

# ON THE ARC-WISE CONNECTION RELATION IN THE PLANE

GABRIEL DEBS AND JEAN SAINT RAYMOND

ABSTRACT. We prove that the arc-wise connection relation in a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  subset of the plane is Borel.

Let  $X$  be a separable metrizable space. By an *arc* we mean as usual a compact space homeomorphic to the unit interval  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ . We recall that the set  $\mathcal{J}(X)$  of all arcs in  $X$  is a Borel subset of the space  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  of all compact subsets of  $X$  in the Vietoris topology (see [2]). In particular if  $X$  is a Polish space then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is Polish too, hence  $\mathcal{J}(X)$  is an absolute Borel space, and it follows that the arc-wise connectedness equivalence relation  $E_X$  in  $X$  is analytic.

In [3] Kunen and Starbird constructed a compact connected set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with an (analytic) non Borel arc component, hence with  $E_K$  non Borel. This result can be strengthened in various directions, for example one can impose that all components of  $K$  are non Borel ([4]) or that all components of  $K$  are Borel but  $E_K$  is non Borel ([1]). However in all these constructions working in a three dimensional space is fundamental, and in ([3], Problem 1) Kunen and Starbird asked:

**Question:** *Is there a compact connected set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with a non Borel arc-wise component?*

In fact this question is actually equivalent to ask whether the equivalence relation  $E_K$  itself is Borel. Indeed Becker and Pol showed ([2], Proposition 5.1) that for a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  subset  $X$  of the plane if all arc components are Borel then the equivalence relation  $E_X$  is Borel. They also pointed out that no example of a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  subset of the plane with a non Borel relation  $E_X$ , is known; and the main goal of this note is to prove:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is any  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  subset of the plane then the equivalence relation  $E_X$  is Borel.*

Let us first fix some notation and recall a few basic facts.

*Arcs:* For an arc  $J$  we denote by  $e(J)$  the set of its endpoints and we set  $\overset{\circ}{J} = J \setminus e(J)$ . The mapping  $e : J \mapsto e(J)$  from  $\mathcal{J}(X)$  to  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is Borel, even of the first Baire class. Also if we endow  $X$  with some Borel total ordering  $<$  (via any Borel embedding of  $X$  in  $2^\omega$ ) and set  $e_0(J) = \min(e(J))$  and  $e_1(J) = \max(e(J))$  then the mappings  $e_i : \mathcal{J}(X) \rightarrow X$  are also Borel. We also recall that given any *path* in some space  $X$ , that is a continuous, non necessarily one-to-one, mapping  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , there exists an arc  $J \subset \varphi([0, 1])$  such that  $e(J) = \varphi(\{0, 1\})$ .

*Triods:* By a *simple triod* in a space  $X$  we will mean a compact subset  $T = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2$  which is the union of three arcs  $J_i$  such that:

$$\forall i \neq j, J_i \cap J_j = \{c_T\}.$$

The arcs  $J_i$ , which are uniquely determined up to a permutation, are called the *branches* of  $T$ ; and  $c_T$  is called the *center* of  $T$ .

Notice that this notion is more restrictive than Moore's initial notion of *trioid* introduced in [6] where the branches  $J_i$  are only assumed to be irreducible continua. In particular since the set  $\mathcal{J}(X)$  of all arcs is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  and the  $\cup$  and  $\cap$  operations on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  are Borel, it follows from the unicity of the decomposition of a simple triod, that if  $X$  is Polish then the set

---

*2020 AMS Subject Classification.* 54H05, 03E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Borel sets, Arc-connectedness, plane topology .

$\mathcal{T}(X)$  of all simple triods in  $X$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  and the mapping  $\mathbf{c} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ , which assigns to any simple triod  $T$  its center  $c_T$ , is Borel. We also recall the fundamental property of planar triods (see [6]):

**Lemma.** (MOORE) *Any family of pairwise disjoint triods in the plane is countable.*

*Arc-wise components:* If  $C$  is an arc-wise component in some separable metrizable space  $X$  then:

- either  $C = \{c\}$  is a singleton and we shall then say that  $c$  is a *quasi-isolated* point in  $X$ ,
- or  $C$  admits a one-to-one continuous parametrization  $\varphi : I \rightarrow C$  where  $I$  is a (closed, open, half-open) interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  or the unit circle, and we shall then say that  $C$  is a *curve component*,
- or else  $C$  contains a *simple triod* and we shall then say that  $C$  is a *triodic component*.

