

# Mahler measure from Number Theory To Algebraic Geometry (ICPAMS2022)

Marie José BERTIN

Institut Mathématique de Jussieu  
Sorbonne Université  
4 Place Jussieu 75006 PARIS  
`marie-jose.bertin@imj-prg.fr`

ICPAMS 2022 May 6, 2022

Introduced by Mahler in 1962,  
the logarithmic Mahler measure of a polynomial  $P$  is

$$m(P) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \log |P(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \cdots \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$$

and its Mahler measure

$$M(P) = \exp(m(P))$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n / |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| = 1\}.$$

- $n = 1$

By Jensen's formula, if  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is monic, then

$$M(P) = \prod_{P(\alpha)=0} \max(|\alpha|, 1).$$

So it is related to **Lehmer's question (1933)**

**Does there exist  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , monic, non cyclotomic, satisfying**

$$1 < M(P) < M(P_0) = 1.1762 \dots ?$$

The polynomial

$$P_0(X) = X^{10} + X^9 - X^7 - X^6 - X^5 - X^4 - X^3 + X + 1$$

is the Lehmer polynomial, in fact a Salem polynomial.

Lehmer's problem is still open.

A partial answer by Smyth (1971)

$$M(P) \geq 1.32 \dots$$

if  $P$  is non reciprocal.

# First explicit Mahler measures

- $m(1 + x) = 0$  (by Jensen's formula)



$$m(1 + x + y) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-3}, 2) =: L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) \quad \text{Smyth (1980)}$$



$$m(1 + x + y + z) = \frac{7}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3) \quad \text{Smyth (1980)}$$

$$L(\chi_{-3}, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi_{-3}(n)}{n^s}$$

$$\chi_{-3}(3k) = 0, \quad \chi_{-3}(3k + 1) = 1, \quad \chi_{-3}(3k + 2) = -1$$

Deninger (1996) conjectured

$$m\left(x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + 1\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{15}{4\pi^2} L(E, 2) =: L'(E, 0)$$

$E$  elliptic curve of conductor 15 defined by the polynomial

This conjecture was proved (May 2011) by Rogers and Zudilin thanks to a previous result due to Lalin.

Deninger's guess comes from Beilinson's Conjectures.

# Villegas's results (1998)

$$m(x + 1/x + y + 1/y - k) = \frac{1}{2} \Re[-2\pi i\tau + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2 \frac{q^n}{n}]$$

or in terms of Eisenstein's series

$$\Re\left[\frac{16\Im(\tau)}{\pi^2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) \frac{1}{(m4\tau + n)^2 (m4\bar{\tau} + n)^2}\right]$$

where  $q = \exp 2\pi i\tau$  and  $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)$

$$k^2 = 1/\mu(\tau) \quad \mu = q - 8q^2 + 44q^3 - 192q^4 + \dots$$

When  $k$  defines a CM elliptic curve, namely  $k = 4\sqrt{2}$  defining

$$A : y^2 = x^3 - 44x + 112 \quad \text{with conductor} \quad 64$$

it follows

$$m(x + 1/x + y + 1/y - 4\sqrt{2}) = \frac{64}{4\pi^2} L(A, 2)$$

Also, if  $k = 4/\sqrt{2}$  defining

$$B : y^2 = x^3 + 4x \quad \text{with conductor} \quad 32$$

it follows

$$m(x + 1/x + y + 1/y - 4/\sqrt{2}) = \frac{32}{4\pi^2} L(B, 2)$$



Finally for  $k = 3\sqrt{2}$  we get the modular elliptic curve  $X_0(24)$  and using **Beilinson's** theorem it is possible to get a formula of the same type for the Mahler measure.

