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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a punctured Riemann surface endowed with a Hermitian metric that equals the Poincaré metric near the punctures, and a holomorphic line bundle that polarizes the metric. We show that the Bergman kernel can be localized around the singularities and its local model is the Bergman kernel of the punctured unit disc endowed with the standard Poincaré metric. One of the technical tools is a new weighted elliptic estimate near the punctures, which is uniform with respect to the tensor power. As a consequence, we obtain an optimal uniform estimate of the supremum norm of the Bergman kernel, involving a fractional growth order of the tensor power. This holds in particular for the Bergman kernel of cusp forms of high weight of non-cocompact geometrically finite Fuchsian groups of first kind without elliptic elements.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the Bergman kernels of a singular Hermitian line bundle over a Riemann surface under the assumption that the curvature has singularities of Poincaré type at a finite set. Our first result shows that the Bergman kernel can be localized around the singularities and its local model is the Bergman kernel of the punctured disc endowed with the standard Poincare metric. The proof follows the principle that the spectral gap of the Kodaira Laplacian and uniformly elliptic estimates near the singularities imply the localization of the Bergman kernel [27]. By a detailed analysis of the local model we deduce a sharp uniform estimate of the supremum norm of the Bergman kernels.

Let us describe our setting. Let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be a compact Riemann surface and let $D=$ $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \subset \bar{\Sigma}$ be a finite set. We consider the punctured Riemann surface $\Sigma=$ $\bar{\Sigma} \backslash D$ and a Hermitian form $\omega_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma$. Let $L$ be a holomorphic line bundle on $\bar{\Sigma}$, and let $h$ be a singular Hermitian metric on $L$ such that:
$(\alpha) h$ is smooth over $\Sigma$, and for all $j=1, \ldots, N$, there is a trivialization of $L$ in the complex neighborhood $\overline{V_{j}}$ of $a_{j}$ in $\bar{\Sigma}$, with associated coordinate $z_{j}$ such that $|1|_{h}^{2}\left(z_{j}\right)=\left|\log \left(\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)\right|$.
$(\beta)$ There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that the (smooth) curvature $R^{L}$ of $h$ satisfies $i R^{L} \geq \varepsilon \omega_{\Sigma}$ over $\Sigma$ and moreover, $i R^{L}=\omega_{\Sigma}$ on $V_{j}:=\overline{V_{j}} \backslash\left\{a_{j}\right\}$; in particular, $\omega_{\Sigma}=\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ in the local coordinate $z_{j}$ on $V_{j}$ and $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ is complete.
Here $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ denotes the Poincaré metric on the punctured unit disc $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, normalized as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}:=\frac{i d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{|z|^{2} \log ^{2}\left(|z|^{2}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \geq 1$, let $h^{p}:=h^{\otimes p}$ be the metric induced by $h$ on $\left.L^{p}\right|_{\Sigma}$, where $L^{p}:=L^{\otimes p}$. We denote by $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ the space of $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}$-holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ relative to the metrics $h^{p}$ and $\omega_{\Sigma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)=\left\{S \in H^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right):\|S\|_{L^{2}}^{2}:=\int_{\Sigma}|S|_{h^{p}}^{2} \omega_{\Sigma}<\infty\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the obvious inner product. The sections from $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ extend to holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ over $\bar{\Sigma}$, i.e., (see [27, (6.2.17)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right) \subset H^{0}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, L^{p}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the dimension $d_{p}$ of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ is finite.
We denote by respectively by $B_{p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and by $B_{p}(\cdot)$ the (Schwartz-)Bergman kernel and the Bergman kernel function of the space $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$, defined as follows: if $\left\{S_{\ell}^{p}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x, y)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{d_{p}} S_{\ell}^{p}(x) \otimes\left(S_{p}(y)\right)^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{p}(x)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{d_{p}}\left|S_{\ell}^{p}(x)\right|_{h^{p}}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these are independent of the choice of basis (see [27, (6.1.10)] or [13, Lemma 3.1]). Similarly, let $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)$ and $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x)$ be the Bergman kernel and Bergman kernel function of $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$, and $h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right| h_{0}$ with $h_{0}$ the flat Hermitian metric on the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{C}$.

The main result of this paper is a weighted estimate in the $C^{m}$-norm near the punctures for the global Bergman kernel $B_{p}$ compared to the Bergman kernel $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ of the punctured disc, uniformly in the tensor powers of the given bundle.

Note that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the $C^{k}$-norm at $x \in \Sigma$ is defined for $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x)=\left(|\sigma|_{h^{p}}+\left|\nabla^{p, \Sigma} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{p, \Sigma}\right)^{k} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}\right)(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nabla^{p, \Sigma}$ is the connection on $(T \Sigma)^{\otimes \ell} \otimes L^{p}$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the Chern connection on $\left(L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$, and the pointwise norm $|\cdot|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}$ is induced by $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and $h^{p}$. In the same way, for $f \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$, its $C^{k}$-norm $|f|_{C^{k}}(x)$ at $x \in \Sigma$ is defined by using the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$.

Let us fix a point $a \in D$ and work in coordinates centered at $a$. Let $\mathfrak{e}$ be the holomorphic frame of $L$ near $a$ corresponding to the trivialization in the condition $(\alpha)$. Let $0<r<e^{-1}$ and let $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$ be the punctured disc of radius $r$ centered at $a$. By the assumptions $(\alpha),(\beta)$, under our trivialization $\mathfrak{e}$ of $L$ on the coordinate $z$ on $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$, we have the identification of the geometric data $\left.\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h\right)\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}}=\left.\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}}$.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h\right)$ fulfill conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$. Let $a \in D$, and $0<r<e^{-1}$ as above. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, \ell>0, \alpha \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C_{k, \ell, \alpha}$ such that for $p \gg 1$, we have on $\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)-B_{p}(x, y)\right|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C_{k, \ell, \alpha} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\alpha}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\alpha}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with norms computed with help of (double copies of) $\omega_{\Sigma}, h$, and the associated LeviCivita and Chern connections on $\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$.

As a consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain in Corollary 6.1 uniform estimates for the Bergman kernel $B_{p}(x, y)$ away from the diagonal. Another consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the weighted diagonal expansion of the Bergman kernel:

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h\right)$ fulfill conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$. Then the following estimate holds: for any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and every $\delta>0$, there exists a constant $C=C(\ell, m, \delta)$ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, and $z \in V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{N}$ with the local coordinate $z_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{p}-B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right|_{C^{m}}\left(z_{j}\right) \leq C p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\delta} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with norms computed with help of $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and the associated Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$.

Remark 1.3 Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 admit a generalization to orbifold Riemann surfaces. Assume that $\bar{\Sigma}$ is a compact orbifold Riemann surface, and the finite set $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \subset \bar{\Sigma}$ does not meet the (orbifold) singular set of $\bar{\Sigma}$. Assume moreover that $L$ is a holomorphic orbifold line bundle on $\bar{\Sigma}$. Let $\omega_{\Sigma}$ be an orbifold Hermitian form on $\Sigma$ and $h$ an orbifold Hermitian metric on $L$ in the sense of [27, §5.4]. The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be modified to show: If conditions $(\alpha),(\beta)$ hold in this context, then (1.7) holds. In fact, the elliptic estimate [15, (4.14)] and the finite propagation speed of wave operators hold on orbifolds as observed by [26, §6], so the arguments used in this paper go through for orbifolds to get the conclusion.

By [27, Theorems 6.1.1, 6.2.3], for any compact set $K \subset \Sigma$ we have the following expansion on $K$ in any $C^{m}$-topology (see Theorem 2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} B_{p}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}(x) p^{-j} \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contrast, Theorem 1.2 gives a precise description of $B_{p}$ up to the punctures, in terms of the Bergman kernel function of the Poincaré metric on the local model of the punctured unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Note that in the case of smooth Hermitian metrics with positive curvature the Bergman kernel can be localized and its local model is the Euclidean space endowed with a trivial bundle of positive curvature, see [27, Sections 4.1.2-3]. This kind of localization is inspired from the analytic localization technique of Bismut-Lebeau [7] in local index theory. For the problem at hand we have to overcome difficulties linked to the presence of singularities. For this purpose we prove a new weighted elliptic estimate near the punctures, which is uniform in the tensor powers $p$. Then, combining with a revisited, singularity-centered localization principle and a weighted Sobolev embedding, we can reach (1.7) for some negative $\delta$ however. Using the fact that square integrable holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ vanish on $D$, we finally establish (1.7) for any positive $\delta$.

From a study of the model Bergman kernel functions $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ on the punctured unit disc, we get the following ratio estimate as a corollary of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 3.6:

Corollary 1.4 Let $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h\right)$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Sigma} B_{p}(x)=\sup _{\substack{x \in \Sigma \\ \sigma \in H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right) \backslash\{0\}}} \frac{|\sigma(x)|_{h^{p}}^{2}}{\|\sigma\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}=\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}(p) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This collection of results represents, to our knowledge, the first example of a uniform $\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty}$ asymptotic description of the Bergman kernel function of a singular polarization. This is of particular interest in arithmetic situations. Note that the work of Burgos Gil et al. [10,11] developed the arithmetic intersection theory for log-singular Hermitian metrics, showing in particular that Arakelov heights can be defined, and applied successfully the theory for the Hilbert modular surfaces. Our results provide some possible applications in this direction. For example, the classical arithmetic HilbertSamuel theorem [21] for positive Hermitian line bundles is usually used to produce global integral sections with small sup-norm; a combination of the recent work [5] with the distortion estimate of our Corollary 1.4 should give some interesting arithmetic consequence for cusp forms on arithmetic surfaces and Hilbert modular surfaces.

