{2^n |f'(\beta)|}$
 \Rightarrow result for $c(\alpha) = \min(1, 1 / \sup_{|\beta - \alpha| \leq 1} |f'(\beta)|)$.

Cor. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and if $\forall k \geq 1 \exists p_k, q_k \in \mathbb{Z}, q_k > 0$ with $|\alpha - p_k/q_k| < 1/q_k^{N_k}$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} N_k = +\infty$, then α is not algebraic (Ex: $\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 1} 10^{-n!}$).

For $n \geq 3$, the exponent n in (*) can be improved to $n/2 + 1 + \varepsilon$ (Thue, 1909), $2\sqrt{n} + \varepsilon$ (Siegel 1921), $\sqrt{2n} + \varepsilon$ (Dyson, Gelfond, 1947) and $2 + \varepsilon$ (Roth, 1955 - Fields medal!), $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

Unfortunately, the corresponding constant $c(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ can be made effective only for very special algebraic numbers α .

Dirichlet's Box Principle: given M objects in N boxes, with $M > N$
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ box with $\geq M/N > 1$ objects.

Thm (Dirichlet). $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \forall M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq q \leq M \quad |2\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{M} \quad (\Rightarrow \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{2q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q})$

Pf. Divide $[0, 1)$ into M boxes $[0, 1) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{M-1} [i/M, (i+1)/M)$ and consider the $M+1$ numbers $\{j\alpha\} = j\alpha - [j\alpha] \in [0, 1) \quad (j=0, \dots, M)$. The Box Principle $\Rightarrow \exists j \neq j', 0 \leq j, j' \leq M, \exists i \quad \{j\alpha\}, \{j'\alpha\} \in [i/M, (i+1)/M)$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \leq \{j'\alpha\} - \{j\alpha\} < 1/M$. Take $q = |j' - j|$ and $p = \text{sgn}(j' - j) ([j'\alpha] - [j\alpha])$;
 then $|2\alpha - p| = |\{j'\alpha\} - \{j\alpha\}| < 1/M$.

Cor. (the simplest case of Kronecker's thm) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} : $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \exists p \in \mathbb{Z} |\alpha - \beta - p/q| < \varepsilon$.

Pf. If $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \geq 2$, $1/M < \varepsilon$, then $\exists z_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\{z_1 \alpha\} < 1/M$.
As $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $0 < \{z_1 \alpha\} \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}_+ |k \{z_1 \alpha\} - \{p\}| < 1/M \Rightarrow z \equiv kz_1$ satisfies $\{z\alpha - p\} < 1/M < \varepsilon$.

Notation: (1) for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $((x)) = \min_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - p| \in [0, 1/2]$ be the distance to the nearest integer.

(2) $\mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \supset \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}^n}_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \{a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \supset \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*}_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}}$
the dual space to \mathbb{R}^n
 $ax = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_1^n a_i x_i$, $\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$, $\|a\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|$
 $((x)) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} ((x_i))$, $((a)) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} ((a_i))$ (the L^{∞} -norm)

(3) The rows $A_1, \dots, A_m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ of a matrix $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ are linear forms
 $A_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto A_i x = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j$

Simultaneous approximations.

Thm. $\forall A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) \forall M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \|x\|_{\infty} \leq M^{m/n}, ((Ax)) < 1/M$.

Pf. Decompose $[0,1]^m$ into M^m boxes $\prod_{j=1}^m [i_j/M, (i_j+1)/M]$ $i_j = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$
let $k = \lfloor M^{m/n} \rfloor$; then $k+1 > M^{m/n}$, $(k+1)^n > M^m$. Consider the $(k+1)^n$ values $\{Ax\} \in [0,1]^m$ for $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}^n$. The Box Principle $\Rightarrow \exists x' \neq x'' \in \{0, \dots, k\}^n$ for which $\{Ax'\}, \{Ax''\}$ are in the same box $\Rightarrow x = x' - x'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq k$, $((Ax)) < 1/M$.

Thm'. $\forall A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{>1} \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \|x\|_{\infty} \leq t, ((Ax)) < 1/t^{n/m}$.