In particular any non triodic arc-wise component is  $\sigma$ -compact. For more details we refer the reader to [2].

*Proof of Theorem 1:* By ([2], Proposition 5.1) we only need to prove that any triodic arc-wise component of  $X$  is Borel.

Since  $X$  is a Polish space we can fix a complete distance  $d$  compatible with the topology of  $X$ , and define  $\delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by:

$$\delta(x, y) = \inf\{\text{diam}(H) : H \text{ arc-wise connected s. t. } \{x, y\} \subset H \subset X\}$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . So if  $x \neq y$  are in the same arc-wise component then

$$\delta(x, y) = \inf\{\text{diam}(J) : J \in \mathcal{J}(X) \text{ s.t. } e(J) = \{x, y\}\} < \infty$$

and if not then  $\delta(x, y) = \infty$ . Moreover setting by convention  $\alpha + \infty = \infty$  for any  $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$  we have for all  $x, y, z \in X$

$$\delta(x, z) \leq \delta(x, y) + \delta(y, z)$$

Hence  $\delta$  induces a metric on each arc-wise component, and defines a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ ; and since  $\delta \geq d$  then  $\tau$  is finer than the initial topology  $t$  induced by  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . But unless stated otherwise all topological notions are to be understood relatively to  $t$ . Notice that for an arc connected subset  $H \subset X$  the  $d$ -diameter  $\text{diam}(H)$  and the  $\delta$ -diameter are equal since for any  $x, y \in H$  :

$$d(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(H).$$

**Lemma 2.** *Each arc-wise component  $C$  of  $X$  is a clopen subset of  $(X, \tau)$  and the metric space  $(C, \delta)$  is complete.*

*Proof.* If  $x_0 \in C$  then  $C = \{x \in X : \delta(x, x_0) < \infty\}$  is an open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , and the same holds for any other components. So all components are open, hence closed.

Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a  $\delta$ -Cauchy sequence. Since  $d \leq \delta$  then  $(x_n)$  is a  $d$ -Cauchy sequence hence converges to some  $x \in X$ . We can also extract from  $(x_n)$  a subsequence  $(x'_n)$  satisfying for all  $n > 0$ ,  $\delta(x'_n, x'_{n+1}) < 2^{-n}$  and we can fix then an arc  $J_n$  with  $\text{diam}(J_n) < 2^{-n}$  and a continuous function  $\varphi_n : [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}] \rightarrow J_n$  such that  $\varphi_n(\{\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\}) = \{x'_{n+1}, x'_n\} = e(J_n)$ . Then for all  $n > 0$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_n = \bigcup_{m \geq n} \varphi_m$  defines a continuous function from  $]0, \frac{1}{n}]$  onto  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} J_m$ ; and since  $\lim_n J_n = \{x\}$  then setting  $\hat{\varphi}_n(0) = x$  we get a continuous path from  $[0, \frac{1}{n}]$  onto  $\{x\} \cup \bigcup_{m \geq n} J_m$  such that  $(\hat{\varphi}_n(0), \hat{\varphi}_n(\frac{1}{n})) = (x, x_n)$ , hence there exists an arc  $\hat{J}_n \subset \{x\} \cup \bigcup_{m \geq n} J_m$  with  $e(\hat{J}_n) = \{x'_n, x\}$ . Then  $\text{diam}(\hat{J}_n) \leq \sum_{m \geq n} \text{diam}(J_m) < 2^{-n+1}$  so  $\delta(x'_n, x) < 2^{-n+1}$  and  $x$  is a  $\delta$ -cluster value of  $(x_n)$ , hence  $(x_n)$   $\delta$ -converges to  $x$ , and since  $C$  is  $\delta$ -closed then  $x \in C$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.**  $\mathcal{J}(X, \tau) = \mathcal{J}(X)$  and for any  $J \in \mathcal{J}(X)$ ,  $t_{\upharpoonright J} = \tau_{\upharpoonright J}$ .

