

# Semiclassical Heisenberg pseudodifferential operators

L. Charles

January 13, 2025

## Abstract

We prove that the semiclassical Heisenberg pseudodifferential operators form a filtered algebra.

## 1 Introduction

For any compact manifold  $M$  and Hermitian line bundle  $L \rightarrow M$  with a connection  $\nabla$ , the space  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}^m(L, \nabla)$  of Heisenberg semiclassical pseudodifferential operator with order  $m$  has been defined in [1]. The principal symbol  $\sigma(P)$  of such an operator  $P$  is a smooth function of  $T^*M$  which is a polyhomogeneous symbol.

Write the curvature of  $\nabla$  as  $\frac{1}{i}\omega$  with  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Define the product of symbols of  $T^*M$  by

$$(f \sharp_{\omega} g)(x, \xi) = \left[ e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega_x(D_{\xi}, D_{\eta})} f(x, \xi) g(x, \eta) \right]_{\xi=\eta}, \quad \xi, \eta \in T_x^*M.$$

The goal of this note is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $P \in \Psi_{\text{Heis}}^m(L, \nabla)$  and  $Q \in \Psi_{\text{Heis}}^p(L, \nabla)$ ,  $(P_k Q_k)$  belongs to  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}^{m+p}(L, \nabla)$  and its principal symbol is  $\sigma(P) \sharp_{\omega} \sigma(Q)$ .*

An important point is that we do not assume anything on  $\omega$ , it doesn't have necessarily constant rank. The fact that  $\sharp_{\omega}$  is a well-defined continuous product of symbols, is already a nontrivial result. The proof is given in Section 3, another proof was already given in [2]. In Section 4, we briefly recall the definition of Heisenberg pseudodifferential operators and prove Theorem 1.1.

Applications (not written yet) include:

- Heisenberg Sobolev estimates
- Hermite algebras  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}^{-\infty}(L, \nabla)$  and Toeplitz subalgebras
- functional calculus of Heisenberg elliptic operators

## 2 Symbols

Same definitions as in [1]: symbols, semiclassical pseudodifferential operators and residual class.

## 3 Isotropic algebras

Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bilinear antisymmetric and  $(e_j)$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the covariant derivative

$$\nabla^A = d + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_j A(x, e_j) dx_j \quad (1)$$

acting on  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ . The curvature of  $\nabla^A$  is  $\frac{1}{i}A$ , that is

$$[\nabla_j^A, \nabla_k^A] = \frac{1}{i} A(e_j, e_k) \quad (2)$$

where  $\nabla_j^A := \nabla_{e_j}^A = \partial_{x_j} + \frac{1}{2i} A(x, e_j)$ .

For any tempered distribution  $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we denote its Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform by  $\widehat{g}$  and  $g^\vee$ ,

$$\widehat{g}(t) = \int e^{-it\xi} g(\xi) d\xi, \quad g^\vee(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{g}(-\xi).$$

We define  $g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla^A)$  as the operator  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with Schwartz kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K_g(x, y) &= (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(x, y)} \int e^{i\xi(x-y)} g(\xi) d\xi \\ &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(x, y)} g^\vee(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The reason for the notation  $g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla^A)$  is that for  $g = \xi_j$ ,  $g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla^A) = \frac{1}{i}\nabla_j^A$  and more generally if  $g$  is the monomial  $\xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_\ell}$  then

$$g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla^A) = \frac{(-i)^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\ell} \nabla_{j_{\sigma(1)}}^A \dots \nabla_{j_{\sigma(\ell)}}^A,$$

cf [1, Proposition 6.2].

We will prove that the space of  $f(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)$  with  $f$  in the Schwartz class, is an algebra and compute the corresponding product of functions. For any  $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define  $g\sharp_A h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$(g\sharp_A h)(\xi) = \left[ e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(D_\xi, D_\eta)} g(\xi) h(\eta) \right]_{\xi=\eta}. \quad (4)$$

Here we use the standard notation:  $D = \frac{1}{i}\partial$  and for any real quadratic form  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $v = e^{iB(D)}u$  is the function of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  such that its Fourier transform is  $\widehat{v}(\xi) = e^{iB(\xi)}\widehat{u}(\xi)$ . Observe that for  $A = 0$ ,  $\sharp_A$  is the pointwise multiplication of functions whereas for  $n$  even and  $A$  the standard symplectic form,  $\sharp_A$  is the Weyl product.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every  $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_g(x, y) K_h(y, z) dy = K_f(x, z) \quad (5)$$

where  $f = g\sharp_A h$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g$  and  $h$  are in the Schwartz class,  $K_g(x, y)$  and  $K_h(x, y)$  are in  $\mathcal{O}(\langle x - y \rangle^{-\infty})$ . By Peetre inequality,

$$\langle x - y \rangle^{-N} \langle y - z \rangle^{-N-n-1} \leq C \langle x - z \rangle^{-N} \langle y - z \rangle^{-n-1}.$$

Since  $\int \langle y - z \rangle^{-n-1} dy = \int \langle y \rangle^{-n-1} dy$  is finite, we get that

$$\widetilde{K}(x, z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_g(x, y) K_h(y, z) dy \quad (6)$$

is well-defined, continuous and in  $\mathcal{O}(\langle x - z \rangle^{-\infty})$ .

We claim that  $e^{\frac{i}{2}A(r, z)} \widetilde{K}(z + r, z)$  is independent of  $z$ . Indeed, inserting the definitions of  $K_g$  and  $K_h$  in (6) and using the antisymmetry of  $A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{i}{2}A(r, z)} \widetilde{K}(z + r, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(r, y-z)} g^\vee(z + r - y) h^\vee(y - z) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(r, t)} g^\vee(r - t) h^\vee(t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

by setting  $t = y - z$ . We recognize here a twisted convolution product.

