# On instabilities of the Bitcoin protocol

#### Ricardo Pérez-Marco (CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Paris 7)

#### DLT Workshop

Perugia

#### February 1, 2018

(*Bitcoin and Decentralized Trust Protocols*, Newsletter of the European Math. Soc., 100, June 2016. ArXiv 1601.05254)

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

#### Contents

- 1 Electronic gold
- 2 The blockchain
- 3 The Bitcoin Network
- 4 The Byzantine Generals Problem
- 5 Decentralized governance



▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 → のへの

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

# Bitcoin paper

S. Nakamoto, November 1st 2008,

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のQC

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

# Bitcoin paper

S. Nakamoto, November 1st 2008,

"Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system"

(日) 《聞》 《聞》 《聞》 《聞》 《日)

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

## Bitcoin paper

S. Nakamoto, November 1st 2008,

"Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system"



R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

- Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.
- Bitcoin does not depend on any central authority.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.
- Bitcoin does not depend on any central authority.
- Bitcoin protocol runs on open software.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.
- Bitcoin does not depend on any central authority.
- Bitcoin protocol runs on open software.
- To avoid the "**double spend problem**" Bitcoin relies on a public ledger.

R. Pérez-Marco

- Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.
- Bitcoin does not depend on any central authority.
- Bitcoin protocol runs on open software.
- To avoid the "**double spend problem**" Bitcoin relies on a public ledger. This is general and necessary:

R. Pérez-Marco

- Bitcoin is an electronic currency modeled by gold.
- Bitcoin does not depend on any central authority.
- Bitcoin protocol runs on open software.
- To avoid the "**double spend problem**" Bitcoin relies on a public ledger. This is general and necessary:

#### Theorem

*Transparency Theorem:* An electronic decentralized currency must rely on a public ledger.

Image: A matrix

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

• Anyone can write in the blockchain.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

- Anyone can write in the blockchain.
- Anyone can have a copy of the blockchain.

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

- Anyone can write in the blockchain.
- Anyone can have a copy of the blockchain.
- The blockchain is composed by a chronological sequence of cryptological chained blocks.

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

- Anyone can write in the blockchain.
- Anyone can have a copy of the blockchain.
- The blockchain is composed by a chronological sequence of cryptological chained blocks.
- Each block contains a set of transactions.

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

- Anyone can write in the blockchain.
- Anyone can have a copy of the blockchain.
- The blockchain is composed by a chronological sequence of cryptological chained blocks.
- Each block contains a set of transactions.
- Each new block is generated in about 10 minutes.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• The public ledger is an incorruptible public database of all transactions called "**the blockchain**".

- Anyone can write in the blockchain.
- Anyone can have a copy of the blockchain.
- The blockchain is composed by a chronological sequence of cryptological chained blocks.
- Each block contains a set of transactions.
- Each new block is generated in about 10 minutes.
- The blocks are generated by "miners" that validate current transactions.

# The Trust Machine

• The core of the Bitcoin protocol is the algorithm to ensure that this database cannot be forged.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

# The Trust Machine

• The core of the Bitcoin protocol is the algorithm to ensure that this database cannot be forged.

• The mechanism of consensus: "The trust machine".



R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.
- Nodes check and broadcast transactions.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

- The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.
- Nodes check and broadcast transactions.
- Some nodes are miners that validate transactions.

- The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.
- Nodes check and broadcast transactions.
- Some nodes are miners that validate transactions.
- Anyone can join and participate in the network.

- The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.
- Nodes check and broadcast transactions.
- Some nodes are miners that validate transactions.
- Anyone can join and participate in the network.
- To avoid Sybil attacks a "Proof of Work" (PoW) for miners is required.

- The Bitcoin Network is composed by nodes that communicate with each other.
- Nodes check and broadcast transactions.
- Some nodes are miners that validate transactions.
- Anyone can join and participate in the network.
- To avoid Sybil attacks a "Proof of Work" (PoW) for miners is required.

• How to reach consensus in a network with insecure communications and malicious nodes but a majority of honest agents?