Corollary 1.4 is also quite striking from a Kähler geometry point of view, as the supremum of the Bergman kernel is equivalent to $\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{n}$ on compact polarized manifolds of complex dimension $n$ (cf. Corollary 2.3), compared to the non-integer exponent $\frac{3}{2}$ in (1.9). In Kähler geometry moreover, a central problem is the relation between the existence of special complete/singular metrics and the stability of the pair $(X, D)$ where $D$ is a smooth divisor of a compact Kähler manifold $X$; see e.g. the suggestions of [31, §3.1.2] for the case of "asymptotically hyperbolic Kähler metrics", which naturally generalize to higher dimensions the complete metrics $\omega_{\Sigma}$ studied here. In this respect, Theorem 1.2 is an initial step towards the application of Bergman kernels to this problem and the first instance in which the behavior of the Bergman kernel at infinity is fully understood. Moreover, the technique developed here can be extended to the higher dimensional situation; more precisely, in the case of Poincaré type Kähler metrics with reasonably fine asymptotics on complement of divisors, see the construction of [2, §1.1] and [3, Theorem 4], we expect uniform elliptic estimates and localization techniques to transpose in a straightforward way. ${ }^{1}$

Besides, we remark that the Bergman kernel of smooth approximations of a singular metric can change dramatically near the limit, cf. [17]. In the same circle of ideas, note that the Bergman kernel $B_{p}$ provides information on holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ over the whole $\bar{\Sigma}$ that vanish at order $\geq 1$ at the punctures $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}$, whereas, for some fixed $\alpha \in(0,1)$ smooth metrics and "partial Bergman kernels" can be used to derive information on holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ on $\bar{\Sigma}$ with higher vanishing order $\geq\lfloor\alpha p\rfloor$ at the $a_{j}$ 's. (see [14]). With this in mind, one might roughly observe three different

[^1]regimes in the asymptotics of $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, hence of $B_{p}$ (Poincaré type case), corresponding to sections with vanishing order at least 1 and $\ll p^{1 / 2}, \sim p^{1 / 2}$, and $\gg p^{1 / 2}$, respectively; see Sect. 3, in particular Fig. 1. ${ }^{2}$ Note also that the behavior of the Bergman kernel on singular Riemann surfaces is relevant for the theory of quantum Hall effect [25] and attracted attention recently.

We give an important example where Theorem 1.2 applies. Let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ and consider a finite set $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \subset \bar{\Sigma}$. We also denote by $D$ the divisor $\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j}$ and let $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$ be the associated line bundle. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Sigma=\bar{\Sigma} \backslash D$ admits a complete Kähler-Einstein metric $\omega_{\Sigma}$ with $\operatorname{Ric}_{\omega_{\Sigma}}=-\omega_{\Sigma}$,
(ii) $2 g-2+N>0$,
(iii) the universal cover of $\Sigma$ is the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$,
(iv) $L=K_{\bar{\Sigma}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$ is ample.

This follows from the Uniformization Theorem [18, Chapter IV] and the fact that the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$ equals $\chi(\Sigma)=2-2 g-N$ and the degree of $L$ is $2 g-2+N=-\chi(\Sigma)$. If one of these equivalent conditions is satisfied, the KählerEinstein metric $\omega_{\Sigma}$ is induced by the Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{H} ;\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the formal square root of $(L, h)$ satisfy conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$, see Lemma 6.2. Theorem 1.2 hence applies to this context. Let $\Gamma$ be the Fuchsian group associated with the above Riemann surface $\Sigma$, that is, $\Sigma \cong \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a geometrically finite Fuchsian group of the first kind, without elliptic elements. Conversely, if $\Gamma$ is such a group, then $\Sigma:=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ can by compactified by finitely many points $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}$ into a compact Riemann surface $\bar{\Sigma}$ such that the equivalent conditions (i)-(iv) above are fulfilled. Let $\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$ be the space of cusp forms (Spitzenformen) of weight $2 p$ of $\Gamma$ endowed with the Petersson inner product. We can form the Bergman kernel function of $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\Gamma}$ as in (1.4), denoted by $B_{p}^{\Gamma}$. We deduce from Corollary 1.4:

Corollary 1.5 Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a geometrically finite Fuchsian group of the first kind without elliptic elements. Let $B_{p}^{\Gamma}$ be the Bergman kernel function of cusp forms of weight $2 p$. If $\Gamma$ is cocompact then uniformly on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\frac{p}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}(1), \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Gamma$ is not cocompact then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\left(\frac{p}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}(p), \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Uniform estimates for $\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)$ are relevant in arithmetic geometry and were proved in various degrees of generality and sharpness in [1,20,24,29]. In [20] it

[^2]is proved that in the cofinite but non-cocompact case $\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3 / 2}\right)$ and the result is optimal, at least up to an additive term in the exponent of the form $-\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Estimate (1.11) gives the precise coefficient of the leading term $p^{3 / 2}$ and is sharp (by killing the " $\varepsilon$ from below" from [20]). Estimate (1.10) is the consequence of the general expansion of the Bergman kernel on compact manifolds [9,12,32,34] (cf. also $[15,27]$ and Theorem 2.1).

It turns out that Corollary 1.5 can be formulated so as to underline a certain uniformity in $\Gamma$, in the same fashion as in [20]:

Theorem 1.6 Let $\Gamma_{0} \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a fixed Fuchsian subgroup of the first kind without elliptic elements and let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ be any subgroup of finite index. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is cocompact, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\frac{p}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1), \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Gamma_{0}$ is not cocompact then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\left(\frac{p}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(p), \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \text {. } \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the implied constants in $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(p)$ depend solely on $\Gamma_{0}$.
Note that (1.12) is a special case of a more general result, which is implied in [27, $\S 6.1 .2]$ and that we state as Theorem 2.5 in Sect. 2.

We consider further an extension of Theorem 1.6 to the case when the group $\Gamma_{0}$ has elliptic elements. Then the quotients $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ are in general orbifolds. By using the result of Dai-Liu-Ma [15, (5.25)] on the Bergman kernel asymptotics on orbifolds and the orbifold version of Theorem 1.2 we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.7 Let $\Gamma_{0} \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a fixed Fuchsian subgroup of the first kind. Let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ be the orbifold points of $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and $U_{x_{j}}$ be a small neighborhood of $x_{j}$ in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ be any subgroup of finite index and $\pi_{\Gamma}: \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be the natural projection. If $\Gamma_{0}$ is cocompact, then as $p \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\frac{p}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1), \text { uniformly on }(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}) \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On each $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right)$ we have as $p \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\left(1+\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{x_{j}} \backslash\{1\}} \exp \left(i p \theta_{\gamma}-p\left(1-e^{i \theta_{\gamma}}\right)|z|^{2}\right)\right) \frac{p}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{j}^{\Gamma} \in \pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)$ is in the same component of $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right)$ as $x, e^{i \theta_{\gamma}}$ is the action of $\gamma$ on the fiber of $K_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}}$ at $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$, and $z=z(x)$ is the coordinate of $x$ in normal coordinates $z$ centered at $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, and $\Gamma_{y}=\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma y=y\}$ the stabilizer of $y$.

In particular, if $q_{0}=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\left|\Gamma_{0, x_{j}}\right|: j=1, \ldots, q\right\}, n_{\Gamma}=\max \left\{\left|\Gamma_{y}\right|: y \in\right.$ $\left.\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, q\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{q_{0} p}^{\Gamma}(x)=n_{\Gamma} \frac{q_{0} p}{\pi}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Gamma_{0}$ is not cocompact then as $p \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)=\left(\frac{p}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(p) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again the implied constants in $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(p)$ depend solely on $\Gamma_{0}$.
Theorems 1.6, 1.7 sharpen in an optimal way the main result of [20] that states that

$$
\sup _{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}(p) & \text { if } \Gamma_{0} \text { is cocompact }  \tag{1.18}\\ \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(p^{3 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \Gamma_{0} \text { is not cocompact. }\end{cases}
$$

We obtain in this way the precise leading terms in (1.18).
The results of this paper were announced in [4].
This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall the Bergman kernel expansion of complete Kähler manifolds and introduce the functional space we need further. In Sect. 3, we study our model situation: the Bergman kernel on the punctured unit disc with Poincaré metric. In Sect. 4, we establish the basic weighted elliptic estimate on the punctured unit disc with Poincaré metric uniformly with respect to the $p$-th power of the trivial line bundle with Poincaré metric. In Sect. 5, we develop the spectral gap properties of the Kodaira Laplacian and give a rough uniform estimate of an approximation of the Bergman kernel. In Sect. 6, by combining the finite propagation speed of the wave operator and Sect. 5, we establish finally the main results stated in the Introduction. In the Appendix A, we prove a technical result, Lemma 3.4.

## 2 Preliminaries

In Sect. 2.1 we recall by following [27] the asymptotics of the Bergman kernels on complete manifolds and prove some results of independent interest about this expansion on Riemann surfaces with locally constant curvature and also about its behavior with respect to coverings. In Sect. 2.2 we introduce some functional and section spaces that will be used throughout the paper.

### 2.1 Expansion of Bergman kernels on complete manifolds

For a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle ( $L, h$ ) on a complex manifold we denote by $R^{L}$ its Chern curvature and by $c_{1}(L, h)=\frac{i}{2 \pi} R^{L}$ its Chern form.

