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### 1.4 Proof of the irreducibility of the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem \%. Let $f \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ be an irreducible factor of $\Phi_{n}$ and let $g$ satisfy $f g=\Phi_{n}$. Our goal is to prove $f=\Phi_{n}$ and $g=1$.

Since $\Phi_{n}$ is monic, the same is true for $f$ and $g$. Let $\zeta$ be a root of $f$ in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $p$ be a prime number which does not divide $n$. Since $\zeta^{p}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity, it is a zero of $\Phi_{n}$.

The first and main step of the proof is to check that $f\left(\zeta^{p}\right)=0$. If $\zeta^{p}$ is not a root of $f$, then it is a root of $g$. We assume $g\left(\zeta^{p}\right)=0$ and we shall reach a contradiction.

Since $f$ is irreducible, $f$ is the minimal polynomial of $\zeta$, hence from $g\left(\zeta^{p}\right)=0$ we infer that $f(X)$ divides $g\left(X^{p}\right)$. Write $g\left(X^{p}\right)=f(X) h(X)$ and consider the morphism $\Psi_{p}$ of reduction modulo $p$ already introduced in (9):

$$
\Psi_{p}: \mathbf{Z}[X] \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p}[X] .
$$

Denote by $F, G, H$ the images of $f, g, h$. Recall that $f g=\Phi_{n}$ in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$, hence $F(X) G(X)$ divides $X^{n}-1$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}[X]$. The assumption that $p$ does not divide $n$ implies that $X^{n}-1$ has no square factor in $\mathbf{F}_{p}[X]$.

Let $P \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ be an irreducible factor of $F$. From $G\left(X^{p}\right)=F(X) H(X)$ it follows that $P(X)$ divides $G\left(X^{p}\right)$. But $G \in \mathbf{F}_{p}[X]$, hence (see Lemma 17) $G\left(X^{p}\right)=G(X)^{p}$ and therefore $P$ divides $G(X)$. Now $P^{2}$ divides the product $F G$, which is a contradiction.

We have checked that for any root $\zeta$ of $f$ in $\mathbf{C}$ and any prime number $p$ which does not divide $n$, the number $\zeta^{p}$ is again a root of $f$. By induction on the number of prime factors of $m$, it follows that for any integer $m$ with

[^0]$\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ the number $\zeta^{m}$ is a root of $f$. Now $f$ vanishes at all the primitive roots of unity, hence $f=\Phi_{n}$ and $g=1$.

## 2 Error correcting codes

### 2.1 Preliminary definitions

A code of length $n$ on a finite alphabet $A$ with $q$ elements is a subset $\mathcal{C}$ of $A^{n}$. A word is an element of $A^{n}$, a codeword is an element of $\mathcal{C}$.

A linear code over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ of length $n$ and dimension $r$ is a $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-vector subspace of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ (such a code is also called a $(n, r)-$ code). A subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ can be described by giving a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, so that

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{m_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+m_{r} e_{r} ;\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{r}\right\} .
$$

An alternative description of a subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ of codimension $n-r$ is by giving $n-r$ linearly independent linear forms $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-r}$ in $n$ variables $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, such that

$$
\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{ker} L_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{ker} L_{n-r} .
$$

The sender replaces his message $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{r}$ of length $r$ by the longer message $m_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+m_{r} e_{r} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ of length $n$. The receiver checks whether the message $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ by computing the $n$-r-tuple $\underline{L}(\underline{x})=\left(L_{1}(\underline{x}), \ldots, L_{n-r}(\underline{x})\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{n-r}$. If there is no error during the transmission, then $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $L_{1}(\underline{x})=\cdots=L_{n-r}(\underline{x})=0$. On the opposite, if the receiver observes that some $L_{i}(\underline{x})$ is non-zero, he knows that the received message has at least one error. The message with was sent was an element $\underline{c}$ of the code $\mathcal{C}$, the message received $\underline{x}$ is not in $\mathcal{C}$, the error is $\underline{\epsilon}=\underline{x}-\underline{c}$. The values of $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ may enable him to correct the errors in case there are not too many of them. We only give examples today. For simplicity we take $q=2$ : we consider binary codes.

### 2.2 Examples

Trivial codes of length $n$ are $\mathcal{C}=\{0\}$ of dimension 0 and $\mathcal{C}=\mathbf{F}_{q}^{n}$ of dimension $n$.

The two first examples below are repetition codes. The next one is a parity bit code detecting one error. The following ones use the parity bit idea but are 1 -error correcting codes.

Example 22. $n=2, r=1$, rate $=1 / 2$, detects one error.

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{(0,0),(1,1)\}, \quad e_{1}=(1,1), \quad L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}
$$

Example 23. $n=3, r=1$, rate $=1 / 3$, corrects one error.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}=\{(0,0,0),(1,1,1)\}, \quad e_{1}=(1,1,1), \\
L_{1}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{3}, L_{2}(\underline{x})=x_{2}+x_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the message which is received is correct, it is either $(0,0,0)$ or $(1,1,1)$, and the two numbers $L_{1}(\underline{x})$ and $L_{2}(\underline{x})$ are $0\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. If there is exactly one mistake, then the message which is received is either one of

$$
(0,0,1),(0,1,0),(1,0,0)
$$

or else one of

$$
(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)
$$

In the first case the message which was sent was $(0,0,0)$, in the second case it was $(1,1,1)$.