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

• How to reach consensus in a network with insecure communications and malicious nodes but a majority of honest agents?

#### The Byzantine Generals Problem.

The situation can be described as the siege of a city by a group of generals of the Byzantine army. Communicating only by messenger, the generals must agree upon a common battle plan. However, one or more of them may be traitors who will try to confuse the others. The problem is to find an algorithm to ensure that the loyal generals will reach an agreement.

• How to reach consensus in a network with insecure communications and malicious nodes but a majority of honest agents?

#### The Byzantine Generals Problem.

The situation can be described as the siege of a city by a group of generals of the Byzantine army. Communicating only by messenger, the generals must agree upon a common battle plan. However, one or more of them may be traitors who will try to confuse the others. The problem is to find an algorithm to ensure that the loyal generals will reach an agreement.

# • Nakamoto Byzantine Generals Problem: The number of generals is not fixed.

• How to reach consensus in a network with insecure communications and malicious nodes but a majority of honest agents?

#### The Byzantine Generals Problem.

The situation can be described as the siege of a city by a group of generals of the Byzantine army. Communicating only by messenger, the generals must agree upon a common battle plan. However, one or more of them may be traitors who will try to confuse the others. The problem is to find an algorithm to ensure that the loyal generals will reach an agreement.

# • Nakamoto Byzantine Generals Problem: The number of generals is not fixed.

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.

• A decentralized "lottery" is set by the PoW.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.

- A decentralized "lottery" is set by the PoW.
- A computationally intensive problem is set to validate a block.

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.

- A decentralized "lottery" is set by the PoW.
- A computationally intensive problem is set to validate a block.
- The problem is difficult to solve, but the solution is easy to check.

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.

- A decentralized "lottery" is set by the PoW.
- A computationally intensive problem is set to validate a block.
- The problem is difficult to solve, but the solution is easy to check.
- The difficulty is adjusted to find a solution in about 10 minutes.

• The idea is to select randomly who validates the next block of transactions.

- A decentralized "lottery" is set by the PoW.
- A computationally intensive problem is set to validate a block.
- The problem is difficult to solve, but the solution is easy to check.
- The difficulty is adjusted to find a solution in about 10 minutes.
- The miner that solves it receives an award in newly created bitcoins.

Image: A matrix
• There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol

(ロト・西ト・モト・モー うの)

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol
- Nobody can coerce actors to follow the protocol.



R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol
- Nobody can coerce actors to follow the protocol.
- The only possible decentralized governance is to align the protocol rules with self-interest of the participants.

- There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol
- Nobody can coerce actors to follow the protocol.
- The only possible decentralized governance is to align the protocol rules with self-interest of the participants.

This is extremely hard!

On instabilities of the Bitcoin protocol

B Pérez-Marco

- There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol
- Nobody can coerce actors to follow the protocol.
- The only possible decentralized governance is to align the protocol rules with self-interest of the participants.

This is extremely hard!

It is a miracle that the Bitcoin protocol works!

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- There cannot be a centralized authority that enforces the protocol
- Nobody can coerce actors to follow the protocol.
- The only possible decentralized governance is to align the protocol rules with self-interest of the participants.

This is extremely hard!

It is a miracle that the Bitcoin protocol works!

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへで

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

• The protocol cannot function if anyone controls more than 50% of the hashrate.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• The protocol cannot function if anyone controls more than 50% of the hashrate.

Double spend attacks, control of the blocks that are included in the blockchain, etc

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Image: A matrix

• The protocol cannot function if anyone controls more than 50% of the hashrate.

Double spend attacks, control of the blocks that are included in the blockchain, etc

Decentralized mining is fundamental to avoid a 51% attack

R. Pérez-Marco

• The protocol cannot function if anyone controls more than 50% of the hashrate.

Double spend attacks, control of the blocks that are included in the blockchain, etc

- Decentralized mining is fundamental to avoid a 51% attack
- Big pools are a thread to mining decentralization.

