

# THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE BAILY-BOREL AND ALLIED COMPACTIFICATIONS

JIAMING CHEN AND EDUARD LOOLJENGA

ABSTRACT. A number of compactifications familiar in complex-analytic geometry, in particular the Baily-Borel compactification and its toroidal variants, as well as the Deligne-Mumford compactifications, can be covered by open subsets whose nonempty intersections are  $K(\pi, 1)$ 's. We exploit this fact to define a 'stacky homotopy type' for these spaces as the homotopy type of a small category. We thus generalize an old result of Charney-Lee on the Baily-Borel compactification of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  and recover (and rephrase) a more recent one of Ebert-Giansiracusa on the Deligne-Mumford compactifications. We also describe an extension of the period map for Riemann surfaces (going from the Deligne-Mumford compactification to the Baily-Borel compactification of the moduli space of principally polarized varieties) in these terms.

## INTRODUCTION

In a remarkable, but seemingly little noticed paper [2] Charney and Lee described a rational homology equivalence between the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties  $\mathcal{A}_g$ , denoted here by  $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ , and the classifying space of a certain category which has its origin in Hermitian  $K$ -theory. They exploited this to show that if we let  $g \rightarrow \infty$ , the homotopy type of this classifying space (after applying the 'plus construction') stabilizes and they computed its stable rational cohomology.

Our aim was twofold: first, to put the results of that paper in a transparent framework that lends itself to generalization, and second, to make a clearer link with algebraic geometry. During our efforts we found that we could obtain the stable rational cohomology of the spaces  $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  by means of relatively conventional methods in algebraic geometry, leading us even to a determination of the mixed Hodge types of the stable classes. As this involved no category theory and hardly any homotopy theory, we decided to put this in a separate paper [4]. By contrast, the focus of the present article is on homotopy types and may be regarded as our proposal for accomplishing the first goal.

Baily-Borel compactifications and Deligne-Mumford compactifications have in common that they can be obtained as orbit spaces of stratified spaces with respect to an action of a discrete group. But usually the stratification is not locally finite, the space not locally compact and the action of the group not proper, and yet, these drawbacks somewhat miraculously cancel each other out when we pass to the orbit space, which after all, is a compact Hausdorff space. But a feature that they have in common is that the strata are contractible. This leads (in a not quite trivial manner) to an open covering of the orbit space that is closed under finite intersections and whose members are Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. One of the main results of the paper (Theorem 1.7) formalizes the type of input under which such

a structure is present and then yields as output (what we have called) the *stacky* homotopy type of the orbit space as one of the classifying space of a category. (This terminology may be somewhat misleading as we have not been able to define a stack of which this is the homotopy type, see Remark 1.4 for discussion.) Our set-up is reminiscent of—and indeed, inspired by—the construction of an étale homotopy type. We illustrate its efficiency by showing how we thus recover with little additional effort a theorem of Ebert and Giansiracusa on the homotopy type of a Deligne-Mumford compactification as a stack (theirs in turn generalized another theorem of Charney and Lee).

Another application, and one that is more central to this paper, concerns an arbitrary Baily-Borel compactification, and yields a stacky homotopy type for such a space. The proof that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.7 are then satisfied requires a good understanding of the topology of the Satake extension of a bounded symmetric domain ‘with a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure’. Although all we need is in a sense known, it is not so easy to winnow the relevant facts from the literature and so we have tried to present this as a geometric narrative, avoiding any mention of root data (despite Mumford calling these in [1] ‘the name of the game’). Unlike Charney and Lee we do not make use of Borel-Serre’s compactification ‘with corners’. We then combine our results for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  to show how the stacky homotopy type of the period map extension  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  can be given by the classifying space construction applied to a functor.

Finally we show that Theorem 1.7 also applies to the toroidal compactifications of Ash, Mumford, Rapoport and Tai and we illustrate this with the perfect cone compactification of  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

*Notational conventions.* If a group  $\Gamma$  acts on a set  $X$ , then for  $A \subset X$ ,  $\Gamma_A$  resp.  $Z_\Gamma(A)$  denotes the group  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  that leave  $A$  invariant resp. fix  $A$  pointwise and  $\Gamma(A)$  will stand for the quotient  $\Gamma_A/Z_\Gamma(A)$ .

As a rule an algebraic group (defined over a field contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ , usually  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) is denoted by a script capital, its Lie group of real points by the corresponding roman capital and the Lie algebra of the latter by the corresponding Fraktur lower case.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank Sam Grushevski, Klaus Hulek and Osola Tommasi for arousing our interest in and for correspondence relating to some of the issues discussed here. We are also grateful to Kirsten Wickelgren and André Henriques for helpful remarks.

## 1. GROTHENDIECK-LERAY COVERINGS

Recall that every small category  $\mathcal{C}$  defines a simplicial set  $B\mathcal{C}$  and hence a semi-simplicial complex (its geometric realization)  $|B\mathcal{C}|$ . An  $n$ -simplex of  $B\mathcal{C}$  is represented by a chain  $C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_n$  of  $n$  morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the  $i$ th degeneracy map produces the  $(n+1)$ -simplex obtained by inserting the identity of  $C_i$  at the obvious place and the  $i$ th face map is the  $(n-1)$ -simplex obtained by omitting  $C_i$  (when  $i=0, n$ ) or replacing  $C_{i-1} \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$  by the composite  $C_{i-1} \rightarrow C_{i+1}$  (when  $0 < i < n$ ). Its geometric realization  $|B\mathcal{C}|$  is obtained as follows. Take for every  $n$ -simplex  $C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_n$  as above a copy of the standard  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n$  and use the face maps to make the obvious identifications among these copies. The resulting space has almost the structure of a simplicial complex with each edge labeled by a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism (it is just that a simplex is in general not determined by

its vertex set). We subsequently use the degeneracy maps to make further identifications: simplices having all their edges labeled by the identity of an object of  $\mathfrak{C}$  are contracted so that in the end there is no 1-simplex with identity label left.

For example, if we regard a discrete group  $G$  as a category with just one object and  $G$  as its set of morphisms, then this construction reproduces a model for the classifying space of  $G$ . That is why we call  $|B\mathfrak{C}|$  the *classifying space* of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . The *homotopy type* of  $B\mathfrak{C}$  will mean the homotopy type of  $|B\mathfrak{C}|$ . Note that for every object  $C$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  we have a copy of  $B\text{Aut}(C)$  in  $B\mathfrak{C}$ . A functor  $F : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'$  induces a map  $BF : B\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{C}'$  and a natural transformation  $F_0 \Rightarrow F_1$  between two such functors determines a homotopy between the associated maps  $|BF_0|$  and  $|BF_1|$ . In particular, an equivalence of categories induces a homotopy equivalence.

Let  $Y$  be a locally contractible paracompact Hausdorff space. Assume  $Y$  endowed with an indexed open covering  $\mathfrak{V} = (V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  that is locally finite and closed under finite nonempty intersection: if  $V_\alpha, V_\beta \in \mathfrak{V}$ , then  $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta = V_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in A$ , when nonempty. These indexed open subsets define a category  $\mathfrak{V}$  with object set  $A$  for which we have a (unique) morphism  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  when  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$ . Any partition of unity subordinate to the maximal members of  $\mathfrak{V}$  can be used to define a continuous map  $Y \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{V}|$ . As Weil showed, this is a homotopy equivalence when each  $V_\alpha$  is contractible.

Suppose now that every  $V_\alpha$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$  instead. More specifically, assume that for every  $V_\alpha$  we are given a covering map  $U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$  with  $U_\alpha$  contractible. Then we have a category  $\mathfrak{U}$  with again  $A$  as object set, but for which a morphism is simply a continuous map  $U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$  which commutes with projections onto  $Y$  (so that then  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$ ). We have an obvious functor  $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ . Notice that for any  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\alpha)$  is the group of covering transformations of  $U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$  and hence is isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $U_\alpha$ . This means that  $|B\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\alpha)|$  is homotopy equivalent to  $U_\alpha$ . The following theorem is mentioned by Sullivan as Example 3 on page 125 of [12]) who refers in turn to Theorem 2 on p. 475 of Lubkin's paper [8] (we thank Kirsten Wickelgren for pointing out these references).

**Theorem 1.1** (Lubkin, Sullivan). *In this situation the continuous map  $Y \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{V}|$  defined by a partition of unity lifts to  $Y \rightarrow |B(\mathfrak{U})|$  and this lift is a homotopy equivalence.*

For the applications that we have in mind we need a generalization of this theorem of a 'stacky' nature. To be precise, we assume that  $U_\alpha$  is still contractible, but that we are now given a group  $\Gamma_\alpha$  acting properly discontinuously on  $U_\alpha$  with a subgroup of finite index acting freely, such that  $\pi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$  is the formation of the  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -orbit space. Note that  $V_\alpha$  is then paracompact Hausdorff.

Let  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$ . Let us agree that an *admissible lift* of the inclusion  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  is a pair  $(j : U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta, \phi : \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta)$  for which

- (AL<sub>1</sub>)  $\phi : \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta$  is a group homomorphism,
- (AL<sub>2</sub>)  $j$  lifts the inclusion  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  and is equivariant relative to  $\phi$ , and
- (AL<sub>3</sub>)  $\phi$  maps the  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -stabilizer of every  $x \in U_\alpha$  onto the  $\Gamma_\beta$ -stabilizer of  $j(x)$ .

The group  $\Gamma_\beta$  also acts on the admissible lifts of  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  by having  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\beta$  send  $(j, \phi)$  to  $(\gamma j, \text{In}(\gamma)\phi)$ , where  $\text{In}(\gamma)$  is the inner automorphism of  $\Gamma_\beta$  defined by  $\gamma$ . We observe that if  $\Gamma_\beta$  acts freely on a connected open-dense subset of the preimage of  $V_\alpha$  in  $U_\beta$ , then this action is simply transitive.

**Definition 1.2.** A *Grothendieck-Leray atlas*  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $Y$  consists of a collection of pairs  $(\Gamma_\alpha, \pi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  as above and assigns to every inclusion  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  a  $\Gamma_\beta$ -orbit of admissible lifts  $(j, \phi)$ , such that these are the morphisms of a category  $\mathcal{U}$ : the identity of the pair  $(U_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)$  defines an admissible lift and the composite of two admissible lifts is again admissible.

A *principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas*  $\mathfrak{U}$  over  $Y$  is a Grothendieck-Leray atlas for which these lifts are indexed in a particular way: it consists of giving for every inclusion  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  a collection of admissible lifts indexed by a *principal*  $\Gamma_\beta$ -set  $I_\alpha^\beta$ :  $\Phi_\alpha^\beta = (j_i, \phi_i)_{i \in I_\alpha^\beta}$  together with maps  $\Phi_\beta^\gamma \times \Phi_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \Phi_\alpha^\gamma$  defined whenever  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta \subset V_\gamma$  such that

- (GL<sub>1</sub>) we have  $I_\alpha^\alpha = \Gamma_\alpha$  with  $1 \in \Gamma_\alpha$  defining the pair  $(1_{U_\alpha}, 1_{\Gamma_\alpha})$ ,
- (GL<sub>2</sub>) for  $i \in I_\alpha^\beta$  and  $g \in \Gamma_\beta$  we have  $j_{g(i)}^\beta = gj_i$  and  $\phi_{g(i)}^\beta = \text{In}(g)\phi_i$  and
- (GL<sub>3</sub>) the map  $\Phi_\beta^\gamma \times \Phi_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \Phi_\alpha^\gamma$  is  $\Gamma_\gamma$ -equivariant and defines the composition of admissible lifts.

