SOME MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES ON $l^2$-COHOMOLOGY OF COVERING OF KÄHLER MANIFOLDS I.

P. DINGOYAN

ABSTRACT. We give methods to compute $l^2$-cohomology groups of a covering manifold obtained by removing pullback of a (normal crossing) divisor to a covering of a compact Kähler manifold.

We prove that in suitable quotient categories, these groups admits natural mixed Hodge structure whose graded pieces are given by expected Gysin maps.

1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. Let $p : \tilde{X} \to (X, \omega)$ be a Galois cover of a compact Kähler manifolds with covering group $G$. Let $N(G)$ be the (left) group Von Neumann algebra of $G$. Let $(S^{k-q,q}((\tilde{X}), d))$ be the complexes built on differential $q$-forms (resp. $(p,q)$-forms) of Sobolev class $k - p$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough). We have the following morphism of (left) $N(G)$–modules:

\[
\text{Kodaira decomposition: } H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) = H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) \oplus \frac{Imd}{Imd} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\sigma(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) = H_{\sigma(2)}^{(p,q)} \oplus \frac{Im\sigma}{Im\sigma}
\]

Hodge to De Rham spectral sequence: $H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) \Rightarrow H_{d(2)}^{p+q}(\tilde{X})$

Kähler condition: $H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) \cong \oplus_{p+q=\tau} H_{\sigma(2)}^{(p,q)}(\tilde{X})$

with $H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X})$ and $H_{\sigma(2)}^{(p,q)}(\tilde{X})$ respective harmonic spaces associated to Laplace operators $\Delta_d$ and $\Delta_\sigma$.

A torsion theory $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ on $Mod(N(G))$ (category of $N(G)$–modules), $\mathcal{T}$ is class of torsion modules, $\mathcal{F}$ class of free modules; see ?? and ??) is given by a Serre class $\mathcal{T}$ of $N(G)$–modules: $\mathcal{T}$ is a stable by extension, quotient and submodule. Then in quotient category $Mod(N(G))/\mathcal{T}$, a module $A \in \mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to $\{0\}$, a morphism $\alpha \in Hom_{N(G)}(\ldots)$ defines an inversible $[\alpha] \in Hom_{N(G)/\mathcal{T}}(\ldots)$ iff its kernel and cokernel are in $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover reduction functor $Mod(N(G)) \to Mod(N(G))/\mathcal{T}$ is exact.

Then Theorem ?? shows that interpretation of Kähler condition gives:

**Theorem 1.** Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a real torsion theory such that $\frac{Imd}{Im\sigma} \in \mathcal{T}$. Then Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence $H_{d(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) \Rightarrow H_{d(2)}^{p+q}(\tilde{X})$ degenerates at $E_1$ in quotient category $Mod(N(G))/\mathcal{T}$ and isomorphism $\oplus_{p+q=\tau} H_{\sigma(2)}^{(p,q)}(\tilde{X}) \cong H_{d(2)}^{\tau}(\tilde{X})$ in $Mod(N(G))/\mathcal{T}$ defines a $\tau$–Hodge structure on $H_{d(2)}^{\tau}(\tilde{X})$.

1.2. Recall that a mixed Hodge structure is built as successive extension of pure Hodge structures.

The purpose of this work is to put a mixed Hodge structure modulo a torsion theory (localisation at a full thick subcategory) on $l^2$–cohomology groups $H_{d(2)}(\tilde{X} \setminus D)$ when $D \subset X$ is a normal crossing divisor.

Let $p_\ast(\mathbb{R}) \to X, p_\ast(\mathbb{C}) \to X$ be the sheaves of $L^2$–direct image of locally constant function (locally isomorphic to the constant sheaf $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C})$). Let $(p_\ast(\mathbb{R}) \Omega_X(log D), W, d) \to X$ be the sheaves of $L^2$–direct image (??) of the complex of logarithmic forms with pole on $D$ with its weight filtration $W$. Theorem ?? implies

**Theorem 2.** Let $p : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a Galois covering of a compact Kähler manifold. Let $G$ be its group of deck transforms and let $N(G)$ be its von Neumann algebra.
Let $D = D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_k \to X$ be a normal crossing divisor in $X$. Let $\tau = (T, F)$ be a real torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(N(G))$ and let $\text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau$ be the quotient category.

A) Isomorphism

\[(1) \quad H^\tau(X \setminus D, p_2^*(\mathbb{C})) \cong \text{H}^\tau(X, p_2^*(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \]

(valid for any complex manifold $X$) induces a weight filtration $W$ and a Hodge filtration $F_{\text{ind}}$.

B) Assume the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequences of each $\tilde{D}_1 := p^{-1}(\cap \{x \in D_1\})$ ($\tilde{D}_0 := \tilde{X}$) degenerates in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau$:

\[(2) \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{P}(\{1, \ldots, k\}), \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, H^r_{d(2)}(\tilde{D}_1) \cong \oplus H^r_{d(2)}(\tilde{D}_1) \in \text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau. \]

Then

i) weight spectral sequence

\[(3) E_1^{p, q + n}(W) = H^n(X, p_2^*\text{Gr}_p^W \Omega_X(\log D)) \cong H^{n-p}(\cup_{I=1} \mathcal{P}(2) \tilde{D}_1) \Rightarrow H^n(X \setminus D, p_2^*(\mathbb{C})) \]

degenerates at $E_2$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau$.

ii) Hodge spectral sequence $E_1^{p, q} = H^q(X, p_2^*\Omega_X^p(\log D)) \Rightarrow H^q_{d(2)}(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D})$ degenerates at $E_1$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau$: induced filtration $F_{\text{ind}}$ and recurrent filtration $F_{\text{rec}}$ are equal in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_\tau$.

1.3. Above spectral sequences are valid on general complex manifold $X$ and may be realised through various Sobolev spaces.

From Deligne [7], one deduce quickly that category of mixed Hodge structure mod $\tau$ (see def. ??) is abelian.

We will give some details of the following basic principle in theory of mixed Hodge structures.

Degenerescence (mod some torsion theory $\tau$) of Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence of each covering $\tilde{D}_1 \to D_1$ implies degenerescence of the weight spectral sequences at $E_2$ (in simple words: It is enough to control first constituent within a torsion theory to obtain control of the whole spectral sequence).

1.4. It is a priori not clear which object becomes isomorphic to $\{0\}$ in a quotient category. If $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold, there exists a scale of torsion theories which gives non trivial mixed Hodge structure:

Let $\tau_{\text{dim}}$ be the torsion theory such that module of zero $G$–dimension is torsion. From Atiyah [?], reduced cohomology spaces are of finite $G$–dimension and $\text{dim}_{N(G)} \frac{I_{\text{md}}}{I_{\text{md}}} = 0$,

$$\text{dim}_{N(G)} \frac{I_{\text{md}}}{I_{\text{md}}} = 0.$$ Reduction of above spectral sequence to $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{\text{dim}}}$ always defines a mixed Hodge structure and isomorphism $E_2 \cong E_\infty$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{\text{dim}}}$ gives expected realisation in term of harmonic spaces and Gysin maps:

\[E_1^{p, q}(W) \cong H^{q-2p}_{d(2)}(\tilde{D}_p) \]
\[E_1^{p, -q}(F) \cong H^q_{d(2)}(\Omega_X^p(\log \tilde{D})) \]

(after a choice of hermitian metric on vector bundles $\Omega_X(\log D)$). Count of dimensions prove for example that $\text{Gr}_n^W H^p_{d(2)}(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D})$ is isomorphic (in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{\text{dim}}}$) to $H_1(T, I^2(G))$ the first (reduced) homology group of the dual CW-complex associated to $\tilde{D} \to D$.

1.5. Finer torsion theories are available: We prove in lemma ??, that for any $\alpha \in \frac{I_{\text{md}}}{I_{\text{md}}}$, there exists $r \in N(G)$ an almost isomorphism (injective with dense range) such that $r\alpha \in \frac{I_{\text{md}}}{I_{\text{md}}}$.

This is a particular case of the $T$–lemma ?? which is well known to operator algebraists.

Let $\mathcal{U}(G)$ be the ring of affiliated operator of $N(G)$: it is the quotient ring of $N(G)$ by the multiplicative set of almost isomorphism (see Lück [?](Chap. 8). Above lemma reads

$$\mathcal{U}(G) \otimes_{N(G)} \frac{I_{\text{md}}}{I_{\text{md}}} = 0.$$
Therefore working in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{/\tau_{\text{dim}}}G$ allows easy computation with Hilbert Modules (one could also work directly in category of $U(G)$–modules and associated sheaves).

1.6. Let $\mathcal{N}(G)$ be the local constant sheaf associated to $N(G)$. There is a natural exact functor $N$ from sheaves on $X$ to sheaves of $\mathcal{N}(G)$–modules given by $F \mapsto \mathcal{N}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G)} p_{U}^{*}F$. ($p_{U}$ is direct image with compact support). Let $K$ be a cohomological Hodge complex of sheaves on $X$. Then $N(K)$ view as a complexes of $\mathcal{N}(G)$–sheaves modulo $\tau_{\text{dim}}$ should be a minimal $(\tau_{\text{dim}}, \tau_{\text{dim}})$–CHMC (see ??). In this article we obtain a $(0, \gamma^{*}\tau_{G})$–CHMC, which might be view as a maximal object (??).

1.7. In last section, we treat simple examples through various choice of torsion theories.

1.8. In this article, we focus on the technical part of mixed Hodge structure modulo some torsion theory. In a subsequent paper, functoriality, geometrical and analytical applications will be given.

1.9. We give a short list of references:
- We tried to follow articles of Deligne [?], [?]. The reference books of El Zein [?], Voisin [?], and Peters Steenbrick [?] contains steps to mixed Hodge structures and we extracted from [?] presentation of this theory.
- Article of Farber [?] defines an abelian category (objects are morphisms $(f : A_{1} \to A_{2})$ of finitely generated $N(G)$–module, morphisms are the natural one) in order to deal with non closed range. According to Freyd [?], this is the smallest abelian category which contains category of finitely generated hilbertian modules. Farber already develops homological algebra for theses modules.
- However calculus in this category are not so easy (Objects are $2$–term complexes) and localisation seems more appropriate in order to use sheaf theory.
- Article of Atiyah [?], Cheeger Gromov[?], Shubin [?] give foundational results. In [?], [?] exact sequences of Fredholm complexes are studied.
- Article of Shubin [?] contains already results on existence of distributional sections with prescribed singularity. It covers (in a short format) needed analytical results on Von Neumann algebra, $G$–Fredholm operators and Sobolev spaces (see also Ma Marinescu [?]).
- Article of Eyssidieux [?] propose, following method of Farber, a construction of $L^{2}$–direct image for coherent sheaves and compute its derived category (It is also suggested in the introduction to developpe $L^{2}$–mixed Hodge structure and may be $L^{2}$–intersection cohomology).
- Article of Campana Demailly [?] defines $L^{2}$–direct image of coherent sheaf and gives functorial properties that will be used in this article.
- In Chapter 6 of Lück [?], an algebraic treatment of $L^{2}$–cohomology is given. A dimension for any (abstract) $N(G)$–module is defined, and it is proved that the ring $N(G)$ is semi hereditary (finitely generated submodule of a projective module is projective). Then subsequent results are essentially stated using dimension torsion theory.
- In chapter 8 and article of Sauer, Thom [?], torsion theory and homological algebra associated with dimension function or affiliated operators is used.

1.10. My hearty thanks go to S. Vassout and G. Skandalis for illuminating discussions on Von Neumann algebra.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. real structures.

2.1.1. Godement resolution. (See Godement [?] or Bredon [?]): Let $X$ be a topological space. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a ring and $\mathcal{R}$ be the sheaf of rings it defines. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of (left) $\mathcal{R}$–modules, let $\mathcal{C}^{*}(X, \mathcal{A}) := (\mathcal{G}_{\text{God}}^{*}(X, \mathcal{A}), d)$ be Godement resolution (see ??) p. 168) by sheaves of $\mathcal{R}$–module with differential $\mathcal{R}$–linear. Then

$$A \to \mathcal{C}^{*}(X, \mathcal{A}), \quad A \to (\mathcal{G}_{\text{God}}^{*}(X, \mathcal{A}), d)$$

are covariant additive exact functors with value in the category of differential $\mathcal{R}$–sheaf, resp. cochain $\mathcal{R}$–complexes. If $X$ is clear from the context, we write $\mathcal{C}^{*}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots$
If \((F, d)\) is a differential sheaf, let \(C(F)\) be the total complex associated to the double complex \(C_{\text{God}}^j(X, F^p)\). Let \(j : Y \rightarrow X\) be a continuous map and \((F, d)\) be a differential sheaf of \(\mathcal{R}\)–modules on \(Y\). One sets \(R_jF := j_*C(F)\).

### 2.1.2. Real Structure

Assume that \(R\) is a \(\mathcal{R}\)–algebra, then any sheaf of \(\mathcal{R}\)–modules is also a sheaf of \(\mathcal{R}\)–vector spaces so that \(A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C}\) is a sheaf of \(\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C}\)–left modules. Let \(i : A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C}\).

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
(j_*)(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow j_*(A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C}) \\
\mathcal{C}^*(X, A) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(X, A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(X, A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C})
\end{align*}
\]

are \(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}\)–isomorphisms (resp. \(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}\)–isomorphisms).}

### 2.1.3. A Real Structure on a \(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}\) Sheaf \(B\) is a \(\mathcal{R}\)–Subsheaf \(A \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B\) such that \(A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{i_{\otimes \mathbb{C}}} B\) is an isomorphism. It induces a real structure on Godement resolution.

Then \(H(X, A) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H(X, A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{C})\) is a \(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}\) isomorphism.

### 2.1.4. Let \(G\) be a discrete group with left action of \(Z[G]\). Let \(N(G) = N_1(G)\) be the left von Neumann algebra of \(G\).

Then \(\mathbb{R}[G]\)–isomorphism \(l^2(G, \mathbb{C}) \supset a \rightarrow Re(a) + i\text{Im}(a) \in l^2(G, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\) induces decompositions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(l^2(G, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}) & \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(l^2(G)) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\
\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(l^2(G, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}) & \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(l^2(G, \mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\
N_r(G, \mathbb{C}) & \simeq N_r(G, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}
\end{align*}
\]

Left action of \(G\) on \(l^2(G, \mathbb{R})\) defines a left action of \(G\) on \(\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(l^2(G, \mathbb{R}))\) and \(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(l^2(G, \mathbb{C}))\) so that \(g(m(a)) = (gm)(ga)\). Continuous invariant morphism for this action are \(N_r(G, \mathbb{R})\) and \(N_r(G, \mathbb{C})\). An element of \(\rho(f) \in N(G, \mathbb{C})\) is represented by right convolution with a function \(f \in l^1(G, \mathbb{C})\) which is moderate (Dixmier [?], Dixmier [?]).