Ex 1: ($m=1$) $\forall A = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \forall t > 1 \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \|x\|_{\infty} \leq t, ((\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j)) < 1/t^n$

Ex 2: ($n=1$) $\forall A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m \forall t > 1 \exists x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} ((\alpha_1 x)), \dots, ((\alpha_m x)) < 1/t^{1/m}$

Pf. Apply the following variant of Minkowski's thm for parallelograms to $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ -A & I_m \end{pmatrix}$, $l = m+n$, $t_1 = \dots = t_n = t > 1 > t_{n+1} = \dots = t_{n+m} = 1/t^{n/m}$; set $z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+n} \setminus \{0\}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq t, \|-Ax + y\|_{\infty} < 1/t^{n/m} (\Rightarrow x \neq 0)$.

Thm. $\forall \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{A}_l \end{pmatrix} \in GL_l(\mathbb{R}) \forall \varepsilon_i \in \{1, \dots, l\} \forall t_1, \dots, t_l > 0$ such that $\prod_{j=1}^l t_j \geq |\det(\tilde{A})| \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^l \setminus \{0\} \forall i \neq i_0 |\tilde{A}_i z| < t_i, |\tilde{A}_{i_0} z| \leq t_{i_0}$.

$\left[\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \text{ vol } \{z \in \mathbb{R}^l \mid \forall i \neq i_0 |\tilde{A}_i z| < t_i, |\tilde{A}_{i_0} z| < t_{i_0}(1+1/k)\} = 2^l (1 + \frac{1}{k}) \prod_{j=1}^l t_j |\det(\tilde{A})|^{-1} > 2^l \right]$
Minkowski
 $\Rightarrow \exists \neq z_k \in \mathbb{Z}^l \cap (-\cdot -); \{z_k\}$ contains some $z \in \mathbb{Z}^l \setminus \{0\}$ for ∞ many k .

The exponent n/m in Thm' cannot be improved! Here is the proof if $n=1$:

Thm (Easy part of Khintchine's thm, 1926). Given functions $f_1, \dots, f_m: \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$, let $f(q) = f_1(q) \dots f_m(q)$. If $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} f(q) < \infty$

(example: $f_i(q) = 1/q^{1/m+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$), then

$\mu(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^* \mid \exists \infty \text{ many } q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \text{ such that } \forall i=1, \dots, m \text{ } (\{q\alpha_i\}) \leq f_i(q)\}) = 0$
 the Lebesgue measure.

Pf. Enough to consider $\alpha \in [0, 1]^m = X$. We apply the

Borel-Cantelli Lemma: if $B_1, B_2, \dots \subset X$ are measurable subsets

such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_k) < \infty$, then $C = \{\alpha \in X \mid \exists \infty \text{ many } k \text{ such that } \alpha \in B_k\}$
 ($= \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$, $C_j = \bigcup_{k \geq j} B_k$) satisfies $\mu(C) = 0$.

$$\left[C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots \Rightarrow \forall j_0 \quad \mu(C) \leq \mu(C_{j_0}) = \sum_{k \geq j_0} \mu(B_k) < \varepsilon \text{ if } j_0 \geq N(\varepsilon) \right]$$

so $B_k = \{x \in X \mid \forall i=1, \dots, m \text{ } (\{k\alpha_i\}) \leq f_i(k)\}$. Each B_k is covered
 (up to translates by elements of \mathbb{Z}^m) by $\prod_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{N_i - f_i(k)}{k}, \frac{N_i + f_i(k)}{k} \right]$,
 hence $\mu(B_k) = k^{-m} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{2f_i(k)}{k} = 2^m f(k) \Rightarrow \sum \mu(B_k) < \infty$.

The difficult part of Khintchine's Thm: if $f_1 = \dots = f_m: (\mathbb{Z}^m) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$
 is continuous, $x f_1(x)^m$ is decreasing, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x f_1(x)^m = 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} f_1(x)^m dx = \infty$, then
 $\mu(\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in [0, 1]^m \mid \exists \infty \text{ many } q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \text{ such that } \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\{q\alpha_i\}) \leq f_1(q)\}) = 1$

Ex: $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{(x \ln(x))^{1/m}}$

Duality (=transference) results

Khintchine observed (1925, 1926) that there is a relation between approximation properties of $(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\alpha_i x_i)$.

Dyson (1947) generalised this to the case of approximations of (Ax) ($x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) and (x^*A) ($x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^*$), for a given matrix $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$.

The proofs used Dirichlet's Box Principle. Mahler (1939, 19) gave a more elegant treatment based on Minkowski's Theorem for parallelograms (reproduced below) and another, more conceptual argument, relying on a variant of Minkowski's 2nd Theorem (on successive minima) and its behaviour under duality.

