

Approximate isomorphism of randomizations with a distinguished small substructure

Tomás Ibarlucía

Université Paris Cité - IMJ-PRG

CMU - March 29, 2022.

Based on joint work with James Hanson.

\aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable theories

Throughout the talk, \mathcal{T} will denote the class of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, **classical** first-order theories.

\aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable theories

Throughout the talk, \mathcal{T} will denote the class of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, **classical** first-order theories.

Results by Cherlin, Harrington, Lachlan, Mills and Zil'ber from the '80s established, among other things:

\aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable theories

Throughout the talk, \mathcal{T} will denote the class of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, **classical** first-order theories.

Results by Cherlin, Harrington, Lachlan, Mills and Zil'ber from the '80s established, among other things:

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then:

- ▶ *T is one-based, i.e., for every algebraically closed sets A, B in a model of T^{eq} , we have $A \perp_{A \cap B} B$.*

\aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable theories

Throughout the talk, \mathcal{T} will denote the class of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, **classical** first-order theories.

Results by Cherlin, Harrington, Lachlan, Mills and Zil'ber from the '80s established, among other things:

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then:

- ▶ T is one-based, i.e., for every algebraically closed sets A, B in a model of T^{eq} , we have $A \perp_{A \cap B} B$.
- ▶ If D is a strongly minimal set interpretable in T , then its associated geometry is either disintegrated, or the geometry of an affine or projective space over a finite field.

The situation in continuous logic

This “geometric” theory **breaks down** in the setting of metric structures.

The situation in continuous logic

This “geometric” theory **breaks down** in the setting of metric structures.

- ▶ The most fundamental and well-behaved examples of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable metric theories (Hilbert spaces, probability algebras) are **not** one-based.

The situation in continuous logic

This “geometric” theory **breaks down** in the setting of metric structures.

- ▶ The most fundamental and well-behaved examples of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable metric theories (Hilbert spaces, probability algebras) are **not** one-based.
- ▶ Moreover, they do not contain anything resembling a strongly minimal set.

The situation in continuous logic

This “geometric” theory **breaks down** in the setting of metric structures.

- ▶ The most fundamental and well-behaved examples of \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable metric theories (Hilbert spaces, probability algebras) are **not** one-based.
- ▶ Moreover, they do not contain anything resembling a strongly minimal set.
- ▶ No structure theory for \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable metric theories is currently available.

Reminders on beautiful pairs

An **elementary pair** of models of an L -theory T is a pair (M, N) where $M \models T$ and $N \preceq M$.

Reminders on beautiful pairs

An **elementary pair** of models of an L -theory T is a pair (M, N) where $M \models T$ and $N \preceq M$.

If we let $L_P = L \cup \{P\}$, where P a new unary predicate, an elementary pair (M, N) can be seen as an L_P -structure, where P is interpreted as (the distance predicate to) the domain of N .

Reminders on beautiful pairs

An **elementary pair** of models of an L -theory T is a pair (M, N) where $M \models T$ and $N \preceq M$.

If we let $L_P = L \cup \{P\}$, where P a new unary predicate, an elementary pair (M, N) can be seen as an L_P -structure, where P is interpreted as (the distance predicate to) the domain of N .

A **beautiful pair** of models of T is an elementary pair (M, N) such that N is \aleph_0 -saturated and M is \aleph_0 -saturated over N .

Reminders on beautiful pairs

An **elementary pair** of models of an L -theory T is a pair (M, N) where $M \models T$ and $N \preceq M$.

If we let $L_P = L \cup \{P\}$, where P a new unary predicate, an elementary pair (M, N) can be seen as an L_P -structure, where P is interpreted as (the distance predicate to) the domain of N .

A **beautiful pair** of models of T is an elementary pair (M, N) such that N is \aleph_0 -saturated and M is \aleph_0 -saturated over N .

If T is \aleph_0 -categorical and stable, then the common L_P -theory of all beautiful pairs is well-behaved, and denoted by T_P .

(Up to an appropriate change of language, it is the model companion of the theory of elementary pairs of models of T .)