Above isomorphism induces isomorphism \(N(G, \mathbb{C}) \simeq N(G, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\). Note that \(\mathbb{C}[G]\) is identified to a subring of \(N(G, \mathbb{C})\).

### 2.2. A T–Lemma on von Neumann Algebra

Survey on von Neumann algebra is given in Lück [?], chap.9. See also Pedersen [?], Dixmier [?].

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let \(A\) be a Von Neumann algebra on a separable Hilbert space. Let \(A_+\) be the cone of positive operator in \(A\).

1. A trace is a function \(t : A_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]\) such that if \(\lambda > 0\) and \(x, y \in A_+\) then \(t(\lambda x) = \lambda t(x)\), \(t(x + y) = t(x) + t(y)\) and for all unitary \(u \in A\), \(t(u^* xu) = t(x)\).
2. A state is a positive functional of norm one: \(\varphi \in A^\prime\) such that \(\varphi(A_+) \subset \mathbb{R}_+\) and \(\varphi(1) = 1\).
3. A trace or a state is normal if it is continuous on increasing limit of net.
4. Defines the left kernel of a trace or a state \(\varphi\) by \(L_\varphi = \{x \in A : \varphi(x^* x) = 0\}\). One says that \(\varphi\) is faithful if \(L_\varphi = 0\).
5. A faithful trace is called finite if \(t(1) < +\infty\) (and \(A\) is then called finite von Neumann algebra). It is called semi finite if \(A_+^\prime = \{y \in A_+ : t(y) < +\infty\}\) is weakly dense in \(A_+\). Then for all \(x \in A_+\), \(t(x) = \sup_{y \leq x, y \in A_+^\prime} t(y)\).

**Example 2.2.2.**

1. Let \(e \in l^2(G)\) be the function \(g \rightarrow \delta_e(g)\) and \(e\) the unit element. Then trace of \(n \in N_1(G)\) or \(N_1(G)\) is \(\text{tr}_{N(G)}n := < n(e), e >\).
2. Let \(Tr_H\) be the Hilbert Schmidt trace on \(B(H)\): \(Tr_H\alpha = \sum \|\alpha(e_i)\|^2\) with \((e_i)_{i \in N}\) an orthonormal basis. Then \(Tr_H \otimes \text{tr}_{N(G)}\) defines a semi-finite trace on \(B(H) \otimes N(G)\). If \(t \in B(H) \otimes N(G)\) is a positive element represented (in an orthonormal basis) by an infinite matrix \((n_{ij})_{i,j}\) of element in \(N(G)\) then \(TrT = \sum \text{tr}_{N(G)}t_{ij}\).
3) If \( \pi : A \to B(H) \) is a representation, let \( \zeta \in H \) of unit norm. Then \( x \mapsto \langle \pi(x)\zeta, \zeta \rangle \) is a positive functional of norm 1, called the vector state associated to \((\pi, H, \zeta)\).

Traces and states satisfies Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:
\[
|\varphi(y^*x)|^2 \leq \varphi(x^*x) \varphi(y^*y) \quad \text{on} \quad A_2^\zeta = \{ x \in A : \varphi(x^*x) < +\infty \}.
\]
Therefore, left kernel \( L_\varphi \) of \( \varphi \) is a linear space. Define a scalar product on \( A_2^\zeta/L_\varphi \) (wich is equal to \( A/\ker \varphi \) is a state) by \( (\zeta, \zeta') := \varphi(y^*x) \) with \( x \mapsto \zeta \) be the quotient map.

The GNS (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal) construction is obtain by completion of this pre-Hilbert space (see Pedersen [7,3.3]):

**Lemma 2.2.3.**

1) Let \( \varphi \) be a positive functional on \( A \), then there exists a cyclic representation \((\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi)\) such that \( \varphi_\varphi = \varphi(x) \).

2) Let \( \varphi' \) be a positive functional such that \( \varphi' \leq \varphi \), then there exists a unique \( a \in \pi_\varphi(A)' \), \( 0 \leq a \leq 1 \), such that \( \varphi'(x) = (\pi_\varphi(x)a\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) \).

3) Hence \((\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi)\) is a subrepresentation of \((\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi)\).

4) Let \( \varphi \) be the vector state associated to \((\pi, H, \zeta)\), then \( A/\ker \varphi \ni x \mapsto x\zeta \in H \) defines a \( A \)-linear isometry between \((\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi)\) and \((\pi, \pi(A)^H, \zeta)\).

5) Assume that \( \varphi \) is a faithful state, then for any state \( \varphi' \) on \( A \), \((\pi_\varphi', H_\varphi', \zeta_\varphi')\) is a sub-representation of \((\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi)\).

Recall that a representation \((\pi, H, \zeta)\) of \( A \) is said to be cyclic if \( \pi(A)\zeta \) is dense in \( H \).

**Proof.**

1) Let \( H_\varphi \) be the Hilbert space completion of \( (A/(\ker \varphi), (..)) \). Then \( A/(\ker \varphi) \to H_\varphi \) is dense. Defines \( \pi_\varphi(x)\zeta_\varphi = \zeta_\varphi \). One checks that this defines a continuous operator \( \pi_\varphi(x) \) on \( A/(\ker \varphi) \). It extends as a continuous operator on \( H_\varphi \). Identify \( (\pi_\varphi(x)\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) = (\varphi^* xy, \zeta_\varphi) = (\zeta_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(x^*) \zeta_\varphi) \) proves that \( \pi_\varphi \) is a \( \ast \)-representation. Let \( \epsilon \) be unit of \( A \). One set \( \zeta_\varphi = \zeta \). Then orbit of \( \zeta_\varphi \) is dense in \( H_\varphi \).

2) Densely defined positive bilinear form \( B(\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) := \varphi(y^*x) \) is bounded by \( (\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) \). Friedrich extension gives a unique operator \( a \) on \( H_\varphi \) such that \( B(\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) = (a\zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) \). One checks that \( a \in \pi_\varphi(A)' \) and \( 0 \leq a \leq 1 \).

3) Let \( a^\frac{1}{2} \) be some positive square roots in \( \pi_\varphi(A)' \). Then
\[
(\pi_\varphi'=)(\zeta_\varphi', \zeta_\varphi') = (\varphi(x^* y x), \zeta_\varphi') = (\pi_\varphi(z) a^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi').
\]
Hence densely defined morphism
\[
a^\frac{1}{2} : A/(\ker \varphi') \to A/(\ker \varphi) \text{ extends as an isometric morphism } U : H_\varphi' \to H_\varphi \text{ wich interwines } \pi_\varphi' \text{ and } \pi_\varphi \text{ and such that } U(\zeta_\varphi') = a^\frac{1}{2} \zeta_\varphi.
\]
4) is clear.

5) From (2), (3), one gets a subrepresentation \( (\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) \to (\pi_\varphi+\varphi', H_\varphi+\varphi', \zeta_\varphi+\varphi') \) with dense image (for \( \varphi \) is faithful). Hence representation \( \pi_\varphi \) and \( \pi_\varphi+\varphi' \) are isomorphic. But \( \pi_\varphi' \) is a sub representation of \( \pi_\varphi+\varphi' \).

Note that Hilbert space completion is solution of a universal problem. This implies that natural boundned morphism \( A/(\ker \varphi), (..) \to (A/(\ker \varphi'), (..) \) induces a morphism \( H_\varphi \to H_\varphi' \).

**2.2.4. Standard form.** A similar statements holds for the GNS construction associated to a trace.

Note however that unitary invariance of a trace \( t \) implies that \( t(xy) = t(yx) \) on \( A_2^t \). This gives further property to associated GNS space: Let \((A, t)\) be a von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state (a continuous positive linear form \( \varphi \) such that \( \varphi(1) = 1 \) and \( \varphi \) is a normal trace). Then \( (x, y) \mapsto t(y^* x) = (x, y)_t \) is a scalar product.

Let \((\pi_t, l^2(A, t), \zeta_t)\) be the Hilbert space obtains through GNS construction and called it the standard form associated to \((A, t)\).

A vector \( \zeta \in l^2(A, t) \) defines two closed, densely defined, unbounded operators:
\[
\lambda(\zeta) : D(\lambda(\zeta)) = \zeta A' \ni \zeta x' \mapsto \zeta x' \in l^2(A, t)
\]
\[
\rho(\zeta) : D(\rho(\zeta)) = A_\zeta \ni \zeta x \mapsto x\zeta \in l^2(A, t)
\]
The isometric densely defined operator $A \ni x \to x^* \in A$ extends to $J : L^2(A,t) \to L^2(A,t)$ which is conjugate linear, isometric and involutive.

**Lemma 2.2.5.**

1) $\mathcal{H}(A) = \lambda(A)$ and $\mathcal{V}(A) = \rho(A)$ are von Neumann subalgebra on $l^2(A,t)$ such that $\mathcal{H}(A)J = \mathcal{V}(A)$ and $\mathcal{H}(A) = \mathcal{V}(A)$.

2) Let $\zeta \in l^2(A,t)$. Then $\rho(\zeta)$ is bounded if and only if $\lambda(\zeta)$ is bounded.

**Proof.**

1) see Dixmier [II] 6.2 theorem 2 and I.5.2.

2) see Dixmier [II] I.5.3.

Therefore we assume that $A$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(A,t))$ and let $A'$ acts on $L^2(A,t)$ on the right.

**Example 2.2.6.** Let $G$ be a discrete group, let $N_l(G)$, $N_r(G)$ left (resp. right) von Neumann algebra generated by left (resp. right) translation.

Then $N_l(G)' = N_r(G)$ and $N_r(G)' = N_l(G)$ (Dixmier [II] I.5.2).

If $L \in N_l(G)$, there exists a unique $l \in l^2(G)$ such that $L(f) = l * f$ (convolution product). Let $h \in l^2(G)$ then

$$\lambda(h)(f) := h * f \in l^2(G)$$

and $\rho(h)(f) := f * h \in l^2(G)$ on respective domains. Then $\rho(h)$ is bounded if $\lambda(h)$ is bounded and in this case, $\lambda(h) \in N_l(G)$, $\rho(h) \in N(G)_{tr}$ (Dixmier [III] 7.6). It is apparent on $x \to h * x * h'$ that right and left representation commute. Note that standard form of $(N_l(G), tr)$ is $(\mathcal{L}(l^2(G)), \mathbb{C})$.

Closed densely defined unbounded operator $\rho(x)$ with $x \in l^2(G)$ are particular cases of operators affiliated to $N_r(G)$:

**Definition 2.2.7.** (Murray-Von Neumann [II] chap.XVI) Let $A$ be a finite von Neumann algebra on $H$. A closed densely defined operator $h : D(h) \to H$ is said to be affiliated to $A$ if it commutes with $A'$: for all unitary $u \in A'$, $uD(h) = D(h)$ and $uh = hu$.

Then (see Pedersen [II] 5.3.10) from the bicommutant theorem, it follows that $h$ is affiliated to $A$ iff $f(h) \in A$ for every bounded Borel function on $\text{Spec}(h)$. In particular, if $h \geq 0$ then $h$ is affiliated to $A$ iff $(1 + \epsilon h)^{-1}h \in A$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

An essential property of affiliated operator to $(A, t)$, a finite von Neumann algebra, is that they form an algebra (a property valid for more general semi-finite von Neumann algebra, see Lück [II] Chap.8, Murray-Von Neumann [II] Chap. XVI, Shubin [II]):

The following lemma is a variation on the description of $N_l(G)f$ in term of affiliated operator as in Murray-Von Neumann [II] 9.2, developed as Radon-Nykodim theorems in Dye [II], Pedersen [II] 5.3:

**Lemma 2.2.8 (The $T$–lemma).** Let $(A, t)$ be a finite von Neumann algebra. Let $\pi : A \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a faithful representation of $A$ as a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Let $T$ be an operator in the commutant $A'$ of $\pi(A)$. For any $\zeta \in \text{Tr} T$, there exists $r \in A$ such that $\pi_t(r)(\zeta)$ is injective with dense range and $(r) $ in $\text{Im}(T)$.

**Proof.**

1) Assume that $H = l^2(A,t)$ and that $\rho(\zeta), T \in A'$ have dense ranges. Then $T = \rho(r)$ with $r \in A$ (??). Let $T^{-1} \circ \rho(\zeta) = up$ be the polar decomposition of operator $T^{-1} \rho(\zeta)$ affiliated to $A'$. Then $u$ is an isometry, $p$ is positive, $u, p$ are affiliated to $A'$. But $up = [u(1 + p)^{-1}p](1 + p)$. Note that $1 + p \geq 1$ hence $(1 + p)^{-1} = (1 + p)^{-1}p \in A'$. From (??), there exists $a, y \in A$, such that $(1 + p)^{-1} = \rho(a)$ and $\rho(y) = u(1 + p)^{-1}p$. Then $\rho(\zeta) \circ \rho(a) = T \circ \rho(y)$. But $\rho(a) \circ \rho(\zeta) = \rho(a, \zeta)$ and $T \circ \rho(y) = T(y)$ (identity is valid on the dense subset $A$). Then $\text{Im}(\rho(a)) = D(1 + p)$ is dense.

2) Let $\zeta \in \text{Tr} T$. Then $\pi(A) \zeta \subset \text{Tr} T$. Let $T_\perp : \text{Ker} T^\perp \to \text{Tr} T$. Then

$$T' = T_\perp \oplus \text{id}_{\text{Tr} T} : T_\perp^{\perp} \pi(A) \zeta \oplus l^2(A,t) \to \pi(A) \zeta \oplus l^2(A,t)$$

is a $A$–linear injective morphism with dense range. Moreover vector state associated to $(\zeta, 1) (x \to< \pi(x) \zeta, \zeta > + t(x))$ dominates $t$. Using GNS construction ?? (5), one deduces that there
exists $A$–isomorphism (not isometric) $U_1 : T^{-1}_r[\pi(A)] \oplus I^2(A, t) \rightarrow I^2(A, t)$ and $U_2 : [\pi(A)] \oplus I^2(A, t) \rightarrow I^2(A, t)$. Setting $\zeta = U_1(\zeta, 1)$ and $T = U_2 \circ T' \circ U_1^{-1}$, we apply (1) to conclude. \hfill \qed

In particular, if $x \in I^2(G)$, conductor of $\rho(x) \in \mathcal{U}(G)$ to $\mathcal{N}_r(G)$ is non trivial.

2.3. $N(G)$–Hilbert Modules and von Neumann dimension. A (left) $N(G)$–Hilbert module is a Hilbert space $V$ with an unitary action $U(.)$ of $G$ such that $V$ is $G$–isometric to a $G$ invariant subspace of the free Hilbert $N(G)$–module $H \otimes I^2(G)$. Then the von Neumann generated by $\{U(.)\}$ is isomorphic to $N_i(G)$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H < +\infty$, then $V$ is said to be finitely generated.

