

# An introduction to the geometry of Lubin-Tate spaces

Laurent Fargues

CNRS-IHES-universit  Paris-Sud Orsay

5 juillet 2022

## A Finite flat group schemes

All schemes will be separated. If  $S$  is a scheme we will consider the associated fppf topology. It is the topology associated to all schemes over  $S$  and whose covering morphisms are generated by faithfully flat of finite presentation morphisms of  $S$ -schemes (by topology associated to we mean the topology associated to the preceding pretopology). We refer to [SGA 3, tome I, expos  IV] for generalities on Grothendieck topologies and quotients of sheaves.

In the following notes we will work with general hypothesis like “of finite presentation” or “finite locally free”. For a first approach the reader can suppose he is working over a noetherian base and all its schemes are of finite type. This is what the author of this note used to do until the day he really had to use the theory over non-noetherian bases.

### A.1 General results of algebraic geometry

#### A.1.1 Finite flat/finite locally free

Recall the following very basic lemma from commutative algebra, since we use it without quoting.

**Lemme 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$ . Then  $X$  is finite locally free over  $S$  iff  $f$  is finite flat of finite presentation.*

In particular if  $S$  is locally noetherian finite flat over  $S$  is equivalent to finite locally free over  $S$ .

Recall moreover that a finite morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is of finite presentation iff  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is locally of finite presentation as an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. That is to say a finite  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is of finite presentation as an  $A$ -algebra iff it is of finite presentation as an  $A$ -module.

#### A.1.2 Flatness fiber by fiber

Recall :

**Th or me 1** (Grothendieck, EGA IV Coro. 11.3.11). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow h \\ & & S \end{array}$$

*be a diagram of locally of finite presentation morphisms of schemes. Then the following are equivalent*

- $g$  is flat and  $\forall s \in S f_s : X_s \rightarrow Y_s$  is flat
- $f$  is flat and  $h$  is flat at all points of  $f(X)$

### A.1.3 Monomorphisms

**Proposition 1** (EGA IV, proposition 8.1.5). *A proper morphism of schemes is a monomorphism iff it is a closed immersion.*

**Corollaire 1.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. A morphism from a finite  $S$ -group-scheme to a finite type  $S$ -groups-scheme is a monomorphism iff it is a closed immersion iff the kernel is trivial.*

**Remarque 1.** *We refer to [SGA 3 exposé XVI, chapter 1] for examples of monomorphisms of group schemes that are not immersions.*

### A.1.4 Connected components of a finite flat morphism

Recall for a quasi-finite morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  and  $s \in S$  the separable rank of the fiber  $X_s$  is  $|X_s(k(s)^{sep})|$  where  $k(s)^{sep}$  is a separable closure of  $k(s)$ . One has  $X_s \simeq \text{Spec}(A)$  where  $A$  is a finite  $k(s)$ -algebra. It is thus artinian isomorphic to a finite product

$$A \simeq \prod_{i \in I} B_i$$

where each  $B_i$  is artinian local with residue field  $k_i$  that is a finite extension of  $k(s)$ . Then the separable rank of  $X_s$  is

$$\sum_{i \in I} [k_i : k(s)]^{sep}$$

where  $[\cdot : \cdot]^{sep}$  means separable degree.

**Lemme 2** ([1], lemma 4.8 p.63). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be finite and locally free. Then there exists a factorization*

$$f : X \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} S$$

*with  $h$  and  $g$  finite locally free,  $h$  étale and  $g$  radicial surjective iff the separable degree of the fibers of  $f$  is locally constant. If this is the case then this factorization is unique up to a unique isomorphism and functorial in  $X/S$ .*

We refer to [1] for the details of the proof, but let's point out that when  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  is the spectrum of a field the proof is the following. Let  $A \simeq \prod_{i \in I} B_i$  be a finite  $k$ -algebra as before with  $B_i$  local artinian and residue field  $k_i$ . Then if for each  $i$   $k'_i$  is the separable closure of  $k$  in  $k_i$  thanks to the fact that  $k'_i/k$  is separable (and thus étale) there exists a unique lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_i & \twoheadrightarrow & k_i \\ & \swarrow & \uparrow \\ & & k'_i \end{array}$$

of  $k$ -algebra morphisms from  $k'_i$  into  $B_i$ . Then  $Y = \text{Spec}(\prod_{i \in I} k'_i)$  and at the level of algebras the factorization is

$$k \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} k'_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} B_i = A$$