It remains to prove that the Fourier transform of (7) with respect to  $t$  is equal to  $g\sharp_A h$ , that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\zeta \cdot r} e^{\frac{i}{2}A(r,z)} \widetilde{K}(z+r, z) dr = (g\sharp_A h)(\zeta). \quad (8)$$

The left-hand side of (8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} e^{-i\zeta \cdot r - \frac{i}{2}A(r,t)} e^{i(r-t) \cdot \xi} g(\xi) e^{it \cdot \eta} h(\eta) dr dt d\xi d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} e^{-i\zeta \cdot (s+t) - \frac{i}{2}A(s,t) + is \cdot \xi + it \cdot \eta} g(\xi) h(\eta) ds dt d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $s = r - t$ . We recognize  $g\sharp_A h(\zeta)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the product  $\sharp_A$  extends continuously from  $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times S^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $S^{m+p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . More generally, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map sending  $g, h$  to the remainder*

$$r_N(g, h, A)(\xi) = (g\sharp_A h)(\xi) - \sum_{\ell=0}^N \left(\frac{-i}{2}\right)^\ell (\ell!)^{-1} \left[ A(D_\xi, D_\eta)^\ell (g(\xi)h(\eta)) \right]_{\eta=\xi}$$

*is continuous from  $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times S^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $S^{m+p-2(N+1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

In the case where  $A$  is non-degenerate, so that  $\sharp_A$  is the Moyal-Weyl product, this is a well-known result, cf. [4, Section 23] or [3, Section 18.5] for more general symbol classes. For a general  $A$ , this has been proved in [2, Chapter 4]. It is possible to deduce the result from the Moyal-Weyl case by decomposing  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\ker A \oplus S$ , but this does not lead to uniform estimates with respect to  $A$ , which we need later. Since the result is fundamental in the paper, we provide a proof.

*Proof.* As in [2, Chapter 4], we will use the following version of stationary phase lemma. Let  $q$  be a non degenerate quadratic form of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $a \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iq(x)} a(x) dx \right| \leq C \max_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha a(x)| \langle x \rangle^{-\ell}. \quad (9)$$

This is proved by integration by part by using that  $e^{iq} = L e^{iq}$  with  $L$  the differential operator  $L = \langle x \rangle^{-2} (1 - i \sum_{j,k} B_{jk} x_j \partial_k)$  where  $B$  is the inverse matrix of the matrix of  $q$ .

We write  $g\sharp_A h$  on the form

$$(g\sharp_A h)(\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} e^{i\xi \cdot s + i\eta \cdot t - \frac{i}{2}A(s,t)} g(\zeta + \xi) h(\zeta + \eta) ds dt d\xi d\eta \quad (10)$$

and we will apply (9) with  $d = 4n$  and  $x = (s, t, \xi, \eta)$ ,  $\zeta$  being considered as a parameter. From now on, our proof differs from [2], which discusses whether  $|\xi| + |\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + |\zeta|)$  or not.

Let  $f(\zeta, \xi, \eta) := g(\zeta + \xi)h(\zeta + \eta)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then the derivative  $\partial_\zeta^\gamma f$  is a linear combination with constant coefficients of the functions  $f_{\alpha, \beta}(\zeta, \xi, \eta) := (\partial^\alpha g)(\zeta + \xi) (\partial^\beta h)(\zeta + \eta)$  where  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha'} \partial_\eta^{\beta'} f_{\alpha, \beta}| &= |(\partial^{\alpha + \alpha'} g)(\zeta + \xi) (\partial^{\beta + \beta'} h)(\zeta + \eta)| \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta + \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha| - |\alpha'|} \langle \zeta + \eta \rangle^{p - |\beta| - |\beta'|} \end{aligned}$$

with  $M$  sufficiently large. Using  $\langle \zeta + \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha'|} \leq 1$ , Peetre inequality

$$\langle \zeta + \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha|} \leq C \langle \zeta \rangle^{m - |\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{|m| + |\alpha|} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

we get  $\langle \zeta + \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha| - |\alpha'|} \leq C \langle \zeta \rangle^{m - |\alpha|} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^{|m| + |\alpha|}$ . By this and the similar upper bound for  $\langle \zeta + \eta \rangle^{p - |\beta| - |\beta'|}$ , we get

$$|\partial_\xi^{\alpha'} \partial_\eta^{\beta'} f_{\alpha, \beta}| \leq C \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta \rangle^{m + p - |\gamma|} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^{|m| + |p| + |\gamma|} \quad (11)$$

where  $C$  and  $M$  depend only on  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ . Therefore (9) implies that

$$|\partial^\gamma (g\sharp_A h)(\zeta)| \leq C \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta \rangle^{m + p - |\gamma|},$$

which shows that  $\sharp_A$  is continuous  $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times S^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S^{m+p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

To estimate the remainder  $r_N$ , we first write it on an integral form similar to (10). Observe that for polynomial functions  $P, Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$(2\pi)^{-2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} e^{i\xi \cdot s + i\eta \cdot t - \frac{i}{2}A(s,t)} P(\xi) Q(\eta) ds dt d\xi d\eta = (P\sharp_A Q)(0)$$

where  $P\sharp_A Q$  is given by the (finite) sum

$$(P\sharp_A Q)(\zeta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-i}{2}\right)^\ell (\ell!)^{-1} [A(D_\xi, D_\eta)^\ell (P(\xi) Q(\eta))]_{\xi=\eta=\zeta}.$$

So if we replace in (10) the product  $g(\zeta + \xi)h(\zeta + \eta)$  by its Taylor expansion in  $(\xi, \eta)$  at the origin at order  $2N + 1$ , that is

$$\sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2N + 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial^\alpha g)(\zeta) \xi^\alpha \frac{1}{\beta!} (\partial^\beta h)(\zeta) \eta^\beta, \quad (12)$$

we obtain the sum  $\sum_{\ell=0}^N (\frac{-i}{2})^\ell (\ell!)^{-1} [A(D_\xi, D_\eta)^\ell (g(\xi)h(\eta))]_{\xi=\eta=\zeta}$ . Here we have used that for  $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$ ,  $(\zeta^\alpha \sharp_A \zeta^\beta)(0) = 0$  so the corresponding terms in (12) do not contribute.