Let $\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)$ be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ and ( $L, h$ ) be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $M$ and $K_{M}$ be the canonical line bundle on $M$. Then the $L^{2}$-norm on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$, the space of smooth sections of $L^{p}$ with compact support, is defined for any $s \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{M}|s(x)|_{h^{p}}^{2} \frac{\omega_{M}^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$ be the $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}$-completion of $\left(C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M, L^{p}\right),\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}}\right)$. We denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the inner product on $L^{2}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$ induced by this $L^{2}$-norm. Then the Bergman kernel function $B_{p}(x) \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is still defined by (1.4) with $\left\{S_{\ell}^{p}\right\}_{\ell \geq 1}$ an orthonormal basis of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$, the space of $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}$-holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ on $M$ with respect to (2.1). The Bergman kernel $B_{p}(x, y)$ is the smooth kernel of the orthonormal projection from $\left(\boldsymbol{L}^{2}\left(M, L^{p}\right),\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}}\right)$ onto $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(M, L^{p}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x, y)=\sum_{\ell \geq 1} S_{\ell}^{p}(x) \otimes\left(S_{\ell}^{p}(y)\right)^{*} \in L_{x}^{p} \otimes\left(L_{y}^{p}\right)^{*}, \quad \text { and } \quad B_{p}(x, x)=B_{p}(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\left(S_{\ell}^{p}(y)\right)^{*} \in\left(L_{y}^{p}\right)^{*}$ is the metric dual of $S_{\ell}^{p}(y)$ with respect to $h^{p}$.
The Bergman kernel function (1.4) has the following variational characterization (see [13, Lemma 3.1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x)=\max \left\{|S(x)|_{h^{p}}^{2}: S \in H_{(2)}^{0}\left(M, L^{p}\right),\|S\|_{L^{2}}=1\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the expansion theorem for the Bergman kernel on a complete manifold [27, Theorem 6.1.1].

Theorem 2.1 Let $\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)$ be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ and $(L, h)$ be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $M$. We assume there exist $\varepsilon>0, C>0$ such that $i R^{L} \geq \varepsilon \omega_{M}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{\omega_{M}} \geq-C \omega_{M}$, where $\operatorname{Ric}_{\omega_{M}}=i R^{K_{M}^{*}}$ is the Ricci curvature of $\omega_{M}$. Then there exist coefficients $\boldsymbol{b}_{j} \in C^{\infty}(M), j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any compact set $K \subset M$, any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k, m, K}>0$ such that for $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{p^{n}} B_{p}(x)-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}(x) p^{-j}\right\|_{C^{m}(K)} \leq C_{k, m, K} p^{-k-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{b}_{0}=\frac{c_{1}(L, h)^{n}}{\omega_{M}^{n}}, \quad \boldsymbol{b}_{1}=\frac{\boldsymbol{b}_{0}}{8 \pi}\left(r_{\omega}-2 \Delta_{\omega} \log \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r_{\omega}, \Delta_{\omega}$, are the scalar curvature, respectively the (positive) Laplacian, of the Riemannian metric associated to $\omega:=c_{1}(L, h)$.

We write (2.4) shortly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}(x) p^{n-j}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{n-k-1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For compact or certain complete Kähler-Einstein manifolds the expansion was obtained by Tian [32] for $k=0$ and $m=2$. For general $k, m$ and compact manifolds the existence of the expansion was first obtained in [12,34].

The proof of [27, Theorem 6.1.1] crucially relies on the following localization principle for Bergman kernels, that we use in the proof of Corollary 2.4 below. Namely, as illustrated by the formulas for $\boldsymbol{b}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ in (2.5), the asymptotics of $B_{p}(x)$ depend only on the geometric data in any neighborhood of $x \in M$. Hence, the Bergman kernel function asymptotics are the same on two open sets (in two possibly different manifolds) over which the geometric data are isometric.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\left(M_{1}, \omega_{M_{1}}\right),\left(M_{2}, \omega_{M_{2}}\right)$ be complete Kähler manifolds of dimension $n$ and $\left(L_{1}, h_{1}\right) \rightarrow M_{1},\left(L_{2}, h_{2}\right) \rightarrow M_{2}$ be Hermitian holomorphic line bundles. We assume there exist $\varepsilon>0, C>0$ such that for $j=1$, 2 we have $i R^{L_{j}} \geq \varepsilon \omega_{M_{j}}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{\omega_{M_{j}}} \geq-C \omega_{M_{j}}$. Assume moreover that there are open sets $U_{j} \subset M_{j}, j=1,2$, and biholomorphic isometries $\Phi: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}, \Psi:\left(\left.L_{1}\right|_{U_{1}}, h_{1}\right) \rightarrow \Phi^{*}\left(\left(\left.L_{2}\right|_{U_{2}}, h_{2}\right)\right)$, where $\Psi$ is also a bundle isomorphism. Let us denote by $B_{j, p}$ the Bergman kernel functions of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(M_{j}, L_{j}^{p}\right), j=1,2$. Then for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any compact set $K \subset U_{1}$, we have

$$
B_{1, p}-B_{2, p} \circ \Phi=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k}\right) \text { in } C^{m}(K) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty
$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{b}_{1, j}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{2, j}$ denote the coefficients of the expansion (2.6) of $B_{1, p}$ and $B_{2, p}$, then $\boldsymbol{b}_{1, j}=\boldsymbol{b}_{2, j} \circ \Phi$ on $U_{1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that in [23], Hsiao and Marinescu got also a localization principe on any noncompact manifold for the kernel for lower energy forms.

We immediately obtain from Theorem 2.1 uniform sup-norm bounds for the Bergman kernel on compact subsets.

Corollary 2.3 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, let $K \subset M$ be a compact subset such that $i R^{L}=\omega_{M}$ on $K$. Then uniformly on $K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x)=\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{n}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{n-1}\right), \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of dimension one and constant curvature we can state the following.

Corollary 2.4 Assume that $M$ in Theorem 2.1 is a Riemann surface and there exists an open set $V$ such that $\omega_{M}$ has scalar curvature -4 and $i R^{L}=\omega_{M}$ on $V$. Then for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any compact set $K \subset V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} p-\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k}\right) \quad \text { in } C^{m}(K) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof From (2.5) follows that $\boldsymbol{b}_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ (note that $r_{\omega}=-8 \pi$ ), thus the task is to prove that the coefficients $\boldsymbol{b}_{j}$ of the expansions (1.8), (2.6) vanish on $V$ for $j \geq 2$. We divide the proof in three steps.

Firstly, it is easy to observe that $\boldsymbol{b}_{j}$ are constant functions on $V$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, by [27, Theorem 4.1.1] we know that $\boldsymbol{b}_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, are polynomials in the curvatures $R^{L}$ and $R^{T^{(1,0)} M}$ and their derivatives. On $V$ we have $i R^{L}=\omega_{M}$ and $i R^{T^{(1,0)} M}=-2 \omega_{M}$. Thus all the derivatives alluded to above vanish on $V$, hence $\boldsymbol{b}_{j}$ are polynomials just in $R^{L}$ and $R^{T^{(1,0)} M}$, hence constant functions on $V$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Secondly, we prove the assertion of the Corollary for a compact Riemann surface $\Sigma_{1}$ with genus $g \geq 2$, such that $\Sigma_{1} \sim \Gamma_{1} \backslash \mathbb{H}$, with $\Gamma_{1}$ a cocompact Fuchsian group. We endow $\Sigma_{1}$ with the metric $\omega_{\Sigma_{1}}$ induced from the Poincaré metric of $\mathbb{H}$ with scalar curvature -4 . We consider the line bundle $L_{1}=T^{*(1,0)} \Sigma_{1}=K_{\Sigma_{1}}$ endowed with the metric $h_{1}$ induced by $\omega_{\Sigma_{1}}$. Thus $i R^{L_{1}}=2 \omega_{\Sigma_{1}}$. Let $B_{1, p}(x)$ be the Bergman kernel function of $H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{1}, L_{1}^{p}\right)$. By our observation above, the coefficients $\boldsymbol{b}_{1, j}$ of the expansion (2.6) are constant functions on $\Sigma_{1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1, p}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \boldsymbol{b}_{1, j} p^{1-j}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k-1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem, for $p>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma_{1}} B_{1, p}(x) \omega_{\Sigma_{1}}=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{1}, L_{1}^{p}\right)=\int_{\Sigma_{1}}\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) c_{1}\left(K_{\Sigma_{1}}, h_{1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}\left(K_{\Sigma_{1}}, h_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \omega_{\Sigma_{1}}$. By plugging the expansion (2.9) into (2.10), identifying the coefficients of the powers of $p$ and taking into account that $\boldsymbol{b}_{1, j}$ are constants we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{b}_{1,0}=\frac{1}{\pi}, \quad \boldsymbol{b}_{1,1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}, \quad \boldsymbol{b}_{1, j}=0 \quad \text { for } j \geq 2 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thirdly, we use the localization principle for Bergman kernels formulated in Theorem 2.2. We now identify holomorphically and isometrically $L_{2}$ on a neighborhood of $x \in V$ to $L_{1}$ on an open set of $\Sigma_{1}$. Indeed, by [33, Theorem 2.5.17 and Corollary 2.5.18], near $x$, the surface is locally isometric to the Poincare upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$, and the holomorphic structure of the surface is determined by the conformal structure fixed by the metric, thus we obtain a holomorphic and isometric identification $\Psi$ of a convex neighborhood $U$ of $x \in V$ to an open set of $\Sigma_{1}$. Then the curvature of the Chern connection on the line bundle $L^{2} \otimes \Psi^{*} K_{\Sigma_{1}}^{-1}$ with the induced metric $h$ is zero on
$U$. If $\sigma$ is a holomorphic frame of $L^{2} \otimes \Psi^{*} K_{\Sigma_{1}}^{-1}$ on $U$, this means that $\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\sigma|_{h}^{2}=0$, so there is a holomorphic function $f$ on $U$ such that $\log |\sigma|_{h}^{2}=2 \operatorname{Im} f$ (which holds in any dimension. For example, from the Poincaré Lemma, there exists $g \in C^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{R})$ such that $(\bar{\partial}-\partial) \log |\sigma|_{h}^{2}=i d g$, this implies that $g+i \log |\sigma|_{h}^{2}$ is holomorphic). Now $e^{-f} \sigma$ is a holomorphic frame of $L^{2} \otimes \Psi^{*} K_{\Sigma_{1}}^{-1}$ such that $\left|e^{-f} \sigma\right|_{h}^{2}=1$ on $U$, and this yields a holomorphic and isometric identification of $L^{2}$ to $\Psi^{*} K_{\Sigma_{1}}$.