Proposition (Mahler). Given $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in GL_\ell(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{B}\tilde{A} \in M_\ell(\mathbb{Z})$, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|\tilde{A}z\|_\infty \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \exists z^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^\ell)^* \setminus \{0\} \quad \|\tilde{B}z^*\|_\infty \leq (\ell-1)(\lambda |\det \tilde{B}|)^{\frac{1}{\ell-1}}$.

Pf.: let \tilde{A}_i (resp. \tilde{B}_i) be the rows (resp. columns) of \tilde{A} (resp. \tilde{B}):

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{A}_\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = (\tilde{B}_1 | \dots | \tilde{B}_\ell).$$

We can assume that $\lambda = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} |\tilde{A}_i z| = |\tilde{A}_\ell z|$.

the matrix $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{B}_1 | \dots | \tilde{B}_{\ell-1} | \tilde{B}\tilde{A}z) \in M_\ell(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\det(\tilde{C}) = \det(\tilde{B})(\tilde{A}_\ell z) \neq 0$.

The parallelogram $\tilde{K} = \{z^* \in (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^* \mid \forall i \neq \ell \quad |z^* \tilde{C}_i| \leq |\det(\tilde{C})|^{1/(\ell-1)}, |z^* \tilde{C}_\ell| < 1\}$ has $\text{vol}(\tilde{K}) = 2^\ell \xrightarrow{\text{Minkowski}} \exists z^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^\ell)^* \setminus \{0\} \cap \tilde{K}$. But $z^* \tilde{C}_\ell = z^* \tilde{B}\tilde{A}z \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 0 = (z^* \tilde{B})(\tilde{A}z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (z^* \tilde{B})_i (\tilde{A}z)_i \Rightarrow |(z^* \tilde{B})_\ell| \leq (\ell-1) |\det(\tilde{C})|^{1/(\ell-1)}$.

Cor. Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, $0 < u < 1 < t$. If $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \|x\|_\infty \leq t, (Ax) \leq u \Rightarrow \exists x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^* \setminus \{0\} \quad \|x^*\|_\infty \leq t^* = Cu^{-1}, (x^*A) \leq u^* = Ct^{-1}, C = (\ell-1)(t^n u^m)^{1/(\ell-1)}$
 $\ell = (m+n)$

Pf. Apply Prop. to $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ -A & I_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ A & I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \cdot I_n & 0 \\ 0 & u I_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = 1$.

By assumption, $\exists 0 \neq z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+n} \quad (x \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{Z}^m), \quad \|t^{-1}x\|_\infty \leq 1, \|u^{-1}(-Ax+y)\|_\infty \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|\tilde{A}z\|_\infty \leq 1 \xrightarrow{\text{Prop.}} \exists 0 \neq z^* = (-y^*, x^*) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^m)^*, \|\tilde{B}z^*\|_\infty \leq (\ell-1)(t^n u^m)^{1/(\ell-1)} = C \Rightarrow \|ux^*\|_\infty, \|t(x^*A - y^*)\|_\infty \leq C \Rightarrow \|x^*\|_\infty \leq t^*, (x^*A) \leq u^*$.

If $x^* \neq 0$, we are done. If $x^* = 0 \Rightarrow y^* \neq 0 \Rightarrow 1 \leq \|y^*\|_\infty \leq u^*$.

As $t^* = (\ell-1) t^{n/(\ell-1)} u^{(m-n)/(\ell-1)} > 1$, we can take $x^* = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Def. $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is badly approximable if $\exists c(A) > 0$ such that
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \|(Ax)\| \geq c(A) / \|x\|_\infty^{n/m}$.

Ex: $(m=n=1) \quad \alpha = \sqrt{2}$

Exercise: $\forall l \geq 2 \quad (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2, \dots, (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^{l-1}) \in M_{1,l-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is badly approximable:
 $\forall (z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l-1} \setminus \{0\} \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^j \right) \geq \text{const}(l) \cdot \max(|z_1|, \dots, |z_{l-1}|)^{-(l-1)}$

Thm. A is badly approximable $\iff {}^t A \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ is badly approximable.

Pf: If ${}^t A$ is badly approximable $\implies \exists c > 0$ such that t^*, u^* in Cor.

above satisfy $t^{*m} u^{*n} \geq c$; but $(t^{*m} u^{*n})^{m+n-1} = (\text{const.}) (t^n u^m)$

\implies taking $t = \|x\|_\infty$, $u = \|(Ax)\|$ we obtain $\|(Ax)\| \geq (\text{const}) / \|x\|_\infty^{n/m}$.