We often regard  $\mathfrak{U}$  as a small category with object set  $A$  such that  $\Phi_\alpha^\beta$  is the set of morphisms  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .

*Remark 1.3.* A Grothendieck-Leray atlas is automatically principal if each  $\Gamma_\alpha$  acts faithfully on  $U_\alpha$ , for then the collection of all the lifts  $U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$  of  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$  are simply transitively permuted by  $\Gamma_\beta$  and hence form a principal  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -set.

*Remark 1.4.* A Grothendieck-Leray atlas gives rise to a Deligne-Mumford stack if its admissible lifts have the property that in (AL<sub>3</sub>)  $\phi$  maps the  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -stabilizer of every  $x \in U_\alpha$  *isomorphically* onto the  $\Gamma_\beta$ -stabilizer of  $j(x)$ . Although the structure that we get in general is weaker, there is a notion of a *local chart*: given  $y \in Y$ , then the  $V_\alpha$ 's containing  $y$  are finite in number and their intersection is one of them, say  $V_{\alpha_0}$ . We then stipulate that for every  $x \in \pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(y)$ , the pair  $(U_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow Y, x)$  defines a local chart. If  $\alpha \in A$  is such that  $y \in V_\alpha$ , then there exists by definition an admissible lift  $(j, \phi)$  of the inclusion  $V_{\alpha_0} \subset V_\alpha$  and  $\phi$  maps the  $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}$ -stabilizer of  $x$  onto the  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -stabilizer of  $j(x)$ . If this is in fact an isomorphism, then we declare that the pair  $(U_\alpha \rightarrow Y, j(x))$  is also a local chart. But the property of being a local chart need be not open: there exist examples for which the set of  $x' \in U_{\alpha_0}$  for which  $(U_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow Y, x')$  is a chart fails to be a neighborhood of  $x$ . All we can say a priori is that  $(U_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow Y, x')$  is a local chart when  $\pi_{\alpha_0}(x')$  lies in  $V_{\alpha_0} \setminus \cup_{y \notin V_\beta} V_\beta$ . This is a closed subset of  $V_{\alpha_0}$  which contains  $y$  and so this only shows that we have a locally finite partition of  $Y$  into locally closed subsets along which charts ‘propagate’. This phenomenon we encounter for a Baily-Borel compactifications, where the partition is that into Baily-Borel strata.

We associate to a Grothendieck-Leray atlas as above a homotopy type that we will refer to as its *stacky homotopy type*. Let us begin with recalling Segal’s categorical construction of the universal bundle of a discrete group  $\Gamma$  [11]. Let  $\hat{\Gamma}$  be the groupoid whose object set is  $\Gamma$  and has for any two objects  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$  just one morphism  $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$ . Since this category is equivalent to the subcategory represented by the single element  $1 \in \Gamma$ ,  $|B\hat{\Gamma}|$  is contractible. This category is acted on by the group  $\Gamma$  with quotient category the group  $\Gamma$ , but now viewed as a category with a single object: the quotient forming functor  $\hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$  sends the unique morphism  $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$  to  $\gamma^{-1}\gamma'$ . The associated map  $|B\hat{\Gamma}| \rightarrow |B\Gamma|$  is a universal  $\Gamma$ -bundle. This construction is clearly functorial on the category of discrete groups.

We apply this in the present situation as follows. For  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\hat{U}_\alpha := U_\alpha \times |B\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha|$  is contractible and the diagonal action of  $\Gamma_\alpha$  on it is free and proper. So if we denote by  $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\alpha$  the formation of the corresponding orbit space, then this is also a universal  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -bundle. Given an inclusion  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$ , then an admissible lift  $(j : U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta, \phi : \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma_\beta)$  defines a map  $\hat{j} := j \times |B\hat{\phi}| : \hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{U}_\beta$  that is equivariant with respect to  $\phi$ . Such lifts make up a single  $\Gamma_\beta$ -orbit and hence we have a map between two universal coverings: they induce the same map  $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\beta$  and they yield all the lifts  $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{U}_\beta$  of the latter. Our assumptions imply that  $\alpha \mapsto \hat{V}_\alpha$  defines a functor from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to the category of topological spaces so that we can form  $\hat{Y} := \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{A}} \{\hat{V}_\alpha\}_\alpha$ . The collection of the maps  $\hat{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\alpha$  plus the lifts  $\hat{j}$  as above form a category  $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}$  of contractible spaces over  $\hat{Y}$ . The Lubkin-Sullivan theorem does not quite apply as such to this system of coverings, because the maps  $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{V}_\beta$  need not be injective (they are open, though). But it will, if we replace  $\hat{Y}$  by the homotopy colimit  $\hat{Y}^h := \text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{A}} \hat{V}_\alpha$  of this system (here we use the construction that regards the system as a simplicial space). It has the property that the natural map  $\hat{Y}^h \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is a homotopy equivalence. We thus find a homotopy equivalence between  $\hat{Y}$  and  $|B\hat{\mathfrak{U}}|$ .

Consider the obvious projection  $p_\alpha : \hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ . The fiber over  $y \in V_\alpha$  is the quotient of the contractible  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -space  $|B\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha|$  by the  $\Gamma_\alpha$ -stabilizer of some  $x \in U_\alpha$  over  $y$ . So it has the rational cohomology of the finite group  $(\Gamma_\alpha)_x$ , which is that of a point. This fiber is also a deformation retract of the preimage of a neighborhood of  $y$  in  $V_\alpha$ . Hence the Leray spectral sequence for rational cohomology of the projection  $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$  degenerates so that this projection induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology.

In case we have a principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas  $\mathfrak{U}$ , then we can identify  $\Gamma_\alpha$  with the  $\mathfrak{U}$ -endomorphisms of  $\alpha$  so that  $B\Gamma_\alpha \subset B\mathfrak{U}$ . The projection  $\hat{V}_\alpha \rightarrow |B\Gamma_\alpha|$  is a bundle with fiber the contractible  $U_\alpha$ . Since this is functorial, these projections assemble to a map  $\hat{Y}^h \rightarrow |B\mathfrak{U}|$ . Its fibers are contractible and so this is a homotopy equivalence.

We record this discussion in the form of a scholium.

**Scholium 1.5.** *With a Grothendieck-Leray atlas as above we have associated a natural homotopy class of maps from its stacky homotopy type to  $Y$  and this class induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology. For a principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas  $\mathfrak{U}$  this stacky homotopy type is represented by  $|B\mathfrak{U}|$ .*

*Remark 1.6.* In our applications we encounter refinements of Grothendieck-Leray atlases of very simple type, namely obtained by giving for each  $\alpha \in A$  an open  $V'_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$  such that this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence and  $\{V'_\alpha\}_\alpha$  still covers  $Y$ . This extends in a natural manner to a Grothendieck-Leray atlas with the same index set and if one is principal, then so is the other. It is clear that this induces a homotopy equivalence between the associated homotopy types. From a conceptual point of view it would be more satisfying to introduce a considerable more general notion of refinement for Grothendieck-Leray atlases: such a refinement should then be given by a functor  $F : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}'$  that gives rise to a (weak) homotopy equivalence of their stacky homotopy types so that the resulting structure on  $Y$  (which we might regard as a weak form of a Deligne-Mumford stack) has this (weak) homotopy type

as one its attributes. We refrained from developing these notions, as there is for this no need in the present paper.

Our applications of this theorem have in common a number of features that are worth isolating. Let  $X$  be a space endowed with a *stratification*  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is, a partition into subspaces (called strata) such that the closure of each stratum is a union of strata. We then have a partial order on  $\mathcal{S}$  for which  $S' \leq S$  means that  $S' \subset \bar{S}$ . We assume that the length of chains  $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is bounded, but we do not ask that  $X$  be locally compact, nor that  $\mathcal{S}$  be locally finite.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group which acts on the stratified space  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  and suppose that for every stratum  $S$  we are given a (what we will call link-) subgroup  $\Gamma_S^\ell \subset Z_\Gamma(S)$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_{\gamma S}^\ell = \gamma \Gamma_S^\ell \gamma^{-1}$  (so that  $\Gamma_S^\ell$  is normal in  $\Gamma_S$ ) and is such that  $\Gamma_S^\ell \supset \Gamma_{S'}^\ell$  when  $S \leq S'$ .*

*If we can find for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  an open neighborhood  $U_S$  of  $S$  in  $X$  such that*

- (i)  $U_S \cap U_{S'}$  is empty unless  $S' \geq S$  or  $S' \leq S$ ,
- (ii)  $\gamma(U_S) = U_{\gamma S}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- (iii) for every stratum  $S$ ,  $\Gamma_S^\ell \backslash U_S$  is a paracompact Hausdorff space on which  $\Gamma_S / \Gamma_S^\ell$  acts properly with a cofinite subgroup acting freely,
- (iv) for every chain  $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$  of strata,  $\Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash (U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n})$  is contractible,

*then the orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash X$  is a paracompact Hausdorff space which comes with a natural structure of a stacky homotopy type (so independent of the choice of open subsets  $U_S$  as above) that is represented by the category  $\mathfrak{S}$  with object set  $\mathcal{S}$  and for which a morphism  $S \rightarrow S'$  is a right coset  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S'}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$  with the property that  $\gamma S \geq S'$  (so that we have natural homotopy class of maps  $|B\mathfrak{S}| \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$  which induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology). This is functorial with respect to inclusions  $X' \subset X$  of open  $\Gamma$ -invariant unions of strata.*

*If in this situation  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully and the action in (iii) is free (so that necessarily  $\Gamma_S^\ell = Z_\Gamma(S)$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ ), then in the preceding ‘stacky homotopy’ can be replaced by ‘homotopy’.*

*Proof.* We note that (i) implies that any finite nonempty intersection of such  $U_S$  is of the form  $U_{S_\bullet} = U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n}$  for a unique chain  $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . From (i) and (ii) we get that every  $\Gamma$ -orbit meets  $U_S$  in a  $\Gamma_S$ -orbit or is empty. Hence  $\Gamma_S \backslash U_S$  maps homeomorphically onto an open subset  $V_S$  of  $\Gamma \backslash X$ . Any nonempty intersection of such open subsets of  $\Gamma \backslash X$  is the image  $V_{S_\bullet}$  of  $U_{S_\bullet} := U_{S_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{S_n}$  for some chain  $S_\bullet$  and hence homeomorphic to  $\Gamma_{S_\bullet} \backslash U_{S_\bullet}$ . If we put  $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet} := \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash U_{S_\bullet}$ , then  $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}$  is an open subset of  $\bar{U}_{S_0} = \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash U_{S_0}$ . By (iii) and (iv) this is a contractible paracompact Hausdorff space on which  $\bar{\Gamma}_{S_\bullet} := \Gamma_{S_\bullet} / \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell$  acts properly.