By Theorem 2.2, we know the asymptotics of $B_{p}(x)$ is as same as of $B_{1, p}$, thus from (2.9) and (2.11), we get that (2.8) holds uniformly on $K \subset V$.

Observe that if ( $\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h$ ) fulfill conditions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ), the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4 are satisfied for $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N}$ (note that the scalar curvature of the Poincaré metric (1.1) equals -4), thus (2.8) holds on any compact set $K \subset V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{N}$.

The following result is a direct consequence of the proof of [27, Theorem 6.1.4], and for completeness, we include the proof in Sect. 6.

Theorem 2.5 Let $\left(M, \omega_{M}, L, h\right)$ be in Theorem 2.1 and assume moreover that $M$ is compact. Let $\pi_{1}(M)$ be the fundamental group of Mand $\widetilde{M}$ be the universal covering of $M$. For any subgroup $\Gamma \subset \pi_{1}(M)$ with finite index, we define the Bergman kernel $B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)$ on $\tilde{M} / \Gamma$ with the pull-back objects from $\pi_{\Gamma}: \tilde{M} / \Gamma \rightarrow M$. Then for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k, m}>0$ such that for any $\Gamma$ as above we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{p^{n}} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)-\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}^{*} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}\right)(x) p^{-j}\right\|_{C^{m}(\tilde{M} / \Gamma)} \leq C_{k, m} p^{-k-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}_{j}$ are the coefficients of the expansion (2.4) on $M$.

### 2.2 Functional spaces, section spaces

We define a few functional spaces, that will be much helpful in what follows.
(i) $C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$ is merely the space of bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, endowed with the sup norm; notice that the reference to the metric, needed when considering bounds on derivatives, is superfluous here.
(ii) Let $U \subset \Sigma$ be an open set. The space $C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ is defined as the set of $C^{k}$ functions on $U$ bounded up to order $k$ on $U$ with respect to the metric $\omega_{\Sigma}$, and endowed with the natural norm:

$$
C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{k}(U):\|f\|_{C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)}<\infty\right\}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)} & =\sup _{x \in U}|f|_{C^{k}}(x), \\
& |f|_{C^{k}}(x)=\left(|f|+\left|\nabla^{\Sigma} f\right|_{\omega_{\Sigma}}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma}\right)^{k} f\right|_{\omega_{\Sigma}}\right)(x), \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$\nabla^{\Sigma}$ being the Levi-Civita connection attached to $\omega_{\Sigma}$.
In the same vein, $C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ is the space of $C^{k}$ sections of $L^{p}$ on $U$ such that the following norm is bounded for $\sigma \in C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\sigma|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x)=\left(|\sigma|_{h^{p}}+\left|\nabla^{p, \Sigma} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{p, \Sigma}\right)^{k} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}\right)(x),  \tag{2.14}\\
& \|\sigma\|_{C^{k}\left(U, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)}:=\sup _{x \in U}|\sigma|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x)<\infty,
\end{align*}
$$

with $\nabla^{p, \Sigma}$ is the connection on $(T \Sigma)^{\otimes \ell} \otimes L^{p}$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection associated with $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and the Chern connection relative to $h^{p}$.
(iii) For $k \geq 1$, the space $L^{2, k}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ is the Sobolev space of sections of the line bundle $L^{p}$ endowed with the Hermitian metric $h^{p}$ over $\Sigma$ that are $L^{2}$ up to order $k$, with respect to $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and $h^{p}$. This way, elements of $\boldsymbol{L}^{2, k}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ are sections $\sigma$ of $L^{p}$ with $\boldsymbol{L}_{\text {loc }}^{2, k}$ regularity on $\Sigma$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2, k}(h)}^{2}:=\int_{\Sigma}\left(|\sigma|_{h^{p}}^{2}+\left|\nabla^{p, \Sigma} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}^{2}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{p, \Sigma}\right)^{k} \sigma\right|_{h^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma}}^{2}\right) \omega_{\Sigma}<\infty . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, $\boldsymbol{L}^{2, k}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ is the $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}^{2, k}(h)^{2}}^{\text {-completion of the space }}$ of smooth and compactly supported sections of $L^{p}$ over $\Sigma$, with $\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2, k}(h)}^{2}$ defined in (2.15). For $k=0$ we simply denote $\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,0}(h)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}$ and the corresponding inner product by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{p}$.

When we apply this definition for the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with the non-trivial Hermitian metric $\mid \log \left(|z|^{2}\right)^{p} h_{0}$ (the trivial Hermitian metric being $\left.h_{0}\right)$, we get the space $L^{2, k}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}^{2, k}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}$.
(iv) We also need in the localization procedure below some weighted Sobolev spaces on $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ (resp. on a double copy of $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ ). We first define the weight function $\rho$ on $\Sigma$ as a smooth function, equal to 1 far from the punctures, to $\left|\log \left(\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)\right|$ near the puncture $a_{j}$, and everywhere $\geq 1$. Let now $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q \geq 1$; the weighted Sobolev space $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{q, k}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ is defined as the space of $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q, k}$ functions $f$ on $\Sigma$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{q}}^{q}:=\int_{\Sigma} \rho\left(|f|^{q}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma}\right)^{k} f\right|_{\omega_{\Sigma}}^{q}\right) \omega_{\Sigma}<\infty . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice moreover that $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ is the complement of a simple normal crossing divisor in a compact Kähler manifold, namely $\Sigma \times \Sigma=\left(\bar{\Sigma}^{2}\right) \backslash \mathbf{D}$ with $\mathbf{D}=$ $(D \times \Sigma)+(\Sigma \times D)$. This way, the natural product metric $\omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}$ is a Kähler metric of Poincaré type on $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ (see e.g. [2, Definition 0.1]). Analogously,
$\boldsymbol{L}_{\text {wtd }}^{q, k}\left(\Sigma \times \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}\right)$ is the space of $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q, k}$ functions $f$ on $\Sigma \times \Sigma$, endowed with the product metric $\omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}(x, y)=\omega_{\Sigma}(x)+\omega_{\Sigma}(y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{w d d}^{q, k}}^{q}:=\int_{(x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma} \rho(x) \rho(y)\left(|f(x, y)|^{q}+\ldots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma \times \Sigma}\right)^{k} f(x, y)\right|_{\omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}}^{q}\right) \omega_{\Sigma}(x) \omega_{\Sigma}(y) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite.
Lemma 2.6 (a) We have $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{1,3}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right) \longleftrightarrow C^{0}(\Sigma)$, i.e., there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that for all $f \in \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{1,3}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{C^{0}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)} \leq c_{0}\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wdd}}^{1,3}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) There are continuous embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{2, k}\left(\Sigma \times \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}\right) \longleftrightarrow C^{m}\left(\Sigma \times \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$, $m$ such that $k>m+2$.
Proof (a) is from [6, §4.A and Lemme 4.5]. For (b), after noticing that the proof of [6, Lemme 4.5] remains valid close to the divisor $\mathbf{D} \subset \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma}$ but far from the crossings $\left(a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}}\right) \in \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma}$, we work around one of these, just as in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.4]. More precisely, we choose two small punctured discs $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*}$ around $a_{j_{1}}$ and $a_{j_{2}}$ in each $\Sigma$ respectively, and cover the product $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*}$ in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ with help of (self-overlapping) holomorphic polydiscs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}: \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*} \\
(u, v) & \longmapsto\left(e^{-2^{\ell_{1}} \frac{1+u}{1-u}}, e^{-2^{\ell_{2}} \frac{1+v}{1-v}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \geq 0$, and $0<\epsilon<1$ fixed independently of $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$. This way, $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*} \subset \bigcup_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}\right)$, and we can even assume that $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*} \subset$ $\bigcup_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}\right)$. Moreover, for any $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}=\frac{i d u \wedge d \bar{u}}{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{i d v \wedge d \bar{v}}{\left(1-|v|^{2}\right)^{2}}=: \varpi \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not depend on $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, $\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} \rho(x)=2^{\ell_{1}+1}\left|\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right|$, which is of size $2^{\ell_{1}+1}$ for $|u| \leq \epsilon$, with derivatives (at every order) of the same size for $\varpi$, and similarly for $\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} \rho(y)$ with $2^{\ell_{2}+1}$.