Reminders on beautiful pairs

An **elementary pair** of models of an L -theory T is a pair (M, N) where $M \models T$ and $N \preceq M$.

If we let $L_P = L \cup \{P\}$, where P a new unary predicate, an elementary pair (M, N) can be seen as an L_P -structure, where P is interpreted as (the distance predicate to) the domain of N .

A **beautiful pair** of models of T is an elementary pair (M, N) such that N is \aleph_0 -saturated and M is \aleph_0 -saturated over N .

If T is \aleph_0 -categorical and stable, then the common L_P -theory of all beautiful pairs is well-behaved, and denoted by T_P .

(Up to an appropriate change of language, it is the model companion of the theory of elementary pairs of models of T .)

Question

When is T_P \aleph_0 -categorical?

A characterization of the class \mathcal{T}

Theorem (folklore)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable *classical* theory. TFAE:

1. T is \aleph_0 -stable (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{T}$).
2. T is one-based.
3. T_P is \aleph_0 -categorical.

A characterization of the class \mathcal{T}

Theorem (folklore)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable *classical* theory. TFAE:

1. T is \aleph_0 -stable (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{T}$).
2. T is one-based.
3. T_P is \aleph_0 -categorical.

Condition (3) holds for Hilbert spaces and probability algebras!

A characterization of the class \mathcal{T}

Theorem (folklore)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable *classical* theory. TFAE:

1. T is \aleph_0 -stable (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{T}$).
2. T is one-based.
3. T_P is \aleph_0 -categorical.

Condition (3) holds for Hilbert spaces and probability algebras!
However, (1) \Rightarrow (3) fails in general in continuous logic.

Example

If T is the theory of atomless L^1 Banach lattices, then T_P has exactly **two models** up to isomorphism.

A characterization of the class \mathcal{T}

Theorem (folklore)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable *classical* theory. TFAE:

1. T is \aleph_0 -stable (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{T}$).
2. T is one-based.
3. T_P is \aleph_0 -categorical.

Condition (3) holds for Hilbert spaces and probability algebras!
However, (1) \Rightarrow (3) fails in general in continuous logic.

Example

If T is the theory of atomless L^1 Banach lattices, then T_P has exactly **two models** up to isomorphism.

Nevertheless, these two models are **approximately isomorphic!**

Approximate isomorphism for pairs of models

Let (M, N) and (M', N') be two elementary pairs of models of a theory T .

Definition

Given $\epsilon > 0$, an **ϵ -isomorphism** between (M, N) and (M', N') is an isomorphism $\sigma: M \rightarrow M'$ such that:

$$d_H(\sigma(N), N') \leq \epsilon.$$

Approximate isomorphism for pairs of models

Let (M, N) and (M', N') be two elementary pairs of models of a theory T .

Definition

Given $\epsilon > 0$, an **ϵ -isomorphism** between (M, N) and (M', N') is an isomorphism $\sigma: M \rightarrow M'$ such that:

$$d_H(\sigma(N), N') \leq \epsilon.$$

The pairs (M, N) and (M', N') are **approximately isomorphic** if there is an ϵ -isomorphism between them for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Approximate isomorphism for pairs of models

Let (M, N) and (M', N') be two elementary pairs of models of a theory T .

Definition

Given $\epsilon > 0$, an **ϵ -isomorphism** between (M, N) and (M', N') is an isomorphism $\sigma: M \rightarrow M'$ such that:

$$d_H(\sigma(N), N') \leq \epsilon.$$

The pairs (M, N) and (M', N') are **approximately isomorphic** if there is an ϵ -isomorphism between them for every $\epsilon > 0$.

The theory T_P is **approximately \aleph_0 -categorical** if every two separable models of T_P are approximately isomorphic.

A conjecture

Conjecture (Ben Yaacov–Berenstein–Henson '08)

If T is an \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable metric theory, then T_P is approximately \aleph_0 -categorical.

