

## Homework 3

Due September 24th

### Problem 1 (Derivations) :

Prove (by providing a derivation and not by using the completeness theorem) that the following hold:

1.  $\vdash (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow ((Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Z))$ ;
2.  $\{X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z\} \vdash X \rightarrow (Y \wedge Z)$ .

Let  $\Gamma \subseteq F$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi \in F$ . Prove the following (without using the completeness theorem):

3. If  $\Gamma \cup \{A\} \vdash \neg A$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \neg A$ ;
4. If  $\varphi \vdash \psi$  holds, then  $\neg\psi \vdash \neg\varphi$  holds;
5. If  $\neg\psi \vdash \neg\varphi$  holds then  $\varphi \vdash \psi$  holds.

### Problem 2 (Substitutions) :

Let  $\Gamma \subseteq F$ ,  $\varphi, \psi$  and  $\theta \in F$  be such that  $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \theta$ ,  $\Gamma \cup \{\theta\} \vdash \psi$ .

1. Let  $\delta$  satisfy  $\Gamma$ , then  $(\varphi_{\psi/\theta})_{\delta} = (\varphi)_{\delta}$ .
2. Assume  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi_{\psi/\theta}$ .

### Problem 3 :

We want to add a new symbol  $\perp$  to our logic (for the always false formula). So now formulas are word over the alphabet  $P \wedge \{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \perp\}$  and  $\perp$  is a formula (and we still close under the same thing as before). For example,  $[\perp \rightarrow X] \wedge Y$  is now a formula. We expand the notion of semantics to these new formulas by defining  $\perp_{\delta} = 0$  for every assignement  $\delta$  (and interpretation of more complicated formulas is defined by induction as usual). We also a new deduction rule (i.e. the set of valid deductions  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  is closed under all the rules we add before plus this new one):

$$(\perp_E) \frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}$$

where  $\Gamma \subseteq F$  and  $\varphi \in F$ .

1. Show that the new rule is sound (i.e. if its premise hold for  $\models$  then its conclusion also holds for  $\models$ ).
2. Let  $\varphi \in F$  ( $\varphi$  might contain  $\perp$ ), show that the following hold:
  - a)  $\vdash \perp \leftrightarrow (\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi)$ ;
  - b)  $\vdash \neg\varphi \leftrightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \perp)$ .