Set $U=\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}} \subset \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma}$, so that $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r_{2}}^{*}=U \backslash \mathbf{D}$. Take $w \in \boldsymbol{L}_{\text {wtd }}^{q, k}(\Sigma \times$ $\left.\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}\right), q \geq 1, k \geq 0$, and pick $m \geq 0, m<k-\frac{2}{q}$, so that $w \in C^{m}(U \backslash \mathbf{D})$; what precedes thus yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|w\|_{C^{m}(U \backslash \mathbf{D})}^{q} & \leq \sup _{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \geq 0}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} w\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}, \varpi\right)}^{q} \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} w\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}, \varpi\right)}^{q} \\
& =\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+2}} 2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+2}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*} w\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}, \varpi\right)}^{q} \\
& \simeq \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*}\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}, w\right)}^{q} \\
& \leq c \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}}}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*}\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)\right\|_{L^{q, k}}^{q}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}, \varpi\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

by the fixed usual Sobolev embedding (or, more exactly, continuous restriction)

$$
\boldsymbol{L}^{q, k}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}, \varpi\right) \longleftrightarrow C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon / 2}, \varpi\right)
$$

applied to all the $\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*}\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)$. Now, observe that our choices provide

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}}}\left\|\left(\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\right)^{*}\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)\right\|_{L^{q, k}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}, \infty\right)}^{q} \\
& \quad \leq C(q)\left\|\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)\right\|_{L^{q, k}\left(\Sigma \times \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{q}\right.}^{q}, \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

since the number of self-overlaps of $\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}\right)$ is of order $2^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}}$, and since each $\Phi_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}\right)$ overlaps only a finite number of other $\Phi_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon}\right)$, this number being bounded independently of $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$. Hence

$$
\|w\|_{C^{m}(U \backslash \mathbf{D})}^{q} \leq C\left\|\left(\rho(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} w\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{q, k}\left(\Sigma \times \Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma)}\right.}^{q} \simeq C\|w\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{wdd}}^{q, k}}^{q},
$$

and one concludes by specializing to $q=2$, and gathering such estimates around the crossings ( $a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}}$ ) with analogous estimates along the divisor $\mathbf{D} \subset \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma}$ and far from the crossings, and estimates far from the divisor.

## 3 Bergman kernels on the punctured unit disc

In this section we give a detailed description of the Bergman kernel on the punctured unit disc. We first obtain an explicit formula in Sect. 3.1 and then in Sect. 3.2 we get precise asymptotics near the puncture by using a natural rescaling.

### 3.1 Expression of the Bergman kernels on the punctured unit disc

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right):=H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the space of holomorphic functions $S$ on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ with finite $L^{2}$-norm defined in Sect. 2.2 (iii) for $k=0$. The purpose here is to study of the Bergman kernel of $H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.1 For $p \geq 2$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\frac{\ell^{p-1}}{2 \pi(p-2)!}\right)^{1 / 2} z^{\ell}: \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \geq 1\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

forms an orthonormal basis of $H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$.
Proof Let $H^{0}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. By [27, (6.2.17)], we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right) \subset H^{0}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $p \geq 2, \ell \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p-2} \frac{i d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} 2^{p-1} d \theta \int_{0}^{1}|\log r|^{p-2} \frac{d r}{r}=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|z^{\ell}\right|^{2}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p-2}|z|^{2 \ell} \frac{i d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} 2^{p-1} d \theta \int_{0}^{1} r^{2 \ell-1}|\log r|^{p-2} d r  \tag{3.5}\\
& =2^{p} \pi \cdot(2 \ell)^{1-p} \cdot \Gamma(p-1)=\frac{2 \pi(p-2)!}{\ell^{p-1}}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.4), (3.5) and the circle invariance of $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ and $\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}$, the set (3.2) forms an orthonormal basis of $H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$.

Remark 3.2 Notice that a similar computation shows that the elements of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ are, for $p \geq 2$, exactly the sections of $L^{p}$ over the whole $\bar{\Sigma}$ vanishing on the puncture divisor $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}$.

Back to $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and according to Lemma 3.1, the Bergman kernel of $H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$, for any $p \geq 2$, is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)=\frac{\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{2 \pi(p-2)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p-1} x^{\ell} \bar{y}^{\ell} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the metric dual of the canonical section 1 with respect to $h_{0}$ is identified to 1 , hence the metric dual of 1 with respect to $\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}$ is $1^{*}(z)=\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} 1$. Specializing to the diagonal, we get in particular the Bergman kernel function of $H_{(2)}^{p}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$ for all $p \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)=\frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{2 \pi(p-2)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p-1}|z|^{2 \ell} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This readily provides the behavior of $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ far from $0 \in \mathbb{D}$.
Proposition 3.3 For any $0<a<1$ and any $m \geq 0$, there exists $c=c(a)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)-\frac{p-1}{2 \pi}\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\{a \leq|z|<1\}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c p}\right) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, for any $0<a<1$ and $0<\gamma<\frac{1}{2}$, there exists $c=c(a, \gamma)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)-\frac{p-1}{2 \pi}\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\left\{a e^{-p^{\gamma}} \leq|z|<1\right\}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c p^{1-2 \gamma}}\right) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $b \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)-\frac{p-1}{2 \pi}\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\left\{b e^{\left.\left.-p^{1 / 2}(\log p)^{-1} \leq|z| \leq a e^{-p^{\gamma}}\right\}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}\right.\right.}=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let us recall the celebrated formula from complex analysis:

$$
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} w}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(w-k \pi)^{2}} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbb{C} \backslash \pi \mathbb{Z}
$$

Thus for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2 k i \pi+t)^{2}}=\left(e^{t / 2}-e^{-t / 2}\right)^{-2}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell e^{-\ell t} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.7), (3.11) with an easy induction on $p \geq 2$, one gets the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z) & =\frac{(p-1)}{2 \pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left(2 i k \pi+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|\right)^{p}} \\
& =\frac{(p-1)}{2 \pi}\left(1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left(2 i k \pi+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|\right)^{p}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain (3.8) for $m=0$, from (3.12), for $p \geq 2$, we use

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left|2 i k \pi+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}\right.} \\
& <2\left(1+\frac{(2 \pi)^{2}}{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{p-2}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}{(2 k \pi)^{2}+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}} \text { for } 0<|z| \leq e^{-1 / 2}, \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left|2 i k \pi+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|\right|^{p}} \leq 2\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2 k \pi)^{-p} \text { for } e^{-1 / 2} \leq|z|<1 . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

For $m \geq 1$, by considering separately $a<|z| \leq e^{-1 / 2}$ and $e^{-1 / 2} \leq|z|<1$ as above, we get again (3.8) from (3.12).

For $a e^{-p^{\gamma}} \leq|z| \leq e^{-1 / 2}$, from

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(1+\frac{(2 \pi)^{2}}{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}\right) \geq \frac{C}{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}} \geq C p^{-2 \gamma} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}{(2 k \pi)^{2}+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C p^{2 \gamma}}{(2 k \pi)^{2}+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and (3.13), we get also (3.9).
Note that $\log (1+x) \geq x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}$ for $x \in[0,1]$. From this we know that for $b e^{-p^{1 / 2}(\log p)^{-1}} \leq|z| \leq a e^{-p^{\gamma}}$, the analogue of the above equation is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(1+\frac{(2 \pi)^{2}}{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}\right) \geq \frac{C}{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}} \geq \frac{C}{p}(\log p)^{2}, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}{(2 k \pi)^{2}+\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C p(\log p)^{-2}}{(2 k \pi)^{2}+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the above equation and (3.13) we get (3.10).
Observe that the expected behavior for an Einstein metric of scalar curvature - 4 such as $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, at least on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, according to (1.8), Theorem 2.1 and

Corollary 2.4, is $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)-\frac{p-1}{2 \pi}=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$. From our explicit description of $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, we hence benefit an improvement, namely the existence of regions of exponential decay of the remainder, such regions extending up to the exterior boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ on one side, and exponentially close to the singularity $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ on the other side; we also get a first estimate on how close to 0 the usual $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$ remains valid.

### 3.2 Asymptotics of the density functions near the puncture

We are also interested in a global description of $B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ up to the singularity $0 \in \mathbb{D}$, especially in the geometric context of Theorem 1.2, and such a description requires another angle of attack. Let us simplify notations: for $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{p}(y) & =\frac{|\log y|^{p+1}}{2 \pi(p-1)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p} y^{\ell} \quad \text { for } y \in(0,1) \\
\varphi(\xi) & =e \xi|\log \xi| \text { for } \xi \in(0,1)  \tag{3.14}\\
v(p) & =(2 \pi p)^{1 / 2} p^{p} e^{-p}(p!)^{-1}-1
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by Stirling's formula and (3.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(p)=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p+1}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)=b_{p}\left(|z|^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}^{*} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the observation that at fixed $y$, the index of the largest term of the sum $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p} y^{\ell}$ is determined by $y^{1 / p}$, we further proceed to the change of variable $x=y^{1 / p}$, and focus on the function $f_{p}:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{p}(x) & :=b_{p}\left(x^{p}\right)=\frac{\left|\log \left(x^{p}\right)\right|^{p+1}}{2 \pi(p-1)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p} x^{p \ell} \\
& =\frac{p^{p+2} e^{-p}}{2 \pi p!}|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(e x^{\ell}\left|\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right|\right)^{p}  \tag{3.17}\\
& =\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{3 / 2}(1+v(p))|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

The smooth function $\varphi$ maps $(0,1)$ to $(0,1]$, with $\varphi(\xi)=1$ iff $\xi=e^{-1}$. Thus, for $\ell$ fixed, $x \mapsto\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}$ heuristically converges to a thinner and thinner Gaussian-shaped bump of height 1 centered at $e^{-1 / \ell}$, and $|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}$ can thus be thought of as a series of these bumps centered at $e^{-1}, e^{-1 / 2}, e^{-1 / 3}$, of respective heights $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ (because of the factor $\left.|\log x|\right)$ and so on; this actually holds for $x$ in "low regime" ( $x \leq e^{-p^{-\delta}}, \delta>1 / 2$, say), the "tail" ( $x \geq e^{-p^{-\delta}}, 0<\delta<1 / 2$ ) consisting


Fig. 1 The scaled functions $\left(\frac{2 \pi}{p}\right)^{3 / 2} f_{p}$ on $(0,1)$
in an agglomeration of such bumps mixing up with one another to follow an almost constant behavior near $x=1$ : see Fig. 1 below.