So the remainder we have to estimate is given by

$$r_N(g, h, A)(\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} e^{i\xi \cdot s + i\eta \cdot t - \frac{i}{2}A(s,t)} \rho_N(\zeta, \xi, \eta) ds dt d\xi d\eta \quad (13)$$

where  $\rho_N$  is the remainder of the Taylor expansion (12), that is

$$\rho_N(\zeta, \xi, \eta) = 2(N+1) \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=2N+2} \frac{\xi^\alpha \eta^\beta}{\alpha! \beta!} \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\zeta, \xi, \eta)$$

with  $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\zeta, \xi, \eta) = \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{2N+1} (\partial^\alpha g)(\zeta + \tau\xi) (\partial^\beta h)(\zeta + \tau\eta) d\tau$ .

We can estimate the integrand by the same method that led to (11), and using that  $\langle \tau\xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi \rangle$  and  $\langle \tau\eta \rangle \leq \langle \eta \rangle$  for  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain after integrating with respect to  $\tau$  that

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta} = \mathcal{O}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{m+p-2(N+1)} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^{|m|+|p|+2(N+1)}).$$

We can estimate the derivatives similarly:

$$|\partial_\zeta^\gamma \partial_\xi^{\alpha'} \partial_\eta^{\beta'} \rho_{\alpha, \beta}| \leq \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta \rangle^{m+p-|\gamma|-2(N+1)} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^{|m|+|p|+|\gamma|+2(N+1)}$$

where  $C$  and  $M$  depend only of  $N$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ . By (13) and (9), it follows that

$$|\partial^\gamma r_N(g, h)(\zeta)| \leq C \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta \rangle^{m+p-(|\gamma|+2(N+1))},$$

which proves the second assertion.  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the space of antisymmetric real bilinear form of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ , the map from  $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \times S^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $S^{m+p}(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  sending  $(g, h)$  to  $(A, \xi) \rightarrow (g \sharp_A h)(\xi)$  is well-defined and continuous.*

We will actually show a better result (15).

*Proof.* For any  $f, g$  in the Schwartz class, it follows directly from the definition (4) that  $(A, \zeta) \rightarrow f \sharp_A g(\zeta)$  is smooth and we can even compute explicitly the  $A$ -derivatives as follows. For  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ , the derivative of  $e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(\xi, \eta)}$  with respect to  $A_{jk} = A(e_j, e_k)$  is  $\frac{1}{4i}(\xi_j \eta_k - \xi_k \eta_j) e^{-\frac{i}{2}A(\xi, \eta)}$  so that

$$\frac{\partial(g \sharp_A h)}{\partial A_{jk}} = \frac{1}{4i}((D_j g) \sharp_A (D_k h) - (D_k g) \sharp_A (D_j h)). \quad (14)$$

By Theorem 3.2, for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|g \sharp_A h\|_{m+p, M} \leq C \|g\|_{m, M'} \|h\|_{p, M'}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.2,  $C$  and  $M'$  stay bounded when  $A$  stays in a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . This implies by (14) that for any  $A \in K$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|\partial_A^\gamma \partial_\xi^\alpha (g \sharp_A h(\zeta))| \leq C \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M} \langle \zeta \rangle^{m+p-2|\gamma|-\alpha} \quad (15)$$

with  $C$  and  $M$  depending only on  $\gamma, \alpha$  and  $K$ . This is better than the result we have to prove, because here we gain  $\langle \zeta \rangle^{-2}$  for each  $A$ -derivative.  $\square$

Proposition 3.3 has the following consequence. Consider a real vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  and a section  $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \wedge^2 E^*)$ . Then the pointwise  $A$ -product of symbols of  $E^*$

$$(g \sharp_A h)(x, \cdot) = g(x, \cdot) \sharp_{A(x)} h(x, \cdot), \quad x \in M \quad (16)$$

is a continuous map  $S^m(M, E^*) \times S^p(M, E^*) \rightarrow S^{m+p}(M, E^*)$ ,  $(g, h) \rightarrow g \sharp_A h$ .

To end this section, we establish a preparatory lemma for the Heisenberg composition. For any  $R > 0$ , denote by  $h_R$  be the multiplication by  $R$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and by  $h_R^*$  the pull-back operator, so  $(h_R^* f)(x) = f(Rx)$ . For any operator  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we denote by  $Q_R$  the operator  $h_R^* \circ Q \circ h_{R^{-1}}^*$  of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\rho, \chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\text{supp } \rho$  is contained in the interior of  $\{\chi = 1\}$ . For any functions  $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define

$$P(R, g, h) := \rho g(\tfrac{1}{i} \nabla_A)_R (1 - \chi) h(\tfrac{1}{i} \nabla_A)_R \chi$$

where  $\rho$  and  $\chi$  are identified with the multiplication operators by  $\rho$  and  $\chi$ . Notice that the Schwartz kernel of  $P(R, g, h)$  is smooth and supported in  $(\text{supp } \rho)^2$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $R > 0$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$|\partial_{x,y}^\alpha P(R, g, h)(x, y)| \leq C R^{-N} \|g\|_{m, M} \|h\|_{p, M}.$$

This result will be used later in the following form: for any compact subset  $K$  of the interior of  $\{\chi = 1\}$ , we have

$$(g(\tfrac{1}{i} \nabla_A)_R \chi h(\tfrac{1}{i} \nabla_A)_R)(x, y) = (g \sharp_A h)(\tfrac{1}{i} \nabla_A)_R(x, y) + \mathcal{O}_\infty(R^{-\infty}) \quad (17)$$

with a  $\mathcal{O}$  uniform for  $x, y \in K$ .

*Proof.* For any  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m - 2N < -n$ , we have

$$|x|^{2N} g^\vee(x) = (\Delta^N g)(x) = \mathcal{O}(\|g\|_{m,2N})$$

with a  $\mathcal{O}$  uniform in  $x$ . So

$$g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R(x, y) = R^n g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)(Rx, Ry) = \mathcal{O}(R^{n-2N}|x-y|^{-2N}\|g\|_{m,2N}).$$

The kernel of  $h(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R$  satisfies the same bound.