We claim that the collection of pairs  $(\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}, \bar{\Gamma}_{S_\bullet})$  extends in a natural manner to a principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas: let  $S_\bullet$  and  $S'_\bullet$  be finite chains in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that the image of  $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}$  in  $\Gamma \backslash X$  is contained in the image of  $\bar{U}_{S'_\bullet}$ . This is equivalent to the existence of a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $S'_\bullet$  is a subchain of  $\gamma S_\bullet$  and the elements of  $\Gamma$  with this property then make up the right coset  $\Gamma_{S'_\bullet} \gamma$ . The smaller coset  $\Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$  defines an admissible lift: since  $\gamma \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell = \Gamma_{\gamma S_0}^\ell \gamma \subset \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$ , this indeed induces a continuous map  $j : \bar{U}_{S_\bullet} \rightarrow \bar{U}_{S'_\bullet}$  over  $\Gamma \backslash X$  and since  $\gamma \Gamma_{S_\bullet} / \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \gamma^{-1} = \Gamma_{\gamma S_\bullet} / \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell$ , conjugation by  $\gamma$  defines a homomorphism  $\phi := \bar{\Gamma}_{S_\bullet} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{S'_\bullet}$  such that  $j$  is  $\phi$ -equivariant. So we have

a collection of admissible lifts indexed by the  $\Gamma_{\gamma S'_0}^\ell$ -cosets contained in  $\Gamma_{S'_0} \gamma$ . This is clearly a principal set for the group  $\bar{\Gamma}_{S'_0} = \Gamma_{S'_0} / \Gamma_{\gamma S'_0}^\ell$ . The other three properties of Definition 1.2 are now easily checked.

So the associated category  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  has as its objects the finite chains in  $S$  and a morphism  $S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$  is given by right coset  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$  such  $S'_\bullet$  is a subchain of  $\gamma S_\bullet$ . Strictly speaking we do not have principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas yet, because of an ‘overcount’ in our indexing: the image  $V_{S_\bullet}$  of  $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}$  in  $\Gamma \backslash Y$  is of course also the image of  $\gamma \bar{U}_{S_\bullet}$  and in this way we get  $\#(\Gamma / \Gamma_{S_\bullet})$  copies of  $\bar{U}_{S_\bullet}$  having the same image. So in this rather trivial sense the cover  $\{V_{S_\bullet}\}$  can fail to be locally finite. But we can of course select for each  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$ -objects a representative and then take the full subcategory  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet^\circ \subset \mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  with this collection of objects. We then get a principal Grothendieck-Leray atlas and since  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet^\circ \subset \mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  is an equivalence of categories, the stacky homotopy type of  $\Gamma \backslash Y$  is that of  $|B\mathfrak{S}_\bullet|$ .

We have a functor  $F : \mathfrak{S}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  defined by  $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n) \mapsto S_0$ . Indeed, a morphism  $[\gamma] : S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$  as above has the property that  $S'_0 = \gamma S_i$  for some  $i$  and so  $F(S'_\bullet) = S'_0 = \gamma S_i \leq \gamma S_0 = \gamma F(S_\bullet)$ . Since  $\gamma \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \subset \gamma \Gamma_{S_i}^\ell = \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  determines an element  $[\gamma]$  of  $\Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$  and this yields our  $\mathfrak{S}$ -morphism  $F[\gamma] : S_0 \rightarrow S'_0$ .

According to Thm. A of [10],  $|BF|$  is a homotopy equivalence if we show that for every object  $S \in \mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , the category  $F/S$  is contractible. Let us recall that an object of  $F/S$  is given by pair  $(S_\bullet, [\gamma])$ , where  $S_\bullet = (S_0 > S_1 > \cdots > S_n)$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  and  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{S_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$  is such that  $\gamma S_0 \geq S$ . An  $F/S$ -morphism  $(S_\bullet, [\gamma]) \rightarrow (S'_\bullet, [\gamma'])$  is a  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$ -morphism  $[\delta] : S_\bullet \rightarrow S'_\bullet$  (with  $[\delta] \in \Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ ), so that  $S'_\bullet$  is a subchain of  $\gamma S_\bullet$  with the property that  $\gamma' \delta$  and  $\gamma$  define the same element of  $\Gamma_{S'_0}^\ell \backslash \Gamma$ . This category has as a final object, namely  $(S, [1])$ : for an object  $(S_\bullet, [\gamma])$  of  $F/S$ ,  $[\gamma]$  defines an  $F/S$ -morphism  $(S_\bullet, [\gamma]) \rightarrow (S, [1])$ . This implies that  $F/S$  is contractible.

The last assertion is obtained by applying Theorem 1.1 instead of 1.5.  $\square$

In many applications, we will take  $\Gamma_S^\ell = Z_\Gamma(S)$ , but this need not be so in the situation that is our main interest, the Baily-Borel compactification. It is also with this case in mind that we included a stacky version.

Here is perhaps the simplest nontrivial illustration of Theorem 1.7.

**Example 1.8** (The infinite ramified cover of the unit disk). We take for  $X$  be the space that contains the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  as an open subset and for which the complement  $X - \mathbb{H}$  is a singleton  $\{\infty\}$ . A neighborhood basis of  $\infty$  meets  $\mathbb{H}$  in the upwardly shifted copies of  $\mathbb{H}$ . We take this partition as our stratification  $\mathcal{S}$  and we take  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $\Gamma$  acting by translations on  $\mathbb{H}$  (and of course trivially on  $\infty$ ) and  $\Gamma_{\{\infty\}}^\ell = Z_\Gamma(\{\infty\}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{H}}^\ell = Z_\Gamma(\mathbb{H}) = \{0\}$ . We choose  $U_{\{\infty\}} = X$  and  $U_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H}$ . The category  $\mathfrak{S}$  that we get from Theorem 1.7 has the two objects  $\{\infty\}, \mathbb{H}$  with  $\{\infty\}$  being a final object. The only  $\mathfrak{S}$ -morphisms apart from the unique morphism  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \{\infty\}$  are the elements of the (translation) group  $\mathbb{Z}$  viewed as automorphisms of  $\mathbb{H}$ . So  $|B\mathfrak{S}|$  can be identified with the cone over the classifying space  $|B\mathbb{Z}|$ .

The map  $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$  identifies the pair  $\mathbb{Z} \backslash (X, \mathbb{H})$  with the pair  $(\Delta, \Delta^*)$  consisting of the complex unit disk and the same deprived from 0. So if we consider  $\Delta^*$  as the primary datum, then we are just filling in the puncture and in the above picture  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta$  corresponds to the inclusion of  $|B\mathbb{Z}|$  in the cone over  $|B\mathbb{Z}|$ .

This example generalizes in a simple manner to the product  $(\Delta^n, (\Delta^*)^n)$  (that we obtain as an orbit space of  $(\mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\})^n$  under the action of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ). Closely related

to this is the example below of a torus embedding. It appears implicitly in some of our applications.

**Example 1.9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a free abelian group of finite rank. Then  $T = \mathbb{C}^\times \otimes \Gamma$  is an algebraic torus with underlying affine variety  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee])$ , where  $\Gamma^\vee = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let also be given a closed strictly convex cone  $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma$  spanned by a finite subset of  $\Gamma$ . Recall that this defines a normal affine torus embedding  $T \subset T^\sigma$  as follows. Denoting by  $\check{\sigma} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  the cone of linear forms that are  $\geq 0$  on  $\sigma$ , then  $T^\sigma := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee \cap \check{\sigma}]$  and the inclusion  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee] \supset \mathbb{C}[\Gamma^\vee \cap \check{\sigma}]$  defines the embedding  $T \subset T^\sigma$ . We also recall that  $T^\sigma$  is stratified into algebraic tori that are quotients of  $T$  and indexed by the faces of  $\sigma$ : for every face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  denote by  $\Gamma_\tau$  the intersection of  $\Gamma$  with the vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \Gamma$  spanned by  $\tau$  and put  $T_\tau := \mathbb{C}^\times \otimes \Gamma_\tau$ . Then  $T(\tau) := T/T_\tau$  is a stratum.

But in this context it is better to think of  $T$  (via the exponential map) as the orbit space of its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \Gamma$  by  $\Gamma$ , letting each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  act as translation over  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\gamma$ . There is then a corresponding picture for  $T^\sigma$ : if we write  $\mathfrak{t}_\tau$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\tau$ , then  $T^\sigma$  is the orbit space with respect to the obvious  $\Gamma$ -action on the disjoint union of the complex vector spaces  $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma := \sqcup_{\tau \leq \sigma} \mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$  (endowed with a topology which is defined in the spirit of Example 1.8). We define a neighborhood  $U_\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{t}^\sigma$  as follows: let  $\Phi \subset \check{\sigma} \cap \Gamma^\vee$  be the set of integral generators of the one-dimensional faces of  $\check{\sigma} \cap \Gamma^\vee$ . Then we define  $U_\tau$  as the subset of  $\sqcup_{\rho \leq \tau} (\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho)$  defined by the property that its intersection with  $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho$  is defined by  $\text{Re}(\phi) > \text{Re}(\phi')$  for all  $(\phi, \phi') \in \Phi \times \Phi$  with  $\phi|_\tau > 0$  and  $\phi'|_\tau = 0$  (note that that both  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  define linear forms on  $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\rho$ ). Then we have  $\Gamma_{U_\tau} = \Gamma$  and  $Z_\Gamma(\tau) = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}_\tau$ . Since  $(\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}_\tau) \backslash U_\tau$  fibers over  $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_\tau$  with fibers conical open subsets of complex vector space it is contractible. The associated category  $\mathfrak{S}$  has its objects indexed by faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ , and a morphism  $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$  only exists when  $\tau \subset \tau'$  and is then given by an element of  $\Gamma(\tau') := \Gamma/\Gamma \cap \mathfrak{t}'_\tau$ . This category has a final object represented by  $\tau = \sigma$  and so  $|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}|$  is contractible. We may also obtain  $|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}|$  as the geometric realization of the diagram of spaces  $B\Gamma(\tau)$  connected by the maps  $B\Gamma(\tau) \rightarrow B\Gamma(\tau')$  ( $\tau \subset \tau'$ ).

## 2. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF A DELIGNE-MUMFORD COMPACTIFICATION

Ebert and Giansiracusa determined in [5] the homotopy type of the Deligne-Mumford moduli space of stable  $n$ -punctured genus  $g$  curves. We outline how this fits our setting. This is one which involves the rational homology type only, but in the present case our arguments work without change if we wish to do this for the homotopy type of that moduli space as an orbifold.

We fix a  $n$ -punctured surface  $S$  of genus  $g$ , which means that  $S$  is a connected oriented differentiable surface that can be obtained as the complement of  $n$  distinct points of a compact surface of genus  $g$ . We assume that  $S$  is *hyperbolic* in the sense that its Euler characteristic  $2 - 2g - n$  is negative. This is indeed equivalent to  $S$  admitting a complete metric of constant curvature  $-1$  and of finite volume (and such a metric is equivalent to putting on  $S$  a complex structure compatible with the given orientation so that it becomes a nonsingular complex-algebraic curve which is universally covered by the upper half plane). Denote by  $\mathcal{H}yp(S)$  the space of all such metrics on  $S$ . This space is acted on by the group  $\text{Diff}(S)$  of diffeomorphisms of  $S$ . The identity component  $\text{Diff}^0(S)$  of  $\text{Diff}(S)$  acts freely and its orbit space, the *Teichmüller domain*  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  of  $S$ , is contractible and has naturally the structure of a complex manifold of complex dimension  $3g - 3 + n$ . Letting  $\text{Diff}^+(S) \subset \text{Diff}(S)$



stand for the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S$  (which may permute the punctures), then the *mapping class group*  $\Gamma(S) := \text{Diff}^+(S)/\text{Diff}^0(S)$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}(S)$  by complex-analytic transformations and this action is proper. The moduli stack of smooth  $n$ -punctured curves of genus  $g$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ , is as an orbifold the  $\Gamma(S)$ -orbit space of  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ .

