

Updated: June 9, 2010

## Diophantine approximation, irrationality and transcendence

*Michel Waldschmidt*

Course N°15, June 7, 2010

These are informal notes of my course given in April – June 2010 at IMPA (*Instituto Nacional de Matematica Pura e Aplicada*), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

We complete the proof of the transcendence of  $e$ , following Hermite.  
We shall substitute 1 to  $x$  in the relations

$$Q(x)e^{\mu x} = P_{\mu}(x) + R_{\mu}(x)$$

and deduce simultaneous rational approximations  $(p_1/q, p_2/q, \dots, p_m/q)$  to the numbers  $e, e^2, \dots, e^m$ . In order to use Proposition 14, we need to have independent such approximations. This is a subtle point which Hermite did not find easy to overcome, according to his own comments: we quote from p. 77 of [3]

*Mais une autre voie conduira à une démonstration plus rigoureuse*

The following approach is due to K. Mahler, we can view it as an extension of the simple non-vanishing argument used in § 8.1.3 for the irrationality of  $\pi$ .

We fix integers  $n_0, \dots, n_m$ , all  $\geq 1$ . We set  $N = n_0 + \dots + n_m$ . For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$  we denote by  $Q_j, P_{j1}, \dots, P_{jm}$  the Hermite-Padé polynomials attached to the parameters

$$n_0 - \delta_{j0}, n_1 - \delta_{j1}, \dots, n_m - \delta_{jm},$$

where  $\delta_{ji}$  is Kronecker's symbol

$$\delta_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

These parameters are said to be *contiguous* to  $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_m$ . They are the rows of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_0 - 1 & n_1 & n_2 & \cdots & n_m \\ n_0 & n_1 - 1 & n_2 & \cdots & n_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ n_0 & n_1 & n_2 & \cdots & n_m - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are going to use the previous results, but one should notice that the sum of the parameters on each row is now  $N' = N - 1$ , not  $N$  as before.

**Proposition 148.** *There exists a non-zero constant  $c$  such that the determinant*

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} Q_0 & P_{10} & \cdots & P_{m0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_m & P_{1m} & \cdots & P_{mm} \end{vmatrix}$$

is the monomial  $cx^{mN}$ .

*Proof.* The matrix of degrees of the entries in the determinant defining  $\Delta$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} N - n_0 & N - n_1 - 1 & \cdots & N - n_m - 1 \\ N - n_0 - 1 & N - n_1 & \cdots & N - n_m - 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ N - n_0 - 1 & N - n_1 - 1 & \cdots & N - n_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $\Delta$  is a polynomial of exact degree  $N - n_0 + N - n_1 + \cdots + N - n_m = mN$ , the leading coefficient arising from the diagonal. This leading coefficient is  $c = c_0 c_1 \cdots c_m$ , where  $c_0$  is the leading coefficient of  $Q_0$  and  $c_\mu$  is the leading coefficient of  $P_{\mu\mu}$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ .

It remains to check that  $\Delta$  has a multiplicity at least  $mN$  at the origin. Linear combinations of the columns yield

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_0(x) & P_{10}(x) - e^x Q_0(x) & \cdots & P_{m0}(x) - e^{mx} Q_0(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_m(x) & P_{1m}(x) - e^x Q_m(x) & \cdots & P_{mm}(x) - e^{mx} Q_m(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Each  $P_{\mu j}(x) - e^{\mu x} Q_j(x)$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , has multiplicity at least  $N$  at the origin, because for each contiguous triple  $(1 \leq j \leq m)$  we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (n_i - \delta_{ji}) = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_m - 1 = N - 1.$$

Looking at the multiplicity at the origin, we can write

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_0(x) & \mathcal{O}(x^N) & \cdots & \mathcal{O}(x^N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_m(x) & \mathcal{O}(x^N) & \cdots & \mathcal{O}(x^N) \end{vmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 148.  $\square$

Now we fix a sufficiently large integer  $n$  and we use the previous results for  $n_0 = n_1 = \cdots = n_m = n$  with  $N = (m+1)n$ . We define, for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , the integers  $q_j, p_{1j}, \dots, p_{mj}$  by

$$(n-1)!q_j = Q_j(1), \quad (n-1)!p_{\mu j} = P_{\mu j}(1), \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq m).$$

**Proposition 149.** *There exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  independent on  $n$  such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq \mu \leq m} \max_{0 \leq j \leq m} |q_j e^\mu - p_{\mu j}| \leq \frac{\kappa^n}{n!}.$$

Further, the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} q_0 & p_{10} & \cdots & p_{m0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_m & p_{1m} & \cdots & p_{mm} \end{vmatrix}$$

is not zero.