On the support of  $\rho(x)(1 - \chi(y))$ , we have  $|x| \leq C$  and  $|x - y| \geq C^{-1}$  so that  $|x - y|^{-2N} = \mathcal{O}(\langle y \rangle^{-n})$  when  $2N \geq n$ . So for  $N$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x) g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R(x, y) (1 - \chi(y)) h(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R(y, z) \rho(z) \\ = \mathcal{O}(R^{2n-4N} \langle y \rangle^{-2n} \|g\|_{m,2N} \|h\|_{p,2N}) \end{aligned}$$

which by integrating in  $y$  implies

$$P(R, g, h)(x, y) = \mathcal{O}(R^{2n-4N} \|g\|_{m,2N} \|h\|_{p,2N}).$$

The estimates of the derivatives are similar.  $\square$

## 4 Heisenberg pseudodifferential operators

Let  $L \rightarrow M$  be a Hermitian line bundle with a connection  $\nabla$  preserving the metric. Introduce a neighborhood  $V$  of the diagonal of  $M^2$  and a section  $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(V, L \boxtimes \bar{L})$  which is unitary ( $|F| = 1$  on  $V$ ) and satisfies

$$F(x, x) = 1, \quad \nabla F(x, x) = 0, \quad \nabla_Y \nabla_Y F(x, x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in M \quad (18)$$

for any vector field  $Y$  of  $M^2$  having the form  $Y(x, y) = (X(x), -X(y))$  with  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, TM)$ . In the first equation of (18), we identified  $L_x \otimes \bar{L}_x$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  through the Hermitian product of  $L_x$ . By [1, Lemma 3.1], such a section exists and is unique up to multiplication by a function of the form  $\exp(i\varphi)$  where  $\varphi$  is a smooth real valued function defined on a neighborhood of the diagonal which vanishes to third order along the diagonal.

For any  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}^m(L, \nabla)$  of semiclassical pseudodifferential Heisenberg operators of order  $m$  consists of the operator families  $P = (P_k : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^k), k \in \mathbb{N})$  whose Schwartz kernels have the form

$$F^k(x, Y) \phi(x, y) K_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(x, y) + \mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\infty}) \quad (19)$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(V, L \boxtimes \bar{L})$  satisfies the previous conditions,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(V)$  is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of the diagonal and  $(K_h, h \in (0, 1])$  is the Schwartz



kernel family of a semiclassical pseudodifferential operators  $(Q_h) \in \Psi_{\text{sc}}^m(M)$ . The symbol  $\sigma(P)$  of  $P$  is by definition the symbol of  $(Q_h)$ .

The choice of  $F$  does not play any role. More precisely, by [1, Lemma 3.3], for any  $F$  satisfying (18), for any  $P \in \Psi_{\text{Heis}}^m(L, \nabla)$ , there exists  $Q \in \Psi_{\text{sc}}^m(M)$  such that (19) holds. Moreover, if (19) holds for some  $F$  and  $Q$ , then  $\sigma(P) = \sigma(Q)$ .

The curvature of  $\nabla$  has the form  $\frac{1}{i}\omega$  with  $\omega$  a real-valued closed 2-form of  $M$ . Associated to  $\omega$  is the product  $\sharp_\omega$  of  $S^\infty(M, T^*M)$  defined as in (16).

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any  $P \in \Psi_{\text{Heis}}^m(L, \nabla)$  and  $Q \in \Psi_{\text{Heis}}^p(L, \nabla)$ ,  $(P_k Q_k)$  belongs to  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}^{m+p}(L, \nabla)$  and its symbol is  $\sigma(P_k Q_k) = \sigma(P) \sharp_\omega \sigma(Q)$ .*

The remainder of the section is devoted to the proof. First we write the result as a local statement (25), and then prove the symbol expansion (26) and the remainder estimates (27).

### A local statement

Let  $(U_i)$  be a finite open cover of  $M$ . We claim that it suffices to show the result under the assumption that there exists  $i_0$  such that the Schwartz kernel of  $P$  and  $Q$  are supported in compact set (independent of  $k$ ) of  $U_{i_0}^2$ .

*Proof.* Introduce a partition of unity  $(\varphi_i)$  subordinated to the cover  $(U_i)$ . Write  $PQ$  as the sum  $\sum P\varphi_i Q$ . Let  $\psi_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U_i)$  such that  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset \{\psi_i = 1\}$ . Then

$$P\varphi_i Q = (1 - \psi_i)P\varphi_i Q + \psi_i P\varphi_i Q(1 - \psi_i) + \psi_i Q\varphi_i Q\psi_i. \quad (20)$$

Since  $\text{supp}(1 - \psi_i) \times \text{supp } \varphi_i$  does not intersect the diagonal,  $(1 - \psi_i)P\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_i Q(1 - \psi_i)$  are in the residual space  $k^{-\infty}\Psi^{-\infty}(L)$ . By [1, Lemma 5.2],  $k^{-\infty}\Psi^{-\infty}(L)$  is a bilatereal ideal of  $\Psi_{\text{Heis}}(L, \nabla)$ . So the two first terms in the right-hand side of (20) are in  $k^{-\infty}\Psi^{-\infty}(L)$ . Now  $\psi_i Q\varphi_i P\psi_i$  is the composition of  $\psi_i Q\varphi_i$  with  $\psi_i P\psi_i$  whose kernels are both supported in  $(\text{supp } \psi_i)^2$ .  $\square$

Let us introduce a coordinate chart  $U, (x_i)$  of  $M$  with a unitary section  $t \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, L)$ . By the previous fact, we can assume that the kernels of  $P$  and  $Q$  are supported in  $U^2$ . We have  $\nabla t = \frac{1}{i}\beta_i dx_i \otimes t$  for some  $\beta_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ . Then the section

$$F(x, y) = e^{i\beta(\frac{x+y}{2}) \cdot (x-y)} t(x) \otimes \bar{t}(y)$$

satisfies (18). So the Schwartz kernel of  $P$  has the form  $P_k(x, y)t^k(x) \otimes \bar{t}^k(y)$  with

$$P_k(x, y) = e^{ik\beta(\frac{x+y}{2}) \cdot (x-y)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\pi} \right)^n \int e^{i\sqrt{k}\xi \cdot (x-y)} a(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x, y, \xi) d\xi$$

where  $a \in S_{\text{sc}}^m(U^2, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and is supported in  $K^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $K$  a compact subset of  $U$ . Doing the change of variable  $\xi = \sqrt{k}\eta$ , we get

$$P_k(x, y) = e^{ik\beta(\frac{x+y}{2}) \cdot (x-y)} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^n \int e^{ik\eta \cdot (x-y)} a(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x, y, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) d\eta \quad (21)$$

$Q_k$  is given by the same formula with a symbol  $b \in S_{\text{sc}}^p(U^2, \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\text{supp } b \subset K^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . The integral (21) being an oscillatory integral, it is convenient to assume first that  $a$  and  $b$  are compactly supported in  $\xi$  as well, and then to deduce the case for general symbols by a density argument. Similarly, we can assume as well that  $a$  and  $b$  are independent of the small parameter  $h$ .