*Proof.* If  $J \in \mathcal{J}(X, \tau)$  and  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a  $\tau$ -continuous parametrization of  $J$  then  $\varphi$  is  $t$ -continuous; hence  $\mathcal{J}(X, \tau) \subset \mathcal{J}(X)$ .

Conversely if  $J \in \mathcal{J}(X)$  then  $J$  is  $t$ -compact hence  $\tau$ -closed, so  $\delta$ -complete. Moreover if  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a  $t$ -continuous parametrization of  $J$ , then by the uniform continuity of  $\varphi$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a covering of  $J$  by a finite family  $(J_i)_{i \leq k}$  of arcs of  $d$ -diameter, hence of  $\delta$ -diameter,  $< \varepsilon$ . So  $J$  is  $\delta$ -complete and  $\delta$ -precompact, hence  $\tau$ -compact. It follows that  $J \in \mathcal{J}(X, \tau)$  and  $\tau_{\upharpoonright J} = t_{\upharpoonright J}$ .  $\square$

For any set  $A \subset X$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}(A) : \text{diam}(T) < \varepsilon\}$ . We recall that for an arc-wise connected set, in particular for a simple triod, the  $d$ -diameter and the  $\delta$ -diameter are equal. If  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}(A)$  we shall say that:

- $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -total subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  if for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(A)$  there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(A)$  s. t.  $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$
- $\mathcal{S}$  is a total subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  if  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -total for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  contains a countable total subset.

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  fix a maximal family  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  of pairwise disjoint simple triods in  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(A)$ . Then each  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -total subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$ , so by Moore's Lemma  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  is countable. Hence  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{S}_{\frac{1}{n}}$  is a total countable subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a total subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  then the set  $\mathbf{c}(\mathcal{S})$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $\mathbf{c}(\mathcal{T}(A))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $\delta$ -open ball of center  $x_0 \in A$  and radius  $r$ , such that  $U \cap \mathbf{c}(\mathcal{T}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $T \in \mathcal{T}(A)$  and  $n > 0$  such that  $\delta(x_0, c_T) + \frac{2}{n} < r$ . Replacing  $T$  by a subtrioid (necessarily with the same center) we may suppose that  $\text{diam} T < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is a total subset of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  there exists some  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\text{diam}(S) < \frac{1}{n}$  such that  $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any  $x \in S \cap T$  we have  $\delta(x_0, c_S) \leq \delta(x_0, c_T) + \delta(c_T, x) + \delta(x, c_S) < r$  hence  $U \cap \mathbf{c}(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We now fix a triodic arc-wise component  $C$  in  $X$ . Let  $R = \mathbf{c}(\mathcal{T}(C))$  be the set of all centers of simple triods in  $C$ , and  $S = \overline{R}^\tau$  be the  $\tau$ -closure of  $R$ . Since  $C$  is  $\tau$ -closed in  $X$  then  $R \subset S \subset C$ , and since  $C$  is an arc-wise component then

$$\mathcal{T}(C) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}(X) : T \subset C\} = \{T \in \mathcal{T}(X) : T \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$$

We recall that  $C$  is analytic, so since  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , it follows from the previous equality that  $\mathcal{T}(C)$  is analytic, and since the mapping  $\mathbf{c}$  is Borel then  $R = \mathbf{c}(\mathcal{T}(C))$  is an analytic subset of  $X$ , and one can easily derive from this that  $S$  is an analytic subset of  $X$  too. However we have the following:

**Lemma 6.**  $(S, \tau)$  is a Polish space, and  $S$  is a Borel subset of  $X$ .

*Proof.*  $(S, \delta)$  is a complete metric space and it follows from Lemmas 4 and 5 that the space  $(R, \delta)$  is separable, hence  $(S, \tau)$  is a Polish space. So  $(S, t)$  is an injective continuous image of  $(S, \tau)$ , hence  $(S, t)$  is an absolute Borel space, in particular  $S$  is a Borel subset of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** If  $J, J'$  are two arcs such that  $J \not\subset J'$ ,  $J \cap J' \neq \emptyset$  and  $J \cap J' \not\subset e(J)$  then  $\overset{\circ}{J} \cap J'$  contains the center of some simple triod.