We develop in the following lines some elementary analysis that justifies these heuristic considerations. First, set

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{p}(\zeta) & =\left(\varphi\left(e^{-\zeta}\right)\right)^{p}=e^{p(1-\zeta+\log \zeta)} \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta>0 \\
\mathcal{G}_{0}(\eta) & =e^{-\eta^{2} / 2}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{1}(\eta)=\eta^{3} e^{-\eta^{2} / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

We prove in the Appendix A the following estimate, linking $\psi_{p}$ to the Gaussian-type functions $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ :

Lemma 3.4 There exists $C>0$ such that for all $\zeta>0$ and all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\left|\psi_{p}(\zeta)-\mathcal{G}_{0}(\sqrt{p}(1-\zeta))+\frac{1}{3 \sqrt{p}} \mathcal{G}_{1}(\sqrt{p}(1-\zeta))\right| \leq \frac{C}{p\left(1+p(1-\zeta)^{2}\right)} .
$$

For $p \geq 1$ and $x \in(0,1)$, set
$\mathbb{G}_{p}(x)=|\log x|\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)-\frac{1}{3 \sqrt{p}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)\right)$.

Remembering that we are looking for an approximation of $|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}$ and keeping in mind the relation (3.18) between $\varphi, p$ and $\psi_{p}$, we state:
Proposition 3.5 There exists $C>0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ and $x \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}-\mathbb{G}_{p}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{p+p|\log x|} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.6 There exists $C>0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{2 \pi}{p}\right)^{3 / 2}(1+\nu(p))^{-1} B_{p+1}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)-\mathbb{G}_{p}\left(|z|^{2 / p}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{p+2|\log | z| |} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)=\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}(p) . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5 We set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{p}(\zeta)=\psi_{p}(\zeta)-\mathcal{G}_{0}(\sqrt{p}(1-\zeta))+\frac{1}{3 \sqrt{p}} \mathcal{G}_{1}(\sqrt{p}(1-\zeta)) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $p \geq 1$ and $x \in(0,1)$, by (3.18), (3.19) and (3.23),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left||\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}-\mathbb{G}_{p}(x)\right| & =|\log x|\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \delta_{p}\left(-\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq|\log x|^{-1}}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{p}\left(-\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)\right| ; \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

this takes into account the vanishing of $\delta_{p}(\zeta)$ at $\zeta=1$. By Lemma 3.4, the latter is bounded above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C}{p}|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq|\log x|^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+p(\ell \log x+1)^{2}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can thus conclude if we bound this quantity above by an expression of type $\frac{C}{p(1+|\log x|)}$.

If $0<|\log x| \leq 2$, by bounding the terms associated with $\ell=\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor$, $\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1$ by 1 , where we note $\lfloor u\rfloor$ the integer part of $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\
\ell \neq|\log x|^{-1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+p(\ell \log x+1)^{2}} & \leq 2+\frac{1}{|\log x|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \alpha}{1+p(\alpha-1)^{2}} \\
& \leq 2+\frac{1}{|\log x|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \alpha}{1+p(\alpha-1)^{2}} \\
& =2+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{p}|\log x|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by (3.24) and (3.25), for $0<|\log x| \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}-\mathbb{G}_{p}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C|\log x|}{p}+\frac{C}{p^{3 / 2}} \leq \frac{C}{p}, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this yields the upper bound $\frac{C}{p(1+|\log x|)}$ as $\frac{1}{1+|\log x|} \geq \frac{1}{3}$. This way, the estimate (3.20) is proved on the region $\{0<|\log x| \leq 2\}$.

Let us assume now that $|\log x| \geq 2$. Then for all $\ell \geq 1, \ell|\log x|-1 \geq \frac{\ell|\log x|}{2}$, thus

$$
(\ell \log x+1)^{2}=(\ell|\log x|-1)^{2} \geq \frac{\ell^{2}|\log x|^{2}}{4}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq|\log x|^{-1}}}^{\infty} \frac{|\log x|^{2}}{1+p(\ell \log x+1)^{2}} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\log x|^{2}}{p\left(\frac{\ell^{2}|\log x|^{2}}{4}\right)}=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3 p} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, by (3.24), (3.25) and (3.27), on the region $\{|\log x| \geq 2\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\ell}\right)\right)^{p}-\mathbb{G}_{p}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{p^{2}|\log x|}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this upper bound yields here again an upper bound $\frac{C}{p(1+|\log x|)}$, since $\frac{1}{|\log x|} \leq$ $\frac{3}{2(1+|\log x|)}$ when $|\log x| \geq 2$. By (3.26) and (3.28), we get (3.20).

Proof of Corollary 3.6 The first part of the corollary follows at once from Proposition 3.5. The second part is an immediate consequence of the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in(0,1)} \mathbb{G}_{p}(x)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish this estimate, let us prove first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \sup _{x \in(0,1)}|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

As putting $x=e^{-1}$ in $|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)$ gives $1+\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty}$ $\mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right) \geq 1$, we get already that the sup in (3.30) is bounded below by 1.

Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor}^{\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1} e^{-p(1+\ell \log x)^{2}} \leq 1+e^{-p(\log x)^{2} / 4} \quad \text { if }|\log x| \leq 1, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a function of $s>0, e^{-p(1+s \log x)^{2}}$ increases when $s<\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor$ and decreases when $s>\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1$, thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor-1}+\sum_{\ell=\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor+2}^{\infty}\right) \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{G}_{0}(\sqrt{p}[1-s|\log (x)|]) d s  \tag{3.32}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{G}_{0}(-\sqrt{p} s|\log (x)|) d s=\frac{C}{\sqrt{p}|\log x|}
\end{align*}
$$

where we just omit the sum $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\left\lfloor-(\log x)^{-1}\right\rfloor-1}$, if $|\log x| \geq 1$; the transition from the second to the third line simply comes from the translation $s \leftarrow s+|\log x|^{-1}$.

Now $|\log x| e^{-p(1+\log x)^{2}}=(|\log x|-1) e^{-p(|\log x|-1)^{2}}+e^{-p(|\log x|-1)^{2}}$. By using that the function $\eta \mapsto \eta e^{-\eta^{2} / 2}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, we get from (3.31), (3.32) that for $x \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)=\inf \{1,|\log x|\}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1 / 2}\right), \quad p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

With similar methods, one proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in(0,1)}|\log x| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\sqrt{p}\left[1+\log \left(x^{\ell}\right)\right]\right)=\mathcal{O}(1), \quad p \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.30) and (3.34) we get (3.29).

## 4 Elliptic estimates for Kodaira Laplacians on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and $\Sigma$

In this section we establish a weighted elliptic estimate for Kodaira Laplacians on $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$ with weight $\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p}$ such that the estimate is uniform on $p$, and along $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. This is a major analytic input in comparison with the compact situation.

Let $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}$ be the adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p}}$ on $\left(L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ over $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$. Then the Kodaira Laplacian is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square_{p}:=\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p}}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}\right)^{2}=\bar{\partial}^{L^{p}} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p}}: \Omega^{(0, \bullet)}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{(0, \bullet)}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ the above operator when $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right)$ (recall that $h_{0}$ denotes the standard flat Hermitian metric on the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{C}$ ).

### 4.1 Estimate on the punctured disc $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ : degree 0

Note that the Poincaré metric (1.1) on the punctured disc can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=-i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(-\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}$ was defined in Sect. 2.2 (iii). In what follows, we adopt the notation $L$ for the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{C}$ over the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, thought of as endowed with the singular Hermitian metric $h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}:=\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right| h_{0}$; similarly, for $p \geq 1, \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ will implicitly refer to $\left(\mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right)=\left(\mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$. Notice that with these conventions, (4.2) can be interpreted as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \text { is the curvature of }\left(\mathrm{L}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)\left(\text { and thus }-i p \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \text { is that of }\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove in this section the following basic elliptic estimate on the Kodaira Laplacians $\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, associated to the data $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathrm{~L}^{p}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $s \geq 1$. Then there exists $C=C\left(s, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$ such that for all $p \geq 1$, and all $\sigma \in L_{p}^{2,2 s}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2 s}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{s} p^{4(s-j)}\left\|\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{j} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our strategy is as follows. We will write the detailed proof for $s=1$ and the proof follows then by induction on $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ we get it for $s \geq 2$.

For $s=1$ we first establish an estimate analogous to (4.4) for the LaplaceBeltrami operator $\Delta_{0}$ of $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, instead of the Kodaira Laplacian $\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ associated to $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathrm{~L}^{p}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$. Then we deduce (4.4) by Kähler identities.

To facilitate the computation, we introduce first new coordinates on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and explain some basic geometric facts.