Starting from (21), we obtain the following expression for the Schwartz kernel of  $P_k Q_k$

$$(P_k Q_k)(x, z) = e^{ik\beta(\frac{x+z}{2}) \cdot (x-z)} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^{2n} J_k(f_k)(x, z)$$

where  $J_k(f_k)$  is defined as follows. First we have a phase

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = \beta(\frac{x+y}{2}) \cdot (x-y) + \beta(\frac{y+z}{2}) \cdot (y-z) - \beta(\frac{x+z}{2}) \cdot (x-z) \quad (22)$$

from which we define

$$f_k(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = e^{ik\varphi(x, y, z)} a(x, y, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) b(x, y, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \quad (23)$$

Finally, for any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we set

$$J_k(f)(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times U \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik(\xi(x-y) + \eta(y-z))} f(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) \chi(y) d\xi d\eta dy \quad (24)$$

Here  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U)$  is chosen so that the interior of  $\{\chi = 1\}$  contains  $K$ . So for  $f = f_k$ , we can remove it without modifying the integral but we will need it later.

Our goal is to prove that for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  supported in  $K^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^n J_k(f_k)(x, z) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N k^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-z)} c_\ell(\frac{1}{2}(x+z), k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + k^{-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)} R_{N,k}(x, z) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $c_\ell \in S^{-\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $R_{N,k} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U^2)$  satisfy

1. for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$c_\ell(x, \cdot) = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta') \in \Sigma_\ell} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x) (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{x, y}^{\alpha'} a(x, x, \cdot)) \sharp_{\omega(x)} (\partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x, y}^{\beta'} b(x, x, \cdot)) \quad (26)$$

where  $\Sigma_\ell$  consists of  $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta') \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^{2n} \times \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  such that  $\ell \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3\ell$  and  $|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq \ell$ . The coefficients  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}^{\alpha', \beta'}$  are independent of  $a$  and  $b$  and belong to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ .

Moreover,  $\lambda_{0,0,0}^{0,0} = 1$  so that  $c_0(x, \cdot) = a(x, x, \cdot) \sharp_{\omega(x)} b(x, x, \cdot)$

2. for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  such that  $|\alpha| + m + p < -n + N + 1$  there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha R_{N,k}(x, z)| \leq C \|a\|_{m,M} \|b\|_{p,M} \quad (27)$$

Let us explain the consistency between the formula (26) giving the coefficients  $c_\ell$  and the bounds (27) satisfied by the remainder  $R_{N,k}$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $I_k(a)(x, z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-z)} a(x, z, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\alpha| + m \leq -n$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x, z \in K$ , we have*

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha I_k(a)(x, z)| \leq C \|a\|_{m,M}.$$

*Proof.* If  $m < 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ , then

$$|a(x, z, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi)| \leq C \|a\|_{m,0} \langle k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \rangle^n \leq C \|a\|_{m,0} \langle \xi \rangle^n.$$

So  $|I_k(a)(x, z)| \leq C \|a\|_{m,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^n d\xi$  and the integral is finite when  $m < -n$ . The estimates for the derivatives are obtained by derivating under the integral sign and applying the same method.  $\square$

Now by theorem 3.2 and the fact that  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \geq \ell$  when  $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta') \in \Sigma_\ell$ , the map sending  $(a, b)$  into  $c_\ell$  extends continuously from  $S^m(U^2, \mathbb{R}^n) \times S^p(U^2, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $S^{m+p-\ell}(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . So by Lemma 4.2,  $I_k(c_\ell)$  satisfies the same bounds (27) as  $R_{N,k}$ , so that the expansion (25) is meaningful.

To deduce Theorem 4.1 from (25), introduce  $c(h, \cdot) \in S_{\text{sc}}^{m+p}(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  having the expansion  $\sum_\ell h^\ell c_\ell$ , which is possible by Borel Lemma. Then by (26), (27) and Lemma 4.2,

$$\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n J_k(f_k)(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-z)} c(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}(x+z), k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi + \mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\infty})$$

In the sequel, we first explain how we obtain the formulas (26) for the coefficients  $c_\ell$ , and then we prove the remainder bounds (27).

### Proof of formulas (26)

The first step is to replace  $\varphi$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  by their Taylor expansions along the diagonal  $\{x = y = z\}$ . To do this, introduce the coordinate system  $(\bar{x}_j, u_j, v_j)$  of  $U^3$

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{2}(x_j + z_j), \quad u_j = x_j - y_j, \quad v_j = y_j - z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

The diagonal is  $\{u = v = 0\}$ . Since the  $\bar{x}_j$  are independent of  $y$ , they will not be affected during the integration. It could be possible to use  $x_j$  or  $z_j$  instead of  $\frac{1}{2}(x_j + z_j)$  and this would lead to the expansion (25) with coefficients  $c_\ell$  which are functions of  $x_j$  (resp.  $z_j$ ) only. We have

$$x_j = \bar{x}_j + \frac{1}{2}(u_j + v_j), \quad y_j = \bar{x}_j + \frac{1}{2}(-u_j + v_j), \quad z_j = \bar{x}_j - \frac{1}{2}(u_j + v_j)$$

so that the vector field frame associated to  $(\bar{x}_j, u_j, v_j)$  is

$$\partial_{u_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_j} - \partial_{y_j} - \partial_{z_j}), \quad \partial_{v_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_j} + \partial_{y_j} - \partial_{z_j}), \quad \partial_{\bar{x}_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_j} + \partial_{y_j} + \partial_{z_j})$$