Thm. (Chincin $(m=1$ or $n=1)$, 1925, 1926; Dyson in general, 1947).

For each $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, the numbers

$w = \sup \{ \eta \geq 0 \mid \exists \infty \text{ many } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \|(Ax)\| < 1 / \|x\|_\infty^{\frac{n}{m}(1+\eta)} \}$

$w^* = \sup \{ \eta^* \geq 0 \mid \exists \infty \text{ many } x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^\vee \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \|(x^* A)\| < 1 / \|x^*\|_\infty^{\frac{m}{n}(1+\eta^*)} \}$

satisfy $w^* \geq \frac{w}{(n-1)w + (m+n-1)}$, $w \geq \frac{w^*}{(m-1)w^* + (m+n-1)}$.

Pf. Exercise (using Cor. above).

Def: A is very well approximable if $w > 0$. $w^* > 0$

Cor. " $\iff {}^t A$ is very well approximable.

Rmk: As in the case $n=1$, the set of very well approximable matrices has measure zero (with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{mn}$).

References:

J.W.S. Cassels, An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, CUP, 1957, ch. II

W. Schmidt, Diophantine Approximation, Lecture Notes in Maths. 785, Springer 1980, ch. II, IV.

Existence of approximations \Rightarrow non-existence of very good approximations

Prop. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there exist integers $P_n, Q_n \in \mathbb{Z} (n \geq 1)$ such that $Q_n \neq 0, \alpha \neq P_n/Q_n, \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |Q_n|}{n} \leq a, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |Q_n \alpha - P_n|}{n} = -b$ ($a, b > 0$).

Then: $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $\forall \mu > \frac{a}{b} \exists q_0(\mu), c(\mu) > 0 \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|q| \geq q_0(\mu) \quad |q\alpha - p| \geq \frac{c(\mu)}{|q|^\mu}$.

Rmk: existence of $p_n, q_n (n \geq 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|q_n \alpha - p_n|}{|q_n|} \Rightarrow a \geq b$.

Pf. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{a}{b} + 1\right) \leq \mu + 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{a}{b} < \mu$ and define $f(x) = e^{(1-\varepsilon)bx}$ ($x \geq 0$). By definition of a and b ,

$\exists N_0(\varepsilon) \forall n \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$

$$(1) |Q_n| \leq e^{(1+\varepsilon)an} = f(n)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{a}{b}} < f(n)^\mu$$

$$(2) f(n) = e^{(1-\varepsilon)bn} \leq \frac{1}{|Q_n \alpha - P_n|} \leq e^{(1+\varepsilon)bn} = f(n)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \Rightarrow \frac{|Q_n|}{|Q_n \alpha - P_n|} \leq f(n)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{a}{b} + 1\right)} \leq f(n)^{\mu+1}$$

Assume that $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, |q| \geq \frac{1}{2} f(N_0(\varepsilon))$. Let $n > N_0(\varepsilon)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{2} f(n-1) \leq |q| \leq \frac{1}{2} f(n).$$

Case 1. $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{P_n}{Q_n}$

$$|q\alpha - p| = \frac{|q|}{|Q_n|} |Q_n \alpha - P_n| \geq \frac{f(n-1)/2}{f(n)^{\mu+1}} = \frac{1}{2 e^{(1-\varepsilon)b(\mu+1)}} \frac{1}{f(n-1)^\mu} \geq \frac{1}{2 e^{(1-\varepsilon)b\mu}} |q|^{-\mu}$$

Case 2. $\frac{p}{q} \neq \frac{P_n}{Q_n}$

$$1 \leq |P_n q - Q_n p| = |Q_n (q\alpha - p) - q (Q_n \alpha - P_n)|$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |q| \cdot |q\alpha - p| &\leq \frac{1}{2} f(n) \\ |Q_n \alpha - P_n| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow |q\alpha - p| \geq \frac{1}{2|Q_n|}$$

$$|q\alpha - p| \geq \frac{1}{2|Q_n|} \geq \frac{1}{2 f(n)^\mu} = \frac{1}{2 e^{(1-\varepsilon)b\mu} f(n-1)^\mu} \geq \frac{1}{2} (2 e^{(1-\varepsilon)b\mu})^{-\mu} |q|^{-\mu}$$

Note: an effective upper bound on $N_0(\varepsilon)$

\Downarrow

" " " " on $q_0(\mu)$

Closed subgroups of \mathbb{R}^m and Kronecker's Theorem

See C.L. Siegel, lectures on the Geometry of Numbers, Springer, 1989, ~~VI~~ ^{lect. VI}.