*Proof.* Recall Hermite's formulae in Proposition 146:

$$Q_j(x)e^{\mu x} - P_{\mu j}(X) = x^{mn} e^{\mu x} \int_0^\mu e^{-xt} f_j(t) dt, \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq m),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(t) &= (t-j)^{-1} (t(t-1) \cdots (t-m))^n \\ &= (t-j)^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ i \neq j}} (t-i)^n. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute 1 to  $x$  and we divide by  $(n-1)!$ :

$$q_j e^\mu - p_{\mu j} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (Q_j(1)e^\mu - P_{\mu j}(1)) = \frac{e^\mu}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\mu e^{-t} f_j(t) dt.$$

Now the integral is bounded from above by

$$\int_0^\mu e^{-t} |f_j(t)| dt \leq m \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \mu} |f_j(t)| \leq m^{1+(m+1)n}.$$

Finally the determinant in the statement of Proposition 149 is

$$\frac{\Delta(1)}{(n-1)!^{m+1}},$$

where  $\Delta$  is the determinant of Proposition 148. Hence it does not vanish since  $\Delta(1) \neq 0$ . □

Since  $\kappa^n/n!$  tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity, we may apply the criterion for linear independence Proposition 14. Therefore the numbers  $1, e, e^2, \dots, e^m$  are linearly independent, and since this is true for all integers  $m$ , Hermite's Theorem on the transcendence of  $e$  follows.

**Exercise 8.** Using Hermite's method as explained in § 8.3, prove that for any non-zero  $r \in \mathbf{Q}(i)$ , the number  $e^r$  is transcendental.

**Exercise 9.** Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $\epsilon > 0$  a real number. Show that there exists  $q_0 > 0$  such that, for any tuple  $(q, p_1, \dots, p_m)$  of rational integers with  $q > q_0$ ,

$$\max_{1 \leq \mu \leq m} \left| e^\mu - \frac{p_\mu}{q} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q^{1+(1/m)+\epsilon}}.$$

Check that it is not possible to replace the exponent  $1 + (1/m)$  by a smaller number.

**Hint.** Consider Hermite's proof of the transcendence of  $e$  (§ 8.3.2), especially Proposition 149. First check (for instance, using Cauchy's formulae)

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq m} \frac{1}{k!} |D^k f_j(\mu)| \leq c_1^n,$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive real number which does not depend on  $n$ . Next, check that the numbers  $p_j$  and  $q_{\mu j}$  satisfy

$$\max\{q_j, |p_{\mu j}|\} \leq (n!)^m c_2^m$$

for  $1 \leq \mu \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , where again  $c_2 > 0$  does not depend on  $n$ .

Then repeat the proof of Hermite in § 8.3 with  $n$  satisfying

$$(n!)^m c_3^{-2mn} \leq q < ((n+1)!)^m c_3^{-2m(n+1)},$$

where  $c_3 > 0$  is a suitable constant independent on  $n$ . One does not need to compute  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  in terms of  $m$ , one only needs to show their existence so that the proof yields the desired estimate.

## References

- [1] C. BREZINSKI, *History of continued fractions and Padé approximants*, vol. 12 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.  
<http://www.emis.de/cgi-bin/MATH-item?0714.01001>.
- [2] N. I. FEL'DMAN & Y. V. NESTERENKO – *Transcendental numbers*, in *Number Theory, IV*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 44, Springer, Berlin, 1998, p. 1–345.
- [3] C. HERMITE, *Sur la fonction exponentielle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 77 (1873), pp. 18–24, 74–79, 226–233, 285–293. Œuvres de Charles Hermite, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, (1905), III, 150–181. See also *Oeuvres* III, 127–130, 146–149, and *Correspondance Hermite-Stieltjes*, II, lettre 363, 291–295. University of Michigan Historical Math Collection  
<http://name.umd1.umich.edu/AAS7821.0001.001>.
- [4] I. NIVEN – *Irrational numbers*, Carus Math. Monographs 11 (1956).
- [5] C.L. SIEGEL – *Transcendental Numbers*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 16. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1949.

## 9 Interpolation

### 9.1 Weierstraß question

Weierstraß (see [3]) initiated the question of investigating the set of algebraic numbers where a given transcendental entire function  $f$  takes algebraic values.

Denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  the *field of algebraic numbers* (algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ ). For an entire function  $f$ , we define the *exceptional set*  $S_f$  of  $f$  as the set of algebraic numbers  $\alpha$  such that  $f(\alpha)$  is algebraic:

$$S_f := \{\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}; f(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}\}.$$

For instance, the Hermite–Lindemann’s Theorem on the transcendence of  $\log \alpha$  and  $e^\beta$  for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  algebraic numbers is the fact that the exceptional set of the function  $e^z$  is  $\{0\}$ . Also, the exceptional set of  $e^z + e^{1+z}$  is empty, by the Theorem of Lindemann–Weierstrass. The exceptional set of functions like  $2^z$  or  $e^{i\pi z}$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ , as shown by the Theorem of Gel’fond and Schneider.

The exceptional set of a polynomial is  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  if the polynomial has algebraic coefficients, otherwise it is finite. Also, any finite set of algebraic numbers is the exceptional set of some entire function: for  $s \geq 1$  the set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$  is the exceptional set of the polynomial  $\pi(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_s) \in \mathbf{C}[z]$  and also of the transcendental entire function  $(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_s)e^{z - \alpha_1}$ . Assuming Schanuel’s conjecture, further explicit examples of exceptional sets for entire functions can be produced, for instance  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  or  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

The study of exceptional sets started in 1886 with a letter of Weierstrass to Strauss. This study was later developed by Strauss, Stäckel, Faber – see [3]. Further results are due to van der Poorten, Gramain, Surroca and others (see [1, 5]).