Here is a particular case of [EGA IV, proposition 15.5.1] :

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose  $S$  is locally noetherian. Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be finite flat. Then the function  $S \ni s \mapsto$  (separable rank of  $X_s$ ) is lower semi-continuous.*

This means for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the sets of  $s \in S$  such that  $|X_s(k(s)^{sep})| \geq n$  is open in  $S$ . The proof of [EGA IV, proposition 15.5.1] is, using the fact one know this function is already constructible, by reduction to the case when  $S$  is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring  $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ . Then one has to prove the separable rank of the special fiber of  $X/\mathcal{O}$  is greater than the one of its generic fiber.

**Corollaire 2.** *Let  $S$  be noetherian. Let  $X \rightarrow S$  be finite flat. Then there exists a “découpage” of  $X$  into disjoint locally closed subsets*

$$|X| = \coprod_{1 \leq i \leq N} Z_i$$

such that  $\forall k \bigcup_{i \leq k} Z_i$  is closed and if  $Z_i$  stands for the associated reduced locally closed subscheme of  $X$  then over  $Z_i$  there is a factorization

$$X \times_S Z_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Z_i$$

as in lemma 2.

## A.2 Quotients

This is one of the main theorem of [SGA 3, tome I, exposé V].

**Théorème 2** (Grothendieck). *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $G$  be a finite locally free  $S$ -group scheme acting on an  $S$ -scheme  $X$  via  $q : G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose each orbit of  $G$  is contained in an affine open subset of  $X$  that is to say if  $p : G \times_S X \rightarrow X$  is the projection  $\forall x \in X$  the set  $q(p^{-1}(x))$  is contained in an affine open subset. Then the fppf quotient sheaf  $G \backslash X$  is representable by an  $S$ -scheme. Moreover :*

- The quotient scheme  $G \backslash X$  coincide with the quotient in the category of ringed spaces
- The morphism  $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$  is integral
- If  $X$  is affine so is  $G \backslash X$
- If  $G$  acts freely on  $X$  then  $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$  is a finite locally free morphism and the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} G \times_S X &\longrightarrow X \times_{G \backslash X} X \\ (g, x) &\longmapsto (x, g.x) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus  $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$  is an fppf  $G$ -torsor.

In the preceding theorem by quotient in the category of ringed spaces we mean : consider the diagram of ringed spaces

$$G \times_S X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{q} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \end{array} X$$

then the quotient ringed spaces has as underlying topological space the quotient  $Y$  of the diagram

$$|G \times_S X| \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{q} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \end{array} |X|$$

in the category of topological spaces and has as a structural sheaf the subsheaf of  $\delta_* \mathcal{O}_X$ , where  $\delta : |X| \rightarrow Y$  is the projection, consisting of elements  $x$  such that  $q^*x = p^*x$ .

If  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $G = \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $X = \text{Spec}(B)$  then in the diagram

$$B \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p^*} \\ \xrightarrow{q^*} \end{array} A \otimes_R B$$

(where  $p^*b = 1 \otimes b$ ) if

$$C = \{b \in B \mid q^*b = p^*b\}$$

we have

$$G \backslash X = \text{Spec}(C)$$

In the preceding theorem when we say  $G$  acts freely on  $X$  we mean : for all  $S$ -scheme  $U$   $G(U)$  acts freely on  $X(U)$ , and it suffices to verify it for  $U = X$  and  $Id : X \rightarrow X$  that is to say the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{Id} & X \\ \downarrow (e, Id) & & \downarrow \Delta_{X/S} \\ G \times_S X & \xrightarrow{(p, q)} & X \times_S X \end{array}$$

is cartesian (where  $e$  is the unit section and  $\Delta_{X/S}$  the diagonal).

**Remarque 2.** In the preceding theorem if  $G$  acts freely on  $X$  and  $X$  is of finite presentation over  $S$  then  $G \backslash X$  is of finite presentation over  $S$ . This results from the fact that  $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$  being finite locally free it is of finite presentation and EGA IV proposition 1.4.3 (v).