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $F = J \cap J'$  is a proper closed subset of  $J$  which meets  $\overset{\circ}{J}$ . So there exists some  $c \in \overset{\circ}{J}$  which is the endpoint of some connected component of  $J \setminus F$ . Then  $c$  is the center of a simple triod of the form  $J \cup J''$  with  $J'' \subset J'$  and  $c \in e(J'')$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_S = \{(x, J) \in X \times \mathcal{J}(X); e(J) = \{x, y\} \text{ and } J \cap S = \{y\}\}$ . Then

a)  $\mathcal{B}_S$  is Borel.

b)  $\forall x \in X, \text{card}(\mathcal{B}_S(x)) \leq 2$ .

In particular the projection  $\pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$  of  $\mathcal{B}_S$  on  $X$  is Borel.

*Proof.* a) Observe that  $\mathcal{B}_S$  is the complement in  $X \times \mathcal{J}(X)$  of the projection of the set:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (x, J, z) \in X \times \mathcal{J}(X) \times X : \exists i \in \{0, 1\}, e_i(J) = x, e_{1-i}(J) \in S \text{ and } z \in J \cap S \setminus e(J) \right\}$$

which is clearly Borel and all its sections  $\mathcal{A}(x, J) = \overset{\circ}{J} \cap S$  are  $\sigma$ -compact, hence by the classical Arsenin-Kunugui Theorem  $\mathcal{B}_S$  is Borel.

b) Suppose that  $(x, J) \in \mathcal{B}_S$ : since  $J \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $S \subset C$  then  $J \subset C$ ; and since  $\overset{\circ}{J} \cap S = \emptyset$  then  $\overset{\circ}{J}$  does not contain the center of any simple triod in  $C$ . So if  $(x, J)$  and  $(x, J') \in \mathcal{B}_S$  with  $J \neq J'$  then by Lemma 7 we necessarily have  $J \cap J' = \{x\}$ , or else  $J \cap J' = e(J) = e(J') = \{x, y\}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{B}_S(x) = \{J, J'\}$  for otherwise  $x$  would be the center of a simple triod contained in  $C$ , which is impossible since  $x \notin S$  and a fortiori  $x \notin R$ .

The last part of the conclusion follows then from part b) and again the Arsenin-Kunugui Theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.**  $C = S \cup \pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in S \cup \pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$  then  $x \in S$  or  $x$  can be joined to an element of  $S$  by an arc, so since  $S \subset C$  then  $x \in C$ .

Conversely fix any element  $x \in C$ ; if  $x \notin S$  there exists an arc  $I$  with  $e(I) = \{x, x_0\}$ , hence  $x \in I \setminus S$  and  $x_0 \in I \cap S$ . Since  $S \cap I$  is a closed subset of  $I$ , there exists a sub-arc  $J$  of  $I$  such that  $e(J) = \{x, y\}$  with  $y \in S$ , and  $(J \setminus \{y\}) \cap S = \emptyset$ , hence  $\overset{\circ}{J} \cap S = \emptyset$ ; so  $x \in \pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemmas 6, 8, 9 that any triodic arc-wise component of  $X$  is Borel, which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

Note that given any separable metrizable space  $X$ , if we set:

$$X = X^{(0)} \cup X^{(1)} \cup X^{(2)} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} X^{(0)} \text{ is the set of all quasi-isolated points,} \\ X^{(1)} \text{ is the union of all curve arc-wise components,} \\ X^{(2)} \text{ is the union of all triodic arc-wise components.} \end{cases}$$

then for any  $x \in X$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in X^{(0)} &\iff \forall y \in X, x = y \text{ or } (x, y) \notin E_X \\ x \in X^{(2)} &\iff \exists T \in \mathcal{T}(X), x \in T \end{aligned}$$

So if  $X$  is Polish, since the space  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  is Borel and the equivalence relation  $E_X$  is analytic, then  $X^{(0)}$  is coanalytic and  $X^{(2)}$  is analytic, and in this general setting the set  $X^{(1)}$  appears as the difference of two analytic sets.