A compact 1-dimensional submanifold  $A \subset S$  is necessarily a disjoint union of a finitely many embedded circles. Say that  $A$  is *admissible* if every connected component of  $S \setminus A$  is of hyperbolic type (so this includes the case  $A = \emptyset$ ). We define the *augmented curve complex* of  $S$  as the partially ordered set  $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$  of which an element is an isotopy class  $\sigma$  of admissible compact 1-dimensional submanifolds  $A \subset S$  as above, the partial order being given by inclusion. Note that  $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$  has the empty set as its minimal element (whence ‘augmented’). For a simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^*(S)$ , we denote by  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma \subset \Gamma(S)$  the subgroup that stabilizes this isotopy class in the strict sense that the isotopy class of each connected component of representative  $A$  of  $\sigma$  is preserved without reversal of orientation. This implies that an element of  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$  induces a mapping class for each connected component of  $S \setminus A$ . The Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus A)$  and the product of the mapping class groups of the connected components of  $S \setminus A$  only depend (up to unique isomorphism) on  $\sigma$  and so we take the liberty to write  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$  resp.  $\Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$  instead. The natural homomorphism  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$  has image a cofinite subgroup of  $\Gamma(S \setminus \sigma)$  and kernel a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)}$  in  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$ , where  $v(\sigma)$  is the vertex set of  $\sigma$  (a vertex corresponds to the image in  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$  of a Dehn twist along the corresponding component of  $A$ ; beware that  $v(\sigma)$  can be empty in which case  $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)} = \{0\}$ ). Note that the image of  $\mathbb{Z}^{v(\sigma)}$  is a central subgroup of  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$ . This will be our  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma^\ell$ .

Consider the disjoint union  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  of the Teichmüller spaces  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  runs over all the admissible isotopy classes. The group  $\Gamma(S)$  acts in this union and there is a natural  $\Gamma(S)$ -invariant topology on  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  which has the property that the closure of  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$  meets  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma')$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is a face of  $\sigma'$ .

The moduli space of stable punctured curves of genus  $g$  and with  $n$  (unnumbered) punctures,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$  can be regarded as the  $\Gamma(S)$ -orbit space of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$ . In fact,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$  is a Deligne-Mumford stack in the complex-analytic category and the stratification of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$  inherited by that of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  is that of a normal crossing divisor. It can be shown that every stratum  $\mathcal{T}(S \setminus \sigma)$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  admits a regular neighborhood  $U_\sigma$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  whose  $\Gamma(S)$ -stabilizer is  $\Gamma(S)_\sigma$  and is such that the resulting covering  $\{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^*(S)}$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(S)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.7. The theorem in question gives us the following reformulation of the theorem of Ebert and Giansiracusa [5] (which for  $n = 0$  is due to Charney and Lee [3]):

**Theorem 2.1.** *The homotopy type of the Deligne-Mumford stack  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$  is naturally realized by the classifying space of the category  $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$  whose objects are the elements of the augmented curve complex  $\mathcal{C}^*(S)$  and for which a morphism  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  is given by a  $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{Z}^{\sigma' \setminus \sigma} \backslash \Gamma(S)$  with the property that  $[\gamma]\sigma \subset \sigma'$ .*

We remind the reader that the Deligne-Mumford stack  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$  is not reduced as such when  $(g, n)$  has the value  $(0, 3)$  (a singleton whose stabilizer is the symmetric group on three elements) or is of hyperelliptic type  $(1, 1)$  or  $(2, 0)$  (then the mapping class group has a center of order two acting trivially).

## 3. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF A BAILY-BOREL COMPACTIFICATION

In this section we are going to apply Theorem 1.7 to a Baily-Borel compactification. To this end we review the basic inputs and properties of that construction, but we have tried to couch these in geometric terms, avoiding the use of root systems. The point of departure is a connected linear reductive algebraic group  $\mathcal{G}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose center is anisotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (which means that the Lie group  $G$  underlying  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$  has compact center). We assume that the symmetric space  $\mathbb{X}$  of  $G$  ('the space of maximal compact subgroups of  $G$ ') comes with a  $G$ -invariant complex structure. This turns  $\mathbb{X}$  into a bounded symmetric domain. We regard  $\mathbb{X}$  as an open subset of its compact dual  $\check{\mathbb{X}}$ . This is a complex projective manifold that is homogeneous for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  (the complex Lie group underlying  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$ ) and the  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stabilizer of a point of  $\mathbb{X}$  is the complexification of its  $G$ -stabilizer.

**Structure of maximal parabolic subgroups.** Let  $P$  be a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of  $G$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e., the group of real points of such a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ ). We associate with  $P$  the following groups defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

- $R_u(P)$ : the unipotent radical of  $P$ .
- $U_P$ : the center of  $R_u(P)$ . This is a vector group that is never trivial.
- $V_P$ : the quotient  $R_u(P)/U_P$ . This is a (possibly trivial) vector group.
- $L_P$ : the Levi quotient  $P/R_u(P)$  of  $P$ . It is a reductive group.
- $M_P^h$ : the kernel of the action of  $L_P$  on  $\mathfrak{u}_P = \text{Lie}(U_P)$  via the adjoint representation. The superscript  $h$  refers to *hermitian* or *horizontal*.
- $P^h$ : the preimage of  $M_P^h$  in  $P$ , in other words, the kernel of the action of  $P$  on  $\mathfrak{u}_P$  via the adjoint representation.
- $A_P$ : the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split center of  $L_P$ . This is a copy of  $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$ .
- $M_P^{\ell}$ : the commutator subgroup of the centralizer of  $M_P^h$  in  $L_P$ . The superscript  $\ell$  stands for *link* or *linear*. It has compact center.
- $L_P^{\ell}$ : the almost product  $M_P^{\ell}A_P = A_P M_P^{\ell}$ .
- $P^{\ell}$ : the preimage of  $L_P^{\ell}$  in  $P$ .
- $G(P)$ : the quotient  $P/P^{\ell} = L_P/L_P^{\ell}$ . The composite  $M_P^h \subset L_P \rightarrow G(P)$  is onto with finite kernel.

Then  $P$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{X}$  and the  $P^{\ell}$ -orbits define a holomorphic  $P$ -equivariant fibration of  $\mathbb{X}$ ,  $\pi_P^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ , where  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is defined as an orbit space. This orbit space is called a *rational boundary component* of  $\mathbb{X}$  (or rather, of the pair  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{G})$ ). It is clear that the  $P$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is through  $G(P)$ . This action is transitive and this realizes  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  as the bounded symmetric domain associated with  $G(P)$ . So  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  has its own rational boundary components.

We have in  $\mathfrak{u}_P = \text{Lie}(U_P)$  naturally defined a convex open cone  $C_P$  that is a  $P$ -orbit for the adjoint representation. This representation evidently factors through the Levi quotient  $L_P$ , but its subgroup  $L_P^{\ell} = M_P^{\ell}A_P$  is still transitive on  $C_P$ . This cone can be understood as the  $P^h$ -orbit space of  $\mathbb{X}$ , the more precise statement being that the semi-subgroup  $P^h \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P) \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$  (as acting on  $\check{\mathbb{X}}$ ) preserves  $\mathbb{X}$ , and makes it in fact an orbit of this semigroup and that we have a  $P$ -equivariant (real-analytic) bundle  $\mathcal{J}_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$  whose fibers are the  $P^h$ -orbits. The cone  $C_P$  is self-dual: there is a  $P$ -equivariant (but in general nonlinear) isomorphism of  $C_P$  onto its open dual  $C_P^{\circ} \subset \mathfrak{u}_P^{\vee}$ , (i.e., the set real forms on  $\mathfrak{u}_P$  that are positive on  $\overline{C_P} \setminus \{0\}$ ).

**Comparable pairs of parabolic subgroups.** We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  the collection of maximal proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}$  and identify this set with the corresponding collection of subgroups of  $G$ . Since any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  can be recovered from  $U_P$  or  $\mathfrak{u}_P$  as its stabilizer, a partial order on  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  is defined by letting  $P \geq Q$  mean that  $U_P \supset U_Q$ . This is equivalent to:  $P^\ell \supset Q^\ell$  and also to  $P^h \subset Q^h$  (but this does not imply that  $R_u(P) \supset R_u(Q)$ ). From the second characterization we see that  $P \geq Q$  implies that the projection  $\pi_P^G : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$  factors through  $\pi_Q^G : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(Q)$ . The resulting factor  $\pi_P^Q : \mathbb{X}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$  then defines a rational boundary component of  $\mathbb{X}(Q)$  of which the associated maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroup of  $G(Q)$  is the image of  $P \cap Q$  in  $Q/Q^\ell = G(Q)$ . We shall denote that subgroup by  $P/Q$ . The map  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})_{\geq Q} \mapsto P/Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(Q))$  thus defined is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Note that  $P \geq Q$  implies  $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$ .

Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  be such that  $P \geq Q$ . We then have inclusions

$$U_Q \subset U_P \cap Q^\ell \subset U_P \subset Q,$$

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that  $U_P$  stabilizes  $\mathfrak{u}_Q$ . The image  $U_P/(U_P \cap Q^\ell)$  of  $U_P$  in  $Q/Q^\ell = G(Q)$  is the center  $U_{P/Q}$  of  $R_u(P/Q)$  and the projection

$$c_Q^P : \mathfrak{u}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_P/(\mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{P/Q}.$$

maps  $C_P$  onto the cone  $C_{P/Q}$  that is attached to  $P/Q$ . This projection fits in a commutative diagram:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q^G} & \mathbb{X}(Q) \\ \mathcal{J}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{J}_{P/Q} \\ C_P & \xrightarrow{c_Q^P} & C_{P/Q} \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathcal{J}_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_Q$  forms the  $P^h$ -orbit space and  $P^h \subset Q^h$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_P$  factors through  $\mathcal{J}_Q : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$  and so there is an induced map  $\mathcal{J}_Q^P : C_P \rightarrow C_Q$ . This map is nonlinear in general and is in fact the ‘adjoint’ of the inclusion  $C_Q \subset C_P$  via self-duality:  $C_P \cong C_P^\circ \rightarrow C_Q^\circ \cong C_Q$ . Since  $Q^\ell \subset P^\ell$ , the adjoint action of  $Q^\ell$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  preserves  $\mathfrak{u}_P$  and  $C_P \subset \mathfrak{u}_P$ . It clearly also preserves the flag of subspaces  $\{0\} \subset \mathfrak{u}_Q \subset \mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell \subset \mathfrak{u}_P$  and it will act as the identity on the last quotient  $\mathfrak{u}_P/(\mathfrak{u}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}^\ell) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{P/Q}$ . In fact the map  $c_Q^P : C_P \rightarrow C_{P/Q}$  is the formation of the  $Q^\ell$ -orbit space of  $C_P$ . If we restrict this action of  $Q^\ell$  to  $R_u(Q)$ , then  $R_u(Q)$  acts trivially on the successive quotients of this flag and the map

$$(\mathcal{J}_Q^P, c_Q^P) : C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$$

is the formation of the  $R_u(Q)$ -orbit space of  $C_P$ . The image of  $R_u(Q)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{u}_P)$  is unipotent and this group acts freely on  $C_P$  (this is explained in a more general setting in §5 of [7]: in the notation of that paper the above flag is  $\{0\} \subset V_F \subset V^F \subset V$ , where  $V = \mathfrak{u}_P$ ,  $C = C_P$  and  $F = C_Q$ ). In particular, the map  $C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$  is locally trivial with fiber an affine space.