For any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U^3)$ , its Taylor expansion with respect to  $u_j, v_j$  at  $u = v = 0$  with the variables  $\bar{x}_j$  fixed is

$$f(x, y, z) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N}} (\alpha! \beta!)^{-1} (\partial_u^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f)(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}) u^\alpha v^\beta + r_{N+1}(x, y, z) \quad (28)$$

with  $r_{N+1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(|u, v|^{N+1})$ . Later, in the proof of the remainder bounds, we will need the integral expression of the remainder

$$r_{N+1} = (N+1) \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = N+1} \frac{u^\alpha v^\beta}{\alpha! \beta!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^N c_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt, \quad (29)$$

$$c_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = (\partial_u^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f)(\bar{x} + \frac{t}{2}(u+v), \bar{x} + \frac{t}{2}(-u+v), \bar{x} - \frac{t}{2}(u+v)).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have  $\varphi = \varphi_2 + r$  with  $r(x, y, z) = \mathcal{O}(|u, v|^3)$  and*

$$\varphi_2(x, y, z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(\bar{x}) u_i v_j, \quad A_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_i} \beta_j(x) - \partial_{x_j} \beta_i(x)).$$

*Proof.* Writing  $\varphi(x, y, z)$  in terms of  $\bar{x}$ ,  $u$  and  $v$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y, z) &= \beta(\bar{x} + \frac{v}{2}) \cdot u + \beta(\bar{x} - \frac{u}{2}) \cdot v - \beta(\bar{x}) \cdot (u + v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\beta'(\bar{x})(v) \cdot u - \beta'(\bar{x})(u) \cdot v) + \mathcal{O}(|u, v|^3) \end{aligned}$$

after the cancellation of the linear terms in  $u, v$ . □

For any function  $d \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , define

$$\tilde{d}_k(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = d(x, y, z, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta). \quad (30)$$

The isotropic algebra product appears through the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *For any  $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have with  $d(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = a_0(\bar{x}, \xi)b_0(\bar{x}, \eta)$  that*

$$\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2}\tilde{d}_k)(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-z)} c_0(\bar{x}, k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi + r_k(a_0, b_0)(x, z)$$

where  $c_0(\bar{x}, \cdot) = a_0(\bar{x}, \cdot)\sharp_{A(\bar{x})}b_0(\bar{x}, \cdot)$  and  $r_k(a_0, b_0) = \mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\infty})$  on  $K^2$ .

*Proof.* For  $R = \sqrt{k}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have with the notations used for Lemma 3.4 that

$$g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R(x, y) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}kA(x, y)} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-y)} g(k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi \quad (31)$$

So identifying  $U$  with an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  through the coordinates  $(x_j)$  and introducing  $h \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have for  $x, z \in U$  that

$$(g(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R \chi h(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R)(x, z) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}kA(x, z)} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_A}\tilde{e}_k)(x, z) \quad (32)$$

where  $e(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = g(\xi)h(\eta)$  and

$$\varphi_A(x, y, z) = -\frac{1}{2}(A(x, y) + A(y, z) - A(x, z)) = -\frac{1}{2}A(x - y, y - z).$$

By (17), the left-hand side of (32) is equal to  $(g\sharp_A h)(\frac{1}{i}\nabla_A)_R(x, z) + \mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\infty})$  when  $x, z \in K$ . Applying (31) to  $g\sharp_A h$  instead of  $g$ , we get

$$\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n J_k(e^{ik\varphi_A}\tilde{e}_k)(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ik\xi \cdot (x-z)} (g\sharp_A h)(k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi) d\xi + \mathcal{O}_\infty(k^{-\infty}). \quad (33)$$

Observe now that  $\varphi_2(x, y, z) = \varphi_{A(\bar{x})}(x, y, z)$ . So to conclude it suffices to apply (33) to  $A = A(s)$ ,  $g = a_0(s, \cdot)$ ,  $h = b_0(s, \cdot)$  for  $s \in U$  and to evaluate the result at  $s = \bar{x}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** With the same proof, we can estimate the remainder  $r_k(a_0, b_0)$  in terms of the semi-norms of  $a_0, b_0$  by using Lemma 3.4 instead of (17). We obtain that for any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$|\partial^\alpha r_k(a_0, b_0)(x, z)| \leq Ck^{-N} \|a_0\|_{m, M} \|b_0\|_{p, M}$$

for any  $x, z \in K$ . This will be used later to prove (27).  $\square$

To apply Lemma 4.4, we first write the Taylor expansions of  $a$  and  $b$  on the form

$$a(x, y, \xi) = \sum a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}(\bar{x}, \xi) u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1}, \quad b(y, z, \eta) = \sum b_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}(\bar{x}, \eta) u^{\alpha_2} v^{\beta_2}.$$

Then take their product and remove the monomials  $u^\alpha v^\beta$  by using the following identities

$$J_k(du_j) = ik^{-1} J_k(\partial_{\xi_j} d), \quad J_k(dv_j) = ik^{-1} J_k(\partial_{\eta_j} d).$$

which hold for any  $d \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and are simple integrations by part. For  $\widetilde{d}_k$  given by (30), these identities write

$$J_k(\widetilde{d}_k u_j) = ik^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_k(\widetilde{\partial_{\xi_j} d_k}), \quad J_k(\widetilde{d}_k v_j) = ik^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_k(\widetilde{\partial_{\eta_j} d_k}). \quad (34)$$

So for  $d(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = a(x, y, \xi) b(y, z, \eta)$

$$J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} \widetilde{d}_k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} i^\ell k^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\beta_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\beta_2|=\ell} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} (\widetilde{d_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2}})_k) \quad (35)$$

with  $d_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2}(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) = (\partial_\xi^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1})(\bar{x}, \xi) (\partial_\eta^{\beta_1 + \beta_2} a_{\alpha_2, \beta_2})(\bar{x}, \eta)$ . Now we can apply Lemma 4.4 to each term in the right-hand side of (35). The estimates of the remainders when we truncate the infinite sum (35), will be given later.