A similar result was proved by [Benferhat \(2009\)](#) (one of my former students) concerning the family

$$x + 1/x + y + 1/y + x/y + y/x - k = 0$$

written as

$$1/xy[(x + y + 1)(xy + y + x) - (k + 3)xy] = 0$$

### Hints of proof

From [Verrill](#) we know that putting  $k + 3 = 1/t$ , it defines an elliptic modular surface for the congruence group  $\Gamma_1(6)$  with Picard-Fuchs equation near 0 (satisfied by the periods)

$$t(t - 1)(9t - 1)f'' + (27t^2 - 20t + 1)f' + 3(3t - 1)f = 0$$

with two properties

- For the Hauptmodul

$$t = \frac{\eta(6\tau)^8 \eta(\tau)^4}{\eta(3\tau)^4 \eta(2\tau)^8} = q - 4q^2 + 10q^3 - 20q^4 + 39q^5 + \dots$$

- the solution near 0 is expressed as

$$f = \frac{\eta(2\tau)^6 \eta(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^3 \eta(6\tau)^2}$$

- With  $k + 3 = 1/t$  it follows that

$$\tilde{m}'(k) = \frac{1}{2i(\pi)^2} \int_{(\mathbb{T})^2} \frac{t}{-1 + \frac{(x+y+1)(xy+y+x)}{xy}} \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y}$$

is a period of the elliptic curve. Hence it satisfies the Picard-Fuchs equation; moreover it can be identified with the solution near 0. Thus

$$\tilde{m}'(k) = -tf \quad d\tilde{m} = -f \frac{dt}{t} = -f \frac{t'(q)dq}{t}$$

$$-f(t) \frac{q \frac{dt}{dq}}{t} = 1 + L(q) + 8L(q^2) \quad L(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2 \right) q^n$$

Finally by integration we get

$$m(k) = \Re \left( -2i\pi\tau + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2 \right) \frac{\exp 2i\pi n\tau}{n} \right) \\ + 8 \left( \Re \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2 \right) \frac{\exp 4i\pi n\tau}{2n} \right)$$

and in terms of Eisenstein-Kronecker series

$$m(k) = \Re \left( \frac{9\sqrt{3}\Im\tau}{4\pi^2} \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\chi(n)}{(3m\tau+n)^2(3m\bar{\tau}+n)} \right) \\ + 8\Re \left( \frac{9\sqrt{3}\Im\tau}{4\pi^2} \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\chi(n)}{(6m\tau+n)^2(6m\bar{\tau}+n)} \right)$$

For  $k = 0$  the elliptic curve is CM with conductor 36 more precisely  $36a1$  with  $j = 0$ ,  $\tau$  is imaginary quadratic and we can recover  $m(0) = 2L'(E_{36}, 0)$ .

CM elliptic curves and elliptic modular curves are rare in these families. Other people **Mellit**, **Zudilin**, **Brunault** used other techniques. For example Mellit obtained results on the same modular surface, that is

$$m(1) = b_{14} \quad m(-5) = 6b_{14} \quad m(10) = 10b_{14}$$

(all these conjectured by Boyd.) We recall Boyd's notation

$$b_N = \frac{N}{4\pi^2} L(E_N, 2)$$

A new technique was elaborated by **Zudilin** and **Brunault** parametrizing the elliptic curves with modular units. Based on regulators and modular units I obtained (August 2015, unpublished)

$$m(4) = 3b_{20} \quad m(-2) = 2b_{20}$$

thus solving **Touafek's conjectures** on regulators.

Finally with similar techniques **Brunault** considered the family

$$y^2 + kx + y - x^3$$

and proved (arXiv 2015)

$$m(-1) = 2b_{14} \quad m(-2) = b_{35} \quad m(-3) = b_{54}$$

Remark that this defines an elliptic surface with 4 singular fibers  $[9, 1, 1, 1]$  which is precisely one of Beauville modular elliptic surface for the congruence group  $\Gamma_0(9) \cap \Gamma_1(3)$ .

While preparing this talk I noticed that one of my former students **Rémi Trannoy** studied experimentally this family and conjectured  $m(-5) \stackrel{?}{=} 7b_{20}$ ,  $m(6) \stackrel{?}{=} 3b_{27}$ . Combining all the previous methods can we prove these conjectures?

So replace  $E$  by a surface  $X$  which is also a **Calabi-Yau variety**, i.e. a  $K3$ -surface and try to answer the questions:

What are the analog of Deninger, Boyd, R-Villegas 's results and conjectures?