**Example 3.1** (The symplectic group). Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a symplectic vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $2g$  and take for  $\mathcal{G}$  its automorphism group  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$ . So  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ , where  $V = \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R})$ . The embedding  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 V \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  which assigns to  $a^2 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2 V$  the endomorphism  $x \mapsto \langle x, a \rangle a$  maps onto the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  and we shall identify the two.

The compact dual  $\check{\mathbb{X}}(V)$  is the space of isotropic complex  $g$ -planes  $F \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$  and the symmetric domain of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  is the open subset  $\mathbb{X}(V) \subset \check{\mathbb{X}}(V)$  of  $F$  on which the Hermitian form  $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \mapsto \sqrt{-1}\langle v, \bar{v} \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite. A maximal proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  is the  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ -stabilizer (denoted  $P_I$ ) of a nonzero isotropic subspace  $I \subset V$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and vice versa. The associated holomorphic fibration is the projection  $\pi_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(I^{\perp}/I)$  which sends  $F$  to the image of  $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \rightarrow (I^{\perp}/I)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The unipotent radical  $R_u(P_I)$  of  $P_I$  is the subgroup that acts trivially on  $I$  and  $I^{\perp}/I$  (the symplectic form determines an isomorphism  $V/I^{\perp} \cong I^{\vee}$  and so this group then automatically acts trivially on  $V/I^{\perp}$ ). The center  $U_I$  of  $R_u(P_I)$  is the subgroup that acts trivially on  $I^{\perp}$  and its (abelian) Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_I$  can be identified with  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 I \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 V \cong \mathfrak{g}$ . The cone  $C_I \subset \mathfrak{u}_I$  is the cone of positive definite elements of  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 I$ . The dual cone  $C_I^{\circ} \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 \mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$  is the space of positive definite quadratic forms on  $I_{\mathbb{R}}$  and the duality isomorphism  $C_I \cong C_I^{\circ}$  comes from the fact that a positive definite quadratic form on a finite dimensional real vector space determines one on its dual. We identify  $R_u(P_I)/U_I$  with a group of elements in  $\mathrm{GL}(I^{\perp})$  which act trivially on both  $I$  and  $I^{\perp}/I$ ; this group is abelian and its Lie algebra can be identified with  $\mathrm{Hom}(I^{\perp}/I, I) \cong (I^{\perp}/I) \otimes I$ .

The Levi quotient  $L_I$  of  $P_I$  can be identified  $\mathrm{GL}(I) \times \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$ . The split radical  $A_I$  of  $L_I$  is the group of scalars in  $\mathrm{GL}(I)$  (a copy of  $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$ ), its horizontal subgroup  $M_I^h$  is  $\{\pm 1_I\} \times \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$  and its link subgroup  $M_I^{\ell} = \mathrm{SL}(I)$ . Note that  $G(P_I) = L_I/A_I \cdot M_I^{\ell} = \mathrm{Sp}(I^{\perp}/I)$  (which is indeed in an obvious way a quotient of  $M_I^h$ ) and that  $P_I^h$  resp.  $P_I^{\ell}$  is the group of symplectic transformations of  $V$  that preserve  $I$  and act on  $I$  as  $\pm 1$  resp. on  $I^{\perp}/I$  as the identity.

The projection  $\mathcal{J}_I : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_I$  is obtained as follows. Let  $F \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$  represent an element of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Recall that  $v \in F \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\langle v, \bar{v} \rangle$  is a positive definite hermitian form on  $F$ . The map  $F \rightarrow (V/I^{\perp})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$  is onto with kernel  $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$ , so if we identify  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$  with the orthogonal complement of  $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$  in  $F$  we get a Hermitian form on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(I, \mathbb{C})$ . The real part of this form defines a positive definite element of  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 I$ , i.e., an element of  $C_I$ .

Finally the partial order relation  $P_J \leq P_I$  means simply  $J \subset I$ . In that case  $P_J^{\ell}$  (the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  which stabilizes  $J$  and acts as the identity on  $J^{\perp}/J$ ) indeed preserves  $I$  and the image of this action is the full subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(I)$  which stabilizes  $J$  and acts as the identity on  $I/J$ . The transformations that also act as the identity on  $I$  come from  $R_u(P_J)$ . The flag defined by  $P_J$  in  $\mathfrak{u}_I = \mathrm{Sym}_2 I$  is  $\{0\} \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 J \subset I \circ J \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 I$ . If we view  $a \in C_I$  as a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$ , then the subspace  $\mathrm{Hom}(I/J, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(I, \mathbb{R})$  has an orthogonal complement with respect to  $a$  which maps isomorphically onto  $\mathrm{Hom}(J, \mathbb{R})$ . In other words, there is unique section  $s$  of  $I \rightarrow I/J$  and unique  $a' \in C_J$  and  $a'' \in C_{I/J}$  such that  $s = a' + s_*(a'')$ . The resulting projection  $C_I \rightarrow C_J \times C_{I/J}$  is then clearly the formation of the  $R_u(P_J)$ -orbit space (via which it is a torsor for the vector space  $\mathrm{Hom}(I/J, I)$ ). The first factor is the nonlinear map  $\mathcal{J}_J^I : C_I \rightarrow C_J$  and the second factor is the natural map  $c_{I,J}^I : C_I \rightarrow C_{I/J}$ .

**The Satake extension.** Without loss of generality we may and will assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is almost  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple. We put  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G}) \cup \{\mathcal{G}\}$  and observe that the notions we defined for a member of  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  extend in an almost obvious way to  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ . For instance,  $R_u(G) = \{1\}$  and so  $C_G = \{0\}$ ,  $G(G) = G$  and (hence)  $\mathbb{X}(G) := \mathbb{X}$ .

The *Satake extension* of  $\mathbb{X}$  is a topological space  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  that contains  $\mathbb{X}$  as an open-dense subset and comes with a stratification:

$$\mathbb{X}^{bb} = \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} \mathbb{X}(P),$$

where the topology on each stratum is the usual one. For what follows we need a good understanding of the topology on  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  and so let us briefly review this here. The incidence relation  $\geq$  for the strata will be opposite to the partial order on  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*$ :  $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$  if and only if  $P \geq Q$  (indeed, the minimal element  $G$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*$  corresponds to the open subset  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(G)$ ). So for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ , the union of strata containing  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  in its closure is  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) = \cup_{Q \leq P} \mathbb{X}(Q)$ . The projections  $\pi_P^Q : \mathbb{X}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$  have the property that  $\pi_Q^R \pi_P^Q = \pi_P^R$  when  $P \geq Q \geq R$  and hence the  $\pi_P^Q$  combine to form a retraction

$$\pi_P =: \cup_{Q \leq P} \pi_P^Q : \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$$

with the property that  $\pi_P \pi_Q = \pi_P|_{\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))}$  when  $Q \leq P$ .

The topology on  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  can be described in terms of cocores. A *cocore* of  $C_P$  (with respect to the  $L_P^\ell$ -action on  $C_P$ ) is an open subset  $K \subset C_P$  which contains an orbit of an arithmetic subgroup of  $L_P^\ell$  and is such that  $\overline{C}_P + K \subset K$ . We refer to [1] for the following basic properties: If  $K$  and  $K'$  are cocores, then so are  $K \cap K'$ , the convex hull of  $K \cup K'$  and  $\lambda K$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Moreover, there exists a  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 K \subset K' \subset \lambda_2 K$ . When  $Q \leq P$ , then  $c_P^Q$  maps a cocore  $K$  in  $C_P$  to one in  $C_Q$ .

For any cocore  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K$  is invariant under the preimage of  $M_P^h M_P^\ell$  in  $P$  (this is a normal subgroup of  $P$  of codimension one). It maps under  $\pi_P^Q$  onto  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  and so

$$(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} := \coprod_{Q \leq P} \pi_Q^G \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K \subset \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$$

contains  $\mathbb{X}(P)$ . The topology of  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  at  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is then characterized by the fact that for every  $z \in \mathbb{X}(P)$  the collection  $U^{bb}(K, V) := (\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \pi_P^{-1}V$  where  $K$  runs over the cocores in  $C_P$  and  $V$  over the neighborhoods of  $z$  in  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is a neighborhood basis of  $z$  in  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$ . With this topology,  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$ ,  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is locally closed in  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  and the induced topology on  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  is the one that it already has a symmetric domain. It is clear that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  by homeomorphisms. The space  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  is Hausdorff, but rarely locally compact.

**Geodesic retraction.** The projection  $\pi_P$  is a *geodesic retraction*: for every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  there is a canonical geodesic  $\gamma_{P,x} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  through that point with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{P,x}(t) = \pi_P(x)$ . A geodesic through  $x$  is given by a one-parameter subgroup of  $G$  that is ‘perpendicular’ to the compact subgroup  $G_x$ ; in the present case it is the one in  $P$  whose projection in  $L_P$  is given by the action of  $A_P$ . The image of this geodesic under the projection  $\mathcal{J}_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P$  is then just the ray that lies on the line spanned by  $\mathcal{J}_P(x)$ . These geodesics are defined on all of  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$  (albeit that they will be constant on  $\mathbb{X}(P)$ ) and depend continuously on their point of departure. So this defines a  $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant deformation retraction of  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$  onto  $\mathbb{X}(P)$ . (We can now also be explicit about the map  $\mathcal{J} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow C_P^\circ$ : fix a  $G$ -invariant hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{X}$ . For every  $u \in \mathfrak{u}_P$ , denote by  $u_x \in T_x \mathbb{X}$  the infinitesimal displacement defined by the action. Then  $\mathcal{J}(x)(u)$  is the imaginary part of  $h_x(u_x, \dot{\gamma}_{P,x}(0))$ .)

Since a cocore  $K$  of  $C_P$  is invariant under multiplication by scalars  $\geq 1$ , the geodesic deformation retraction preserves  $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$  and so restricts to one of  $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$

onto  $\mathbb{X}(P)$ . For any  $Q \leq P$ , we have  $K + C_Q \subset K$  and from this one may deduce that the deformation retraction of  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))$  onto  $\mathbb{X}(Q)$  also preserves  $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(Q))$ . Moreover, the diagram (1) specializes to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q^G} & \mathcal{J}_{P/Q}^{-1}K_{P/Q} \\ \mathcal{J}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{J}_{P/Q} \\ K & \xrightarrow{c_Q^P} & K_{P/Q} \end{array}$$

where  $K_{P/Q} := c_Q^P(K)$  is a cocore in  $C_{P/Q}$ . Since the top arrow is onto, it follows that  $\mathcal{J}_{P/Q}^{-1}K_{P/Q} = (\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(Q)$ . In particular, every stratum of  $(\mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K)^{bb}$  is given by a cocore.

**The Baily-Borel compactification.** Suppose  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  is an arithmetic subgroup. The central result of the Satake-Baily-Borel theory asserts that the orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  is a compact topological space, the *Baily-Borel compactification* of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$ , which underlies the structure of a complex projective variety. Note that  $Z_\Gamma(\mathbb{X}(P))$  contains  $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$  as a subgroup of finite index. A key step in the proof is the local version which states that the orbit space  $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$  is locally compact (and has in fact the structure of normal complex-analytic variety). The  $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction  $\pi_P$  descends to a  $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction  $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P)) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ .

The image of  $\Gamma \cap P$  in  $L_P^\ell$  is an arithmetic subgroup and so there exist cocores  $K_P$  in  $C_P$  that are invariant under the image of  $\Gamma \cap P$  in  $L_P^\ell$ . For such a cocore,  $U_{K_P} := \mathcal{J}_P^{-1}K_P$  is of course invariant under  $\Gamma \cap P$  and what we just asserted about  $\text{Star}(\mathbb{X}(P))$  also holds for  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ . In particular,  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) := (\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb}$  can be regarded as a regular open neighborhood of  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  in  $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ . The retraction  $\pi_P$  induces a  $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant geodesic deformation retraction  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ . Since  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  is contractible, so will be  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$ .

We can take  $K_P$  so small as to ensure that every  $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -orbit in  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$  is the intersection of  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$  with a  $\Gamma$ -orbit. This implies that if for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma U_{K_P}^{bb}$  meets  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$ , then  $\gamma \in P$  and in particular  $\gamma U_{K_P}^{bb} = U_{K_P}^{bb}$ . So for a stratum  $S = \Gamma(P) \backslash \mathbb{X}(P)$  of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ ,  $U_S(K) := (\Gamma \cap P) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb} = \Gamma(P) \backslash U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$  is a regular open neighborhood of  $S$  in  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  and  $\pi_P$  will induce a deformation retraction of  $U_S(K)$  onto  $S$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$  be a subgroup. The *Satake category*  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$  of the pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma)$  is the small category whose object set is  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$  and for which a morphism  $P \rightarrow P'$  is given by a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with the property that  ${}^\gamma P := \gamma P \gamma^{-1} \leq P'$  (or equivalently,  $\gamma \mathbb{X}(P) \geq \mathbb{X}(P')$ ). The *Charney-Lee category*  $\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$  has the same object set, but a  $\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ -morphism  $P \rightarrow P'$  is given by a right coset  $(\Gamma \cap P'^\ell) \gamma \in (\Gamma \cap P'^\ell) \backslash \Gamma$  with the property that  ${}^\gamma P \leq P'$ .

So a  $\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ -morphism  $P \rightarrow P'$  is almost tantamount to giving a rational boundary component  $\mathbb{X}(Q) \leq \mathbb{X}(P)$  plus an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{X}(Q)$  onto  $\mathbb{X}(P')$  that is induced by an element of  $\Gamma$ .

We have an obvious functor  $F : \mathfrak{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ . The fiber of the identity of  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$ , when viewed as an object of  $\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$  is equal to  $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$ . It is clear that for any subgroup  $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma_1}$  resp.  $\mathfrak{W}_{\Gamma_1}$  appears as a subcategory of  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$  resp.  $\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ . The classifying space functor applied to the embedding of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$  (as the automorphism group of the object defined by  $\mathcal{G}$ ) is a homotopy equivalence and so  $|B\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma|$  represents the homotopy type of the Deligne-Mumford stack  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$ . The Baily-Borel compactification  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$  comes with a natural structure of a stacky homotopy type that is represented by  $|B\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma|$  such that the classifying space construction applied to the functor  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$  reproduces the stacky homotopy type of the inclusion  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X} \subset \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ . In particular, the rational cohomology algebra of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  is that of  $|B\mathfrak{W}_\Gamma|$ .*

**Example 3.4** (Example 3.1 continued). An object of  $\mathfrak{S}_\Gamma$  is then given by an isotropic subspace  $I \subset V$  and a morphism  $I \rightarrow J$  by a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma I \subset J$ . Two such elements  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$  define the same morphism in the Charney-Lee category precisely if  $\gamma' \gamma^{-1}$  preserves  $J$  and induces the identity in  $J^\perp/J$ .

*Proof of theorem 3.3.* We regard  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}$  as a quotient stack so that its homotopy type as such is given by  $B\Gamma$ . Next we observe that the forgetful functor  $R : \mathfrak{S}_\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  (which forgets  $P$ ) is a retract. The fiber of  $R$  over the identity of  $G$  is the subcategory of  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  defined by the finite linear chains in  $\mathfrak{S}_\bullet$  that have  $G$  as a minimal element. This category has an initial object, namely the identity of  $S$  (now viewed as a linear chain of length zero). This implies that  $|B(\Gamma \backslash R)|$  is contractible so that by Thm. A of [10],  $|BR|$  is a homotopy equivalence.

The remaining assertions will follow if we verify the hypotheses of Theorem 1.7 for  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  with its natural stratification into  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  and take for  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell := \Gamma \cap P^\ell$  as our link group. Then  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}/\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell = \Gamma \cap P/\Gamma \cap P^\ell = \Gamma(P)$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  with a subgroup of finite index acting freely. Since  $\mathbb{X}(P) \leq \mathbb{X}(Q)$  is equivalent to  $P^\ell \supset Q^\ell$ , we then have  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(P)}^\ell \supset \Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(Q)}^\ell$ , as required.

For every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$  we choose in a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant fashion an open cocore  $K_P \subset C_P$  (meaning that  $K_{\gamma P \gamma^{-1}} = \gamma K_P$ ). We let  $U_{K_P} := \mathcal{I}_P^{-1} K_P$  and  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$  be as before. We know that  $U_{K_P}^{bb}$  is then open in  $\mathbb{X}^{bb}$  and contains  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  as a  $(\Gamma \cap P)$ -equivariant deformation retract. It is then clear that these neighborhoods satisfy properties (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.7.

We noted that the orbit space  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) = (\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_P}^{bb}$  is an analytic variety with  $\Gamma(P)$ -action which comes with an analytic  $\Gamma(P)$ -equivariant retraction  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ . The group  $\Gamma(P)$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}(P)$  as an arithmetic group and hence this action is proper with a subgroup of finite index acting freely. The same is then true for its action on  $U_{\mathbb{X}(P)}(K)$  and so property (iii) is also satisfied.

On order to check property (iv), consider any chain  $P_\bullet = (P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  and put  $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} = \bigcap_{i=0}^n U_{K_{P_i}}^{bb}$ . We must show that  $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$  is contractible. For any  $x \in U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$ , the geodesic  $\gamma_{P_0, x}$  stays in  $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$  and so we have a  $(\Gamma \cap P_0^\ell)$ -equivariant deformation retraction of  $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$  onto its intersection with  $\mathbb{X}(P_0)$ . In particular,  $(\Gamma \cap P^\ell) \backslash U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb}$  has  $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(P_0)$  as deformation retract.

Since we are now left to prove that  $U_{K_{P_\bullet}}^{bb} \cap \mathbb{X}(P_0)$  is contractible, we focus on  $\mathbb{X}(P_0)$  with its  $\Gamma(P_0)$ -action. This means that we can pretend that  $P_0 = G$ , so that we must show that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{K_{P_i}}$  is a contractible subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The chain  $P_\bullet$  defines a ‘flag’ of faces  $\{0\} = C_{P_0}^+ \subset C_{P_1}^+ \subset \dots \subset C_{P_n}^+$ . But then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{K_{P_i}}$  is equal to  $U_K$ , where  $K := \bigcap_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{I}_{P_i}^{P_n})^{-1} K_{P_i} \subset C_{P_n}$ . So it remains to prove that  $K$  is contractible: for then so is  $U_K$  and we then apply Theorem 1.7.

To this end we write  $P$  for  $P_n$  and  $Q$  for  $P_1$ . Since  $K_{P_i}$  is invariant under  $P_i^\ell$ , it is also invariant under  $R_u(Q)$  (for  $Q \leq P_i$ ). Hence  $K$  is  $R_u(Q)$ -invariant. Since  $(\mathcal{J}_Q^P, c_Q^P) : C_P \rightarrow C_Q \times C_{P/Q}$  forms the  $R_u(Q)$ -orbit space and has affine fibers, it suffices to prove that the image of  $K$  under this map is contractible. This image is open and invariant under translations in the convex cone  $C_Q \times \{0\}$  and projects in  $C_{P/Q}$  onto an open subset invariant under translations in  $C_{P/Q}$ . A double application of Lemma 3.5 below then finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $U$  and  $U'$  be a real finite dimensional vector spaces,  $C \subset U$  an open convex cone and  $K \subset C \times U'$  an open subset which is invariant under translations in  $C \times \{0\}$ . Then the projection  $K \xrightarrow{\pi_{U'}} \pi_{U'}(K)$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* With loss of generality we may assume that  $C$  is nondegenerate. Put  $K' := \pi_{U'}(K)$  and choose  $\phi \in C^\circ$ . Then the base  $\mathbb{P}(C)$  is a convex open subset of the affine subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  defined by  $\phi \neq 0$  and so  $\mathbb{P}(C)$  is contractible. For every  $r \in \mathbb{P}(C)$  and  $y \in K'$  denote by  $\lambda(r, y) > 0$  the infimum of  $\phi$  on the intersection of the ray emanating from  $(0, y)$  defined by  $r$  with  $K$ . Then  $\lambda$  is continuous and if  $p : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(C)$  is the obvious projection, then  $(p, \phi)$  maps  $K$  homeomorphically onto the subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K' \times (0, \infty)$  consisting of  $(r, y, t)$  with  $t > \lambda(r, y)$ . The projection of this image onto  $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K'$  is a clearly a homotopy equivalence. And so is the projection of  $\mathbb{P}(C) \times K'$  onto  $K'$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* We recall that  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  is the vertex set of the *Tits building* of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is a simplicial complex whose simplices are the linear chains in  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  (and so any simplex comes with a total order on its vertex set). To give such a linear chain  $\mathcal{P}_\bullet = (P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_k)$  amounts to giving a proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroup of  $G$  (namely  $\cap_i P_i$ ), for if  $P$  is a proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroup of  $G$ , then the collection of maximal proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroups containing  $P$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  and the intersection of its members give us back  $P$ . In other words, the collection of nonempty linear chains in  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$  can be identified with the collection of proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroups of  $G$ , even as partially ordered sets, where the relation ‘is a subchain of’ corresponds to the relation ‘contains’.

#### 4. THE SATAKE COMPACTIFICATION OF $\mathcal{A}_g$ ACCORDING TO CHARNEY-LEE

Denote by  $\mathfrak{V}_g$  the category whose objects are pairs  $(L \supset I)$ , where  $L$  is a unimodular symplectic lattice of rank  $2g$  and  $I \subset L$  is primitive isotropic sublattice and for which a morphism  $(L \supset I) \rightarrow (L' \supset I')$  is given by an isomorphism  $\phi : L \cong L'$  such that  $\phi(I) \subset I'$ . Letting  $\mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  denote the groupoid of unimodular symplectic lattices  $L$  of rank  $2g$  whose morphisms are symplectic isomorphisms, then we have a forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{V}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by  $(L \supset I) \mapsto L$ . This is also a homotopy equivalence, because a fiber over  $L$  is the PO-set of primitive isotropic sublattices and this has an initial object (namely  $0$ ), so has a contractible geometric realization. Let us write  $H$  for the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  equipped with its standard symplectic form. Since every unimodular symplectic lattice of rank  $2g$  is isomorphic to  $H^g$ , the full subcategory  $\mathfrak{Sp}(H^g) \subset \mathfrak{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  is an equivalence and so the inclusion  $\mathfrak{Sp}(H^g) \subset \mathfrak{V}_g$  (defined by taking  $I = 0$  in  $H^g$ ) yields a homotopy equivalence after passing to classifying spaces.