**Corollaire 3.** Let  $G$  be an  $S$ -group scheme of finite presentation and  $H \subset G$  a sub-group-scheme finite locally free over  $S$  such that  $H$  is distinguished in  $G$ . Then  $G/H$  is representable by a finite presentation  $S$ -group scheme and  $G \rightarrow G/H$  is finite locally free.

### A.3 epimorphism/faithfully flat

Any faithfully flat of finite presentation morphism of schemes is an fppf epimorphism but in general the converse is false : take for example  $X$  a scheme,  $Y$  an  $X$ -scheme that is not flat over  $X$  and the morphism  $X \amalg Y \rightarrow X$  that is the identity on  $X$  and the structural morphism of  $Y$  on  $Y$ . Since it has a section it is an epimorphism but is not flat.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $G$  be a finite locally free  $S$ -group scheme and  $H$  an  $S$ -group scheme of finite presentation. Let  $f : G \rightarrow H$  be a morphism of  $S$ -group schemes. Then the following are equivalent

- $f$  is an fppf epimorphism
- $f$  is faithfully flat
- $\forall s \in S$   $f_s : G_s \rightarrow H_s$  is an épimorphism
- $\forall s \in S$   $f_s : G_s \rightarrow H_s$  is faithfully flat

And if one of those conditions is verified then  $H$  is finite locally free over  $S$ .

*Démonstration.* We use the fact that if  $s \in S$  and  $X$  is an  $S$ -scheme then if  $f : \text{Spec}(k(s)) \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the fppf sheaf associated to  $X$   $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is represented by the  $\text{Spec}(k(s))$ -scheme  $X_s$ . This is due to the fact we took all schemes in the definition of the fppf topology (for example this fact is false in general for the small étale site). Thus in particular if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is an epimorphism then  $\forall s \in S$   $X_s \rightarrow Y_s$  is an epimorphism.

By Grothendieck's theorem on flatness fiber by fiber everything is now reduced to prove that if  $S$  is the spectrum of a field then  $f$  is an epimorphism iff it is faithfully flat. Of course if it is faithfully flat is an epimorphism. Now suppose it is an epimorphism. The kernel of  $f$  is a finite flat group scheme since  $S$  is the spectrum of a field. The morphism of sheaves  $G/\ker f \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism. But by grothendieck's theorem on quotients by finite flat group schemes the morphism  $G \rightarrow G/\ker f$  is faithfully flat, which proves the result.  $\square$

### A.4 Exact sequences

**Proposition 4.** Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow 0$$

of finite  $S$ -group schemes that are of finite presentation over  $S$ . Then

- If  $G_2$  is locally free over  $S$  so are  $G_1$  and  $G_3$
- If  $G_1$  and  $G_3$  are locally free over  $S$  so is  $G_2$

*Démonstration.* If  $G_2$  is locally free over  $S$  then by the preceding proposition so is  $G_3$  and moreover the morphism  $G_2 \rightarrow G_3$  is faithfully flat which implies  $G_1$  is finite locally free.

If  $G_1$  and  $G_3$  are locally free then  $G_2$  being a  $G_1$ -torsor over  $G_3$  thus is flat over  $G_3$  thus over  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemme 3.** *Consider an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{u} G_2 \xrightarrow{v} G_3$$

*of finite locally free  $S$ -group schemes. Then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{u} G_2 \xrightarrow{v} G_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact iff*

$$\text{rank}(G_2) = \text{rank}(G_1) \times \text{rank}(G_3)$$

*Démonstration.* If it is exact then  $G_2$  being a  $G_1$ -torsor over  $G_3$  we have  $G_1 \times_S G_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2 \times_{G_3} G_2$ . Thus  $\text{rank}_S(G_1) \times \text{rank}_S(G_2) = \text{rank}_{G_3}(G_2)^2$ . But  $\text{rank}_S(G_2) = \text{rank}_S(G_3) \times \text{rank}_{G_3}(G_2)$ . Thus combining both equality  $\text{rank}(G_2) = \text{rank}(G_1) \times \text{rank}(G_3)$ .