Which type of Eisenstein-Kronecker series corresponds to  $L(X, 3)$ ?



Our results concern polynomials of the family

$$P_k = x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + z + \frac{1}{z} - k$$

defining K3-surfaces  $Y_k$ . **What's a K3-surface?**

It is a **smooth** surface  $X$  satisfying

- $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  i.e.  $X$  simply connected
- $K_X = 0$  i.e. the canonical bundle is trivial i.e. there exists a unique, up to scalars, holomorphic 2-form  $\omega$  on  $X$ .

# Example and main properties

- A double covering branched along a plane sextic for example defines a K3-surface  $X$ .

In our case

$$(2z + x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} - k)^2 = (x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} - k)^2 - 4$$

## Main properties

- $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free group of rank 22.

# Main properties (continued)

- With the intersection pairing,  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a lattice and

$$H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq U_2^3 \perp (-E_8)^2 := \mathcal{L}$$

$\mathcal{L}$  is the  $K3$ -lattice,  $U_2$  the hyperbolic lattice of rank 2,  $E_8$  the unimodular lattice of rank 8.

- $$\text{Pic}(X) \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$$

where  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is the group of divisors modulo linear equivalence, parametrized by the algebraic cycles (since for  $K3$  surfaces linear and algebraic equivalence are the same).

- $$\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\rho(X)}$$

$\rho(X) :=$  Picard number of  $X$

$$1 \leq \rho(X) \leq 20$$



$$T(X) := (\text{Pic}(X))^\perp$$

is the transcendental lattice of dimension  $22 - \rho(X)$

- If  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{22}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\omega$  the holomorphic 2-form,

$$\int_{\gamma_i} \omega$$

is called a period of  $X$  and

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in \text{Pic}(X).$$

- If  $\{X_z\}$  is a family of  $K3$  surfaces,  $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$  with generic Picard number  $\rho$  and  $\omega_z$  the corresponding holomorphic 2-form, then the periods of  $X_z$  satisfy a Picard-Fuchs differential equation of order  $k = 22 - \rho$ . For our family  $k = 3$ .

- In fact, by **Morrison**, a  $\mathcal{M}$ -polarized K3-surface, with Picard number  $\geq 19$  has a Shioda-Inose structure, that means

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & & A = E \times E / C_N \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & Y = \text{Kum}(A / \pm 1) &
 \end{array}$$

- If the Picard number  $\rho = 20$ , then the elliptic curve is CM.

## Theorem

(B. 2005) Let  $k = t + \frac{1}{t}$  and

$$t = \left( \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(6\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)} \right)^6, \quad \eta(\tau) = e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{12}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau}), \quad q = \exp 2\pi i \tau$$

$$m(P_k) = \frac{\Im \tau}{8\pi^3} \left\{ \sum_{m, \kappa} \left( -4(2\Re \frac{1}{(m\tau + \kappa)^3(m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)} + \frac{1}{(m\tau + \kappa)^2(m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)^2}) \right. \right. \\ + 16(2\Re \frac{1}{(2m\tau + \kappa)^3(2m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)} + \frac{1}{(2m\tau + \kappa)^2(2m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)^2}) \\ - 36(2\Re \frac{1}{(3m\tau + \kappa)^3(3m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)} + \frac{1}{(3m\tau + \kappa)^2(3m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)^2}) \\ \left. \left. + 144(2\Re \frac{1}{(6m\tau + \kappa)^3(6m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)} + \frac{1}{(6m\tau + \kappa)^2(6m\bar{\tau} + \kappa)^2}) \right) \right\}$$

# Sketch of proof

Let

$$P_k = x + \frac{1}{x} + y + \frac{1}{y} + z + \frac{1}{z} - k$$

defining the family  $(X_k)$  of  $K3$ -surfaces.