Randomizations

Let $\Omega = ([0, 1], \mu)$ be the Lebesgue space. If M is a countable set, we denote by $M^\Omega = L^0(\Omega, M)$ the space of M -valued random variables on Ω , with metric:

$$d(f, f') = \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq f'(\omega)\}.$$

Randomizations

Let $\Omega = ([0, 1], \mu)$ be the Lebesgue space. If M is a countable set, we denote by $M^\Omega = L^0(\Omega, M)$ the space of M -valued random variables on Ω , with metric:

$$d(f, f') = \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq f'(\omega)\}.$$

Let M be a countable structure in a classical language L . Then M^Ω is an L^R -structure for $L^R = \{\mathbb{P}[\varphi] : \varphi \text{ an } L\text{-formula}\}$, where given $f \in (M^\Omega)^n$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\varphi]^{M^\Omega}(f) = \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : M \models \varphi(f(\omega))\}.$$

Randomizations

Let $\Omega = ([0, 1], \mu)$ be the Lebesgue space. If M is a countable set, we denote by $M^\Omega = L^0(\Omega, M)$ the space of M -valued random variables on Ω , with metric:

$$d(f, f') = \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq f'(\omega)\}.$$

Let M be a countable structure in a classical language L . Then M^Ω is an L^R -structure for $L^R = \{\mathbb{P}[\varphi] : \varphi \text{ an } L\text{-formula}\}$, where given $f \in (M^\Omega)^n$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\varphi]^{M^\Omega}(f) = \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : M \models \varphi(f(\omega))\}.$$

If T is the L -theory of M , we denote $T^R = \text{Th}(M^\Omega)$. If T is \aleph_0 -categorical (resp., \aleph_0 -stable), then so is T^R .

Pairs of randomizations

Let also $\Omega^2 = ([0, 1]^2, \mu)$.

We identify M^Ω with a substructure of M^{Ω^2} : those random variables that depend only on the first coordinate.

Pairs of randomizations

Let also $\Omega^2 = ([0, 1]^2, \mu)$.

We identify M^Ω with a substructure of M^{Ω^2} : those random variables that depend only on the first coordinate.

Theorem (I. '17)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable, classical theory, and let (M, N) be a beautiful pair of countable models of T . Then:

1. $(M^{\Omega^2}, N^{\Omega^2})$ is a beautiful pair of models of T^R .

Pairs of randomizations

Let also $\Omega^2 = ([0, 1]^2, \mu)$.

We identify M^Ω with a substructure of M^{Ω^2} : those random variables that depend only on the first coordinate.

Theorem (I. '17)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable, classical theory, and let (M, N) be a beautiful pair of countable models of T . Then:

1. (M^{Ω^2}, N^Ω) is a beautiful pair of models of T^R .
2. $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^\Omega) \models (T^R)_P$.

Pairs of randomizations

Let also $\Omega^2 = ([0, 1]^2, \mu)$.

We identify M^Ω with a substructure of M^{Ω^2} : those random variables that depend only on the first coordinate.

Theorem (I. '17)

Let T be an \aleph_0 -categorical, stable, classical theory, and let (M, N) be a beautiful pair of countable models of T . Then:

1. (M^{Ω^2}, N^Ω) is a beautiful pair of models of T^R .
2. $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^\Omega) \models (T^R)_P$.
3. *In fact, the separable models of $(T^R)_P$ are precisely those of the form $(M^{\Omega^2}, \hat{h}(M^\Omega))$ for $\hat{h} \in \mathbf{End}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ (up to isomorphism).*

Here, $\mathbf{End}(M)^{\Omega^2} = L^0(\Omega^2, \mathbf{End}(M))$.

A nice test case for the conjecture

In particular, given $T \in \mathcal{T}$ with infinite models, the metric theory T^R is \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, but $(T^R)_P$ is **not** \aleph_0 -categorical.

A nice test case for the conjecture

In particular, given $T \in \mathcal{T}$ with infinite models, the metric theory T^R is \aleph_0 -categorical, \aleph_0 -stable, but $(T^R)_P$ is **not** \aleph_0 -categorical.