• The protocol cannot function if anyone controls more than 50% of the hashrate.

Double spend attacks, control of the blocks that are included in the blockchain, etc

- Decentralized mining is fundamental to avoid a 51% attack
- Big pools are a thread to mining decentralization.
- Monopole position on mining hardware manufacturing is a thread to mining decentralization.

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• A protocole rule is that miners release the mined blocks as soon as they are mined.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• A protocole rule is that miners release the mined blocks as soon as they are mined.

• Self-interested seems to be well aligned with this rule because of the block reward.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• A protocole rule is that miners release the mined blocks as soon as they are mined.

- Self-interested seems to be well aligned with this rule because of the block reward.
- A block witholding strategy allows to invalidate blocks of miner competitors.

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• A protocole rule is that miners release the mined blocks as soon as they are mined.

- Self-interested seems to be well aligned with this rule because of the block reward.
- A block witholding strategy allows to invalidate blocks of miner competitors.
- This strategy is possible with less than 50% hashrate.

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• A protocole rule is that miners release the mined blocks as soon as they are mined.

• Self-interested seems to be well aligned with this rule because of the block reward.

• A block witholding strategy allows to invalidate blocks of miner competitors.

• This strategy is possible with less than 50% hashrate.

• Costs of this strategy are not properly accounted in the literature.

• Selfish mining strategy is not profitable without an adjustment of the difficulty.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

- Selfish mining strategy is not profitable without an adjustment of the difficulty.
- The self-mining attack slows down the network and block validation.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- Selfish mining strategy is not profitable without an adjustment of the difficulty.
- The self-mining attack slows down the network and block validation.
- More precisely, *P&L* of the selfish mining strategy is negative if the difficulty does not adjust.

- Selfish mining strategy is not profitable without an adjustment of the difficulty.
- The self-mining attack slows down the network and block validation.
- More precisely, *P&L* of the selfish mining strategy is negative if the difficulty does not adjust.
- The profitability of the selfish-mining strategy relies crucially on the good connection to the network.

- Selfish mining strategy is not profitable without an adjustment of the difficulty.
- The self-mining attack slows down the network and block validation.
- More precisely, *P&L* of the selfish mining strategy is negative if the difficulty does not adjust.
- The profitability of the selfish-mining strategy relies crucially on the good connection to the network.
- Only viable with more than 30 40% of the hashrate.

R Pérez-Marco

• Why we don't see selfish mining in the network?

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- Why we don't see selfish mining in the network?
- Selfish mining is only profitable if there is only one bad actor.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

- Why we don't see selfish mining in the network?
- Selfish mining is only profitable if there is only one bad actor.
- Nash equilibrium: It is in the interest of all the miners to not start a selfish mining war because the network will stall.

- Why we don't see selfish mining in the network?
- Selfish mining is only profitable if there is only one bad actor.
- Nash equilibrium: It is in the interest of all the miners to not start a selfish mining war because the network will stall.
- After all, the protocol is well aligned.

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• Protocol rule: Miners should mine on top of the public blockchain.

・ロ・・聞・・聞・・聞・・ 日・ ろくの

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• Protocol rule: Miners should mine on top of the public blockchain.

• So, if they mine a block at the same time that a new block is found they should abandon their block and start mining on top of the new block.

Image: A matrix

R. Pérez-Marco

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• Protocol rule: Miners should mine on top of the public blockchain.

• So, if they mine a block at the same time that a new block is found they should abandon their block and start mining on top of the new block.

• The best self-interest strategy is to release the block and start mining on top of it to try to orphan the last public block (the protocol is slightly misaligned with self-interest at this point).

R. Pérez-Marco

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• Protocol rule: Miners should mine on top of the public blockchain.

• So, if they mine a block at the same time that a new block is found they should abandon their block and start mining on top of the new block.

• The best self-interest strategy is to release the block and start mining on top of it to try to orphan the last public block (the protocol is slightly misaligned with self-interest at this point).