A message with a single error is obtained by adding to a codeword one of the three possible errors

$$
(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)
$$

If the mistake was on $x_{1}$, which means that $\underline{x}=\underline{c}+\underline{\epsilon}$ with $\underline{\epsilon}=(1,0,0)$ and $\underline{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ a codeword, then $L_{1}(\underline{x})=1$ and $L_{2}(\underline{x})=0$. If the mistake was on $x_{2}$, then $\underline{\epsilon}=(0,1,0)$ and $L_{1}(\underline{x})=0$ and $L_{2}(\underline{x})=1$. Finally if the mistake was on $x_{3}$, then $\underline{\epsilon}=(0,0,1)$ and $L_{1}(\underline{x})=L_{2}(\underline{x})=1$. Therefore the three possible values for the pair $\underline{L}(\underline{x})=\left(L_{1}(\underline{x}), L_{2}(\underline{x})\right)$ other than $(0,0)$ correspond to the three possible positions for a mistake. We shall see that this is a perfect one error correcting code.

Example 24. $n=3, r=2$, rate $=2 / 3$, detects one error.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right) ;\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
e_{1}=(1,0,1), e_{2}=(0,1,1), \quad L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the easiest example of the bit parity check.

Example 25. $n=5, r=2$, rate $=2 / 5$, corrects one error.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right) ;\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
e_{1}=(1,0,1,0,1), e_{2}=(0,1,0,1,1) \\
L_{1}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{3}, L_{2}(\underline{x})=x_{2}+x_{4}, L_{3}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

The possible values for the triple $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ corresponding to a single error are displayed in the following table.

| $\underline{x}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ | $(1,0,1)$ | $(0,1,1)$ | $(1,0,0)$ | $(0,1,0)$ | $(0,0,1)$ |

Therefore when there is a single error, the value of $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ enables one to correct the error.

One may observe that a single error will never produce the triple $(1,1,0)$ nor $(1,1,1)$ for $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ : there are 8 elements $\underline{x} \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{5}$ which cannot be received starting from a codeword and adding at most one mistake, namely $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}+1, x_{2}+1, x_{5}\right)$, with $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{3}$.

Example 26. $n=6, r=3$, rate $=1 / 2$, corrects one error.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{2}+m_{3}, m_{1}+m_{3}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right) ;\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{3}\right\} \\
e_{1}=(1,0,0,0,1,1), e_{2}=(0,1,0,1,0,1), e_{3}=(0,0,1,1,1,0) \\
L_{1}(\underline{x})=x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}, L_{2}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{5}, L_{3}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

The possible values for the triple $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ corresponding to a single error are displayed in the following table.

| $\underline{x}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ | $(0,1,1)$ | $(1,0,1)$ | $(1,1,0)$ | $(1,0,0)$ | $(0,1,0)$ | $(0,0,1)$ |

Therefore when there is a single error, the value of $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ enables one to correct the error.

One may observe that a single error will never produce the triple $(1,1,1)$ for $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ : there are 8 elements $\underline{x} \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{5}$ which cannot be received starting from a codeword and adding at most one mistake, namely:

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{2}+x_{3}+1, x_{1}+x_{3}+1, x_{1}+x_{2}+1\right) \quad \text { with } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{3}
$$

Example 27 (Hamming Code of dimension 4 and length 7 over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ ). $n=7, r=4$, rate $=7 / 4$, corrects one error.
$\mathcal{C}$ is the set of

$$
\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{4}, m_{1}+m_{3}+m_{4}, m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{7}
$$

where $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)$ ranges over $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{4}$. A basis of $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1}=(1,0,0,0,1,1,0), & e_{2}=(0,1,0,0,1,0,1), \\
e_{3}=(0,0,1,0,0,1,1), & e_{4}=(0,0,0,1,1,1,1)
\end{array}
$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ is also the intersection of the hyperplanes defined as the kernels of the linear forms
$L_{1}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}+x_{5}, L_{2}(\underline{x})=x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{6}, L_{3}(\underline{x})=x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{7}$.
This corresponds to the next picture from
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamming_code


Hamming $(7,4)$ code

The possible values for the triple $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ corresponding to a single error are displayed in the following table.

| $\underline{x}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{L}(\underline{x})$ | $(1,1,0)$ | $(1,0,1)$ | $(0,1,1)$ | $(1,1,1)$ | $(1,0,0)$ | $(0,1,0)$ | $(0,0,1)$ |

This table gives a bijective map between the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ of indices of the unique wrong letter in the word $\underline{x}$ which is received with a single mistake on the one hand, the set of values of the triple

$$
\underline{L}(\underline{x})=\left(L_{1}(\underline{x}), L_{2}(\underline{x}), L_{3}(\underline{x})\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{3} \backslash\{0\}
$$

on the second hand. This is a perfect 1 -error correcting code.
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