For $z \in \mathbb{D}^{*}$, we will use the coordinates $(t, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times(\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=\log \left(-\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right), \quad z=|z| e^{i \theta} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote also $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ by $\partial_{t}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ by $\partial_{\theta}$. Then we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\partial_{t}-\frac{i}{2} e^{t} \partial_{\theta}, \quad\left(\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d \bar{z}=\frac{1}{2} d t+i e^{-t} d \theta . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}=d \bar{z} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\left(\frac{1}{2} d t+i e^{-t} d \theta\right)\left(\partial_{t}-\frac{i}{2} e^{t} \partial_{\theta}\right), \quad \partial t=\frac{1}{2} d t-i e^{-t} d \theta . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.7) we obtain the following useful relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=-e^{-t} d t \wedge d \theta, \quad\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=-e^{(p-1) t} d t \wedge d \theta \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the metric associated with $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ in the coordinates $(t, \theta)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(d t)^{2}+2 e^{-2 t}(d \theta)^{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus $\left(\sqrt{2} \partial_{t}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{t} \partial_{\theta}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$.
Let $\nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$. Using (4.9) and the equality

$$
\nabla \nabla_{\partial_{t}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{\theta}-\nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{t}=\left[\partial_{t}, \partial_{\theta}\right]=0
$$

we compute that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{\theta}, \partial_{t}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\nabla_{\partial_{t}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{\theta}, \partial_{\theta}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left\langle\partial_{\theta}, \partial_{\theta}\right\rangle=2 e^{-2 t} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.10) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \nabla_{\partial_{t}^{*}}^{\mathbb{D}_{t}} \partial_{t}=0, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{\theta}=4 e^{-2 t} \partial_{t},  \tag{4.11}\\
& \nabla \nabla_{\partial_{t}}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \partial_{\theta}=\nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^{\mathbb{D}_{\theta}^{*}} \partial_{t}=-\partial_{\theta} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} d \theta=d \theta \otimes d t+d t \otimes d \theta, \quad \nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} d t=-4 e^{-2 t} d \theta \otimes d \theta \\
& \Delta_{0}=-2\left(\partial_{t} \partial_{t}-\partial_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\bar{\partial}^{*}$ (resp. $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}$ ) be the adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ on the trivial line bundle ( $\mathbb{C}, h_{0}$ ) (resp. on $\left.\left(\mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right)\right)$ over $\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$. By (4.6) and (4.8), we have the following expressions in the coordinates $(t, \theta)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}^{L^{p^{*}}}=\bar{\partial}^{*}-p(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*} d \bar{z}=\langle d \bar{z}, \bar{\partial} t\rangle=\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.8), we get for $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}(f \bar{\partial} t)=-z \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f+(1-p) f . \\
& \bar{\partial}^{*}(f d \bar{z})=-|z|^{2} \log ^{2}\left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the Kodaira Laplacian associated with $\left(\mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} h_{0}\right)$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *} & =\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{*}-p\left(\bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*}+(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*} \bar{\partial}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0}-p\left(\bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*}+(\bar{\partial} t \wedge)^{*} \bar{\partial}\right), \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the Kähler identity $\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0}$ for the last equality.
Proof of Proposition 4.1 Notice that since the Hermitian line bundles $L^{p}$ we consider here are powers of the line bundle $\left(\mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right| h_{0}\right)$, the Chern connections $\nabla^{p}$ acting on the sections of these bundles, which are functions, are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{p} f=d f+p f \partial t, \quad f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, L^{p}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for these $f$ and $p>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(|f|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{\sqrt{2} \partial_{t}}^{p} f\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{(1 / \sqrt{2}) e^{t} \partial_{\theta}}^{p} f\right|^{2}\right)\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\left(p^{2}+1\right)|f|^{2}+2\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\right)\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and, similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \lesssim & \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(p^{4}|f|^{2}+p^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{4.18}\\
& \left.+\left|\partial_{t}^{2} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{t} \partial_{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right|^{2}\right)\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}},
\end{align*}
$$

with the constants understood in $\lesssim$ independent of $p$.
We will compute everything by using the coordinate $(t, \theta)$, then $\int$ means $\int_{\mathbb{R} \times(\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})}$ and sometimes we identify $S^{1}$ to $\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$. We will work separately the real and imagine part of $f$, thus we assume now $f$ is real. Thus by (4.8) and simple integrations by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\int\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \quad=\int\left(e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) f e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=-\int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right) f e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \quad+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2} \int f^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

This way, by Young inequality, we obtain for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\right)\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\varepsilon^{-1}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2}\right) \int f^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta  \tag{4.21}\\
&+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int\left(\left|\partial_{t}^{2} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right|^{2}\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon=p^{-2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} p^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\right)\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \\
& \quad \leq 2 \int\left(p^{4}|f|^{2}+\left(\left|\partial_{t}^{2} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right|^{2}\right)\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (4.18) for $f \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(p^{4}|f|^{2}+\left|\partial_{t}^{2} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{t} \partial_{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}+\left|e^{2 t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right|^{2}\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the implied constant independent of $p$.
By (4.12),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\Delta_{0} f\right)^{2}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \\
& \quad=4 \int\left(\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{t} f\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right)^{2}\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta-8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\partial_{t} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \quad+8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta-8 \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We deal with the mixed terms as follows:

- $-8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\partial_{t} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta$ : an integration by parts yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\partial_{t} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta=4(p-1) \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we do not provide more efforts, as this quantity has the favorable sign already - remember we want a bound below on the $L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$-norm of $\Delta_{0} f$;

- $8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta$ : exchanging $\partial_{t}$ and $\partial_{\theta}$ via integrations by parts, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \quad=8 \int\left(\frac{e^{t}}{2} \partial_{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta-8(p+1) \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and collect the extra term $-8(p+1) \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta$ together with the left over right-hand-side mixed term in (4.24), i.e. we deal with:

- $-8(p+2) \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -8(p+2) \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \quad \geq-2 \int\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta-8(p+2)^{2} \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities and (4.20), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-8(p+2)^{2} \int\left(\partial_{t} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \geq-2 \int\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
&-\left(8(p+2)^{4}+4(p-1)^{2}(p+2)^{2}\right) \int f^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

We sum up what precedes as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\Delta_{0} f\right)^{2}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \geq & 2 \int\left(\left(\partial_{t}^{2} f\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{e^{t}}{2} \partial_{t} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{e^{2 t}}{4} \partial_{\theta}^{2} f\right)^{2}\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& -\left(8(p+2)^{4}+4(p-1)^{2}(p+2)^{2}\right) \int f^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta, \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.23) and (4.28), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\Delta_{0} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+p^{4}\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C>0$ independent of both $p \geq 1$ and $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$ with real values; by density, this readily generalizes to $f \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$ with complex values, as $\Delta_{0}$ is a real operator.

We now carry out the replacement of $\Delta_{0}$ by $\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}_{p}^{*}}$ in (4.29), to get the desired estimate (4.4). By (4.13) and (4.15) we have the following identities for operators action on functions defined on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0}-p \widetilde{\partial} \quad \text { with } \tilde{\partial}=\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{i}{2} e^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$. Using inequality (4.21) with $\varepsilon>0$ to be adjusted, (4.23) and (4.29), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int|\widetilde{\partial} f|^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta & \leq 2 \int\left(\left|\partial_{t} f\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{e^{t}}{2} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2}\right) e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \\
& \leq\left(2 \varepsilon^{-1}+p^{2}+\varepsilon C p^{4}\right)\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon C\left\|\Delta_{0} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.30) and (4.31) we are led to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\Delta_{0} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}= & \left\|2\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}+p \widetilde{\partial}\right) f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq 8\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} f\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+8 p^{2}\|\widetilde{\partial} f\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & 8\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+8 p^{2}\left(2 \varepsilon^{-1}+p^{2}+\varepsilon C p^{4}\right)\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \\
& +8 p^{2} \varepsilon C\left\|\Delta_{0} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)^{2}}^{2} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{16 C p^{2}}$ to conclude that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{0} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq 16\left\|\square_{p} f\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+2 A p^{4}\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A=256 C+9$, say. Plugged back into (4.29), this estimate gives exactly (4.4), with a (new) constant $C>0$, uniform for $p \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$.

The proof of Proposition 4.1 for $s=1$ is completed. Continuing by induction on $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ we obtain the assertion for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

### 4.2 Estimate on the punctured Riemann surface $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ : degree 0

We now consider the geometric situation of a punctured polarized Riemann surface ( $\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h$ ), satisfying moreover conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$ of the Introduction. Let $a \in D$. By assumption the following holds: there exists a trivialization of $L$ around $a$ such that in the associated local complex coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have $h=\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right| h_{0}$ on some coordinate disc $\mathbb{D}_{r}$ centered at a and of radius $r \in\left(0, e^{-1}\right)$. This way, the curvature $R^{L}$ of $h$ coincides with $-i \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}:=\mathbb{D}_{r} \backslash\{0\}$.

Proposition 4.2 For every $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ there exists $C=C(s, h)$ such that for all $p \gg 1$, and all $\sigma \in L_{p}^{2,2 s}(h)=\boldsymbol{L}^{2,2 s}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2 s}(h)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{s} p^{4(s-j)}\left\|\left(\square_{p}\right)^{j} \sigma\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square_{p}$ is the Kodaira Laplacian on $\Sigma$ associated to $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and $h^{p}$.
Proof Again, we do it for $s=1$.
In the situation of the Proposition, we denote by $\bar{h}$ a smooth Hermitian metric on $L$ on the whole $\bar{\Sigma}$ such that it coincides with $h$ on $\Sigma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}$. It is an easy exercise to construct $\bar{h}$ so that $i \times$ its curvature, $\bar{\omega}$ say, is Kähler over the whole (compact) $\bar{\Sigma}$, which we take for granted until the end of this proof. Notice that $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and $\bar{\omega}$ coincide on $\Sigma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}$.

Now the principle of the proof is to glue estimate (4.4) to the analogous estimate for $(\bar{\Sigma}, L, \bar{h})$, that states the existence of $C>0$ such that for all $p \gg 1$, and all $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(\bar{h}):=\boldsymbol{L}^{2,2}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{\omega}, L^{p}, \bar{h}^{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(\bar{h})}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\square_{p}^{\bar{\Sigma}} \sigma\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2}+p^{4}\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate, as well as its generalization for $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2 s}(\bar{h}), s \geq 1$, can be found for instance in [15, (4.14)] or [27, §1.6.2].