Among the results which were obtained, a typical one is the following: *if  $A$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  and if  $E$  is a dense subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ , there exist transcendental entire functions  $f$  mapping  $A$  into  $E$ .*

Also, van der Poorten noticed in [4] that there are transcendental entire functions  $f$  such that  $D^k f(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and all algebraic  $\alpha$ .

The question of possible sets  $S_f$  has been solved in [2]: *any set of algebraic numbers is the exceptional set of some transcendental entire function.* Also multiplicities can be included, as follows: define the *exceptional set with multiplicity* of a transcendental entire function  $f$  as the subset of  $(\alpha, t) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $f^{(t)}(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Here,  $f^{(t)}$  stands for the  $t$ -th derivative of  $f$ , which we denote also by  $D^t f$ .

Then any subset of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is the exceptional set with multiplicities of some transcendental entire function  $f$ . More generally, the main result of [2] is the following:

*Let  $A$  be a countable subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ . For each pair  $(\alpha, s)$  with  $\alpha \in A$ , and  $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $E_{\alpha, s}$  be a dense subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then there exists a transcendental entire function  $f$  such that*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^s f(\alpha) \in E_{\alpha, s} \quad (150)$$

*for all  $(\alpha, s) \in A \times \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .*

One may replace  $\mathbf{C}$  by  $\mathbf{R}$ : it means that one may take for the sets  $E_{\alpha, s}$  dense subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ , provided that one requires  $A$  to be a countable subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

The proof is a construction of an interpolation series on a sequence where each  $w$  occurs infinitely often. The coefficients of the interpolation series are selected recursively to be sufficiently small (and nonzero), so that the sum  $f$  of the series is a transcendental entire function.

This process yields uncountably many such functions. Further, one may also require that they are algebraically independent over  $\mathbf{C}(z)$  together with their derivatives. Furthermore, at the same time, one may request further restrictions on each of these functions  $f$ . For instance, given any transcendental function  $g$  with  $g(0) \neq 0$ , one may require  $|f|_R \leq |g|_R$  for all  $R \geq 0$ .

As a very special case of 150 (selecting  $A$  to be the set  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  of algebraic numbers and each  $E_{\alpha, s}$  to be either  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  or its complement in  $\mathbf{C}$ ), one deduces the existence of uncountably many algebraically independent transcendental entire functions  $f$  such that any Taylor coefficient at any algebraic point  $\alpha$  takes a prescribed value, either algebraic or transcendental.

**Exercise 10.** . Check that a consequence of the main result (150) of [2] is the following.

*Let  $A$  be a countable subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ . For any non negative integer  $s$  and any  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $E_{\alpha s}$  be a dense subset in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $g$  be a transcendental entire function with  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a set  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  of entire functions, with  $I$  a set having the power of continuum, with the following properties.*

- *For any  $i \in I$ , any  $\alpha \in A$  and any integer  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f_i^{(s)}(\alpha) \in E_{\alpha s}$ .*
- *For any  $i \in I$  and any real number  $r \geq 0$ ,  $|f_i|_r \leq |g|_r$ .*
- *The functions  $f_i^{(s)}$ , ( $i \in I$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ) are algebraically independent over  $\mathbf{C}(z)$ .*

**Hint.** Use (150) with  $A$  replaced by  $A \cup \{z_1, z_2\}$ , where  $z_1, z_2$  are two algebraically independent complex numbers which do not belong to  $A$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , set  $E_{z_1, s} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . If there is a non-trivial relation of algebraic dependence among some of the functions  $f_i^{(s)}$ , then there is such a relation with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}(z_1)$ . Next select a set of numbers  $x_{i, s}$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , having the power of continuum, which are algebraically independent over  $\mathbf{Q}(z_1, z_2)$  – it is easy to give explicit examples with Liouville numbers. To produce  $f_i$ , set  $E_{z_2, s} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}x_{i, s} \setminus \{0\}$ .

## References

- [1] F. GRAMAIN, *Fonctions entières arithmétiques*, in Séminaire d'analyse 1985–1986 (Clermont-Ferrand, 1985–1986), Univ. Clermont-Ferrand II, Clermont, 1986, pp. 9, Exp. No. 9.
- [2] J. HUANG, D. MARQUES, AND M. MEREB, *Algebraic values of transcendental functions at algebraic points*.  
<http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2766>, 2008.
- [3] K. MAHLER, *Lectures on transcendental numbers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 546.
- [4] A. J. VAN DER POORTEN, *Transcendental entire functions mapping every algebraic number field into itself*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 8 (1968), pp. 192–193.
- [5] A. SURROCA, *Valeurs algébriques de fonctions transcendantes*, Int. Math. Res. Not., Art. ID 16834 (2006), p. 31.
- [6] M. WALDSCHMIDT, *Auxiliary functions in transcendental number theory*, Ramanujan J., 20 (2009), pp. 341–373.