The *Giffen category of genus  $g$* ,  $\mathfrak{W}_g$ , is the category whose objects are the unimodular symplectic lattices  $M$  of rank  $\leq 2g$  and for which a morphism  $M \rightarrow M'$



is given by a primitive isotropic sublattice  $I \subset M$  and a symplectic isomorphism  $I^\perp/I \xrightarrow{\cong} M'$  (the composition should be clear). A functor  $F_g : \mathfrak{Y}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$  is defined by  $F_g(L \supset I) := I^\perp/I$ . Indeed, for a  $\mathfrak{Y}_g$ -morphism  $\phi : (L \supset I) \rightarrow (L' \supset I')$ , we have  $I \subset \phi^{-1}I'$  and  $J := \phi^{-1}I'/I$  is then an isotropic subspace of  $F_g(L \supset I) = I^\perp/I$  such that  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism of  $J^\perp/J$  onto  $I'^\perp/I' = F_g(L' \supset I')$ .

We now consider a special case of Example 3.1. We take as our  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group the group  $Sp_g$  which assigns to a commutative ring  $R$  with unit the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(R \otimes H^g)$  so that  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$  is an arithmetic subgroup of  $Sp_g(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}^g)$ . The associated real Lie group  $Sp_g(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^g)$  has as its symmetric space the domain  $\mathbb{X}_g := \mathbb{X}(H^g)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g) \backslash \mathbb{X}_g$  can be identified with the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_g$  of principally polarized abelian varieties. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Y}_g$  whose objects are of the form  $(H^g \supset I)$ . The interpretation of  $\mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$  as in Example 3.4 enables us to define a functor  $\mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$  by  $I \mapsto I^\perp/I$ . We then have a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F_g \\ \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_g \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is given by Theorem 3.3. Since every unimodular symplectic lattice of rank  $2g$  is isomorphic to  $H^g$ , the horizontal arrows are equivalences of categories and so Theorem 3.3 gives the following rephrasing of a theorem of Charney-Lee [2]:

**Proposition 4.1.** *The inclusion  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g) \subset \mathfrak{Y}_g$  is an equivalence of categories and the stacky homotopy type of the inclusion of  $j_g : \mathcal{A}_g \subset \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  is reproduced by applying the classifying space construction applied to the functor  $F_g : \mathfrak{Y}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$ .*

*Remark 4.2.* There is a monoidal structure present that we wish to explicate in view of its applications to cohomological stability [4]. The map which assigns to two principally polarized abelian varieties their product defines a morphism  $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}$ . This morphism is covered by the map  $\mathbb{X}_g \times \mathbb{X}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}$  which assigns to the pair  $(F \subset H_{\mathbb{C}}^g, F' \subset H_{\mathbb{C}}^{g'})$  the direct sum  $F \oplus F' \subset H_{\mathbb{C}}^{g+g'}$ . The corresponding functor  $\mathfrak{Y}_g \times \mathfrak{Y}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{g+g'}$  is given by  $((L \supset I), (L' \supset I')) \mapsto (L \oplus L', I \oplus I')$ . The map  $\mathbb{X}_g \times \mathbb{X}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}$  extends in an obvious manner to the Satake extensions  $\mathbb{X}_g^{bb} \times \mathbb{X}_{g'}^{bb} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{g+g'}^{bb}$  and hence drops to a morphism  $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}^{bb} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}^{bb}$  that extends the map  $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}$  above. Its counterpart  $\mathfrak{W}_g \times \mathfrak{W}_{g'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{g+g'}$  for the Giffen categories is given  $(M, M') \mapsto M \oplus M'$ . Indeed, the commutative diagram on the right below has the same rational homology type as the commutative diagram on the left.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+g'} \\ j_g \times j_{g'} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{g+g'} \\ \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}^{bb} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}^{bb} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Y}_g \times \mathfrak{Y}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}_{g+g'} \\ F_g \times F_{g'} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{g+g'} \\ \mathfrak{W}_g \times \mathfrak{W}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_{g+g'} \end{array}$$

By taking  $g' = 1$  and choosing a point of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  resp. the element  $(H, I)$ , where  $I$  is the span of the first basis vector of  $H$ , the above diagrams become the ‘stabilization

maps'

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+1} \\ j_g \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{g+1} \\ \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{bb} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Y}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y}_{g+1} \\ F_g \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{g+1} \\ \mathfrak{W}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_{g+1} \end{array}$$

The homotopy type of the maps on the right hand side do not depend on the point we choose, for  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is isomorphic to the affine line and hence connected.

**The homotopy type of the extended period map.** The map which assigns to a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g > 1$  its Jacobian as a principally polarized abelian variety defines a *period map*  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ . If  $S_g$  is a closed connected oriented surface, then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homotopy type of  $\mathcal{J}$  is represented by the map on classifying spaces of the group homomorphism  $\Gamma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))$ . Mumford observed that the period map  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$  extends to a morphism  $\mathcal{J}^{bb} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ . It has the property that the preimage of a stratum of  $\mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  is a closed union of strata of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $S_g$  be a closed connected oriented surface of genus  $g > 1$ . Let  $P : \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$  be the functor which assigns to an element  $\sigma$  of the augmented curve complex  $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$ , the quotient  $\overline{H}_1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$  of the quasi-symplectic lattice  $H_1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$  by its radical (or equivalently, the image of  $H_1(S_g \setminus \sigma) \rightarrow H^1(S_g \setminus \sigma)$ ). The restriction of this functor to the initial object  $\emptyset$  of  $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$  gives the symplectic representation  $P_\emptyset : \Gamma(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))$  and the stacky homotopy type of the square on the left below is obtained by applying the classifying space functor to the square on the right:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} & \mathcal{A}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}^{bb}} & \mathcal{A}_g^{bb} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(S) & \xrightarrow{P_\emptyset} & \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}^*(S_g) & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S))} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We confine ourselves to the basic idea of the proof. First note that the period map lifts to a map  $\mathcal{J}(S_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))$ . This extends to a continuous map  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}(S_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))^{bb}$  which on the stratum  $\mathcal{J}(S_g \setminus \sigma)$  it is given by first mapping  $\mathcal{J}(S \setminus \sigma)$  to the Teichmüller space of the (possibly disconnected) surface obtained from  $S \setminus \sigma$  by filling in all the punctures and then applying the period map on each connected component. We can arrange that the open cover of  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}(S_g)$  that was used to define  $\mathfrak{C}^*(S_g)$  refines the preimage of the open cover of  $\mathbb{X}(H_1(S_g))^{bb}$  that was used to define its Charney-Lee category. The proposition then follows.  $\square$

## 5. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF A TOROIDAL COMPACTIFICATION

**The parabolic cone.** We place ourselves in the setting of the previous section. Let us first recall from [1] how a toroidal compactification is defined. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  stand for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Lie algebra of  $\mathcal{G}$  and regard  $C_P$  as a cone in  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then any element of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Q})$  in the closure of  $C_P$  lies in a *unique*  $C_Q$  with  $Q \leq P$  and if we define the *parabolic cone* as  $C(\mathfrak{g}) := \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} C_P$  and define the *face (of  $C(\mathfrak{g})$ ) associated to  $P$*  as  $C_P^+ := \cup_{Q \leq P} C_Q$ , then

- (a)  $C(\mathfrak{g}) := \sqcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})} C_P$  (the union is disjoint),
- (b)  $C_P^+$  is the relative closure of  $C_P$  in  $C(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $P \leq Q$  if and only if  $C_P^+ \leq C_Q^+$ ,

(c) two faces intersect in a face.

So the faces of  $C(\mathfrak{g})$  are in bijective correspondence with the elements of  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$  and the flags of faces that are not reduced to  $\{0\}$  are in bijective correspondence with simplices of the Tits building of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

For every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$ , the group  $\Gamma \cap P$  acts via an arithmetic subgroup of  $L_P^\ell$  on  $u_P$  and preserves  $C_P^+$ . It is known to have as fundamental domain in  $C_P^+$  a rational polyhedral cone (i.e., the convex cone spanned by a finite subset of  $u_P(\mathbb{Q})$ ). For example, if  $\phi \in u_P^*$  is such that  $\phi$  is positive on  $\overline{C}_P - \{0\}$ , then the set of  $x \in C_P^+$  with  $\phi(x) \leq \phi(\gamma x)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap P$  is a rational polyhedral cone that is also a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \cap P$ . So if  $\Sigma_P$  is a  $\Gamma \cap P$ -invariant decomposition of  $C_P^+$  into rational polyhedral cones, then it induces one on each of its faces  $C_Q^+$ ,  $Q \leq P$ .

**Admissible decompositions of the parabolic cone.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Gamma$ -invariant decomposition of  $C(\mathfrak{g})$  into a rational polyhedral cones (such decomposition is said to be  $\Gamma$ -admissible). This determines a toroidal extension of  $\mathbb{X}^\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{X}$  which is locally like the one we have for the extension described in the torus case 1.9 and is at the same time very much in the spirit of the Satake-Baily-Borel extension. The difference with the latter is that the projections  $\pi_P$  are replaced by projections  $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  indexed by the cones  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  for which the topology is easier to understand. A fiber of this projection is an orbit of the semigroup  $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \cap C_P)$  acting on  $\check{\mathbb{X}}$ . Let  $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  denote the formation of this orbit space. Then  $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  has the structure of a complex manifold for which  $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}}$  is a holomorphic map. The  $\Gamma$ -stabilizer  $\Gamma_\sigma$  of  $\sigma$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  with a kernel that contains the free abelian group  $\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$  as a subgroup of finite index. We shall take  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{X}(\sigma)}^\ell := \Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

For any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we denote by  $P(\sigma)$  the member of  $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*(\mathcal{G})$  with the property that  $\sigma \cap C_{P(\sigma)} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\pi_{P(\sigma)}^G$  factors through  $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}}$ . This is in fact a principal fibration over  $\mathbb{X}(P(\sigma))$  with structure group is an extension of the vector group  $V_{P(\sigma)}$  by the vector group  $U_{P(\sigma), \mathbb{C}} / \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{C}})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  is contractible. Notice that  $\pi_{\{0\}}^{\{0\}} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\{0\})$  is the identity map.

For  $\sigma \geq \tau$  we have a factorization of  $\pi_\sigma^{\{0\}}$  over  $\pi_\tau^{\{0\}}$ . The factor  $\pi_\sigma^\tau : \mathbb{X}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\tau)$  is a holomorphic fibration and we have  $\pi_\tau^v \pi_\sigma^\tau = \pi_\sigma^v$  when  $\sigma \leq \tau \leq v$ . We then proceed as before by putting  $\text{Star}_\Sigma \mathbb{X}(\sigma) := \prod_{\tau \leq \sigma} \mathbb{X}(\tau)$  so that the  $\pi_\sigma^\tau$  combine to give a retraction  $\pi_\sigma : \text{Star}_\Sigma \mathbb{X}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ . We let  $\mathbb{X}^\Sigma$  be the disjoint union of the  $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$  and equip this union with the topology that is similarly defined as in the Satake-Baily-Borel setting, the role of the cocores then being taken by an open convex subsets  $K \subset C_P$  that can be written as the Minkowski sum of  $\sigma$  and a nonempty bounded open subset of  $C$ . The  $\Gamma$ -orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^\Sigma$  is a compact Hausdorff space (which in fact underlies the structure of a normal analytic space) and the obvious  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map  $\mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  is continuous and yields a surjective map  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$  of compact Hausdorff spaces (which in fact underlies a morphism in the complex-analytic category).

