

# L functions computations using Pari/GP

Pascal Molin

Université Paris 7

Atelier Pari/gp, Bordeaux – janvier 2015

① Some L functions

② A tour

## Riemann zeta function

definition

gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1 \times \tilde{\zeta}(1-s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

## Riemann zeta function

definition

```
gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];
```

compute values

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, 2)
```

```
time = 2 ms.
```

```
%2 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

```
gp > Pi^ 2/6
```

```
%3 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + 0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1 \times \tilde{\zeta}(1 - s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

## Riemann zeta function

definition

```
gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];
```

compute values

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, 2)
```

```
time = 2 ms.
```

```
%2 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

```
gp > Pi^ 2/6
```

```
%3 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, 1)
```

```
%4 = +oo
```

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + 0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1 \times \tilde{\zeta}(1 - s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

## Riemann zeta function

definition

```
gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];
```

compute values

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, 2)
```

```
time = 2 ms.
```

```
%2 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

```
gp > Pi^ 2/6
```

```
%3 = 1.644934066848226436472415166646025
```

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, 1)
```

```
%4 = +oo
```

the completed xi function

```
gp > lfun(Lzeta, .5+I,1)
```

```
time = 2 ms.
```

```
%5 = -0.77721188747357358675647537093539
```

```
+ 0.E-57*I
```

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\xi(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\xi(s) = 1 \times \xi(1-s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

## Riemann zeta function

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1 \times \tilde{\zeta}(1-s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

definition

```
gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];
```

Plot the Hardy Z-function

```
gp > lfunplot(Lzeta, 100)
time = 69 ms.
```

# Riemann zeta function

definition

```
gp > Lzeta=[n->1,0,[0],0,1,1,0];
```

First zeros on the critical line

```
gp > lfunzeros(Lzeta, 50)
```

```
time = 22 ms.
```

```
%10 = [14.134725141734693790457251983562  
21.022039638771554992628479593896902777,  
25.010857580145688763213790992562821819,  
30.424876125859513210311897530584091320,  
32.935061587739189690662368964074903489,  
37.586178158825671257217763480705332821,  
40.918719012147495187398126914633254396,  
43.327073280914999519496122165406805783,  
48.005150881167159727942472749427516042,  
49.773832477672302181916784678563724058]
```

- Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

- completed as

$$\xi(s) = 1^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+0) \zeta(s)$$

- functional equation

$$\xi(s) = 1 \times \xi(1-s)$$

- pole at  $s = 1$ , residue 1.

## Describe general L function

- Dirichlet series

$$L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$$

- gamma factor

$$\gamma(s) = N^{\frac{s}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \lambda_j)$$

```
gp > L=[n->a_n,0,[λ_1,..λ_d],w,N,ε,0]
gp > lfun(L, s)
gp > lfun(L, s, 1)
gp > lfunplot(L, maxt)
gp > lfunzeros(L, maxt)
```

- functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) = L(s)\gamma(s) = \epsilon \overline{\Lambda}(w + 1 - s)$$

- possible pole at  $s = w + 1$

## Dedekind zeta function

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$$

Hum, is there any crossing between 33 and 34...

but there is one

the behaviour near zero indicates a pole and in fact zeta divides the L function

## Dedekind zeta function

Totally real quintic

precomputations become slow

it is much more efficient to divide by zeta

Noteworthy :

always try to factor into smaller L-functions sum of complexity  $i$   
complexity of product

## Elliptic curves

- Dirichlet series

$$L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$$

- gamma factor

$$\gamma(s) = N^{\frac{s}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \lambda_j)$$

- functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) = L(s)\gamma(s) = \epsilon \overline{\Lambda}(w + 1 - s)$$

Have a look at BSD conjecture

- no pole

```
gp > e =
```

```
ellinit("11a1"); gp > L =  
[n->ellak(e,n),0,[0,1],1,11,1]
```

```
gp > lfun(L, 2) gp >
```

```
lfun(L, 2, 1) gp >
```

```
lfunplot(L, 20) gp >
```

```
lfunzeros(L, 20)
```



## What's behind

- Fourier transform on the critical line

$$f(t) = \Lambda\left(\frac{w+1}{2} + it\right), F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2i\pi xt} dt$$

- Functional equation

$$F(x) = \epsilon \bar{F}(-x)$$

- Dirichlet expansion of  $F(x)$ , converges rapidly for  $x > 0$

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n K(ne^x), K(t) \sim C e^{-d\pi t^{\frac{2}{d}}}$$

- Evaluation of inverse Mellin transform  $K(t)$ 
  - for small  $t$  by generalized Taylor expansion
  - for large  $t$  by asymptotic expansion (diverges) + continued fractions evaluation (converges very well)
- Recover  $f(t)$  by Poisson summation

$$f(t) = h \sum_k F(kh) e^{ikh t} + O(e^{-D})$$

with explicit  $h = O(1/(D+t))$ ,  $n = O((D+t) \log D)$