Example 2.3.1. (see Shubin [?] section 3) If $\tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a pullback of smooth vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ under a $G$–covering map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, then space $W^s(\tilde{X}, \tilde{E})$ of section of $\tilde{E}$ with coefficient in Sobolev space are $N(G)$–Hilbert modules.

If $V$ is embedded as a closed $G$–invariant subset of $H \otimes I^2(G)$, let $P \in \mathcal{N}_r(G) \otimes B(H)$ be its projector. Defines $\dim_{N(G)} V := TrP$.

A left $N(G)$–Hilbert submodule $V$ of $I^2(G)$ is defined by a $G$–equivariant orthogonal projection $p$ onto $V$. Then $p$ is identified with a matrix $(p_{ij}) \in M_n(N(G))$ and $\dim_{N(G)} V = tr_{N(G)} p := \sum_{i=1}^n tr_{N(G)} p_{ii}$.

A finitely generated projective $N(G)$–module is represented by an idempotent matrix $A \in M_n(N(G))$. Then $\dim_{N(G)} P := tr_{N(G)} A$. Let $M \in \text{Mod}(N(G))$. In Lück [?] Chap. 6 a dimension function on $\text{Mod}(N(G))$ is defined:

$$\dim_{N(G)} M := \sup \{ \dim_{N(G)} P : \forall P \in N \text{ a finitely generated projective submodule} \}$$

Then if $V$ is a Hilbert $N(G)$–module the two dimension functions agree: both of them satisfies dimension is supremum over finite dimensional subspaces (Lück [?] p.21 th.1.12 and th. 6.24).

2.4. Localisation at a Torsion theory.

Definition 2.4.1. A Serre subcategory $\mathcal{T}$ of an abelian category $A$ is a full subcategory $\mathcal{T}$ of $A$ such that for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $A$, then

$$B \in \mathcal{T} \iff A \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{T}.$$ 

2) In category $\text{Mod}(R)$ of $R$–modules over a ring $R$, a Serre class $\mathcal{T}$ defines a hereditary torsion theory $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ on $R$ (Vas [?], Golan [?]): Module in $\mathcal{T}$ are torsion modules. Defines class of free modules $\mathcal{F} = \{ F \in \text{Mod}(R) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}, \text{Hom}_R(T, F) = 0 \}.$

Lemme 2.4.2. Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a hereditary torsion theory, then

1) $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under submodules, direct products and extension.

2) Any $M \in \text{Mod}(R)$ has a unique maximal $\tau$–torsion submodule, denoted $T_\tau(M)$.

3) A hereditary torsion theory is cogenerated by an injective module $E$: $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{T} \ni S \iff \text{Hom}_R(C, E) = 0$.

4) Functor $T_\tau(.) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a left exact functor ($N \subset M$ implies that $T_\tau(M) \cap N = T_\tau(N)$).

Proof.

2) Let $\{ T_i, i \in \Lambda \}$ be the set of all torsion submodule of $M$. Trivial module $\{0\}$ belongs to this set. Then $T_\tau(M) := \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$ satisfies required properties for it is a homomorphic images of $\bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$ which is torsion.

3), 4) See Golan [?] p.5 and p. 24. \hfill \qed

If $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ are torsion theories, then $\tau_1$ is smaller than $\tau_2$ ($\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$) if $T_1 \subset T_2$ iff $\mathcal{T}_1 \supset \mathcal{T}_2$.

Then if $\mathcal{C}$ is a class in $\text{Mod}(R)$, the hereditary torsion theory generated by $\mathcal{C}$ is the smallest hereditary torsion theory $\tau$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{T}$. 

2.4.3. Quotient category. According to Grothendieck [1](1.11) and Gabriel [2] Chap.3, if $\mathcal{T}$ is a Serre subcategory of an Abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a quotient category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$ with same object than $\mathcal{A}$ and obtained by extending class of isomorphism. Moreover functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$ is exact.

Definition 2.4.4. Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a hereditary torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R)$. Defines $\text{Mod}(R)/\tau$ to be the quotient category of $\text{Mod}(R)$ by the Serre class $\mathcal{T}$:

i) Object of $\text{Mod}(R)/\tau$ are identical with object of $\text{Mod}(R)$;

ii) Morphisms are elements of the following inductive limits

$$\lim\{ \text{Hom}(M', N/N') : M' \subset M, N' \subset N \text{ and } M/M', N' \in \mathcal{T} \}.$$ 

Let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\text{N}(G)}(M, N)$ and let $[\alpha]$ be its image in quotient category. Then $[\alpha]$ is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism, resp. isomorphism) iff $\ker(\alpha)$ (resp. $\cok(\alpha)$, resp. $\ker(\alpha)$ and $\cok(\alpha)$) is a torsion object.

2.4.5. Torsion theory and complexification. Let $R$ be a ring which is also an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra then $R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. If $A \in \text{Mod}(R)$ then $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A$ has a structure of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$-module through $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$-isomorphism $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Forgetful functor $\phi : \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to R \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is faithful and exact and has a left adjoint

$$(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot : \text{Hom}_{\text{R}(\mathbb{C})}(A, E) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{C}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A, E).$$

Let $J = \phi(iId)$ be automorphism in $B_R$ defined by multiplication by $i$ in $B$ and let $J_C = J \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} : B_R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to B_R \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be its complex linear extension. Let $B$ be the complex conjugate $R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$-module associated to $B$: Its underlying abelian group is $B$, and module structure is given by the following representation $\rho$ of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ in $\text{End}(B)$: $\rho(r \circ \sigma)(b) = (r \circ \sigma) \cdot b$. Then there is a natural antilinear $R$-isomorphism $B \to B$. This defines a functor on $\text{Mod}(S)$. Moreover antilinear $R$-linear map from $B$ to $B'$ are in bijection with $R \otimes \mathbb{C}$-linear map from $B$ to $\overline{B'}$ (or from $\overline{B}$ to $B'$). A $R \otimes \mathbb{C}$-isomorphism from $B$ to $B$ is equivalent with a real structure $B \simeq_{R \otimes \mathbb{C}} A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ on $B$ ($A \in \text{Mod}(R)$). This defines antilinear $R$-isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{R \otimes \mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathbb{C}, E) \simeq \text{Hom}_{R \otimes \mathbb{C}}(A \otimes \mathbb{C}, E)$.

Lemma 2.4.6. Let $B \in \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})$, then $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq_{R \otimes \mathbb{C}} B \oplus \overline{B}$.

Proof. Let $P_b = \frac{Id \mp iJ_C}{2} : B \otimes \mathbb{C} \to B \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be projectors onto eigenspaces $\{J_C = \pm iId\}$.

One checks that $B \ni b \to P_+(b \otimes 1) \in \{J_C = iId\}$ and $\overline{B} \ni b \to P_-(b \otimes 1) \in \{J_C = iId\}$ are $R \otimes \mathbb{C}$-isomorphisms.

Note that $B \ni b \to P_+(b \otimes 1) \in B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a splitting of natural surjection $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to B$. 

Definition 2.4.7. (see [2].) Let $\gamma : R \to R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be above ring extension.

i) Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then $\gamma \tau$ is the torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ such that $B \in \text{Mod}(S)$ is $\gamma \tau$-torsion iff $B \otimes R$ is $\tau$-torsion.

ii) Let $\tau_C = (\mathcal{T}_C, \mathcal{F}_C)$ be a torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$. Then $\gamma^* \tau_C$ is the torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R)$ such that $A \in \text{Mod}(R)$ is $\gamma^* \tau_C$-torsion iff $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is $\tau_C$-torsion.

One checks that if $\tau$ is cogenerated by injective $I$ (??), then $\gamma \tau$ is cogenerated by injective $I \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\gamma^* \gamma \tau = \tau$ for $I \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq_{R} I^2$. However if $\tau_C$ is a torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, $\gamma^* \tau_C$ is in general strictly smaller than $\tau_C$: $B$ torsion does not implies $\overline{B} \oplus \overline{B}$ torsion.

Definition 2.4.8. Let $\tau_C = (\mathcal{T}_C, \mathcal{F}_C)$ be a torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ with torsion functor $T_{\tau_C}$ (??). The following properties are equivalent:

i) $\gamma^* \gamma^* \tau_C = \tau_C$.

ii) There exists a torsion theory $\tau$ on $\text{Mod}(R)$ such that $\tau_C = \gamma^* \tau$.

iii) $\tau_{\tau_C}$ is stable by conjugation.

iv) $\forall B \in \text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, $T_{\tau_{\tau_C}}(B) = T_{\tau_C}(B)$.

A (hereditary) torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ is real if it satisfies one of the above properties.

Example 2.4.9. Let $\tau_C$ be any torsion theory then $\gamma^* \gamma^* \tau_C$ is a real torsion theory.
Corollary 2.5.
1) Let $(\tau, \tau_C, \tau')$ be a torsion theories on $(R, R \otimes \mathbb{C}, R)$ such that $\tau \leq \gamma^* \tau_C$ and $\tau_C \leq \gamma_* \tau'$ (iff $\mathcal{T}_\tau \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\tau_C}$ and $[\mathcal{T}_{\tau_C}]_R \subset [\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}]_R$). There exists exact functors
\begin{align*}
\bigotimes \mathbb{C} : \text{Mod}(R)_{/\tau} &\to \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{/\tau_C} \\
(\cdot)_R : \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{/\tau_C} &\to \text{Mod}(R)_{/\tau'}
\end{align*}
such that the following diagrams commute up to an equivalence of functors.
2) In particular if $\tau = \tau'$ and $\tau_C = \gamma_* \tau$, then $(\bigotimes \mathbb{C}, (\cdot)_R)$ are adjoint functors.
3) Let $(\tau', \gamma, \tau')$ be a torsion theories on $(R, R \otimes \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tau' \leq \tau$. Then there exists an exact functor from $(\text{Mod}(R)_{/\tau'}, \text{Mod}(C \otimes R)_{/\gamma, \tau'})$ to $(\text{Mod}(R)_{/\tau}, \text{Mod}(C \otimes R)_{/\gamma, \tau'})$ wich commute to tensor product up to an equivalence.

Proof. Kernel of $\text{Mod}(R) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathbb{C}} \text{Mod}(C \otimes R) \to \text{Mod}(C \otimes R)_{/\tau_C}$ is spanned by module $M$ such that $C \otimes M$ is $\tau_C$ torsion wich follows from $M$ being $\tau$ torsion. One conclude from Gabriel[?] p. 368 Cor. 2 and Cor. 3 or Faith15.9. Other assertion are proved in the same way.

Notations 2.5.1. Let $\tau$ be a (hereditary) torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C} := \gamma_* \tau$ is the real torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})$ associated to $\tau$.

Definition 2.5.2. Defines a category $\mathcal{R}$ of modules with real structure mod $\mathcal{T}$:
Objects are pair $(B, \alpha)$, $B \in \text{Mod}(C \otimes R)_{/\tau_C}$ with $\alpha : B \to C \otimes A$ an isomorphism in $\text{Mod}(C \otimes R)_{/\tau_C}$. A morphism $f : (B, \alpha) \to (B', \alpha')$ is a map $f : B \to B'$ such that there exists $g : A \to A'$ with $1_C \otimes g \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$.

Lemme 2.5.3. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is abelian.

2.6. Examples of torsion theories.

2.6.1. From Dickson[?] section 3, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of modules defines
\begin{align*}
(13) &\quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}) := \{ B \in \text{Mod}(R) : \forall C \in \mathcal{C}, \text{Hom}_R(B, C) = 0 \}, \\
(14) &\quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) := \{ B \in \text{Mod}(R) : \forall C \in \mathcal{C}, \text{Hom}_R(C, B) = 0 \}.
\end{align*}
Then torsion theory generated by $\tau_C$ is given by $\mathcal{T}_C = LR(\mathcal{C})$.

2.6.2. A multiplicative system $S$ is a subset in $R$ stable by multiplication. Then $\mathcal{T}_S = \{ M \in \text{Mod}(R) : \forall m \in M, \exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } sm = 0 \}$ defines a Serre class. We are interested in torsion theory generated by $A = \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left( \frac{1}{im f_i} \right)$ with $f_i$ a bounded morphism between $N(G)$—Hilbert module. If $A \in \text{Mod}(R)$, let
$$S = \{ r \in N(G) \text{with dense range} : \exists a \in A \text{ with } ra = 0 \} \subset \cup_{a \in A} (0 : a) .$$
Then properties of almost $G$—dense subset (see Shubin[?]or[?] Chap. XVI) imply that $S$ is a multiplicative system. A $N(G)$—module $M$ is $\tau_S = (\mathcal{T}_S, \mathcal{F}_S)$ torsion iff $\forall m \in M, \exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } sm = 0$. Note that intersection of multiplicative systems is a multiplicative system.

2.6.3. According to Lück[?] Chap. 6, there is a dimension function $\text{dim}_{N(G)} : \text{Mod}(N(G)) \to [0, +\infty]$ defined on $N(G)$—modules which is additive on short exact sequences and which coincides with Von Neumann dimension for $N(G)$—Hilbert modules.
Therefore $\mathcal{T}_{\text{dim}} = \{ M \in \text{Mod}(N(G)) : \text{dim}_{N(G)} M = 0 \}$ is a Serre class and defines a torsion theory $\tau_{\text{dim}}$ on $N(G)$. This torsion theory is real for a $N(G)$—module $P$ is projective finitely generated iff $P$ is (see??).
Standard examples of $\tau_{\dim}$ torsion module are given in [?]. Modules of the shape $A / A$ with $A$ a $G$–invariant subspace of a finite $G$–dimensional $G$–Hilbert modules are zero dimensional. This follows from the normality of the dimension function (see a proof in [??]).

2.6.4. Algebra $\mathcal{U}(G)$ of affiliated operator to $N(G)$ is studied in Murray-Von Neumann [?], Lück [?] Chap. 8. and Reich [?].

Elements $f \in \mathcal{U}(G)$ is a $G$–equivariant unbound operator $f : dom(f) \subset L^2(G) \to L^2(G)$. Let $M \in \text{Mod}(N(G))$. Defines $T_\mathcal{U}(M) := \text{Ker}(M \to \mathcal{U}(G) \otimes_{N(G)} M)$. This defines a torsion class $T_\mathcal{U}$ and torsion theory $\tau_\mathcal{U}$.

An element $m \in M$ belongs to $T_\mathcal{U}(M)$ iff there exists an $r \in N(G)$ which is a non right zero divisor (if $r$ is a weak isomorphism) such that $rm = 0$. Indeed $r$ becomes inversible in $\mathcal{U}(G)$.