• But if a second block is released in the public network, with 1-block behind it seems intuitively clear that the miner should adopt the public blockchain and discard his block.

(join work with C. Grunspan)

• Protocol rule: Miners should mine on top of the public blockchain.

• So, if they mine a block at the same time that a new block is found they should abandon their block and start mining on top of the new block.

• The best self-interest strategy is to release the block and start mining on top of it to try to orphan the last public block (the protocol is slightly misaligned with self-interest at this point).

• But if a second block is released in the public network, with 1-block behind it seems intuitively clear that the miner should adopt the public blockchain and discard his block. • It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks

(ロ・・御・・臣・・臣・・臣・のへぐ

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

- It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks
- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

- It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks
- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.
- It is a complex mathematical problem.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

• It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks

- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.
- It is a complex mathematical problem.

• Equivalent to a gambling problem: We have a lag of *m* and we play *n* rounds of biased coin flipping heads (probability q < 1/2) or tails (probability p = 1 - q). Reward *v* if we catch-up before *n* rounds. Each time we have a losing round the reward increases by 1.

R. Pérez-Marco

• It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks

- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.
- It is a complex mathematical problem.

• Equivalent to a gambling problem: We have a lag of *m* and we play *n* rounds of biased coin flipping heads (probability q < 1/2) or tails (probability p = 1 - q). Reward *v* if we catch-up before *n* rounds. Each time we have a losing round the reward increases by 1.

•  $E_m^n(v)$  expected value for the optimal strategy for catching-up a lag of *m* in *n* rounds with a reward *v*.

R. Pérez-Marco
• It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks

- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.
- It is a complex mathematical problem.

• Equivalent to a gambling problem: We have a lag of *m* and we play *n* rounds of biased coin flipping heads (probability q < 1/2) or tails (probability p = 1 - q). Reward *v* if we catch-up before *n* rounds. Each time we have a losing round the reward increases by 1.

- $E_m^n(v)$  expected value for the optimal strategy for catching-up a lag of *m* in *n* rounds with a reward *v*.
- $v \mapsto E_m^n(v)$  is non-decreasing.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• It may make sense to catch-up mining because although the probability of success is small, the reward is high since it reaps all the rewards of the invalidated blocks

- Catch-up mining is only profitable for a high hashrate.
- It is a complex mathematical problem.

• Equivalent to a gambling problem: We have a lag of *m* and we play *n* rounds of biased coin flipping heads (probability q < 1/2) or tails (probability p = 1 - q). Reward *v* if we catch-up before *n* rounds. Each time we have a losing round the reward increases by 1.

- $E_m^n(v)$  expected value for the optimal strategy for catching-up a lag of *m* in *n* rounds with a reward *v*.
- $v \mapsto E_m^n(v)$  is non-decreasing.
- There is a unique  $v_m^n = (E_m^n)^{-1} (0)$ .

ヘロン ヘロン ヘビン・

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

(ロ・・御・・臣・・臣・・臣・のへぐ

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$ 



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$ 



CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$   
3 For  $k < n$ ,  $f(k) > 0$ 

ロ・・母・・ヨ・ ヨ・ シック

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$   
3 For  $k < n$ ,  $f(k) > 0$ 

ロ・・母・・ヨ・ ヨ・ シック

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$   
3 For  $k < n$ ,  $f(k) > 0$   
4 For  $k < n$ ,  $|f(k+1) - f(k)| = 1$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$   
3 For  $k < n$ ,  $f(k) > 0$   
4 For  $k < n$ ,  $|f(k+1) - f(k)| = 1$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$
  
2  $f(n) = 0$   
3 For  $k < n$ ,  $f(k) > 0$   
4 For  $k < n$ ,  $|f(k+1) - f(k)| = 1$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

- 1 f(0) = m2 f(n) = 03 For k < n, f(k) > 04 For k < n, |f(k+1) - f(k)| = 1
- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

- 1 f(0) = m2 f(n) = 03 For k < n, f(k) > 04 For k < n, |f(k + 1) - f(k)| = 1
- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.
- f(k) is the lag after k turns.