Question

Is $(T^R)_P$ approximately \aleph_0 -categorical?

A sufficient condition

Definition

Let M be the countable model of a theory $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

We say T has **approximable random endomorphisms** if for every $\hat{h} \in \text{End}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ such that, for every $f \in M^{\Omega}$, we have $d(\hat{g}(f), \hat{h}(f)) \leq \epsilon$.

Note: the distance $d(\hat{g}(f), \hat{h}(f))$ is given by

$$\mu\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : g(\omega_1, \omega_2)f(\omega_1) \neq h(\omega_1, \omega_2)f(\omega_1)\}.$$

A sufficient condition

Definition

Let M be the countable model of a theory $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

We say T has **approximable random endomorphisms** if for every $\hat{h} \in \text{End}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ such that, for every $f \in M^{\Omega}$, we have $d(\hat{g}(f), \hat{h}(f)) \leq \epsilon$.

Note: the distance $d(\hat{g}(f), \hat{h}(f))$ is given by

$$\mu\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : g(\omega_1, \omega_2)f(\omega_1) \neq h(\omega_1, \omega_2)f(\omega_1)\}.$$

Lemma

If T has approximable random endomorphisms, then $(T^R)_P$ is approximately \aleph_0 -categorical.

Proof.

We have $d_H(\hat{g}(M^{\Omega}), \hat{h}(M^{\Omega})) \leq \epsilon$, so \hat{g} is an ϵ -isomorphism between $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^{\Omega})$ and $(M^{\Omega^2}, \hat{h}(M^{\Omega}))$. □

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Theorem (Hanson–I. '21)

*Let T be the theory of infinite sets in the empty language.
Then T has approximable random endomorphisms.*

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Theorem (Hanson–I. '21)

*Let T be the theory of infinite sets in the empty language.
Then T has approximable random endomorphisms.*

Sketch of proof.

Let M be a countable infinite set and let $\hat{h} \in \text{End}(M)^{\Omega^2}$.

Note that the quotient $\text{Aut}(M) \backslash \text{End}(M)$ is countable.

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Theorem (Hanson–I. '21)

*Let T be the theory of infinite sets in the empty language.
Then T has approximable random endomorphisms.*

Sketch of proof.

Let M be a countable infinite set and let $\hat{h} \in \text{End}(M)^{\Omega^2}$.

Note that the quotient $\text{Aut}(M) \backslash \text{End}(M)$ is countable.

Thus up to multiplying by an element of $\text{Aut}(M)^{\Omega^2}$, we may assume that \hat{h} only takes countable many values from $\text{End}(M)$.

That is, there are $h_k \in \text{End}(M)$ and a partition $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Ω^2 such that $\hat{h}|_{A_k}$ is constantly h_k .

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Sketch of proof (continued).

Fix $h = h_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} \in \text{Aut}(M)$ such that, for every $a \in M$, $|\{i < n : g_i(a) \neq h(a)\}| \leq 1$.

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Sketch of proof (continued).

Fix $h = h_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} \in \text{Aut}(M)$ such that, for every $a \in M$, $|\{i < n : g_i(a) \neq h(a)\}| \leq 1$.

Then take a “horizontal” equipartition $\{A_k^i\}_{i < n}$ of A_k , i.e.,

$$\mu((A_k^i)_\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \mu((A_k)_\omega) \text{ at each vertical slice } \{\omega\} \times \Omega.$$

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Sketch of proof (continued).

Fix $h = h_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} \in \text{Aut}(M)$ such that, for every $a \in M$, $|\{i < n : g_i(a) \neq h(a)\}| \leq 1$.

Then take a “horizontal” equipartition $\{A_k^i\}_{i < n}$ of A_k , i.e.,

$$\mu((A_k^i)_\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \mu((A_k)_\omega) \text{ at each vertical slice } \{\omega\} \times \Omega.$$

Define $\hat{g}_k \in \text{Aut}(M)^{A_k}$ to be g_i on A_k^i .