According to Vas [??]p.9, a module $F \in \text{Mod}(N(G))$ is $\tau_\mathcal{U}$ torsion free iff $F$ is flat.

This torsion theory is real for $F$ is flat iff $F$ is.

Exemples of $\tau_\mathcal{U}$ torsion modules are given in [??].

2.7. Direct $L^2$ image. Let $p : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a covering map between complex manifolds. Let $G$ be the group of deck transformations.

Let $N(G) := N(G, \mathbb{C})$ be the its (left) von Neumann algebra, and let $\mathcal{N}(G)$ be the sheaf of rings it defines. According to Campana Demailly [?], if $F$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on $X$, there exists a sheaf $p_{\ast}(2)F$ called direct $L^2$ image such that $p_{\ast}(2)(.)$ is an exact functor on the category of coherent analytic sheaves to the category of sheaves (Campana Demailly [?])prop2.6). We change from notation of Campana Demailly [?]: our $p_{\ast}(2)F$ is written there $p_{\ast}(2)\tilde{F}$.

Campana Demailly [?]Cor 2.7 proves

Lemme 2.7.1. For any analytic coherent sheaf $F$, morphism $p_{\ast}(2)\Omega \otimes F \to p_{\ast}(2)F$ is an isomorphism.

This isomorphism defines on $p_{\ast}(2)F$ a structure of $\mathcal{N}(G)$– sheaf compatible with natural structure of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$–sheaf.

Definition 2.7.2. Let $K$ be a subring of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $p_{\ast}(2)K$ be the sheaf defined by the presheaf

$$U \to \{f \in L^2(\pi^{-1}(U,K) \text{ and locally constant}\}$$

Then $p_{\ast}(2)(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})$–module and $p_{\ast}(2)(\mathbb{C})$ is a $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{C})$–module.

Lemme 2.7.3. Let $D : E \to F$ be a differential operator with holomorphic coefficients between holomorphic vector bundles. Then there exists an operator $p_{\ast}(2)D : p_{\ast}(2)E \to p_{\ast}(2)F$.

Proof. In local trivialisation $\mathcal{O}^n \simeq E$, $\mathcal{O}^m \simeq F$, $D$ is given has $\sum a_I \partial_I$ with $a_I$ holomorphic function. $p_{\ast}(2)E$, and $p_{\ast}(2)F$ are $\mathcal{O}$ modules, hence It suffice to study $D = \partial_I$. But the claim is then a consequence of Cauchy inequalities.

Lemme 2.7.4.

$$0 \to p_{\ast}(2)\Omega^0 \to p_{\ast}(2)\Omega^1 \to \cdots \to p_{\ast}(2)\Omega^n \to 0$$

is well defined and exact.

Question: It seems natural to extends functor direct $L^2$–images to an exact functor from category of $D_X$ coherent modules to category of $\mathcal{N}(G)$–sheaves. So that above lemma and proof of prop. ?? will be simple consequences.

3. HODGE TO DE RHAM SPECTRAL SEQUENCE.

Let $p : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a $G$–cover. Fix a hermitian (later Kähler) metric on $X$, takes pullback metric on $\tilde{X}$. Let $\Delta$ be the maximal extension of the Hodge laplacian $dd^* + d^*d$ on complex forms. Then $A = (1 + \Delta)^{1/2}$ is a positive injective operator with dense domain. Let $A^{p,q}$ be the sheaf of currents of bidegree $(p,q)$, and let $A^k$ be the sheaf of current of degree $k$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Define Sobolev space $S^s(\tilde{X})$ to be space of current $\alpha$ such that $A^s\alpha \in L^2(\tilde{X})$. Let $U$ an open subset in
X. Define uniform (with respect to $p$) local Sobolev space $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{loc}^{p}(U) = \{ \alpha \in A^{∗}(p−1)(U), \forall \theta \in C_{c}^{∞}(U), (\theta \circ \alpha) \in S^{∗}(\tilde{X}) \}.$

Let $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2}$ be the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{loc}^{2}(π−1(U)) \cap A^{p,q}(π−1(U))$ and set $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} = \oplus_{p+q=2}p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{p+q} \cap \cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,n}}^{n}.$

Let $D : C_{c}^{∞}(X, E) \rightarrow C_{c}^{∞}(X, E')$ be a differential operator on $X,$ acting on hermitian vector bundles. Let $E_{(2)} \cap DomD$ be the sheaf generated by the presheaf $U \rightarrow L^{2}(U, E) \cap DomD$ of square summable section $\alpha$ such that $D\alpha$ is square summable.

Also $p_{∗}(2)(E \cap Domd)$ is the sheaf generated by the presheaf $U \rightarrow [E_{(2)} \cap Domd](p−1(U)).$

Note that these are sheaves of $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{C})$–modules.

**Lemma 3.0.5.**

1. $(p_{∗}(2)\Omega^{−}, d)$ is a $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{C})$–resolution of $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{C}.$
2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ +\infty \},$ then $(p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{k−n}A_{c}^{p,q}, d)$ is a $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})$–resolution of $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{R}$ and $(p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{k−n}A_{c}^{p,q}, d)$ is a $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{C})$–resolution of $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{C}.$
3. $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \simeq p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{p} \cap A^{p,q}$ is a fine $\mathcal{N}(G)$ sheaf.

**Lemma 3.0.6.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq n.$ Let $F$ be the Hodge filtration.

1. Morphism $(p_{∗}(2)\Omega^{−}, d, F) \rightarrow (p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{k−n}A_{c}^{p,q}, d, F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.
2. Following complex is exact in $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{C})$:

$$0 \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\Omega^{−} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{1} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. One filters complex $(p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \cap Domd, d)$ with the Hodge filtration. Then $(p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \cap Domd, d, F) \rightarrow (p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \cap Domd, F, d)$ is a filtered morphism.

$E_{−1}$–term of the spectral sequence of this complex is $p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{−1} \cap DomD.$

Let $f$ be a $(p, q)$–form $(q \geq 1)$ on a strictly pseudoconvex domain $U_{1} \subset \subset C_{n}$ which is in $Dom_{D} \cap Ker\tilde{D}.$ Then $f \in Dom_{\mathcal{D}}.$ From Takeghoshi [?], $f = \overline{\partial} \tilde{D}Nf + \partial \overline{\partial} Nf$ the last term is vanishing for $\overline{\partial} N = N\overline{\partial}$ on $Dom_{\mathcal{D}}.$ Hence $f = \overline{\partial}(\overline{\partial} Nf)$ and $(\overline{\partial} Nf)$ is in $H^{+1,1}_{loc}(U_{1})$ (usual Sobolev space in euclidian space) if $f$ is in $H_{loc}^{+1}(U_{1}).$

Let $U$ be an open charts of $X$ biholomorphic to some ball $B(0, 2) \subset C_{n}.$ Then $π^{−1}(U) \simeq U \times G,$ let $B(0, 1) \simeq U_{1} \subset \subset U.$ Then any $\alpha \in (p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{O}^{−} \cap Domd \cap Ker\overline{\partial})(U)$ $(q \geq 1)$ defines $(\overline{\partial} N\alpha)_{(U_{1})} \in [L^{2}(π^{−1}(U) \cap Dom\overline{\partial}) \cap p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{+1} \cap A^{p,q}](U)$ if $\alpha \in p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{p}(U).$ This proves that

$$0 \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\Omega^{−} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)(\mathcal{A}^{p,0} \cap Domd) \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)(\mathcal{A}^{p,1} \cap Domd) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)(\mathcal{A}^{p,n} \cap Domd) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\Omega^{−} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{1} \rightarrow p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{0} \rightarrow 0$$

are exacts. Hence $(p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \cap Domd, d, F) \rightarrow (p_{∗}(2)\mathcal{S}_{A^{p,q}}^{2} \cap Domd, F, d)$ is a filtered quasi isomorphism. \hfill $\square$

**3.0.7.** Defines $d_{p} : S^{k−p}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow S^{k−p−1}(\tilde{X})$ where $S^{j−p}(\tilde{X}) = \Gamma(\tilde{X}, A^{j}) \cap S^{j}(\tilde{X})$ with Sobolev norm $||\alpha|| = ||A^{j}||_{L^{2}}$.

Defines $\overline{\partial} : S^{k−q}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow S^{k−q−1}(\tilde{X})$ where $\overline{\partial}S^{j−q}(\tilde{X}) = \Gamma(\tilde{X}, A^{j}) \cap S^{j}(\tilde{X})$ with Sobolev norm $||\alpha|| = ||A^{j}||_{L^{2}}$.

Then $A^{k}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $S^{k}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow S^{j−k}(\tilde{X})$ and operator $\overline{\partial}$ and $A$ commute if the metric is Kähler.

**Definition 3.0.8** (Shubin [?], Lück [?], chap. 1).

i) Recall that a $G$–equivariant bounded operator $f : H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ is $G$–Fredholm if $\dim_{N(G)}\text{Ker}f^{∗} < +\infty$ and if $f^{∗}f = \int \lambda dE_{\lambda}$ is the spectral decomposition of $f^{∗}f,$ then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\text{Tr}_{G}E_{\lambda} = \dim_{N(G)}\text{Im}E_{\lambda} < +\infty.$

ii) A bounded complex $(L, d)$ of Hilbert $G$–modules is $G$–Fredholm if $\oplus_{i}d_{i} : \oplus_{i}L_{i} \rightarrow \oplus_{i}L_{i}$ is $G$–Fredholm.
Lemma 3.0.9 (Atiyah [?], see also Shubin [?], Lück [?]). The complexes of Hilbert modules

(15) \( (\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, A^{p,q}) \cap S^{k-q}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{D}), (\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, A^p) \cap S^{k-p}(\mathcal{X}), d) \)

are \( G\)-Fredholm.

Corollary 3.1. \( \frac{Im\partial_q}{Im\bar{\partial}_q} \) is a \( dim_{N(G)} \) torsion module.

2) \( \forall x \in \frac{Im\partial_q}{Im\bar{\partial}_q}, \exists r \in N(G) \) such that \( ker(r) = 0 \) and \( r x \in \frac{Im\partial_q}{Im\bar{\partial}_q} \), so that \( U(G) \otimes_{N(G)} \frac{Im\partial_q}{Im\bar{\partial}_q} = 0 \).

Proof.

1) Lemma 2.12 of Shubin [?] implies that \( T = \bar{\partial}_q : H_1 = Im\bar{\partial}_{q+1} \to H_2 = Im\bar{\partial}_q \) is \( G\)-Fredholm, hence lemma 1.15 of Shubin [?] implies that \( ImT \) is \( G\)-dense in its closure: \( \forall \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( L_\epsilon \subset ImT \), a closed \( G\)-invariant subspace, such that \( dim_{G} ImT \) \( \subset L_\epsilon \leq \epsilon \). In this example, one may take \( L_\epsilon := Im(\bar{\partial}_q \circ 1_{[\eta, \infty]}(D_\mathcal{M})) \) (functional calculus) with \( \eta_\epsilon > 0 \) small enough.

This is equivalent to \( dim_{N(G)} ImT = dim_{N(G)} \frac{ImT}{Im\partial_q} \) hence \( dim_{N(G)} \frac{ImT}{Im\partial_q} = 0 \).

2) Applies the \( T\)-lemma ??. \( \square \)

Analogue proof holds for elliptic morphism between vector bundles:

Theorem 3.2. Let \( (E, d) \) be an elliptic complex ( \( d \) a differential operator of order one) between vector bundles. Let \( (S^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}, p^*(E), d) \) be the associated Sobolev complex on \( p : \mathcal{X} \to X \).

1) The complex \( (S^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}, p^*(E), d) \) is \( G\)-Fredholm.

2) Module \( \frac{Imd}{Imd} \) is of \( G\)-dimension zero and \( U(G) \otimes_{N(G)} \frac{Imd}{Imd} = 0 \).

This implies that if \( d : L^2(\mathcal{X}, E) \to L^2(\mathcal{X}, F) \) acts as unbounded elliptic operator, then \( U(G) \otimes_{N(G)} \frac{Imd}{Imd} = 0 \): One uses conjugation by \( (1 + d^*)^{-1} \) and \( (1 + dd^*)^{-1} \). Example of current in negative Sobolev scale (e.g. Dirac mesure on a point) is also instructive.

Corollary 3.3 (Dodziuk [?]). Combinatorial reduced \( L^2\)-cohomology and analytical reduced \( L^2\)-cohomology are isomorphic in \( N(G)\tau_{dim} \).

Proof. Let \( (C, \delta) \) be a simplicial structure on \( X \): \( C_k \) is a collection of closed differentiable \( k \)-dimensional simplices and \( \delta \) is simplicial boundary.

If \( A \) is a sheaf on \( X \), let \( (C(A), \delta) \) be the differential sheaf defines by \( U \to \Pi_{\mathcal{S} \in C} A(S \cap U) \) (Godement [?][II.5.2]). Then \( (C(p_*(C), \delta) \) is a resolution of \( p_*(C) \) and \( (C(p_*(C), \delta) \to (C(p_*(S^{k-\cdot}(A))), \delta + d) \) is a quasi-isorphism of \( N(G) \) sheaves. Each term in these complexes are \( \Gamma(X, -)\)–acyclic, sheaves \( S^{k-\cdot}(A) \) are fine. Hence (Godement [?][II.5.2]):

\[
H^k(X, p_*(C)) \cong H^k(\Gamma(X, C(p_*(C)))) \cong H^k_{\tilde{D}(\mathcal{X}))}.
\]

Let \( (\tilde{C}, \delta) \) be the pullback simplicial structure. Then

\[
H^k(\Gamma(X, C(p_*(C)))) \cong H^k(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}), \tilde{\Gamma}(G))
\]

Reduction with respect to torsion dimension \( \tau_{dim} \) gives result for combinatorial (see ?) or analytical (see above) modules \( \frac{Imd}{Imd} \) are of vanishing dimension. \( \square \)

Let \( \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{D}(\mathcal{X})} \) be space of square integrable \( \Delta_d \)–harmonic forms and \( L^p_{\tilde{D}(\mathcal{X})} \) be space of square integrable \( \Delta_{\tilde{D}} \)–harmonic \( (p, q) \)–forms. Let \( (\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{D}(\mathcal{X})}, F) \) be the complex with trivial differential, and Hodge filtration. Then \( E^p_q = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{D}(\mathcal{X})} \) \( E^p_q, \forall r \geq 0 \), for the metric is Kähler.
Lemma 3.3.1. Assume metric is Kähler. Let $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\pi(2)}$ be the space of square integrable harmonic $(p,q)$-forms. 
1) Let $\tau$ be a torsion theory such that $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\pi(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau}$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}$. 
Then: 
i) Spectral sequence for $(\Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{*}) \cap S^{k-r}(\tilde{X}),d,F)$ in the category $\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}$ degenerates. 
Differential $d$ is strictly compatible with $F$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}$.
ii) $E^{p,q}_{\infty} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\pi(2)} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau}$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}$.
2) Dimension torsion theory fulfilled assumption of 1).