- For  $k \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , generically  $\rho = 19$ .
- The family is  $\mathcal{M}_k$ -polarized with

$$\mathcal{M}_k \simeq U_2 \perp (-E_8)^2 \perp \langle -12 \rangle$$

- Its transcendental lattice satisfies

$$T_k \simeq U_2 \perp \langle 12 \rangle$$

- The Picard-Fuchs differential equation is

$$(k^2 - 4)(k^2 - 36)y'''' + 6k(k^2 - 20)y''' + (7k^2 - 48)y'' + ky' + ky = 0$$

(Peters and Stienstra's results)

- The family is modular in the following sense if  $k = t + \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\tau$  as in the theorem

$$t\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = t(\tau) \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(6, 2)^* \subset \Gamma_0(12)^* + 12$$

where

$$\Gamma_1(6) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \quad c \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_1(6, 2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(6) \mid c \equiv 6b \pmod{12} \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_1(6, 2)^* = \langle \Gamma_1(6, 2), w_6 \rangle$$



- The P-F equation has a basis of solutions  $G(\tau)$ ,  $\tau G(\tau)$ ,  $\tau^2 G(\tau)$  with

$$G(\tau) = \eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau)$$

satisfying

$$G(\tau) = F(t(\tau)), \quad F(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n t^{2n+1}, \quad v_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

- $\frac{dm(P_k)}{dk}$  is a period, hence satisfies the P-F equation

$$\frac{dm(P_k)}{dk} = G(\tau)$$

$$dm(P_k) = -G(\tau) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1-t^2}{t}$$

is a weight 4 modular form for  $\Gamma_1(6, 2)^*$

- so can be expressed as a combination of  $E_4(n\tau)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$

- By integration you get

$$m(P_k) = \Re(-\pi i\tau + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{d|n} d^3) (4 \frac{q^n}{n} - 8 \frac{q^{2n}}{2n} + 12 \frac{q^{3n}}{3n} - 24 \frac{q^{6n}}{6n}))$$

- Then using a Fourier development one deduces the expression of the Mahler measure in terms of an Eisenstein-Kronecker series

The singular  $K3$  surfaces of the Apéry-Fermi's family  $(Y_k)$  correspond to imaginary quadratic  $\tau$  such that

$$t = \left( \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(6\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)} \right)^6, \quad k = t + \frac{1}{t}.$$

They have been computed by **Boyd**.

k	$\tau$	Equation of $\tau$
0	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{-3}}{6}$	$3\tau^2 + 3\tau + 1 = 0$
2	$\frac{-2+\sqrt{-2}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 4\tau + 1 = 0$
3	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{-15}}{12}$	$6\tau^2 + 3\tau + 1 = 0$
6	$\frac{\sqrt{-6}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 1 = 0$
10	$\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{2}$	$2\tau^2 + 1 = 0$
18	$\frac{\sqrt{-30}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 5 = 0$
102	$\frac{\sqrt{-6 \times 13}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 13 = 0$
198	$\frac{\sqrt{-17 \times 6}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 17 = 0$
$2\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-5}}{6}$	$6\tau^2 + 2\tau + 1 = 0$
$3\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}$	$3\tau^2 + 1 = 0$
$2\sqrt{-3}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-1}}{2}$	$2\tau^2 + 2\tau + 1 = 0$
$3\sqrt{-5}$	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{-15}}{6}$	$3\tau^2 + 3\tau + 2 = 0$

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a K3-surface defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with Picard number 20 and discriminant  $N$ . Its transcendental lattice  $T(S)$  is a dimension 2  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -module thus defines a  $L$  series,  $L(T(S), s)$ .*

*There exists a weight 3 modular form ,  $f$ , CM over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$  satisfying*

$$L(T(S), s) \doteq L(f, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n}{n^s}.$$