To handle the remainder in the phase  $r = \varphi - \varphi_2$ , we expand  $\exp(ikr)$  and write

$$J_k(e^{ik\varphi} \widetilde{d}_k) = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik)^{\ell'}}{\ell'!} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} r^{\ell'} \widetilde{d}_k). \quad (36)$$

Here again, the control of the remainders are postponed to the next section. Then we Taylor expand  $\frac{i^{\ell'}}{\ell'!} r^{\ell'} = \sum r_{\ell', \alpha_3, \beta_3}(\bar{x}) u^{\alpha_3} v^{\beta_3}$ , we multiply by the Taylor expansion of  $a$  and  $b$  and follow the same method as before. We claim that this lead to the formula (26) for the coefficients  $c_\ell$ .

Indeed, observe that  $a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}(\bar{x}, \xi)$  (resp.  $b_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}(\bar{x}, \eta)$ ) is a linear combination with constant coefficients of the  $\partial_{x, y}^{\alpha'} a(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \xi)$  with  $|\alpha'| = |\alpha_1 + \beta_1|$  (resp.  $\partial_{y, z}^{\beta'} b(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \eta)$  with  $|\beta'| = |\alpha_2 + \beta_2|$ ). Then we consider the sum of the products

$$a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1} b_{\alpha_2, \beta_2} u^{\alpha_2} v^{\beta_2} k^{\ell'} r_{\ell', \alpha_3, \beta_3} u^{\alpha_3} v^{\beta_3}$$

which after the integrations by parts gives

$$k^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} i^{|\alpha+\beta|} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2}(\widetilde{\partial_\xi^\alpha a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}})_k(\widetilde{\partial_\eta^\beta b_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}})_k r_{\ell', \alpha_3, \beta_3}(\bar{x}))$$

with  $\ell = -2\ell' + |\alpha| + |\beta|$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$  and  $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$ . Then on one hand,  $0 \leq \ell'$  implies that  $\ell \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$ . On the other hand,  $r$  vanishes to third order along the diagonal, so  $3\ell' \leq |\alpha_3 + \beta_3|$  which is equivalent to  $|\alpha + \beta| + 2|\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2| \leq 3\ell$ . So we have that

$$\ell \leq |\alpha + \beta| \leq 2\ell \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha' + \beta'| = |\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2| \leq \ell$$

the inequalities entering in the definition of  $\Sigma_\ell$ .

### Proof of remainder bounds (27)

In the previous argument, we used two infinite expansions (35) and (36). We need to truncate them and estimate the corresponding remainders. For any  $d \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , define the remainders  $R'_{N+1,k}(d)$  and  $R''_{N+1,k}(d)$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U_x \times U_z)$  such that

$$J_k(e^{ik\varphi} \tilde{d}_k) = J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{(ikr)^\ell}{\ell!} \tilde{d}_k) + k^{-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)} R'_{N+1,k}(d) \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} \tilde{d}_k) &= \sum_{|\gamma|+|\delta| \leq N} \frac{(i)^{|\gamma|+|\delta|}}{\gamma! \delta!} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\gamma|+|\delta|)} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} (\widetilde{\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta d_{\gamma, \delta}})_k) \\ &\quad + k^{-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)} R''_{N+1,k}(d) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where in the second equation  $d_{\gamma, \delta}(x, y, z, \xi, \eta) := (\partial_u^\gamma \partial_v^\delta d)(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}, \xi, \eta)$  for  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Introduce for any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ , the norm

$$\|d\|_{m,p} = \sup_{\substack{(x,y,z) \in U^3 \\ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}}} |d(x, y, z, \xi, \eta)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m} \langle \eta \rangle^{-p} \quad (39)$$

and for any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|d\|_{m,p,M} = \max_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3n}, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma| \leq M}} \|\partial_{x,y,z}^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta d\|_{m-|\gamma|, p-|\delta|} \quad (40)$$

**Lemma 4.6.**  $\forall m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $d \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we have

1. if  $m + p + |\alpha| \leq 3(N+1) - 2n - 1$ , then

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha R'_{N+1}(d)(x, z)| \leq C k^{\frac{1}{2}(m+p)} \|d\|_{m,p,M}$$

2. if  $m + p + |\alpha| \leq N - 2n - 1$ , then

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha R''_{N+1}(d)(x, z)| \leq C k^{\frac{1}{2}(m_+ + p_+)} \|d\|_{m,p,M}$$

For the proof we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *For any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  such that  $m + p + |\alpha| < -2n - 1$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $c \in C_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we have*

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha J_k(c)(x, z)| \leq C \max_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3n}, |\beta| \leq M} k^{-|\beta|} \|\partial_{x,y,z}^\beta c\|_{m,p}$$

for any  $(x, z) \in K$ .

*Proof.* 1. Assume that  $m < -n$  and  $p < -n$ . Then

$$|J_k(c)(x, z)| \leq \|c\|_{m,p} \left( \int \langle \xi \rangle^m d\xi \right) \left( \int \langle \eta \rangle^p d\eta \right) \left( \int \rho(y) dy \right)$$

and the three integrals are finite.

2. Assume now that  $m + p < -2n - 1$ . So there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m - q < -n$  and  $p + q < -n$ . We assume  $q \geq 0$ , otherwise the argument is similar by exchanging  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . We have by integration by part that  $J_k(Lc) = J_k(c)$  where  $L^t = \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} (1 + (ik)^{-1} \sum_j (\eta_j - \xi_j) \partial_{y_j})$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} |L^q(c)(x, y, z, \xi, \eta)| &\leq \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-q} \langle \xi \rangle^m \langle \eta \rangle^p \max_{|\gamma| \leq q} k^{-|\gamma|} \|\partial_y^\gamma c\|_{m,p} \\ &\leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m-q} \langle \eta \rangle^{p+q} \max_{|\gamma| \leq q} k^{-|\gamma|} \|\partial_y^\gamma c\|_{m,p} \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude as in Part 1 that

$$|J_k(c)(x, z)| \leq C \max_{|\gamma| \leq q} k^{-|\gamma|} \|\partial_y^\gamma c\|_{m,p}. \quad (41)$$