Proof. $F^{p}\Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{*}) \cap S^{k-r}(\tilde{X}) = \bigoplus_{p' + q = r, p' \geq p} \Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{p,q}) \cap S^{k-(p'+q)}(\tilde{X})$
Hence, 
$$(E^{p,q}_{0},d_{0}) \simeq_{\text{N(G,C)}} (\Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{p,q}) \cap S^{k-p-q}(\tilde{X}),\partial)$$
and 
$$(E^{p,q}_{1},d_{1}) \simeq_{\text{N(G,C)}} \frac{\ker \partial_{q} \cap \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\pi(2)} \cap \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau}}{\text{Im} \partial_{q} \cap \text{Im} \partial_{q}} \simeq_{\text{N(G,C)}} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau} \cap \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau}}{\text{Im} \partial_{q} \cap \text{Im} \partial_{q}}$$
from the Kodaira decomposition. Then $i : (\mathcal{H}_{\pi(2)},F) \rightarrow (\Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{*}) \cap S^{k-r}(\tilde{X}),d,F)$ is a morphism of filtered $N(G)$-module. Let $\tau$ be a torsion theory on $N(G,\mathbb{C})$ such that $E^{1}(i)$ is an isomorphism in $N(G,\mathbb{C})_{\tau}$. Then $E^{1}(i)$ are isomorphisms for any $r \geq 1$. But spectral sequence of $(\mathcal{H}_{\pi(2)},F)$ degenerates so that spectral sequence for $(\Gamma(\tilde{X},\Lambda^{*}) \cap S^{k-r}(\tilde{X}),d,F)$ degenerates at $E^{1}$ in $N(G,\mathbb{C})_{\tau}$.

Definition 3.3.2. Torsion theory generated by $\mathcal{C} = \{ \text{Coker}(\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\pi(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tau}), p,q \geq 0 \}$ is the smallest torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(N(G))$ which satisfies above lemma. Let $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (or $\tilde{\tau}_{\pi,\mathcal{C}}$) be the torsion theory it defines on $\text{Mod}(N(G))$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ be a Kählerian manifold and $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a $G$–covering. Let $k \geq n$.
1) i) $(p_{*}(2)\Omega,\partial), F) \rightarrow (p_{*}(2)\mathcal{S}^{k-*},\Lambda,\partial), F)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of $N(G)$–sheaves such that $\text{Gr}_{\pi(2)}\mathcal{S}^{k-*},\Lambda$ is $\Gamma$–acyclic.
ii) $(p_{*}(2)\Omega,\partial) \rightarrow (p_{*}(2)\mathcal{S}^{k-*},\Lambda,\partial)\partial$ is a quasi-isomorphism of $N(G)$–sheaves.

This last quasi isomorphism defines a real structure on $\mathbb{H}_{N(G)}(X,(p_{*}(2)\Omega,\partial), F)$ compatible with real structure given by Godement resolution and pseudo isomorphism $C p_{*}(2)C \rightarrow (p_{*}(2)\Omega,\partial), F)$.
2) Let $\tau \geq \tilde{\tau}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a torsion theory on $\text{Mod}(N(G))$. Frölicher spectral sequence 
$$H^{q}(X,p_{*}(2)\Omega^{p}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X,p_{*}(2)\Omega^{*}) \simeq_{\text{N(G,C)}} H^{p+q}(X,p_{*}(2)\mathbb{C})$$
which is isomorphic to 
$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{X}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{d(\pi(2))}(\tilde{X}),$$
degenerates in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau}$ so that $d$ is strict for $F$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau}$:
$$\text{Gr}_{d(\pi(2))}^{p}H^{p+q}(X,p_{*}(2)\mathbb{C}) \simeq_{\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}} H^{q}(X,p_{*}(2)\Omega^{p})$$
3) Assume that $\tau$ is real. Then Hodge filtration on hypercohomology $F \mathbb{H}^{k}(p_{*}(2)\Omega) = \text{Im} \mathbb{H}^{k}(F p_{*}(2)\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k}(p_{*}(2)\Omega)$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{F}$ is $k$–opposed on $\mathbb{H}^{k}(p_{*}(2)\Omega)$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G,\mathbb{C}))_{\tau}$. It defines a pure Hodge structure of weight $k$ on $\mathbb{H}^{k}(p_{*}(2)\mathbb{R})$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau}$. (see definition ??).

Proof.
1) i) Filtered quasi-isomorphism was proved in lemma ??.
ii) Second quasi-isomorphism is a consequence of \( p_\ast(2)\mathbb{C} \to (p_\ast(2)\Omega, d) \) and \( p_\ast(2)\mathbb{C} \to (p_\ast(2)S^k: A, d) \) are resolutions. But \((A_\mathbb{C}, d) = (A_\mathbb{R}, d) \otimes \mathbb{C}\) and the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
p_\ast(2)\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (p_\ast(2)\Omega, d) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(p_\ast(2)S^k: \cap A_\mathbb{R}, d) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (p_\ast(2)S^k: A_\mathbb{C}, d)
\end{array}
\]

(2) These sheaves are \( \Gamma(X, \cdot) \)-acyclic, and \( \Gamma(X, p_\ast(2)S^jA) = S^j: (\tilde{X}) \) for \( X \) is compact. Hence \( \mathbb{H} \{X, p_\ast(2)\Omega\} \approx N(G) H(S^k: (\tilde{X}), d) \).

Note that \( p_\ast(2)S^jA \) is such that \( p_\ast(2)S^jA^p:\!\!\!\to \text{Gr}_p^j(p_\ast(2)S^jA^p) = \Gamma(X, \cdot) \)-acyclic. Hence spectral sequence for \( \mathbb{H} \{p_\ast(2)\Omega, \sigma\} \) is (isomorphic) given by spectral sequence of \( N(G) \)-modules

\[
H^{p+q}(S^k: (\tilde{X}), d) \Rightarrow E^1_{p,q} = H^q(S^k: (\tilde{X}), 0).
\]

It degenerates in \( \text{Mod}(N(G, \mathbb{C}))/\tau \).

\[\Box\]

**Definition 3.4.1.** Let \( R \) be a ring which is also an \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra. Let \( \tau' \) and \( \tau \) be torsion theory on \( \text{Mod}(R) \) such that \( \tau' \) is smaller than \( \tau \).

A (\( \tau', \tau \)) Hodge complex \((K_R, (K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, F)) \) of weight \( m \) consists in

1. A bounded below complex of modules \( K_R \) in \( \text{Mod}(R)_{\tau'} \).
2. A bounded below filtered complex of module \((K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, F) \) in \( \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \).
3. A pseudo-morphism of bdd below complex \( \alpha : K_R \to K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \) (first comparison morphism) in \( \text{Mod}(R)_{\tau'} \) such that \( \alpha \otimes \text{Id} : K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \to K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \) is a pseudo-isomorphism.

Isomorphism \( H(K_R) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to H(K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}) \) (in \( \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \)) defines a real structure on \( H(K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}) \).

One requires that

1. \( d \) is strictly compatible with \( F \) in \( \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \).
2. \( F \) and \( F \) are \( m + k \)-opposed on \( H^k(K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}) \approx H^k(K_R) \otimes \mathbb{C} \) in \( \text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \).

Note that category \( \text{Mod}(R)_{\tau'} \) is abelian and admits inductive limits (Gabriel [?]) prop. 9 p. 378). Hence, one may consider the category \( \mathcal{M}(R)_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \) of sheaf with value in \( \text{Mod}(R)_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \). Then functor localisation \( \text{Mod}(R) \to \text{Mod}(R)_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \) commute to inductive limits.

**Definition 3.4.2.**

B On a topological space \( X \), a \( (\tau', \tau) \)-cohomological Hodge complex \((K_R, (K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, F)) \) consists in

1. A bounded below complex \( K_R \) in \( \mathcal{M}(R)_{\tau'} \).
2. A bounded below filtered complex \((K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, F) \) in \( \mathcal{M}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \).
3. A pseudo-morphism of bdd below complexes \( \alpha : K_R \to K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \) (first comparison morphism) in \( \mathcal{M}(R)_{\tau'} \) such that \( \alpha \otimes \text{Id} : K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \to K_R \otimes \mathbb{C} \) is a pseudo-isomorphism in \( \mathcal{M}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}} \) and \( R^\tau(K) \) is a \( (\tau', \tau) \)-Hodge complex.

**Notations 3.4.3.**

C If \( \tau' = (0, \text{Mod}(R)) \) is the trivial torsion theory, one says that \((K_R, (K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, F)) \) is a \( \tau \)-cohomological Hodge complex of sheaves.

In the following, we will mostly deals with \( \tau' = (0, \text{Mod}(R)) \) the trivial torsion theory. Hence we will mostly used complexes of sheaves of \( N(G) \)-modules.
Example 3.4.4.

1) We have seen that \((p_\ast)\mathbb{R}, (p_\ast(2)\Omega), \alpha)\) is a CHC mod \(\dim N(G)\) torsion, or \(\gamma^*\tau_\pi (??)\), with \(\alpha : p_\ast \mathbb{R} \to (p_\ast(2)\Omega)\) natural map such that \(\alpha \otimes 1_C\) is a quasi-isomorphism. In diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{dom} \\
\downarrow m \\
\Gamma(p_\ast(2)S^{k-\ast}A^*, d, F)
\end{array}
\]

maps \(R\Gamma(i)\) (Hodge to de Rham) and \(m\) (\(\Gamma\)acyclic sheaves) are filtered quasi isomorphism. Therefore if \(r \geq 1\),

\[
E_r(R\Gamma(i) \circ E_r(m, F))^{-1} : E_r(\Gamma(S^{k-\ast}A^*, d, F)) \to E_r(R\Gamma(p_\ast(2)\Omega), F)
\]
defines isomorphism of spectral sequences. First degenerates mod \(\dim N(G)\).

2) Assume that \(\tilde{X} \to X\) is such that each connected components \(\tilde{X}_i\) of \(\tilde{X}\) is compact (and \(G\) is transitive on fiber). Let \(G_i\) be the stabiliser of \(\tilde{X}_i\), and let \(p_i\) be restriction of \(p\) to \(X_i\).

Then \(d, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\) have closed range. Indeed

\[
S^{k,p}(\tilde{X}) \ni \alpha \mapsto (g \mapsto g_\ast \alpha|_{\tilde{X}_j}) \in \ell^2(G, S^{k,p}(\tilde{X}_j))^{G_i}
\]
is a \(G\)–equivariant isometric isomorphism which commutes with \(d, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\). But taking invariant with respect to \(G_i\) is exact on \(\mathbb{Q}[G_i]–\)module, hence \(H^k(\tilde{X}) \simeq \ell^2(G, H^k(\tilde{X}_j))^{G_i}\). Then cohomology is reduced, and Hodge structure is isomorphic to that of \(\tilde{X}_i\)(twisted by that of \(\ell^2(G, \mathbb{C})\)).

In general, if \(F\) is a sheaf for which \(p_\ast(2)F\) is defined then \(L^2\)–cohomology is separated and

\[
\begin{aligned}
H^k(\tilde{X}, p_\ast(2)\mathcal{F}) &\simeq_{N(G)} \ell^2(G, H^k(\tilde{X}_i, p_i^\ast\mathcal{F}))^{G_i}, \\
\text{and } \dim N(G) \ell^2(G, H^k(\tilde{X}_i, p_i^\ast\mathcal{F}))^{G_i} = \frac{\dim C H^k(\tilde{X}_i, p_i^\ast\mathcal{F})}{|G_i|}.
\end{aligned}
\]

3.5. Addendum: Smoothing of cohomology. It is well known that cohomology of current is isomorphic to cohomology of smooth form. Here we insist on uniformity with respect to action of \(G\).

**Lemma 3.5.1.** Let \(U\) be some open set in \(X\). Then \(H(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}^\infty A)) \to H(\Gamma(U, S^{k-\ast}A))\) is an isomorphism. In particular, for any closed form \(\alpha\) in \(\Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{A})\cap \text{dom}(1+\Delta)\), there exists \((\beta, \gamma) \in \Gamma(\tilde{X}, S^{-1}A^{p-1}) \times \Gamma(\tilde{X}, S^\infty A^p)\) such that \(\alpha = d\beta + \gamma\).

**Proof.** Note that \((p_\ast(2)\mathcal{S}^\infty A, d) \to (p_\ast(2)S^{k-\ast}, A, d)\) is a quasi isomorphism. Result follows for these sheaves are flabby. \(\square\)


In this section, one follows notations of Peters Steenbrink [?][ Chap. 4:

Let \(X\) be a complex manifold and \(D \subset X\) be a normal crossing divisor. Let \(j : U = X\setminus D \to X\) be the injection. Then \(\Omega_X^{(log)D}\) is the \(\mathcal{O}_X\) subsheaf of \(j_\ast\Omega_\mathcal{L}_D\) of meromorphic form with logarithmic poles on \(D\): \(\alpha \in \Omega_X^{(log)D}\) if \(\alpha\) and \(da := j_*d\gamma\alpha\) have pole of order at most one on \(D\). If \(p \in X\) is such that in a chart \((z) : V \to D(0,1)^n\) one has \(D \cap V = \{z_1, \ldots, z_k = 0\}\) then \(dz_1, \ldots, dz_k, dz_{k+1}, \ldots, dz_n\) is a free basis of \(\Omega_X^{(log)D}\). Then \(\Omega_X^{p}(\log D) = \wedge^p \Omega_X^{1}(\log D)\) is a locally free \(\mathcal{O}_X\) sheaf.

Call \((V, (z))\) a standard chart and let \(L^\ast\{\frac{dz_1}{z_1}, \ldots, \frac{dz_k}{z_k}\}\) be the free \(\mathbb{C}\)–antisymmetric algebra built on \(\frac{dz_1}{z_1}, \ldots, \frac{dz_k}{z_k}\).
Maps of filtered complexes

This defines an isomorphism between the Leray spectral sequence for \( One deduces

0) Corresponding statement without

Let \( i \in T \). Defines

Then \( Res_{i} \) is \( O_{X} \)-linear, commute with \( d \).

Then \( Res_{i} \) is \( O_{X} \)-linear, commute with \( d \). A permutation \( \sigma \) of \( 1, \ldots, k \) defines another \( k \)-uplet \( \sigma(I) \). Then \( Res_{\sigma(I)} = (-1)^{sign(\sigma)} Res_{I} \).