Pairs of randomized infinite sets

Sketch of proof (continued).

Fix $h = h_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} \in \text{Aut}(M)$ such that, for every $a \in M$, $|\{i < n : g_i(a) \neq h(a)\}| \leq 1$.

Then take a “horizontal” equipartition $\{A_k^i\}_{i < n}$ of A_k , i.e.,

$$\mu((A_k^i)_\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \mu((A_k)_\omega) \text{ at each vertical slice } \{\omega\} \times \Omega.$$

Define $\hat{g}_k \in \text{Aut}(M)^{A_k}$ to be g_i on A_k^i .

Putting the \hat{g}_k together, we get $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(M)^{\Omega^2}$ such that $d(\hat{g}(f), \hat{h}(f)) \leq 1/n$ for every $f \in M^\Omega$. □

Preservation results

An \aleph_0 -categorical structure M can be identified with the **oligomorphic** permutation group $\text{Aut}(M) \curvearrowright M$.

Lemma

Let $G \curvearrowright M$ and $H \curvearrowright N$ be oligomorphic permutation groups.

- ▶ If G and H have approximable random endomorphisms, then so do $G \times H \curvearrowright M \sqcup N$ and $G^N \rtimes H \curvearrowright M \times N$.
- ▶ If G is a finite index supergroup of H and H has approximable random endomorphisms, then so does G .

Preservation results

An \aleph_0 -categorical structure M can be identified with the **oligomorphic** permutation group $\text{Aut}(M) \curvearrowright M$.

Lemma

Let $G \curvearrowright M$ and $H \curvearrowright N$ be oligomorphic permutation groups.

- ▶ If G and H have approximable random endomorphisms, then so do $G \times H \curvearrowright M \sqcup N$ and $G^N \rtimes H \curvearrowright M \times N$.
- ▶ If G is a finite index supergroup of H and H has approximable random endomorphisms, then so does G .

Lemma

Having approximable random endomorphisms is preserved by bi-interpretability.

Preservation results

An \aleph_0 -categorical structure M can be identified with the **oligomorphic** permutation group $\text{Aut}(M) \curvearrowright M$.

Lemma

Let $G \curvearrowright M$ and $H \curvearrowright N$ be oligomorphic permutation groups.

- ▶ *If G and H have approximable random endomorphisms, then so do $G \times H \curvearrowright M \sqcup N$ and $G^N \rtimes H \curvearrowright M \times N$.*
- ▶ *If G is a finite index supergroup of H and H has approximable random endomorphisms, then so does G .*

Lemma

Having approximable random endomorphisms is preserved by bi-interpretability.

We can deduce that $(T^R)_P$ is approximately \aleph_0 -categorical for many $T \in \mathcal{T}$, e.g., monadically stable theories, theories of crossing equivalences relations, etc.

A family of counterexamples

Theorem (Hanson–I. '21)

*Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$ interpret a non-disintegrated strongly minimal set.
Then $(T^R)_P$ is **not approximately \aleph_0 -categorical**.*

Thus, the conjecture of Ben Yaacov–Berenstein–Henson fails.

Randomized vector spaces

Example

Let M be an infinite vector space over a finite field k , and let $N \preceq M$ have infinite codimension. If $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there is no ϵ -isomorphism between $(M^{\Omega^2}, N^{\Omega})$ and $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^{\Omega})$.

Randomized vector spaces

Example

Let M be an infinite vector space over a finite field k , and let $N \preceq M$ have infinite codimension. If $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there is no ϵ -isomorphism between $(M^{\Omega^2}, N^{\Omega})$ and $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^{\Omega})$.

Sketch of proof.

Suppose there is such an ϵ -isomorphism σ , and let $R = \sigma(N^{\Omega})$. So M^{Ω^2} is \aleph_0 -saturated over R , and R is ϵ -close to M^{Ω} .

Randomized vector spaces

Example

Let M be an infinite vector space over a finite field k , and let $N \preceq M$ have infinite codimension. If $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there is no ϵ -isomorphism between $(M^{\Omega^2}, N^{\Omega})$ and $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^{\Omega})$.