3. It remains to estimate the derivatives. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  be such that  $m + p + |\alpha| + |\beta| < -2n - 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (ik)^{-1} \partial_{x_j} J_k(c) &= J_k((\xi_j + (ik)^{-1} \partial_{x_j})c), \\ (ik)^{-1} \partial_{z_j} J_k(c) &= J_k((-\eta_j + (ik)^{-1} \partial_{z_j})c). \end{aligned}$$

we have  $k^{-|\alpha| - |\beta|} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta J_k(c) = J_k(d)$  where  $d$  is a linear combination with constant coefficients (depending only of  $\alpha, \beta$ ) of the functions

$$c_{\alpha', \beta'}^{\alpha'', \beta''} = \xi^{\alpha''} \eta^{\beta''} k^{-|\alpha'| - |\beta'|} \partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_z^{\beta'} c, \quad \alpha', \alpha'', \beta', \beta'' \in \mathbb{N}^n$$



where  $\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha$  and  $\beta' + \beta'' = \beta$ . Then we apply (41) to the  $c_{\alpha', \beta'}^{\alpha'', \beta''}$ . Using that  $m + |\alpha''| + p + |\beta''| \leq m + p + |\alpha| + |\beta| < -2n - 1$  and

$$\|\partial_y^\gamma c_{\alpha', \beta'}^{\alpha'', \beta''}\|_{m+|\alpha''|, p+|\beta''|} \leq C k^{-|\alpha'| - |\beta'|} \|\partial_y^\gamma \partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_z^{\beta'} c\|_{m, p},$$

we obtain

$$k^{-|\alpha| - |\beta|} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta J_k(c)(x, z)| \leq C \max_{\substack{\alpha', \beta', \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ s.t.} \\ \alpha' \leq \alpha, \beta' \leq \beta, |\gamma| \leq M}} k^{-|\alpha'| - |\beta'| - |\gamma|} \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_y^\gamma \partial_z^{\beta'} c\|_{m, p}$$

for some  $M$ , which implies the Lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.6.* Let  $(f_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  be a family of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U^3)$  such that for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3n}$ ,  $k^{-|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha f_k = \mathcal{O}(1)$  on any compact subset of  $U^3$ . For instance we can choose  $f_k = e^{ik\varphi}$ . Using Leibniz rule and  $\langle k^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \rangle^m \leq k^{\frac{1}{2}m+} \langle \xi \rangle^m$  for any  $k \geq 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , we deduce from Lemma 4.7 that for any  $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  such that  $m + p + |\alpha| < -2n - 1$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $d \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we have

$$k^{-|\alpha|} |\partial_{x,z}^\alpha J_k(f_k \tilde{d}_k)(x, z)| \leq C k^{\frac{1}{2}(m+p+)} \max_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3n}, \\ |\beta| \leq M}} k^{-|\beta|} \|\partial_{x,y,z}^\beta d\|_{m, p} \quad (42)$$

for any  $(x, z) \in K$ .

Let us prove the estimate for  $R'_{N+1, k}$ . Applying Taylor formula to  $t \in [0, 1] \rightarrow \exp(ik(\varphi_2 + tr))$ , we get

$$e^{ik\varphi} = e^{ik\varphi_2} \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{(ikr)^\ell}{\ell!} + (kr)^{N+1} f_{N, k}, \quad f_{N, k} := \frac{i^{N+1}}{N!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^N e^{ik\varphi_2} dt$$

Derivating under the integral sign, we get  $k^{-|\alpha|} \partial_{x,y,z}^\alpha f_{N, k} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3n}$ . Since  $r^{N+1}$  vanishes to order  $3N+3$  along  $\{u = v = 0\}$ , we have

$$r^{N+1} = \sum_{|\gamma| + |\delta| = 3N+3} u^\gamma v^\delta \mu_{\gamma, \delta}, \quad \text{with } \mu_{\gamma, \delta} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U^3).$$

Collecting together the previous formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R'_{N+1, k}(d) &= k^{\frac{3}{2}(N+1)} \sum J_k(u^\gamma v^\delta \mu_{\gamma, \delta} f_{N, k} \tilde{d}_k) \\ &= i^{3(N+1)} \sum J_k(\mu_{\gamma, \delta} f_{N, k} \widetilde{\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta d_k}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (34) and the fact that  $|\gamma| + |\delta| = 3N + 3$ , so that the powers of  $k$  cancel. We conclude with (42) and  $\|\partial_{x,y,z}^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta d\|_{m-|\gamma|,p-|\delta|} \leq \|d\|_{m,p,|\beta|+3N+3}$ .

To prove the estimate for  $R''_{N+1,k}$ , we write the Taylor expansion of  $d$  as in (28)

$$d = \sum_{|\gamma|+|\delta| \leq N} u^\gamma v^\delta \frac{d_{\gamma,\delta}}{\gamma! \delta!} + \sum_{|\gamma|+|\delta|=N+1} u^\gamma v^\delta d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}$$

The coefficients  $d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}$  of the remainder are functions of  $(x, y, z, \xi, \eta)$  and using the formula (29), we get

$$\|d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}\|_{m,p,M} \leq C \|d\|_{m,p,M+N+1} \quad (43)$$

By using (34), it comes that

$$J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} u^\gamma v^\delta \widetilde{d_{\gamma,\delta}_k}) = i^{|\gamma|+|\delta|} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\gamma|+|\delta|)} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} (\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta \widetilde{d_{\gamma,\delta}_k}))$$

and similarly

$$J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} u^\gamma v^\delta \widetilde{d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}_k}) = i^{N+1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} (\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta \widetilde{d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}_k}))$$

Comparing with (38), we get

$$R''_{N+1,d}(d) = i^{N+1} \sum_{|\gamma|+|\delta|=N+1} J_k(e^{ik\varphi_2} (\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_\eta^\delta \widetilde{d_{N+1,\gamma,\delta}_k}))$$

and we conclude with (42) and (43).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Laurent Charles. Resolvents of Bochner Laplacians in the semiclassical limit. *arXiv:2309.04955*, 2023.
- [2] Charles Epstein and Richard Melrose. The Heisenberg algebra, index theory and homology. *preprint*, 2004.
- [3] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III: Pseudo-differential operators*, volume 274 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer, Cham, 1985.
- [4] Mikhail A. Shubin. *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2001. Translated from the 1978 Russian original by Stig I. Andersson.