# How to compute the $A_n$ of the $L$ -series

## Lemma

(B. 2010) Let  $Y$  an elliptic K3-surface defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by a Weierstrass equation  $Y(t)$ . If  $\text{rank}(Y(t)) = r$  and the  $r$  infinite sections generating the Mordell-Weil lattice are defined respectively over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then

$$A_p = - \sum_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p), Y(t) \text{ smooth}} a_p(t) - \sum_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p), Y(t) \text{ singular}} \epsilon_p(t) - \sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{d_i}{p} \right)$$

where

$$a_p(t) = p + 1 - \#Y(t)(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

and  $\epsilon_p(x)$  defined by

$$\epsilon_p(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the reduction of } Y(t) \text{ is additive} \\ 1, & \text{if the reduction of } Y(t) \text{ is split multiplicative} \\ -1, & \text{if the reduction of } Y(t) \text{ is non split multiplicative} \end{cases} .$$

## Theorem

*(Schütt's classification) Consider the following classification of singular K3-surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$*

- 1 *by the discriminant  $d$  of the transcendental lattice of the surface up to squares,*
- 2 *by the associated newform up to twisting,*
- 3 *by the level of the associated newform up to squares,*
- 4 *by the CM-field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  of the associated newform.*

*Then, all these classifications are equivalent. In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  has exponent 1 or 2.*

Let

$$P_k = x^2yz + xy^2z + xyz^2 + t^2(xy + xz + yz) - kxyzt.$$

$Y_k$  is the desingularization of the set of zeroes of  $P_k$ .



# Computation of the discriminant $N$

Different methods allow us to obtain the transcendental lattice, hence its determinant equal to  $N$ , of the singular  $K3$  lattice. (see [Bertin and Lecacheux](#), arxiv 2022)

$Y_0$	[4 2 4]
$Y_2$	[2 0 4]
$Y_3$	[2 1 8]
$Y_6$	[2 0 12]
$Y_{10}$	[6 0 12]
$Y_{18}$	[10 0 12]
$Y_{102}$	[12 0 26]
$Y_{198}$	[12 0 34]
$Y_{k^2=20}$	[2 0 10]
$Y_{k^2=54}$	[4 0 12]
$Y_{k^2=-12}$	[6 0 6]
$Y_{k^2=-45}$	[8 2 8]

Shimada and Zhang 's notation:

$$[a \ b \ c] := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

- From the expression of the Mahler measure in terms of Eisenstein Kronecker series depending of quadratic imaginary  $\tau$  it follows the Mahler measure as the  $L$ -series of a modular form (modular part) plus eventually a Dirichlet  $L$ -series.

$m(P_0) =$	$d_3$ Boyd, Bertin (2005)
$m(P_2) =$	$\frac{8\sqrt{8}}{\pi^3} L(f_8, 3)$ (B-2009)
$m(P_3) =$	$\frac{15\sqrt{15}}{2\pi^3} L(f_{15}, 3)$ (BFFLM-2013)
$m(P_6) =$	$\frac{24\sqrt{24}}{2\pi^3} L(f_{24}, 3)$ (BFFLM-2013)
$m(P_{10}) =$	$\frac{72\sqrt{72}}{9\pi^3} L(f_8, 3) + 2d_3$ (B-2010)
$m(P_{18}) =$	$\frac{120\sqrt{120}}{9\pi^3} L(f_{120}, 3) + \frac{14}{5}d_3$ (BFFLM-2013)
$m(P_{k^2=20})$	$2\frac{20\sqrt{20}}{4\pi^3} L(f_{20} \otimes \chi_5, 3)$ (B-2018)
$m(P_{k^2=-45})$	$\frac{6}{5}\frac{15\sqrt{15}}{2\pi^3} L(f_{15} \otimes \chi_5, 3) + \frac{d_{15}}{10}$ (B-2018)
$m(P_{k^2=-12})$	$\frac{36}{2\pi^3} (L(f_{36}, 3) + L(g_{36}, 3)) + \text{Dirichlet } L\text{-series}$ (B-2022)

# The $L$ -series of $Y_{k^2=-45}$ and $Y_{k^2=-12}$

$$(1) \quad L(Y_{k^2=-45}, 3) = L(f_{15} \otimes \chi_5, 3)$$

$$(2) \quad L(Y_{k^2=-12}, 3) = L(f_{36} \otimes \chi_3, 3)$$

To compute these  $L$ -series we apply the lemma. Thus we need

- an elliptic fibration with Weierstrass equation defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;
- the  $r$  infinite sections generating the Mordell-Weil lattice

For both Weierstrass equations defining  $Y_{k^2=-45}$  and  $Y_{k^2=-12}$  we get  $r = 2$ . For both, from results of **Bertin and Lecacheux**, we obtain one infinite section.