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

- 1 f(0) = m2 f(n) = 03 For k < n, f(k) > 04 For k < n, |f(k + 1) - f(k)| = 1
- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.
- f(k) is the lag after k turns.
- $\mu_f(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (f(k+1) f(k))_+$  favorable rounds in k turns.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

- 1 f(0) = m
- **2** f(n) = 0
- **3** For k < n, f(k) > 0
- 4 For k < n, |f(k+1) f(k)| = 1
- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.
- f(k) is the lag after k turns.
- $\mu_f(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (f(k+1) f(k))_+$  favorable rounds in k turns.
- $w(f) = \sup_{k < |f|} v_{f(k)}^n \mu_f(k)$  minimal reward allowing to continue playing.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

< ロ > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$

2 
$$f(n) = 0$$

3 For 
$$k < n$$
,  $f(k) > 0$ 

4 For 
$$k < n$$
,  $|f(k + 1) - f(k)| = 1$ 

- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.
- f(k) is the lag after k turns.
- $\mu_f(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (f(k+1) f(k))_+$  favorable rounds in k turns.
- $w(f) = \sup_{k < |f|} v_{f(k)}^n \mu_f(k)$  minimal reward allowing to continue playing.

• 
$$\pi(f) = p^{\mu_f(|f|)} q^{|f| - \mu_f(|f|)}$$
 probability of the path f.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Let (m, n) be given. A function  $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a (m, n)-Dyck path if

1 
$$f(0) = m$$

2 
$$f(n) = 0$$

3 For 
$$k < n$$
,  $f(k) > 0$ 

4 For 
$$k < n$$
,  $|f(k + 1) - f(k)| = 1$ 

- We denote |f| = n (the length of f), and  $\mathcal{D}_m^n$  the set of all (m.k)-Dyck paths f with |f| = k < n.
- f(k) is the lag after k turns.
- $\mu_f(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (f(k+1) f(k))_+$  favorable rounds in k turns.
- $w(f) = \sup_{k < |f|} v_{f(k)}^n \mu_f(k)$  minimal reward allowing to continue playing.

• 
$$\pi(f) = p^{\mu_f(|f|)} q^{|f| - \mu_f(|f|)}$$
 probability of the path f.

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

#### Summation formula

#### Theorem (Formula with generalized Dyck paths)

$$E_m^n(v) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{D}_m^n} \pi(f)(f - w(f))_+$$

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

#### Summation formula

#### Theorem (Formula with generalized Dyck paths)

$$E_m^n(v) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{D}_m^n} \pi(f)(f - w(f))_+$$

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

#### Summation formula

#### Theorem (Formula with generalized Dyck paths)

$$E_m^n(v) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{D}_m^n} \pi(f)(f - w(f))_+$$

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

▲ロト▲聞と▲臣と▲臣と 臣 のへで

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Theorem

# If q > 0.43, m = 2, and b > 0 is the block reward, then $\lim_{n \to +\infty} E_n^2(3b) > 0$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘ

#### Theorem

# If q > 0.43, m = 2, and b > 0 is the block reward, then $\lim_{n \to +\infty} E_n^2(3b) > 0$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘ

#### Theorem

If q > 0.43, m = 2, and b > 0 is the block reward, then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} E_n^2(3b) > 0$ .

This means that it makes sense to catch-up mining 2 blocks behind if your hashrate is over 43%.

・ロト・(型)・(ヨ)・(ヨ)・(ロ)・(ロ)

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

#### Theorem

If q > 0.43, m = 2, and b > 0 is the block reward, then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} E_n^2(3b) > 0$ .

This means that it makes sense to catch-up mining 2 blocks behind if your hashrate is over 43%.

The bitcoin protocol is unstable with respect to catch-up mining.

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Sorry for the formulas...

◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ・目 ・ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Sorry for the formulas...

◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ●目 ●のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7

Sorry for the formulas...

## ...and thank you for your attention!!

R. Pérez-Marco

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Univ. Paris7