Therefore if \( \{ I \} \) is a \( k \)-subset of \( \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \), there is a well defined map \( Res_{\{ I \}} := \wedge^{e} \otimes Res_{I} \).

One sets \( Res_{m} = \oplus_{\{ I \} = m} Res_{\{ I \}} \). Choice of an ordering on \( T \) gives a trivialisation

such that

(16) \[ Res_{m} : (Gr^{W}_{m} \Omega_{X}(logD),d) \rightarrow a_{m \ast}(\Omega_{D(m)}^{-m},d) \]
is an isomorphism of complexes (Deligne [?]:3.1.5.2).

Let \( p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \) be a covering (not necessarily connected) with group of deck transformation \( G \).

If \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) is a continuous map, let \( f^{\ast} p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y \) be induced covering.

From lemma ??, the following complexes are well defined.

Proposition 4.1.

1) Maps of filtered complexes

(17) \[ (p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}(logD),W,d) \xleftarrow{\epsilon} (p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}(logD),\tau,d) \xrightarrow{\delta} (j_{\ast}(j^{\ast}p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{U},\tau,d) \]
are filtered quasi isomorphism.

2) This defines an isomorphism between the Leray spectral sequence for \( j_{\ast}(j^{\ast}p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{C}) \) and the spectral sequence for the hypercohomology of the filtered complex \( p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}(logD),W,d) \)

3) One deduces \( N(G,C) \)-isomorphisms:

\[ H(X,p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}(logD)) \simeq \mathbb{H} (X,j_{\ast}p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}) \simeq \mathbb{H} (X \setminus D,p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{X}) \simeq H(X \setminus D,p_{\ast}(2)\Omega_{C}) \]

Proof.

0) Corresponding statement without \( p_{\ast}(2) \) is proposition 3.1.8 of Deligne [?]. Moreover let \( (V,(z)) \) be a standard chart for \( D \) in \( X \) (so that \( D \cap V = \{ z_{1} = \ldots = z_{k} = 0 \} \)).

Define a residu map \( R_{m} : \Gamma(V \setminus D,\Omega^{m}) \cap Ker d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\sigma(m,k)} \) through integration on \( m \)-cycles \( \{ |z_{i_{1}}| = e_{1}, \ldots, |z_{i_{m}}| = e_{m} \} \), \( 1 \leq i_{1} < \ldots < i_{m} \leq k \).

It is known (see Griffiths Harris [?]) that \( df(V \setminus D,\Omega^{m-1}) = Ker(R_{m}) \). An explicit (continuous) left inverse \( S_{m} \) is construct in Griffiths Harris [?]. It maps logarithmic forms to logarithms forms.
Fix a trivialisation \( p^{-1}(V) \cong \cup_{g \in G} gV_1 \). This defines \( R_{m(2)} : \Gamma(p^{-1}(V \setminus D), \Omega^m) \cap \text{Kerd } \rightarrow \Omega^m(\mathcal{C}_{m,k}) \) and \( S_{m(2)} : \text{Ker} R_{m(2)} \rightarrow \Gamma(p^{-1}(V \setminus D), \Omega^{m-1}) \) a left inverse to \( d \) with maps logarithmic forms to logarithmic forms.

Then \( \Omega^m G_\mathcal{C} \big((A^m\frac{dz_1}{z_1}, \ldots, \frac{dz_k}{z_k})\big) \rightarrow H^m(\Gamma(V, p_{+2}(\Omega_X(\log D)), d) \rightarrow H^m(\Gamma(V \setminus D, p_{+2}(\Omega_X)), d) \)

provides required isomorphism.

1) Maps \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are filtered morphisms. We proved that \( p_{+2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d) \rightarrow (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X), d) \) is a quasi-isomorphism hence a filtered quasi-isomorphism for the canonical filtration.

One has
\[
p_{+2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d) \rightarrow (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X), d)
\]
for \( p_{+2} \) is a flat \( \mathcal{O} \)-sheaf, later isomorphism is proved in Campana Demailly [?][prop. 2.9].

These isomorphisms commute with \( d \). But \( D_p \rightarrow D_p \) is a covering of a manifold. Hence \( \mathcal{H}^i p_{+2} \Omega_D = 0 \) if \( i \neq p \) and is isomorphic (say as \( \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}) \)-sheaf) to \( p_{+2} \mathcal{C}_{D} \) if \( i = p \) (Lemma ??).

Hence Spectral sequence degenerates, one deduce that \( \mathcal{H}^i(p_{+2} \Omega_X(\log D), d) \cong a_{+2} \mathcal{C}_{D} \) as \( \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}) \)-sheaf and that \( \alpha \) is a quasi-isomorphism.

2) Note that \( (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X), d) \) is a \( \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \)-resolution of \( (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X), d) \) by \( j_\ast \), acyclic sheaves: This follows from Campana Demailly [?][Theorem 3.6 and \( j \) is a Stein morphism. Then \( R^j j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D)) \cong \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \)

\( \mathcal{H}^i(j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D))) \).

This defines a pseudo- isomorphism \( R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D))} \rightarrow (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D)), d) \).

3) Quasi-isomorphism \( \alpha \) is a Hodge complex of weight \( 1 \).

**Definition 4.1.1.** Let \( \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}} \) be the Serre category generated by \( \cup_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}} \) (see ??).

**Lemma 4.1.2.**

1) In the following diagram, \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are quasi-isomorphisms:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)} \rightarrow (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) & \xrightarrow{i} & (R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \\
(p_{+2}2(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \\
(p_{+2}2(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & (j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d))
\end{array}
\]

2) This defines Second comparison morphism \( \tilde{\beta} : (R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \rightarrow (p_{+2}2(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \) such that \( \tilde{\beta} \otimes 1 \mathcal{C} : (R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \rightarrow (p_{+2}2(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \) is a pseudo- isomorphism.

3) Let \( \mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}} \) be a real torsion theory.

Then \( p_{+2}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{R}) : (R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \rightarrow (p_{+2}2(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \) is a pseudo- isomorphism.

**Proof.**

According to ??, \( R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R_{j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \)

is a \( \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \)-isomorphism. This defines a filtered isomorphism for the canonical filtration. From Prop. ?? and exactness of Godement resolution, \( f_1 \) is a quasi-isomorphism. Also \( f_2 \) is quasi-isomorphism. Hence \( f_1, f_2 \) are filtered quasi-isomorphism. Then \( \tilde{\beta} \) is defined through

\[
E_1(\tilde{\beta}) = E_1(\alpha)E_1(f_2 \otimes \beta)^{-1}E_1(f_1)E_1(i)
\]

so that

\[
E_1^{\ast}(\tilde{\beta}) : \mathcal{H}^p(j_\ast{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(\mathcal{G}_{p_{+2}2}(\Omega_X(\log D), d))
\]

Then \( \tilde{\beta} \otimes 1 \mathcal{C} \) is an isomorphism (\( E_1(\beta \otimes 1 \mathcal{C}) r \geq 1 \) is an isomorphism).

3.a)
Lemma 4.1.3. The residue morphism 
\[ \text{Res}_p : \operatorname{Gr}_p^W p_*(\Omega_X^\log D) \to a_{\tilde{D}_p}^{-p} \]
maps
\[ R^kj_* p_* \mathbb{R}[-p] \xrightarrow{q_1} \operatorname{Gr}^\tau_p Rj_* p_* \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_p} a_{\tilde{D}_p}^{-p}(2i\pi)^{-p} p_* \mathbb{R}[-p] \]

Proof. See Deligne[?][prop.3.1.9.]

3.b) According to example ??
\[ K_{\tilde{D}_p} := (p_* \mathbb{R} \tilde{D}_p)[-p]_{(-p)}, (p_* \Omega_{\tilde{D}_p}[-p], d, \alpha_{\tilde{D}_p}) \]
is a $N(G, \mathbb{R})$–Hodge complex of sheaves mod $\tau$. So does its $-p$–th Tate twist (see Peters Steenbrick [?][p. 51]).

Hence $(R^kj_* p_* \mathbb{R}[-p], \operatorname{Gr}_p^W p_*(\Omega_X^\log D), \alpha_p := (2i\pi)^p \alpha)$ is a cohomological Hodge complex of sheaves mod $\tau: R\Gamma(\operatorname{Gr}_p^W K) \simeq R\Gamma(K_{\tilde{D}_p})$ is a Hodge complex.

4.2. Mixed Hodge structures.

Definition 4.2.1. Let $R$ be a ring wich is also an $\mathbb{R}$–algebra. Let $\tau$ be a (hereditary) torsion theory on $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$.

1) A mixed Hodge structure $H = (H_R, W, F)$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau$ is given by
   a) a left $R$–module $H_R$
   b) a filtration $W$ of $H_R$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau$
   c) a filtration $F$ of $\mathbb{C} \otimes H_R$ in $\operatorname{Mod}((\mathbb{C} \otimes R)/\tau_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $W_F, F, \tilde{F}$ are opposed (see Deligne [?]1.2) in $\operatorname{Mod}((R \otimes \mathbb{C})/\tau_{\mathbb{C}})$.

2) A morphism of mixed Hodge structure $f : H \to H'$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau$ is a morphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau}(H_R, H_R')$ such that $f$ is compatible with $W$ and $f_C$ is compatible with $F$.

From Deligne [?]7.2), one deduces:

Theorem 4.3. Category of mixed Hodge structure in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau$ is abelian. A morphism $f : H \to H'$ between MHS in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau$ is strict for filtrations.

In this article, we use only mixed Hodge complexe over $\mathbb{R}$–algebra. This reflects the use of $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore one does not use first comparison morphism.

Definition 4.3.1. (Following [?])

Let $R$ be a ring wich is also an $\mathbb{R}$–algebra. Let $\tau'$, $\tau$ be torsion theories on $R$ such that $\tau'$ is smaller than $\tau$.

$\operatorname{MHC mod}(\tau', \tau)$:
A mixed Hodge complex mod$(\tau', \tau)$ $(K_{\tau'}, K_{\tau'}, W, F)$ consists in
1) A bounded below filtered complex $(K_{\tau'}, W)$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau'$
2) A bdd below bifiltered complex $(K_{\tau'}, W, F')$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})/\tau'_{\mathbb{C}}$ and a pseudo-morphism $\beta : (K_{\tau'}, W) \to (K_{\tau'}, W')$ (second comparison morphism) in the category of bounded below filtered complex in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau'$ inducing a pseudo-isomorphism $\beta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}} : (K_{\tau'}, W) \to (K_{\tau'}, W')$
3) such that for each $n$, $(\operatorname{Gr}_n^W(K_{\tau'}), (\operatorname{Gr}_n^W(K_{\tau'}))$ with pseudo-morphism
\[ \operatorname{Gr}_n^W(\beta) : \operatorname{Gr}_n^W(K_{\tau'}) \to \operatorname{Gr}_n^W(K_{\tau'}) \]
is a Hodge complex mod$(\tau', \tau)$ of weight $n$.

Example 4.3.2. If $\tau' = (0, \operatorname{Mod}(R))$ is the trivial torsion theory (no torsion submodule), then a $(\tau', \tau)$–mixed Hodge complex will be refered as a $\tau$–mixed Hodge complex. Therefore complexes and pseudo-morphisms are data in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)$ and properties of degenerescence are assumed in $\operatorname{Mod}(R)/\tau'$.

The following is the "lemme des deux filtrations" (Deligne [?]1.3 and Deligne [?]7.2):

Theorem 4.4. Let $(K_{\tau'}, W, (K_{\tau'}, W, F))$ be a mixed Hodge complex mod$(\tau', \tau)$. Then recurrent filtration and direct filtration induced by $F$ on $E^p_q(K_{\tau'}, W)$ are equal in $\operatorname{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})/\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$. 
Proof. We have following data within a torsion theory $\tau'$ smaller than $\tau$: There exists a left fraction

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\beta_1 \\
\downarrow \\
\hat{\chi} \\ \\
\beta_2 \\
\downarrow \\
(K_R, W) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \begin{array}{c}
\tau' \\
\rightarrow \\
C_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
(K_R, W) \\
\rightarrow \\
(K_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W, F) \\
\end{array}
\]

with $\beta_1$ a morphism in $\text{Mod}(R)_{\tau}$ and $\beta_2$ a morphism in $\text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}}$ such that $\beta_1 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a filtered quasi isomorphism. If, $r \geq 1$, morphisms

\begin{align*}
E_r(\beta_1) := E_r(K_R, W) & \rightarrow E_r(K'_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W) \\
E_r(\beta_1 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}}) := E_r(K_R \otimes \mathbb{C}, W) & \rightarrow E_r(K'_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W) \\
E_r(\beta_2) := E_r(K_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W) & \rightarrow E_r(K'_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W)
\end{align*}

define morphisms of spectral sequences

\begin{align*}
E_r(\beta) & = E_r(\beta_2)^{-1} \circ E_r(\beta_1) \\
E_r(\beta_{1c}) & = E_r(\beta_2)^{-1} \circ E_r(\beta_1 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}})
\end{align*}

Then $E_r(\beta_1 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}})$ is an isomorphism. Moreover morphism of spectral sequences $E_r(\beta)$ defines a real structure $\alpha_r$ on $E_r(K_{r \otimes \mathbb{C}}, W)$ such that $d_r$ is real, for one has

\[
d_r \simeq (E_r(\beta) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}})(d_r \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}})
\]

Note that real structure induced on $E_{r+1}$ is compatible with direct filtrations and their conjugates.

One reduces mod $\tau$:

a) One obtains a real structure mod $\tau$ on each term of the spectral sequence, with $d_r$ real and compatible with direct filtrations.

b) From Deligne [9,1.2.10] generalised in [10], category of mixed Hodge module mod $\tau$ is abelian.

1) By hypothesis, $F_d = F_{d'} = F_{rec}$ and their conjugates define a Hodge structure mod $\tau$ of weight $-p + (p + q) = q$ on $E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Gr^W_{r+1})$. But $d_1$ is real and compatible with $F$ so that it is a morphism of Hodge structure in $\text{Mod}(R)_{\tau}$. It is therefore strict for $F$ in $\text{Mod}(R \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\tau' \otimes \mathbb{C}}$.

2) Proposition (7.2.5) in Deligne [9] implies that on $E_2$, $F_d = F_{d'} = F_{rec}$ mod $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$. From b above, ($E_2^{p,q}, \alpha_2^{p,q}, F_{rec}$) is a Hodge structure of weight $q \mod \tau$. But $d_2$ is real and compatible with $F_{rec}$ and its conjugate: $d_2$ is a morphism of Hodge structure in $\text{Mod}(R)_{\tau}$. This implies that $d_2$ is strict mod $\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$. But $d_2 : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+1,q-1}$ is a morphism of Hodge structures of different weights. It must vanish.

An induction argument implies that $d_r = 0$ if $r \geq 2$.