In the first case,  $Y_{k^2=-45}$  is the Kummer surface of another surface  $Z_{-3}$  since  $T_{Z_{-3}} = [4 \ 1 \ 4]$ . Thus there exists a 2-isogeny between the surface and its Kummer.

Since  $Z_{-3}$  has an elliptic fibration with  $r = 0$  its  $L$ -series can be easily computed and gives (1).

# Proof of (2)

$Y_{k^2=-12}$  has an elliptic fibration with Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 - (t^3 + 3t^2 - 6t + 4)x^2 + t^3x$$

with two infinite sections

$$(1, (t-1)\sqrt{-3}) \text{ from (B-L)}, \left( \left( \frac{t-4}{t+2} \right)^2, \frac{3(t^2-16)t(t-1)}{(t+2)^3} \right) \text{ (Sage)}$$

One infinite section defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  and the other over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The  $A(p)$  are computed using the Pari order

$$A(p) = -\text{sum}(t = 2, p-1, \text{ellak}(e(t), p)) - \text{kroncker}(-3, p)p$$

$$-p\text{-kroncker}(-1, p)$$

## Proof of (2)

Now we must compare to the  $\alpha(p)$  given by the CM newform of level 36 and weight 3 (36.3.d.a in LMFDB)

$$f_{36}(q) = q - 2q^2 + 4q^4 + 8q^5 - 8q^8 - 16q^{10} - 10q^{13} + 16q^{16} - 16q^{17} + 32q^{20} + 39q^{25}$$

p	5	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47	53
$\alpha(p)$	-8	0	-10	-16	0	0	-40	0	-70	80	0	0	56
A(p)	-8	0	-10	16	0	0	40	0	-70	-80	0	0	-56

Hence

$$L(Y_{k^2=-12}, 3) = L(f_{36} \oplus \chi_{-3}, 3)$$

where  $\chi_{-3}(3n) = 0$ ,  $\chi_{-3}(3n+1) = 1$ ,  $\chi_{-3}(3n+2) = -1$

$$m(P_{k^2=-12}) = \frac{36}{2\pi^3} (L(f_{36}, 3) + L(g_{36})) + \text{Dirichlet L-series}$$

For  $k^2 = -12$  we get  $\tau = \frac{-1+i}{2}$ . We must write  $m(P_{k^2=-12})$  as a modular part and a Dirichlet part.

$$m(P_{k^2=-12}) = \frac{36 \times 6}{12\pi^3} \sum_{(k,m) \neq (0,0)} \frac{4m^2 - 2k^2 + 2km}{(5m^2 - 2km + 2k^2)^3} + \frac{k^2 - 9m^2}{(k^2 + 9m^2)^3} + D$$

$$D = \frac{9}{\pi^3} \sum_{(k,m) \neq (0,0)} \frac{-1}{(5m^2 - 2km + 2k^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(k^2 + 9m^2)^2}$$

that is

$$m(P_{k^2=-12}) = \frac{36}{2\pi^3} (L(f_{36}, 3) + L(g_{36}, 3)) + D$$



$g_{36}$  is the weight 3 modular form for  $\Gamma_0(4)$

$$g_{36} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}} (k^2 - 9m^2) q^{k^2 + 9m^2}$$

It is the Rankin-Cohen bracket  $[\theta_1, \theta_9] := \frac{1}{2}\theta_1\theta_9' - \frac{1}{2}\theta_1'\theta_9$   
where  $\theta_a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{an^2}$

$$D = \frac{4}{3}d_3 + \frac{7}{27}d_4$$

where the Dirichlet  $L$ -series is defined by

$$d_f = \frac{f\sqrt{f}}{4\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi_{-f}(n)}{n^2}$$