Sketch of proof.

Suppose there is such an ϵ -isomorphism σ , and let $R = \sigma(N^{\Omega})$. So M^{Ω^2} is \aleph_0 -saturated over R , and R is ϵ -close to M^{Ω} .

Given $\alpha \in \Omega^2$, let $R(\alpha) \subseteq M$ be “the induced subspace” at α (to be defined carefully).

Randomized vector spaces

Example

Let M be an infinite vector spaces over a finite field k , and let $N \preceq M$ have infinite codimension. If $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there is no ϵ -isomorphism between $(M^{\Omega^2}, N^{\Omega})$ and $(M^{\Omega^2}, M^{\Omega})$.

Sketch of proof.

Suppose there is such an ϵ -isomorphism σ , and let $R = \sigma(N^{\Omega})$. So M^{Ω^2} is \aleph_0 -saturated over R , and R is ϵ -close to M^{Ω} .

Given $\alpha \in \Omega^2$, let $R(\alpha) \subseteq M$ be “the induced subspace” at α (to be defined carefully).

By saturation of M^{Ω^2} over R , the subspace $R(\alpha)$ has infinite codimension almost everywhere.

Randomized vector spaces

Sketch of proof (continued).

Write $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$ where $M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$ and $\dim(M_i) < \infty$.

Randomized vector spaces

Sketch of proof (continued).

Write $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$ where $M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$ and $\dim(M_i) < \infty$.

Thus $d_i(\alpha) := \dim(M_i/M_i \cap R(\alpha)) \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere.

Randomized vector spaces

Sketch of proof (continued).

Write $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$ where $M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$ and $\dim(M_i) < \infty$.

Thus $d_i(\alpha) := \dim(M_i/M_i \cap R(\alpha)) \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere.

Hence:

$$q_i := \int_{\Omega^2} \frac{|M_i \cap R(\alpha)|}{|M_i|} d\alpha = \int_{\Omega^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{d_i(\alpha)}} d\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

But also:

$$q_i = \frac{1}{|M_i|} \sum_{v \in M_i} \mu\{\alpha \in \Omega^2 : v \in R(\alpha)\},$$

so there is $v \in M$ such that $\mu\{\alpha : v \in R(\alpha)\} < \delta < 1 - \epsilon$.

Randomized vector spaces

Sketch of proof (continued).

Write $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$ where $M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$ and $\dim(M_i) < \infty$.

Thus $d_i(\alpha) := \dim(M_i/M_i \cap R(\alpha)) \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere.

Hence:

$$q_i := \int_{\Omega^2} \frac{|M_i \cap R(\alpha)|}{|M_i|} d\alpha = \int_{\Omega^2} \frac{1}{|k|^{d_i(\alpha)}} d\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

But also:

$$q_i = \frac{1}{|M_i|} \sum_{v \in M_i} \mu\{\alpha \in \Omega^2 : v \in R(\alpha)\},$$

so there is $v \in M$ such that $\mu\{\alpha : v \in R(\alpha)\} < \delta < 1 - \epsilon$.

Thus the constant function v on Ω^2 is in M^Ω , but $d(v, f) > 1 - \delta > \epsilon$ for all $f \in R$, a contradiction. □

Guesswork and questions

Let \mathcal{T}_0 be the class of theories $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(T^R)_P$ is approximately \aleph_0 -categorical.

Conjecture

For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$ iff all strongly minimal sets of T^{eq} are disintegrated (equivalently, iff T is interpretable in $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$).

Guesswork and questions

Let \mathcal{T}_0 be the class of theories $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(T^R)_P$ is approximately \aleph_0 -categorical.

Conjecture

For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$ iff all strongly minimal sets of T^{eq} are disintegrated (equivalently, iff T is interpretable in $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$).

Question

1. Is the class \mathcal{T}_0 closed under interpretations?
2. Is the class \mathcal{T}_0 closed under finite covers?

Same questions for the property of having approximable random endomorphisms.

Thank you.