3) Therefore the following sequence is exact for any $1 \leq r \leq +\infty$ and for any $p$:

\[
0 \rightarrow E_r(F^p K, W) \rightarrow E_r(K, W) \rightarrow E_r(K/F^p K) \rightarrow 0
\]

and one conclude from section (7.2) of Deligne [9].

One gets our first theorem on mixed Hodge structure on $l^2$–cohomology (compare with theorem 3.18 of [9]):

Theorem 4.5. Let $\Gamma$ be functor of global section over $X$, from the category of $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})$–sheaves of modules (resp. $\mathcal{N}(G)$–sheaves of modules) to the category of $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})$–modules (resp. $\mathcal{N}(G)$–modules). Let $R\Gamma$ be its derived functor realized through Godement resolution.

Assume that a torsion theory $\tau$ is chosen so that for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

\[
R\Gamma(G_p^W K) := [(\Gamma R^p j_* p_{\ast}(\mathbb{R})), (R\Gamma G_p^W p_{\ast}(\mathbb{R}_{\tau}) \Omega_X(\log D), F), R\Gamma(G_p^W \beta)]
\]

is a Hodge complex in $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})_{\tau}$, then

\[
R\Gamma(K) := [(\Gamma R j_* p_{\ast}(\mathbb{R}), (\Gamma R j_* p_{\ast}(\mathbb{R}_{\tau}), (R\Gamma p_{\ast}(\mathbb{R}_{\tau}) \Omega_X(\log D), W, F), R\Gamma(\beta)]
\]

is a mixed Hodge complex in $\mathcal{N}(G, \mathbb{R})_{\tau}$. Therefore:
i) spectral sequence in $N(G, \mathbb{C}) / \tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$E_1^{p,q}(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), W) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{-p+q}(Gr_p^{W} p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D)) \Rightarrow Gr_p^{W} \mathbb{H}^{-p+q}(p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D))$$

degenerates at $E_2$-terms.

ii) Differential $d_1$ on $E_1^{p,q}(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), W) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{-p+q}(Gr_p^{W} p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D))$ is real, and is a morphism of Hodge structures in $Mod(N(G))/\tau$. In particular $d_1$ is strictly compatible with $\mathcal{F}$. iii) By isomorphism $Gr_p^{W} \mathbb{H}^{-p+q}(p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D))$, the Hodge filtration induces a Hodge structure (mod $\mathcal{F}$). It is the same than the Hodge structure induced by isomorphism $E_2(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), W) \simeq E_\infty(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), W)$.

iv) Spectral sequence in $N(G, \mathbb{C}) / \tau \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$E_1^{p,q}(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), F) = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, p_{*}(2) \Omega_X^{p}(\log D)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D))$$

degenerates at $E_1$.

Proof.

1) Recall that $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$ is an exact functor to the category of Flabby Sheaves. Let

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{RT}(\mathcal{C}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{C}, \tau) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{C}, \tau) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{C}, \tau) \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\text{RT}(\mathcal{F}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{F}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{F}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{F}) \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\text{RT}(\mathcal{G}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{G}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{G}) & \text{RT}(\mathcal{G}) \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\end{array}$$

This defines pseudo-morphisms

\begin{align*}
\beta_2 &= \text{RT}(\mathcal{G}) : (\text{RT}(\mathcal{F}), \tau) \to (\text{RT}(\mathcal{G}), \tau) \\
\beta_2 : (\text{RT}(\mathcal{F}), \tau) &\to (\text{RT}(\mathcal{G}), \tau)
\end{align*}

Set $g_1 = \text{RT}(f_1) \circ \text{RT}(i)$. Then $g_1 \otimes g_3 = g_1 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}}$. With notation of previous theorem defines $\beta_2 = g_2 \circ g_3$ and $\beta_1 = g_1$. Then

$$E_1^{p,q}(R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D), W) = H^{p+q}(Gr^{p}_{-} R\Gamma p_{*}(2) \Omega_X(\log D)) \simeq H^{p+q}(R\Gamma Gr^{p}_{-} \Omega_X(\log D))$$

has a Hodge structure of weight $q$ mod $\tau$. One conclude from above theorem and section 7.2 of Deligne [?].

Example 4.5.1. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{F}, D}$ be the Serre category generated by

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{D}} : \oplus_{p,q,I} S^{k-q-1,p-q}(\mathcal{D}_I) \to \oplus_{p,q,I} S^{k-q-1,p-q}(\mathcal{D}_I) \right\}$$

($k$ big enough, $D_0 = \hat{X}$). Then $\gamma^{*}\tau_{\mathcal{F}, D}$ (??) is the smallest torsion theory with fulfills above assumption.

Let $\Sigma$ be a (finite) simplicial structure on $X$ such that $D$ is a subcomplex. Let $F = \{ F_i \}_{i \in F}$ be the collection of closed 2n-dimensional simplex. Set $F \setminus D = \{ F_i \}_{i \in F}$. Then $H^{k}(\bigcap_{i \in F_i} K_i, K) = 0$ and $H_{k}^{k}(\bigcap_{i \in F_i} F_i - D), K) = 0$ for any $k \geq 1$ and any locally constant sheaf $K$. Therefore $H^{k}(X \setminus D, (j^{*}p_{*}(2) \gamma) \mathcal{C}) \simeq H^{k}(\mathcal{F} \setminus D, (j^{*}p_{*}(2) \gamma) \mathcal{C})$ for each closed (in $X \setminus D$) set $(F \setminus D)_I := \cap_{i \in I} (F_i - D)$ is simply connected (see Godement [?], p.207). Natural complex $\mathbb{Z}[F \setminus D]$ lifts to a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-complex $\mathbb{Z}[F \setminus D]$. Then

$$H^{k}(F \setminus D, (j^{*}p_{*}(2) \gamma) \mathcal{C}) \simeq H^{k}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[F \setminus D], l^2(G))).$$
Corollary 4.6. There exists mixed Hodge structure on $H^k(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/G}(\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{D}]], \mathcal{I}^2(G)))$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{N}(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$. In particular in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{N}(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$, there exists a mixed Hodge structure on $H^1_{\text{dim}}(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D})$.

4.7. CHMC  mod $(\tau', \tau)$. A $(\tau', \tau)$–cohomological mixed Hodge complex of sheaves $\mathcal{K} = ((\mathcal{K}_g, W); (\mathcal{K}_c, F, W), \beta)$ could be define by complexes of sheaves of $\mathcal{N}(G)_{\tau'}$–modules and quasi isomorphism $\beta$ in that category, such that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{G}_m \mathcal{K}$ is a $(\tau', \tau)$–cohomological Hodge complex of sheaves (see ??).

Example 4.7.1.
1) $\mathcal{K} := [(R_j p_{x(2)} \mathbb{R}, (R_j p_{x(2)} \mathbb{R}, \tau), (p_{x(2)} \Omega_X (\log D), W, F), (\tilde{\beta})]$ is a $(0, \gamma^* \tau_{\mathbb{R}})$–CHMC.
2) Recal (Iversen ??) that if $p : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a covering map with group $G$ of deck transforms between locally compact space, then functor $p_1^* F$ from category of sheaves on $X$ to category of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$–sheaves on $\tilde{X}$ is exact (moreover, one has isomorphism $p_1^* (F) \simeq (p_1 \mathbb{Z}) \otimes F$ has $\mathbb{Z}[G]$–sheaves).

Then tensoring over $\mathbb{C}[G]$ by $\mathcal{N}(G)$ gives a functor from $\mathbb{R}$–mixed Hodge complexes of sheaves on $X$ to category of $\mathcal{N}(G)$–mixed Hodge complexes of sheaves modulo dimension function:

functor $N : \text{Sheaf over } \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{N}(G)$ – sheaf over $X$ given by $F \to \mathcal{N}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] p^* F$ is exact for a local model is induced trivial module tensorised with $\mathbb{C}[G]$. There are natural maps

$$N(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] p_1^* \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}^2(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] p_1^* \mathcal{F} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad n \otimes f \to n(\beta_c) \otimes f$$

In case of holomorphic coherent sheaf (or locally constant sheaf) this map falls into $p_{x(2)} \mathcal{F}$. But is far from being surjective.

However, if one reduce to the category of sheaves in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{N}(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$, then one gets from usual CHMC $\mathcal{K}$ on $X$ a CHMC mod $(\tau_{dim}, \tau_{dim}) \mathcal{N}(G) \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{K}$.

4.8. Interpretation of $(E_1, d_1)$. In order to interpret $d_1$ through Gysin morphism, one uses the smooth logarithmic complex (see Griffith Schmidt ??p.73):

Definition 4.8.1. Let $p_{x(2)} S^\infty((\log D))$ be subsheaf of $j_! (j^* p_{x(2)} S^\infty \mathcal{A})$ such that a germ $\alpha$ belongs to $p_{x(2)} S^\infty((\log D))$ iff

$$h \alpha \in j_! (j^* p_{x(2)} S^\infty \mathcal{A})_x \quad \text{and} \quad h d \alpha \in j_! (j^* p_{x(2)} S^\infty \mathcal{A})_x$$

with $h$ a defining equation for $D$ at $x$.

From its definition, $(p_{x(2)} S^\infty((\log D)), d)$ is a complex. Moreover

Lemma 4.8.2. $p_{x(2)} S^\infty((\log D)) = p_{x(2)} S^\infty \mathcal{A} \otimes \Omega_X (\log D)$.

Proof.

1) One first recall a proof in a coordinate chart $(V_i (z))$: Hypothesis implies that $(h \alpha)$ and $(h \alpha) \wedge \frac{dh}{h}$ extends as smooth forms. Assume that $h = z_1 \ldots z_k$ in $(V_i (z))$ and that $h \alpha = \sum \beta_i d z_i$ with $\beta_i$ smooth forms on $V$ without any $d z_1, \ldots, d z_k$. Then $\gamma = (h \alpha) \wedge \frac{dh}{h} = \sum \frac{\beta_i}{z_i} d z_i \wedge d z_i$ is a smooth form on $V \setminus \{z_1 \ldots z_k = 0\}$ such that any of its partial derivative $\frac{\partial |\alpha| + |\beta|}{\partial z \wedge \partial z}$ admits a limite on $V \cap D$. Let $p \in (z_1 = 0) \setminus (z_2, \ldots, z_k = 0)$. Then

$$0 = \lim_{V \setminus D z \to p} z_i \frac{\partial |\beta|}{\partial z_i} \gamma = \lim_{V \setminus D z \to p} \sum_{t, l} z_i \frac{\partial |\beta|}{\partial z_i} \beta_l d z_l \wedge d z_i$$

$$= \sum_{l \neq 1} \frac{\partial |\beta|}{\partial z_l} \beta_l (p) d z_l \wedge d z_l$$

Hence $1 \notin I = \frac{\partial |\beta|}{\partial z_1} \beta_1 (p) = 0$. By continuity, this holds for any $p \in \{z_1 = 0\}$. This implies (Malgrange ??, Schwartz ??th.2) that $\alpha_t \in z_1 \mathcal{A} (V)$. Renaming of coordinates proves therefore
that \( i \notin I \Rightarrow \alpha_I \in z_iA(V) \), hence \( \beta_I \in z^{K-I}A(V) \) \([\text{th.3}]\) and \( \alpha = \sum_I \beta_I d_{z_I}^I \) is in \( A \otimes \Omega_X(\log D)(V) \).

2) But \( z^{K-I}A(V) \) is a closed ideal, therefore surjective maps \( \alpha_I \rightarrow z^{K-I}\alpha_I \) are open: For all compact \( K_1 \supset V, \) all \( m_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \) all \( I \subset \{ 1, \ldots, K \}; \exists K_2 \subset V, m_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) and a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( ||\alpha_I||_{C^{m_1}(K_1)} \leq C||z^{K-I}\alpha_I||_{C^{m_2}(K_2)}. \) This implies that \( p_{*_2}S^\infty\Omega_X(\log D) = p_{*_2}S^\inftyA \otimes \Omega_X(\log D) \).

\[ \text{Lemma 4.8.3.} \quad \text{Sheaf } p_{*_2}S^\inftyA^0 \text{ is a flat } \mathcal{O}_X \text{ module.} \]

\[ \text{Proof.} \quad \text{From Malgrange \([?]\), this is true without growth: For any finitely generated ideal } \mathcal{I}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_x, \text{ let } V \text{ a neighborhood of } x \text{ such that one has a finite presentation} \]

\[ \mathcal{O}^k(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^p(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(V) \rightarrow 0 \]

(map \( r \) gives module of relations and \( g : h \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^p h_ig_i \) is given by generator of \( \mathcal{I}(V) \)).

Flatness of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \) on \( \mathcal{O}(V) \) implies that \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(V))^k \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\infty(V))^p \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(V).\mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \) is exact. Hence \( Im(r) \) is closed and above exact sequence splits. If \( V \) is small enough, pullback of this splitting defines a splitting of \( p_{*_2}S^\inftyA^0(V)^k \rightarrow p_{*_2}S^\inftyA^0(V)^p \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(V).p_{*_2}S^\inftyA^0(V) \). So that \( Tor^1_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_x/{\mathcal{I}_x}, p_{*_2}S^\inftyA^0_x) = 0 \) (see Tougeron \([?]\)I.4).

\[ \text{□} \]

\[ \text{Corollary 4.9.} \]

1) \( (p_{*_2}\Omega_X(\log D), d) \rightarrow (p_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}(\log D)}, d) \) is a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover

\( (p_{*_2}\Omega_X(\log D), d, W, F) \rightarrow (p_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}(\log D)}, d, W, F) \)

is a bifiltered \( \Gamma \) acyclic resolution.

2) Let \( I \) be a \( p \)-subset of \( \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \) then there is a map

\[ \text{Res}_{I} : p_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}(\log D)} \rightarrow p_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}(\log D)} \cap \sum_{j \notin I} D_j. \]

4) In the following diagram, maps are filtered quasi isomorphisms.