Thm (The special case $n=1$ of Kronecker's Thm). If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , then

$$\forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \exists p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i=1, \dots, m \quad |q\alpha_i - \beta_i - p_i| < \varepsilon$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{ " " " " } \forall i=1, \dots, m \quad ((q\alpha_i - \beta_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

Rmks: (1) If there exists a non-trivial relation $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$), then the condition $\forall i \quad (q\alpha_i - \beta_i) < \varepsilon$ implies that $((\sum_{i=1}^m t_i \beta_i)) < \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m |t_i|$, hence any m -tuple β_1, \dots, β_m with the property $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i \quad ((q\alpha_i - \beta_i)) < \varepsilon$ must satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) A more general version of Kronecker's Thm (see below) implies that the necessary condition on β_1, \dots, β_m given in (1) is also sufficient.

(3) Exercise: Thm above is equivalent to thm'. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , then $\forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists t \in \mathbb{R} \quad ((t\alpha_i - \beta_i)) < \varepsilon$.

(4) Notation: for a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, denote by \bar{X} its closure (the intersection of all closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^m containing X). A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is dense if $\bar{X} = \mathbb{R}^m$ ($\Leftrightarrow \forall$ non-empty open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ we have $U \cap X \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists x \in X \quad \|x - \beta\|_\infty < \varepsilon$). More generally, $\beta \in \bar{X} \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists x \in X \quad \|x - \beta\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

(5) As a result, the conclusion of Thm above amounts to saying that the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^m + \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^m .

(6) One can also consider the quotient space $\mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ with the quotient topology: a subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ is open \Leftrightarrow its inverse image $pr^{-1}(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ (under the projection $pr: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$) is open. These are also the open sets given by the metric $d(pr(x), pr(x')) = ((x - x'))$. The bijective map $E: \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow U(1)^m = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \mid z_j \in \mathbb{C}, |z_j| = 1\}$
 $x \mapsto (e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_m})$
 the open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ correspond to the open subsets of the torus $U(1)^m = (S^1)^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ (intersections of $U(1)^m$ with open subsets of \mathbb{C}^m).

(7) The conclusion of Thm above then says that $\text{pr}(\mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix})$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$.

Auxiliary Thm. Let V be an \mathbb{R} -vector space, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) < \infty$. If $H \subset (V, +)$ is an additive subgroup of V , then there exist direct sum decompositions
 $H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \{0\}$, where (1) $V_i \subset V$ is an \mathbb{R} -vector subspace,
 $\cap \quad \cap \quad \cap \quad \cap$ (2) H_0 is dense in V_0
 $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ (3) H_1 is a lattice in V_1

Cor. Closed subgroups $H \subset (V, +)$ are of the form $H = V_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \{0\}$,

where $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ as above and $H_1 \subset V_1$ is a lattice.

(in concrete terms, \exists \mathbb{R} -basis e_1, \dots, e_m of V such that

$$H = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}e_s \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_{s+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_r, \text{ for some } 0 \leq s \leq r \leq m = \dim(V).$$

Prop. - Def. For a subgroup $H \subset (V, +)$ ($\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) < \infty$), let

$F(H) = \{ f \in V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R}) \mid f(H) \subset \mathbb{Z} \}$; then the closure \overline{H} of H is equal to $\{ v \in V \mid \forall f \in F(H) \ f(v) \in \mathbb{Z} \} =: H'$.

Pf. \overline{H} is again a subgroup of V : if $v, v' \in \overline{H} \Rightarrow \exists \{v_k\}, \{v'_k\} \subset H$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k = v, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v'_k = v' \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (v_k - v'_k) = v - v' \Rightarrow v - v' \in \overline{H}$.

By definition, $H \subset H'$ and $\overline{H} \subset H'$. If $v \in V, v \notin \overline{H}$, write \overline{H} as in Cor. above:

$\overline{H} \not\subset v = \sum_1^m t_i e_i$, with either \bullet $t_i \neq 0$ for some $i > r \Rightarrow e_i^* \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{t_i} = f \in F(H)$,
 $f(v) = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow v \notin H'$

or \bullet $t_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for some $i \in \{s+1, \dots, r\} \Rightarrow f = e_i^* \in F(H)$,
 $f(v) = t_i \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow v \notin H'$.

Therefore $v \notin \overline{H} \Rightarrow v \notin H'$, hence $H' \subset \overline{H}$.