Reciprocally, there is a monomorphism  $\text{coker}(u) \hookrightarrow G_3$  that is a closed immersion since  $\text{coker}(u)$  is finite locally free. Moreover by the preceding case and the hypothesis  $\text{rank}(\text{coker}(u))$  is equal to  $\text{rank}(G_3)$ . Thus, a closed immersion of finite locally free  $S$ -schemes of the same rank being an isomorphism we conclude.  $\square$

## A.5 Étale/connected finite flat group-schemes

Let  $G$  be a finite locally free  $S$ -group scheme.

We say  $G$  is étale, resp. radicial, if the morphism  $G \rightarrow S$  is étale, resp. radicial.

We remark if  $\omega_G$  is the conormal sheaf of the unit section of  $G$  then  $\Omega_{G/S}^1 \simeq p^* \omega_G$  where  $p: G \rightarrow S$ .

**Lemme 4.** *Write  $G = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{I})$  where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the augmentation ideal of  $G$ .*

*The group  $G$  is étale iff  $\omega_G = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 = 0$ .*

*The group  $G$  is radicial iff  $\mathcal{I}$  is (locally on  $S$ ) a nil-ideal.*

## A.6 Finite flat group-schemes over fields

Let  $k$  be a field.

**Lemme 5.** *Let  $G$  be finite group-scheme over  $k$ . Then*

**Lemme 6.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group scheme over  $k$ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G^{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow 0$$

*where  $G^0$  is connected and  $G^{\text{ét}}$  étale. If  $k$  is perfect then  $G^0 = G_{\text{red}}$ . If  $k$  is separably closed this sequence is split.*

*Démonstration.*

## A.7 The order of a finite flat group-scheme

In this section all group-schemes are commutative.

**Définition 1.** For  $G$  a finite locally free group-scheme over  $S$  we note  $|G|$  the order of  $G$  that is to say the rank of  $\mathcal{O}_G$  as a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module.

**Proposition 5** (Deligne). Any finite locally free  $G$  over  $S$  is killed by its order.

The proof is given in ????. When  $S$  is the spectrum of a field there is a more elementary proof. In fact if  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ , if  $k$  has characteristic 0 then  $G$  is étale and this is the usual statement for abstract finite groups (after going to the algebraic closure of  $k$ ). If  $k$  has characteristic  $p$ , one can suppose  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then  $G \simeq G^0 \times G^{\text{ét}}$  and one is reduced to the statement for  $G$  connected :  $G = G^0$ . Then if  $G = \text{Spec}(k \oplus I)$  where  $I$  is the augmentation ideal of the unit section then multiplication by  $p$  on  $G$  induces multiplication by  $p \in k$  on  $I/I^2$  and is thus zero. Thus if  $[p] \in \text{End}(G)$  is multiplication by  $p$  then  $[p]^*I \subset I^2$ . With this one easily concludes.

**Remarque 3.** The preceding proof over a field implies at least that for any base  $S$  any finite locally free  $G$  over  $S$  is torsion, which is weaker than Deligne's statement but sufficient for most applications.

**Lemme 7.** Let  $G$  finite locally free over  $S$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  its annihilator that is to say  $N\mathbb{Z} = \ker(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}(G))$ . Then the prime divisors of  $N$  and  $|G|$  are the same.

*Démonstration.* By the preceding proposition  $N \mid |G|$ . Let now  $p$  be a prime factor of  $|G|$ . Suppose  $p \nmid N$ . Then  $p : G \rightarrow G$  is an isomorphism. We want to see this is impossible. By specialization we can suppose  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. Then  $G \simeq G^0 \times G^{\text{ét}}$ . If  $\ell$  is the characteristic of this field  $G^0$  is killed by a power of  $\ell$ . Thus  $G^0 = (0)$  or  $\ell \neq p$ . If  $G^0 = (0)$  we are reduced to the case of  $G = G^{\text{ét}}$  an abstract finite group and it is clear  $p : G^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ét}}$  cannot be an isomorphism. Suppose now  $\ell \neq p$ . We know the order of  $G^0$  is a power of  $\ell$  (???). Thus  $p \nmid |G^{\text{ét}}|$ . Thus as before this is impossible by the case of usual abstract finite groups.  $\square$