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
(G_{\mathcal{I}_x}^W p_{*_2}\Omega_X(\log D), d, F) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{I}} & (a_{I}\circ p_{*_2}\Omega_{D_i}^{-1}, d, F_{-X}) \\
(G_{\mathcal{I}_x}^W p_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}(\log D), d, F} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{I}} & (a_{I}(a \circ p)_{*_2}S^{\infty_{\mathcal{I}_x}A_{D_i}^{-1}}, d, F_{-X})
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{4.9.1. Gysin morphism.} \quad \text{Let } I \text{ be a } p \text{-subset of } \{ 1, \ldots, N \}. \]

Fix (hermitian) metric on \( D_I \) and choose an orthogonal splitting \( T(X)|_{D_I} \simeq T_{D_I} \oplus N_{D_I/X}. \) For any \( \epsilon \) small enough, exponential map induces a diffeomorphism

\[ N_{D/I}(\epsilon) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_I} U_I \]

This defines a retraction \( r_I \) by composition of \( \epsilon_I^{-1} \) with bundle projection \( N_{D/I} \rightarrow D_I. \) In the following, one sets \( r_I : U_{D_I}(\epsilon_p) \rightarrow D_I \) with \( \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \) \( (n = \text{dim} X) \) chosen as follows: If \( I \) is a \( p \)-subset, let \( p > q \) and considers those \( q \)-subset \( J \) contained in \( I. \) Then one required

\[ \cap_{J \subset I} U_J(\epsilon_p) \subset U_I(\epsilon_p). \] (e.g. intersection of tubular neighborhoods of \( D_1, \) and \( D_2 \) is included in the tubular neighborhood of \( D_1 \cap D_2 \)). Then \( r_I \) is smooth submersion hence \( r_I^* \) maps local Sobolev space of order \( s \) to local Sobolev space of order \( s \) (tensor product of separated variables commutes to Fourier transform).
Let $s_k$ be a section of $[D_k]$ vanishing on $D_k$. Fix hermitian metric $h_k$ on $[D_k]$, such that $|s_k(x)| = 1$ if $x \not\in U_k(c_1)$ with $c_1 < c_2$. Let $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |s_k|$. Poincaré-Lelong formula reads $d\eta_k = [D_k] - c_1(h_k)$ and these currents are supported in compact neighborhood of $D_k$. Let $I \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$, defines $\eta_I = \eta_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{i_k}$. Then

$$supp(\omega_I \wedge \eta_I) \subset U_{i_1}(c_1) \cup U_{i_2}(c_1) \cup \ldots \cup U_{i_k}(c_1).$$

Lift of this construction by the covering map gives same diagram with bounded geometry. Note that a connected component of $\pi^{-1}(U_I(e_p))$ contains only one connected components of $\pi^{-1}(D_I)$. Let $I \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$. Let $r_I, \eta_I, \ldots$ denotes restriction of $p^*(p_I), p^n*\eta_i, \ldots \to p^{-1}(U_I)$. Let $[\alpha] \in H^{q-2p}(D_I, p^*_\Delta S^{q-1}(x))$. From ??, one may assume $\alpha \in \Gamma(p^{-1}(D_I), \mathcal{A}^{q-2p}) \cap \alpha \in \mathbb{N} Dom(1 + \Delta_{D_I})^n$. Then $r_I^*(\alpha, \eta_I) \in \Gamma((U_I(e_p), p^*_\Delta S^{q-1}(p(logD)))$. Denote by the same symbol its extension by zero to $\tilde{X}$. Then $r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I \in \Gamma(\tilde{X}, S^{q-1}(p(logD)))$ and $Res_I r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I = \alpha$. Any class in $H^{q-2p}(X, p^*_\Delta S^q(\log D), d)$ has a representative $\frac{1}{p!} \sum_I r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I \in \Gamma(\tilde{X}, S^{q-1}(\log D))$, with the convention that $I \to \alpha_I$ is antisymmetrical in $I$. Then, $\alpha_I = (-1)^i \alpha_{i,i}$. Then,

$$d(r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I) = 0 + (-1)^{q-2p} r_I^*(\alpha_I) \sum_i (-1)^i \omega_i \eta_I = (-1)^{q-2p} \sum_i r_I^*(\alpha_{i,i}) \omega_i \eta_I$$

$$d \sum r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I = (-1)^{q-2p} \sum I r_I^*(\alpha_{i,i}) \omega_i \eta_I$$

$$Res_I d \sum_I r_I^*(\alpha) \eta_I = (-1)^{q-2p} \sum I r_I^*(\alpha_{i,i}) \omega_i \eta_I \otimes \Lambda^I$$

Hence

$$d_{t_I} \sum I \alpha_I \otimes \Lambda^I = \sum_{J \in T^{q-1}} (-1)^{q-2p} a_J^i(\sum_i \omega_i \eta_I) \otimes \Lambda^I$$

4.10. $L^2$–characteristics. Let $\tau_{dim}$ be torsion theory such that $\tau_{dim} = \{ M \in \text{Mod}(N(G)) : \dim_{N(G)} M = 0 \}$ (see Lück [?]). Corollary ?? implies that $H(X, D, (j^p)_* \omega_Y^{(2)})$ is computable (in $\text{Mod}(N(G(R)))_{\tau_{dim}}$) through simplicial structure. Moreover in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$, one has $H^k(X, p_* \omega_Y^{(2)}(\log D) \simeq B_{\omega_Y}^{2}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_X^k(\log \tilde{D}))$ (reduced cohomology).

Then weight spectral sequence degenerates at $E_2$ and Hodge spectral sequence degenerates at $E_1$. Hence in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$

$$Gr^{\tau}_{\omega_Y^k} H^n(X, D, (j^p)_* \omega_Y^{(2)}) = \tilde{H}_{\omega_Y}^{n-k}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_X^k(\log \tilde{D})) (\text{reduced cohomology})$$

is finite $N(G)$– dimensional and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \dim_{N(G)} Gr^{\tau}_{\omega_Y^k} H^i(X, D, (j^p)_* \omega_Y^{(2)}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \dim_{N(G)} Gr^{\tau}_{\omega_Y} H^i(X, D, \omega_Y)$$

(32)

$$\chi_{\omega_Y}(\tilde{X}) = \chi_\omega(X \setminus D)$$

Note in particular that $\omega_Y H^k = Gr^{\tau}_{\omega_Y} H^k \simeq H^{\omega_Y}(K \otimes [D])$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{dim}}$. 

4.10.1. MHS on normal crossing divisor. MHS on normal crossing divisors as in El Zein [?] section 3.5 is clearly transposable to $L^2$–cohomology as soon as a torsion theory is choosen so that $E_1$ term of weight spectral sequence is a Hodge structure in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\tau_{\omega_Y}}$. 

5. Examples.

5.1. First terms of unreduced $(E_1, d_1)$ complex of the weight spectral sequence reads:
5.1.1. Homology at column $Gr^0_0$ gives $Gr^W_W(U) = \text{Im}(H_{(2)}(X) \to H_{(2)}(X \setminus D))$. Hence kernel of restriction mapping is isomorphic modulo some torsion theory to image of the Gysin homomorphism.

5.1.2. First unreduced $E_1$-term of the Hodge filtration is (surface case):

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & H^2_{(2)}(O) & \overset{d_1}{\longrightarrow} & H^2(p^*_2(\Omega^2_X(\log D))) \\
1 & H^1_{(2)}(O) & \overset{d_1}{\longrightarrow} & H^1(p^*_2(\Omega^1_X(\log D))) \\
0 & H^0_{(2)}(O) & \overset{d_1}{\longrightarrow} & H^0(p^*_2(\Omega^0_X(\log D)))
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
& Gr^3_W & Gr^2_W & Gr^1_W & Gr^0_W \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & H^0_{(2)}(\bar{X})
\end{array}
\]

5.1.3. Simplicial structure. Top line of $(E_1(W), d_1)$ is interpreted through simplicial complex associated to divisors: In general $D_I$ is not connected. Let $T_k$ be a set with parametrized connected component of $\bigcup_{|I|=k} D_I$. Let $\bar{T}_k \ni I \to \bar{D}_I$ be a set with parametrize connected component of $\pi^{-1}(\bigcup_{|I|=k} D_I)$. Then $G$ acts on $\bar{T}_k$ and $p$ induces a projection $p : \bar{T}_k \to T_k$.

If $I \in \tilde{T}_k$ or $T_k$, then $r_I, \ldots$ denotes restriction of $p^*(r_{p(I)}), \ldots$ to $U_I$ that connected component of $p^{-1}(U_{p(I)})$ which contains $\bar{D}_I$. 
If \( \dim \mathbb{R} \mathcal{X} = n \), then \( \tilde{H}^{2n-2k}(\tilde{D}_1) \) (top reduced cohomology) is either vanishing (\( \tilde{D}_1 \) non compact) or one dimensional spanned by a fundamental class \( C_1 \).

In general closedness of \( \Im d : L^2(\tilde{D}_1, \Lambda^{2n-2k-1}) \to L^2(\tilde{D}_1, \Lambda^{2n-2k}) \) is controlled through amenability of \( G/G_1 \) with \( G_1 \) stabilisor of one irreducible component of \( \tilde{D}_1 \).

A \( \ell \)-simplex is an element \((I,o) \in \tilde{T}_{1+1} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}^{1+1} \) such that \( D_I \) is a compact (non empty) submanifold of \( p^{-1}(\cap o \in D_i) \) (hence one remember order of intersection). \((J,o)\) is a face of \((I,o)\) if \( D_I \subset D_J \).

Choice of support (??) implies that \( \alpha^*_J \omega_i r^*_I C_I \) (see (??)) is closed in \( \tilde{D}_J \).

Then
\[
\int_{\tilde{D}_J} \omega_i r^*_I C_I = \int_{\tilde{D}_I \cap \tilde{D}_J} r^*_I C_I = 1
\]
for now there exists only one \( i \in \tilde{T}_1 \) such that \( \tilde{D}_i \cap \tilde{D}_J = \tilde{D}_I \).

Identifications
\[
\tilde{H}^{2n-2p}(\tilde{D}_p) \ni \alpha = (a_I C_I) \to (a_I) \in \ell^2(\tilde{T}_p)
\]
and \( H^{-p+q}(G_{\tilde{\imath}}W S^{k-\cdot} \mathcal{A}(\log \tilde{D})) \ni \alpha \to \frac{1}{p!} \sum r^*_I \alpha_{(I,o)} \eta_{(I,o)} \in \Gamma(\tilde{X}, p_{(2)} S^{\infty_{\cdot}}(\log \tilde{D})) \) (with \( \alpha_{(I,o)} \) is antymetric in \( o \)), gives
\[
(d_1 \alpha)_{(I,o)} = \sum_{I: \tilde{D}_J \supset \tilde{D}_I} \alpha_{(I,o)}.
\]

This is combinatorial boundary map.

5.2. Hence weight \( G_{\tilde{\imath}}W H_n^{-\cdot}(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}) \) occur in \( \text{Mod}(N(G))_{/\tau_{\text{aim}}} \) only through compact connected component of codimension \( q \).

5.2.1. \textbf{Nori string.} Assume connected component of pullback of smooth divisors \( D_1, \ldots, D_n \) are compact submanifolds. Then only the first column of the \( E_1 \) term are non reduced. But if one reduced modulo \( \gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\imath}, \tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}} \) (the real torsion theory generated by \( \text{Coker}(\tilde{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) \to \tilde{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{X})) \)), then any term has a Hodge structure. Therefore cohomology of \( \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D} \) has a mixed Hodge structure in \( \text{Mod}(N(G))_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \).

If \( \dim \mathbb{R} \mathcal{X} = 2 \), homology of \( H^0(\tilde{D}_2) \to H^2(\tilde{D}_1) \to 0 \) is \( \ell^2 \)-homology of graph \( T \) whose edge \( E \) are points in \( \tilde{D}_2 \) and vertices \( S \) are connected component of \( \tilde{D}_1 \). Hence one has multiple edges between two vertices. Then

i) \( G_{\tilde{\imath}}W H^2 \) is \( N(G)_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \)-isomorphic to \( H_{(2)}(T) = \text{Ker}(\partial : \ell^2(E) \to \ell^2(S)) \) (after a choice of \( G \)-orientation).

ii) \( G_{\tilde{\imath}}W H^1 \) is \( N(G)_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \)-isomorphic to \( \text{Coker}(\partial : \ell^2(E) \to \ell^2(S)) \).

Assume furthermore that group \( G \) is non amenable, then both boundary map \( \partial \) and coboundary map \( \partial' \) have close range for graph \( T \) is quasi isometric to a Cayley graph of \( G \). There is no harmonic square integrable function on this graph, hence \( \text{Coker}(\partial : \ell^2(E) \to \ell^2(S)) = 0 \). Therefore \( G_{\tilde{\imath}}W H^1 = 0 \) in \( N(G)_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \).

iii) \( H^1_{(2)}(\tilde{X}) = 0 \) for non amenable implies that \( d_{3 \to 4} \) has closed range.

2) \( G_{\tilde{\imath}}W H^2 \) is \( N(G)_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \)-isomorphic to kernel of
\[
q \circ d_1 : H^2_2(\tilde{D}_1) \ni (a_I) \to \sum_i r^*_I (a_I) \wedge \omega_i \to \tilde{H}_2(\tilde{X}) \text{(orthogonal projection)}.
\]

This map vanishes if group \( G = \pi_1(X) \) is non fibered and this is the case if there exists a Nori string in \( \tilde{X} \) the universal covering space of \( X \).

3) Weight 1 part of \( H^1_{(2)}(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}) \) is \( N(G)_{/\gamma^* \tau_{\tilde{\varpi}, \tilde{X}}} \)-isomorphic to kernel of
\[
(\lambda_i) \to \sum_i \lambda_i \omega_i \to \tilde{H}^1_{(2)}(\tilde{X}) \text{(orthogonal projection)}.
\]
Hence described by forms $\sum \lambda_i \omega_i$ orthogonal to $H^2_{(2)}(\tilde{X})$. Let $\omega_i = h_i + \theta_i$ with $\theta_i \in \overline{Im\partial}$ and $h^i$ harmonic part of $\omega_i$. Then $\int_X h_i \wedge \omega_j = \int \omega_i \wedge \omega_j$ for $\int (\lim_k \partial \delta h_i) \omega_j = \lim_k \int \partial \delta h_i \omega_j = 0$ by compactness of $supp \omega_j$. Therefore $\int_X \omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \int_X h_i \wedge h_j$. Therefore an element $(\lambda_i)$ in $Ker(q \circ d_1)$ must satisfies

$$l^2(T) \ni \lambda \mapsto Q(\lambda) := \int_X \sum \lambda_i \omega_i \wedge \sum \lambda_i \omega_i,$$

is vanishing. But

**Lemma 5.2.2.** Intersection form on $\hat{D}_1$ is negative definite.

**Proof.** It is well known that compact submanifold in non compact covering of compact manifold $X$ cannot be of strictly positive self intersection (see e.g. Campana [?]). But if $\hat{D}_1^2 = 0$ then any divisor $\hat{D}_j$ different from $\hat{D}_1$ with which intersects $\hat{D}_1$ would satisfies $(\hat{D}_j + k\hat{D}_1)^2 > 0$ if $k$ is big enough.\hfill $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $-Q(\lambda) \geq c|\lambda||_2$ on function with compact support. If $G$ is non amenable, then this hold on $l^2(T)$.

In particular, $Gr^1_rH^1_{(2)}(\tilde{X} \setminus \hat{D}) = 0$ in $\text{Mod}(N(G))_{\gamma \tau, K}$.
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