**Proposition 10.** Suppose that  $X$  is Polish. If  $X^{(2)}$  is Borel then  $X^{(0)}$  and  $X^{(1)}$  are Borel.

*Proof.* By assumption  $Y = X \setminus X^{(2)} = X^{(0)} \cup X^{(1)}$  is Borel hence the set  $\mathcal{H} = \{(x, J) \in Y \times \mathcal{J}(X) : x \in J\}$  is Borel too, and  $X^{(1)}$  is the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  on the first factor. Moreover if  $x \in X^{(1)}$  then  $E(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \uparrow H_n$  is the increasing union of a countable family of arcs  $H_n$ , hence the section  $\mathcal{H}(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \uparrow \mathcal{J}(H_n)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. It follows then from Arsenin-Kunugui Theorem that the set  $X^{(1)}$  is Borel, so  $X^{(0)} = X \setminus (X^{(1)} \cup X^{(2)})$  is Borel too.  $\square$

It follows then from Theorem 1:

**Corollary 11.** *If  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  subset of the plane then the three sets  $X^{(0)}$ ,  $X^{(1)}$ ,  $X^{(2)}$  are Borel.*

**Remarks 12.** (1) The proof of Theorem 1 does not give any bound on the Borel rank of  $E_X$ , which is most likely unbounded. However the situation is totally unclear concerning triodic arc-wise components, even in the compact case (we recall that non-triodic arc-wise components are all  $\sigma$ -compact).

(2) One can derive from Williams' work in [7] the construction of planar continua with an arc-wise component which is an  $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\delta} \setminus \mathbf{G}_{\delta\sigma}$  set. The reader can also find in [5] an explicit geometrical example, by Malicki, of such a continuum.

(3) The same boundedness questions can be considered also for the Borel decomposition  $X = X^{(0)} \cup X^{(1)} \cup X^{(2)}$  of a planar  $\mathbf{G}_\delta$  (or compact) set  $X$ . Note that by Moore's Lemma a uniform bound for the Borel rank of arc-wise components provides a uniform bound for rank of the set  $X^{(2)}$ , but has no impact on the rank of the sets  $X^{(0)}$  and  $X^{(1)}$ .

(4) One can also derive from [7] the construction of a planar continuum  $K$  such that  $K^{(0)}$  (which by Corollary 11 is Borel) is not an  $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  set.

(5) Fix an enumeration  $(q_n)_{n \in \omega}$  for the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  of all rational numbers in  $[0, 1]$ , let  $\mathbb{P} = [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and consider the Sierpinski function  $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  defined by  $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n-1} \sin \frac{1}{q_n - x}$ . Then  $f$  is clearly continuous and the closure in  $[0, 1] \times [-1, 1]$  of the graph of  $f$  is a compact set  $K$  with no triodic arc-wise component and  $K^{(0)} = \{(\alpha, f(\alpha)); \alpha \in \mathbb{P}\} \approx \mathbb{P}$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_\delta \setminus \mathbf{K}_\sigma$  set, hence  $K^{(1)} = K \setminus K^{(0)}$  is a  $\mathbf{K}_\sigma \setminus \mathbf{G}_\delta$  set.

(6) Since the submission of the present paper we were able to improve Theorem 1 by proving that under the same hypothesis there exists a Borel function  $\Phi : E_X \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  which assigns to any pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct elements in  $E_X$ , an arc  $J$  with endpoints  $\{x, y\}$

**Acknowledgment** We would like to thank Roman Pol for pointing to us Williams' paper [7] and Malicki Thesis [5] mentioned above.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Becker, *The number of path-components of a compact space*, in: J.A. Makowsky, E.V. Ravve (Eds) Logic Colloquium' 95 (1995), 1–15.
2. H. Becker and R. Pol, *Note on path-components in complete spaces*, Top. and Appl. **114** (2001), 107–114.
3. K. Kunen and M. Starbird, *Arc components in metric continua*, Top. Appl. **14** (1982) 167–170.
4. A. Le Donne, *Arc components in metric continua*, Top. Appl. **22** (1986) 83–84.
5. M. Malicki *Master Thesis* University of Warsaw (1999).
6. R.L. Moore, *Concerning triods in the plane and the junction points of plane continua*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **14** (1928) 85–88.
7. J. Williams, *Regarding arc-wise accessibility in the plane*, Fund.Math. **85** (1974), 134–136,