Thm (Kronecker) Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, let

$A(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be the subgroup generated by the columns of A . Then:

(1) the closure of $\mathbb{Z}^m + A(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is equal to

$\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \forall x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^*$ such that $x^* A \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ we have $x^* y \in \mathbb{Z} \}$.

(2) $\mathbb{Z}^m + A(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m \iff \text{pr}(A(\mathbb{Z}^n))$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$

$$\{ x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^* \mid x^* A \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \} = \{ (0, \dots, 0) \} \iff \forall x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^* \setminus \{0\} \ x^* A \notin (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*.$$

Ex: if $n=1$, then $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}$ and $\left[\mathbb{Z}^m + \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix} \right]$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^m \iff \forall x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^* \setminus \{0\}$
 $\left[\sum_1^m x_i \alpha_i \notin \mathbb{Z} \right]$

Pr: (1) $F(\mathbb{Z}^m) = (\mathbb{Z}^m)^*$, $F(A(\mathbb{Z}^n)) = \{x^* \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^* \mid x^*A \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*\} \Rightarrow$
 $F(\mathbb{Z}^m + A(\mathbb{Z}^n)) = F(\mathbb{Z}^m) \cap F(A(\mathbb{Z}^n)) = \{x^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^* \mid \text{---} \text{---} \text{---}\}$; apply
 Prop. for $H = \mathbb{Z}^m + A(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The statement (2) follows from (1).

It remains to prove the Auxiliary Thm above (in fact, its Corollary would suffice). So, let $H \subset (V, +)$ be a subgroup, $m = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) < \infty$.
 For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $H(\varepsilon) =$ the subgroup of H generated by $H \cap \{v \in V \mid \|v\| < \varepsilon\}$
 $V(\varepsilon) =$ the \mathbb{R} -vector space " " " " " "

$$V_0 := \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} V(\varepsilon), \quad W := \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} V(\varepsilon) \supset H = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} H(\varepsilon), \quad s = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0), \quad r = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(W).$$

If $s=0 \Rightarrow H$ is a ~~discrete~~ discrete subgroup of W containing a basis
 $\Rightarrow H$ is a lattice in $W \Rightarrow$ result.

If $s > 0$: Claim 1: $H \cap V_0$ is dense in V_0 : indeed, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \varepsilon' > 0$ such that
 $s\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ and $V(\varepsilon') = V_0 \Rightarrow \exists v_1, \dots, v_s \in H(\varepsilon')$ such that $V_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{R}v_i$.
 $\forall v \in V_0 \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i v_i = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^s [\lambda_i] v_i}_w + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^s \{\lambda_i\} v_i}_{v-w}, \quad w \in H \cap V_0, \|v-w\| \leq s\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$
 $\Rightarrow v \in \overline{H \cap V_0}$.

If $s=r$: H is dense in $V_0 \Rightarrow$ result.

If $0 < r-s=q$: $\exists w_1, \dots, w_q \in H$ such that v_1, \dots, v_s (as above), w_1, \dots, w_q
 is an \mathbb{R} -basis of $W = V_0 \oplus W_1$, $W_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^q \mathbb{R}w_j$. let $p_0: W \rightarrow V_0$, $p_1: W \rightarrow W_1$
 be the corresponding projections.

Claim 2: $p_1(H) \subset W_1$ is a discrete subgroup (\Rightarrow a lattice in W_1).

Indeed, if not, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists h \in H \quad 0 < \|p_1(h)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. But $\overline{H \cap V_0} = V_0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists h' \in H \cap V_0 \quad \|p_0(h) - h'\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\|h - h'\|}_{h \notin V_0} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow h - h' \in H, h - h' \notin V_0$
 impossible for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

So $\exists H_1 \subset H$, $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^q$ such that $p_1(H) = p_1(H_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^q \subset W_1$ (Lattice)
 $\Rightarrow H = (H \cap V_0) \oplus H_1$, as claimed.

Remarks: (1) H. Weyl (1916) proved an equidistribution result
 for $\text{pr}(A(\mathbb{Z}^n)) \subset \text{pr}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}^m + A(\mathbb{Z}^n)})$, using Fourier series.

(2) H. Bohr gave three closely related analytic proofs
 of Thm' above. The most elegant one involves an analysis
 of $\limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i (t\alpha_j - \beta_j)} \right|^p$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $p \gg 0$.

See K. Chandrasekharan, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer, 1968
 ch. VIII.

(3) Thms above are closely related to Pontryagin duality.