The group  $\Gamma(\mathbb{X}(\sigma)) := \Gamma_\sigma / (\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}))$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma$  be the category with objects the members of  $\Sigma$  and for which a morphism  $\tau \rightarrow \sigma$  is given by a right coset  $\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}) \gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})) \backslash \Gamma$  for which  $(\Gamma \cap \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})) \gamma \tau \subset \sigma$ . Then the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma$  defined by the object  $\{0\}$  can be identified with  $\Gamma$  and we have a natural functor  $\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$  defined by  $\Pi \mapsto P(\Pi)$ .*

If we apply the classifying space construction to the functors  $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{T}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\Gamma$  we recover the stacky homotopy type of the morphisms  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X} \subset \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{X}^{bb}$ .

**An example: the perfect cone compactification.** We take  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$ . The perfect cone compactification of  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{perf}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is an example of a toroidal compactification as above.

**Definition 5.2.** Given a lattice  $L$ , denote by  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(L)$  the symmetric quotient of  $L \otimes L$  and by  $\mathrm{Sq}(L)$  the collection of *pure primitive squares* in  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(L)$ , i.e., elements of the form  $v^2$  with  $v \in L$  primitive. We say that a finite subset  $\Pi \subset \mathrm{Sq}(L)$  is *perfect* if it is the intersection of  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(L)$  with a face of the convex hull of  $\mathrm{Sq}(L)$  in  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 L_\mathbb{R}$ , agreeing that the empty set is also perfect.

A duality property for locally polyhedral convex sets (the convex hull of  $\mathrm{Sq}(L)$  is one) implies that this is also equivalent to the existence of a linear form  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that it is  $\geq 1$  on each pure primitive square, with the value 1 taken if and only if the pure primitive square is in  $\Pi$ . By regarding such a linear form as a quadratic form on  $L_\mathbb{R}$ , we see that a subset  $\Pi \subset \mathrm{Sq}(L)$  is perfect if and only if there exists a positive definite quadratic form  $q$  on  $L$  such that  $v^2 \in \Pi$  if and only if  $q|L - \{0\}$  takes its minimal value in  $v$ . With this characterization it is easy to show that (i)  $\Pi$  is the vertex set of its convex hull in  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 L_\mathbb{R}$ : no element of  $\Pi$  is a convex linear combination of the others, and (ii) the property of  $\Pi$  being perfect is in a sense independent of  $L$ : it only depends on smallest sublattice  $I \subset L$  with  $\Pi \subset \mathrm{Sq}(I)$  (we denote that sublattice  $I_\Pi$ ). If we denote by  $J_\Pi \subset L_\mathbb{R}$  the biggest subspace of  $L_\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(J_\Pi) \subset \langle \mathrm{Sq}(L) \rangle_\mathbb{R}$ , then the obvious inclusions  $\mathrm{Sym}_2 J_\Pi \subset \langle \Pi \rangle_\mathbb{R} \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 I_\Pi$  can all be strict. We denote by  $\sigma_\Pi \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 L$  the cone spanned by  $\Pi$ .

Now take  $L = H^g$ . If  $I_\Pi$  is isotropic, then  $\sigma_\Pi$  is contained in the parabolic cone of  $\mathfrak{sp}(H_\mathbb{R}^g)$  (via the identification of  $\mathrm{Sym}_2(H_\mathbb{R}^g)$  with  $\mathfrak{sp}(H_\mathbb{R}^g)$ ) and the collection of such  $\sigma_\Pi$  makes up a  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$ -admissible decomposition  $\Sigma_{\mathrm{perf}}$  of this parabolic cone (see [9]). We thus get a toroidal extension  $\mathbb{X}_g^{\mathrm{perf}} := \mathbb{X}_g^{\Sigma_{\mathrm{perf}}}$  of  $\mathbb{X}_g$  and a corresponding toroidal compactification  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{perf}} := \mathrm{Sp}(H^g) \backslash \mathbb{X}_g^{\mathrm{perf}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

For  $\Pi$  as above, the natural map  $\mathbb{X}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\Pi)$  is the passage to the orbit space with respect to  $\exp(\langle \Pi \rangle_\mathbb{C})$  and hence only depends on  $\langle \Pi \rangle_\mathbb{R}$ . A point of  $\mathbb{X}_g$  can be understood as giving a pure polarized Hodge structure on  $H^g$  of weight 1. But we can also regard it as defining a mixed Hodge structure on  $H^g$  with weight filtration  $W_{-1} = \{0\} \subset W_0 = I_{\Pi, \mathbb{Q}} \subset W_1 = I_{\Pi, \mathbb{Q}}^\perp \subset W_2 = H_\mathbb{Q}^g$ . In an algebro-geometric context the image  $F_\Pi$  of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{X}(\Pi)$  is often considered as representing a  $\exp(\langle \Pi \rangle_\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of mixed Hodge structures, rather than of pure Hodge structures. The fact that we only care about this orbit implies that  $F_\Pi$  only depends on  $F \cap J_{\Pi, \mathbb{C}}^\perp$ , or equivalently, on the image of  $F$  in  $H_\mathbb{C}^g / J_{\Pi, \mathbb{C}}$ . So we can also view  $F_\Pi$  as an orbit of mixed Hodge structures on  $J_\Pi^\perp$  (with  $W_2 = J_{\Pi, \mathbb{Q}}^\perp$ ).

The stratum  $\mathbb{X}(\Pi)$  has the structure of an (iterated) affine bundle over  $\mathbb{X}(I_\Pi^\perp / I_\Pi)$ ; its complex codimension in  $\mathbb{X}_g^{\mathrm{perf}}$  is equal to  $\dim \langle \Pi \rangle_\mathbb{R}$ .

The associated category  $\mathfrak{T}_g^{\mathrm{perf}} := \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^{\Sigma_{\mathrm{perf}}}$  has as its objects the perfect subsets  $\Pi \subset \mathrm{Sym}_2 H^g$  for which  $I_\Pi$  is isotropic. A morphism  $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi'$  is given by a  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$  such that  $\gamma(\Pi) \subset \Pi'$  with the understanding that  $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Sp}(H^g)$  defines the same morphism if and only if  $\gamma' \gamma^{-1} \in \exp(\langle \Pi' \rangle_\mathbb{Q})$ . The functor  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^g)}$  that incarnates the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homotopy class of  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$  is given by  $\Pi \mapsto I_\Pi$ .

*Remark 5.3.* There is also an analogue  $\mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}}$  of Giffen's category: an object of  $\mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}}$  is a pair  $(L, \Pi)$ , where  $L$  is a quasi-unimodular symplectic lattice (i.e.,  $L/\text{rad}(L)$  is unimodular) with  $\text{rk}(\text{rad}(L)) + \text{rk } L = 2g$  and  $\Pi$  is a perfect subset of  $\text{Sq}(\text{rad}(L))$  such that  $I(\Pi) = \text{rad}(L)$ . A morphism  $(L, \Pi) \rightarrow (L', \Pi')$  is given by a primitive symplectic embedding  $\phi : L' \rightarrow L$  (note the contravariance) such that  $\phi^{-1}\Pi \subset \Pi'$ . Then  $|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}}|$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homotopy equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}}$ . We have a functor  $P_g : \mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_g$  which sends  $(L, \sigma)$  to  $\bar{L}$  (any  $\phi : L' \rightarrow L$  as above defines a primitive isotropic sublattice  $K \subset L/\text{rad}(L)$  and an isomorphism  $L'/\text{rad}(L') \xrightarrow{\cong} K^\perp/K$ ; the inverse of the latter defines a morphism in  $\mathfrak{W}_g$ ) and the resulting map  $|\mathfrak{B}P_g| : |\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}}| \rightarrow |\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{W}_g|$  incarnates the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homotopy class of  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{bb}$ .

The functor  $i : \mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}$  defined by  $(L, \Pi) \mapsto (L \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2, \Pi)$  (where  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is equipped with its standard symplectic form) reproduces the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homotopy class of  $i_E : \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}$  defined by multiplication with a fixed elliptic curve  $E$ . In fact, this is the restriction of a morphism  $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{perf}} \times \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}$  which over the cusp of  $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{perf}} = \mathcal{A}_1^{bb}$  is the map  $i_\infty : \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}$  that normalizes the boundary. So these maps are homotopic.

The map  $i_E$  is transversal to the strata and has a well-defined normal bundle of rank  $g + 1$  in the orbifold sense (it is a direct sum of the dual of the Hodge bundle on  $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}}$  and the trivial line bundle that comes from varying the elliptic curve) and so we have also defined a Gysin map:

$$i_E^! : H_{2g+2+k}(\mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{2g+2+k}(\mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{A}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}} - i_E(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_k(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

(a natural map followed by a Thom isomorphism). This map, which appears in the work of Grushevsky-Hulek-Tommasi [6], is of course geometrically given as transversal pull-back along  $i_E$ . But it is not clear to us whether we can phrase this in terms of the categories  $\mathfrak{W}_g^{\text{perf}}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}_{g+1}^{\text{perf}}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, Y.-S. Tai: *Smooth compactifications of locally symmetric varieties* (2nd ed. with the coll. of Peter Scholze). Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 2010, x+230 pp. [2](#), [13](#), [18](#)
- [2] R. Charney, R. Lee: *Cohomology of the Satake compactification*, *Topology* **22** (1983), 389–423. [1](#), [17](#)
- [3] R. Charney, R. Lee: *Moduli space of stable curves from a homotopy viewpoint*, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), 185–235. [9](#)
- [4] Chen Jiaming, E. Looijenga: *The stable cohomology of the Satake compactification of  $\mathcal{A}_g$* , available at [arXiv.1508.05600](#) [[math.AG](#)] [1](#), [17](#)
- [5] J. Ebert, J. Giansiracusa: *On the homotopy type of the Deligne-Mumford compactification*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **8** (2008), 2049–2062. [8](#), [9](#)
- [6] S. Grushevsky, K. Hulek, O. Tommasi: *Stable cohomology of the perfect cone toroidal compactification of the moduli space of abelian varieties*, to appear in *Crelle's*, [arXiv:1307.4646](#) [21](#)
- [7] E. Looijenga: *Discrete automorphism groups of convex cones of finite type*, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), 1939–1962. [11](#)
- [8] S. Lubkin: *On a conjecture of André Weil*, *Amer. J. Math.* **89** 1967 443–548. [3](#)
- [9] Y. Namikawa: *A new compactification of the Siegel space and degeneration of Abelian varieties. I*, *II Math. Ann.* **221** 1976, 97–141, 201–241. [20](#)
- [10] D. Quillen: *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, in *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories*, pp. 85–147. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **341**, Springer, Berlin 1973. [7](#), [15](#)
- [11] G. Segal: *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **34** 1968 105–112. [4](#)
- [12] D.P. Sullivan: *Geometric topology: localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry* (the 1970 MIT notes), *K-Monographs in Mathematics* **8**, Springer 2005. xiv+283 pp. [3](#)

UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005 PARIS (FRANCE).

*E-mail address:* `jiaming.chen1@gmail.com`

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING (CHINA) AND MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT UNIVERSITEIT, UTRECHT (NEDERLAND)

*E-mail address:* `eduard@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`