We denote $\nabla^{p, \Sigma *}$ the formal adjoint of $\nabla^{p, \Sigma}$ acting on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} \Sigma\right) \otimes L^{p}$. By Lichnerowicz formula [27, Remark 1.4.8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \square_{p}=\nabla^{p, \Sigma *} \nabla^{p, \Sigma}-p R^{L}(w, \bar{w})+\left(2 p R^{L}+R^{T^{(1,0)} \Sigma}\right)(w, \bar{w}) \bar{w}^{*} \wedge i_{\bar{w}} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $w$ is an orthonormal frame of $T^{(1,0)} \Sigma$. By (4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{L}(w, \bar{w})=1, \quad R^{T^{(1,0)} \Sigma}(w, \bar{w})=-2 \quad \text { on } V=V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{N} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.36) and (4.37) we have for any $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|\nabla^{p, \Sigma} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}-2\left\langle\square_{p} \sigma, \sigma\right\rangle_{p}\right| \leq C p\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\chi$ be a cut-off function supported near $a$; assume, more precisely, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Sigma}), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1,\left.\quad \chi\right|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r / 2}} \equiv 1, \quad \chi \mid \Sigma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3} \equiv 0 . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \geq 1$, and $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)=\boldsymbol{L}^{2,2}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$. Then $(1-\chi) \sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(\bar{h})$ and on its support, $h$ coincides with $\bar{h}$; likewise, $\chi \sigma$ can be interpreted as an element of $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$ and on its support, $h$ can be regarded as $h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)}^{2}= & \|\chi \sigma+(1-\chi) \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)}^{2} \leq 2\left(\|\chi \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+\|(1-\chi) \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(\bar{h})}^{2}\right) \\
\leq & 2 C\left(\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\square_{p}^{\overline{2}}[(1-\chi) \sigma]\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 C p^{4}\left(\|\chi \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+\|(1-\chi) \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2}\right) \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=\max \left(C\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right), C(\bar{h})\right)$, with $C\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$, resp. $C(\bar{h})$, the constant from (4.4), resp. from its analogue for $(\bar{\Sigma}, L, \bar{h})$. Thus defined, $C$ is independent of $\sigma$ and $p$.

Now $\|\chi \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\left.\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}\right.}^{2}=\|\chi \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2} \leq\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}$, and $\|(1-\chi) \sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2} \leq\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}$ as well. The treatments of $\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}$ and $\left\|\square_{p}^{\bar{\Sigma}}[(1-\chi) \sigma]\right\|_{\left.\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2} \bar{h}\right)}^{2}$ are done in the same spirit, but require a little extra work. For instance, we have on $\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}$, by (4.15) and (4.16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)=\chi \square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \sigma-\left(\bar{\partial} \chi, \overline{\left.(\nabla)^{p}\right)^{1,0} \sigma}\right)_{T^{*} \mathbb{D}^{*}}-(\bar{\partial} \sigma, \overline{\partial \chi})_{T^{*} \mathbb{D}^{*}}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0} \chi\right) \sigma \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2} \leq & 4\left(\left\|\chi \square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}+\||\partial \chi| \bar{\partial} \sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\||\partial \chi|\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{1,0} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0} \chi\right) \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
= & 4\left(\left\|\chi \square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+\||\partial \chi| \bar{\partial} \sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\||\partial \chi|\left(\nabla^{p, \Sigma}\right)^{1,0} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+\left\|\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0} \chi\right) \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right), \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

with the $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$-norms, resp. $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)$-norms, for 1-forms, resp. 1-forms with value in $L^{p}$, computed with $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \otimes h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, resp. with $\omega_{\Sigma} \otimes h^{p}$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\left.\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}\right.}^{2} \leq 4 C\left(\left\|\square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{p, \Sigma} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=1+\max \left\{\left\|\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0} \chi\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2},\|\bar{\partial} \chi\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}^{2}\right\}$, that does not depend on $p$. From (4.38) and (4.42) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(\chi \sigma)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(h_{\left.\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}\right.}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+p\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ independent of $p$ and $\sigma$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\square_{p}^{\bar{\Sigma}}((1-\chi) \sigma)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(\bar{h})}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+p\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right) \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ again independent of $p$ and $\sigma$.
In conclusion, it follows from (4.40), (4.44) and (4.45), that there exists $C>0$ such that for any $p \gg 1$ and $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2}(h)}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}+p^{4}\|\sigma\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Proposition 4.2 for $s=1$ is completed. The proof for general $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ follows by induction with the help of Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.3 We made the assumption that $h$ polarizes $\omega_{\Sigma}$ on the whole $\Sigma$ to fix ideas. It is actually superfluous here, provided that $h$ polarizes $\omega_{\Sigma}$ near the puncture( $s$ ), and has curvature bounded below by $\varepsilon \omega_{\Sigma}$ on the whole $\Sigma$; both of these are automatically implied by conditions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ).

### 4.3 Bidegree $(0,1)$

This subsection will not be used in the rest of this paper, we include it here only for completeness and its independent interest.

To prove that Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 still hold in bidegree $(0,1)$, or, namely, for $\sigma$ a section of $T^{*(0,1)} \mathbb{D}^{*} \otimes \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ or $T^{*(0,1)} \Sigma \otimes L^{p}$, an easy procedure is to observe that the following diagram:

commutes, where the horizontal arrows are isometries under $h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}$ and $\left(h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{p} \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$. Indeed, by (4.6) and (4.7), $\frac{d \bar{z}}{\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right)}=\bar{\partial} t$, and by (4.7) and (4.14), for $g \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}(g \bar{\partial} t) & =\left[\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *} \bar{\partial} g+\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} g-z \log \left(|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g\right] \bar{\partial} t  \tag{4.48}\\
& =\left(\square_{p} g-i e^{t} \partial_{\theta} g\right) \bar{\partial} t .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.4 Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Then there exists $C=C\left(s, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$ such that for all $p \geq 1$, and all $\sigma \in L_{p}^{2,2 s}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)=L^{2,2 s}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, T^{*(0,1)} \mathbb{D}^{*} \otimes L^{p}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \otimes h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2,2 s}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{s} p^{4(s-j)}\left\|\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{j} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Indeed, take $\sigma=f \frac{d \bar{z}}{\bar{z} \log \left(|z|^{2}\right)}=f \bar{\partial} t \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, T^{*(0,1) \mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$. Then for instance,

$$
\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} \sigma=\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} f \otimes \bar{\partial} t+2 \nabla^{p} f \otimes \nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \bar{\partial} t+f \otimes\left(\nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{2} \bar{\partial} t
$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$. By (4.7), (4.9) and (4.11), $\bar{\partial} t$ is uniformly bounded at any order with respect to $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, we get that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\left|\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} f\right|_{p}^{2}+\left|\nabla^{p} f\right|_{p}^{2}+|f|^{2}\right) \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}
$$

independently of $p$. By Proposition 4.1, we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\left|\square_{p} f\right|_{p}^{2}+p^{4}|f|^{2}\right) \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \gg 1$, with $C$ independent of $p$. By (4.47), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\square_{p} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\square_{p} f-i e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}  \tag{4.51}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\square_{p} f\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\int\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.21) with $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2^{9} p^{2}}$, (4.28) and (4.33), we obtain as in (4.31),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|e^{t} \partial_{\theta} f\right|^{2} e^{(p-1) t} d t d \theta \leq C p^{2}\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 p^{2}}\left\|\square_{p} f\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}|\sigma|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}|f|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, we get from (4.51) and (4.52),

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\square_{p} f\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\square_{p} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}+C p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}|\sigma|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}
$$

This yields, coming back to (4.50),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\nabla^{p}\right)^{2} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\left|\square_{p} \sigma\right|_{p}^{2}+p^{4}|\sigma|^{2}\right) \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we get for $p \gg 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\square_{p} \sigma\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}+p^{4}\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)}^{2}\right) \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by induction on $s$, the assertion of Proposition 4.4 follows for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.
Using moreover the same gluing procedure as in proving Proposition 4.2, we obtain the analogue of (4.49) on $\Sigma$, when $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and $h$ satisfy conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$ :

Proposition 4.5 Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Then there exists $C=C(s, h)$ such that for all $p \geq 1$, and all $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2,2 s}(\Sigma)=\boldsymbol{L}^{2,2 s}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, T^{*(0,1)} \Sigma \otimes L^{p}, \omega_{\Sigma} \otimes h^{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{L_{p}^{2,2 s}(\Sigma)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{s} p^{4(s-j)}\left\|\left(\square_{p}\right)^{j} \sigma\right\|_{\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2} . \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Spectral gap and localization

We follow in this section the localization scheme based on the spectral gap and finite propagation speed [27] and show that the Bergman kernel localizes near the singularities. As a consequence we obtain a first rough estimate, which will be improved in the next section.

Let $\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)$ be a complete Kähler manifold. We will denote by $R^{\text {det }}$ the curvature of the anticanonical line bundle ( $K_{M}^{*}, h^{K_{M}^{*}}$ ), where $h^{K_{M}^{*}}$ is induced by $\omega_{M}$.

Let $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $M$. Let $\bar{\partial}^{*}$ be the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\left(\right.$ cf. (2.1)). Let $\square^{E}=\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}$ be the Kodaira Laplace operator. By [27, Corollary 3.3.4] the operator $\square^{E}: C_{0}^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C_{0}^{\infty}(M, E)$ is essentially self-adjoint and we will denote its unique self-adjoint extension with the same symbol $\square^{E}$. Note that the domain of this extension is $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\square^{E}\right)=\{\sigma \in$ $\left.\boldsymbol{L}^{2}(M, E): \square^{E} \sigma \in \boldsymbol{L}^{2}(M, E)\right\}$.

Consider now a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle $(L, h)$ and denote by $\square_{p}:=$ $\square^{L^{p}}$ the Kodaira Laplace operator corresponding to $\left(L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$. By [27, Theorem 6.1.1] and its proof we have the following.

Proposition 5.1 (Spectral gap) Let $\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)$ be a complete Kähler manifold and $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $M$. We assume there exist $\varepsilon>0$, $C>0$ such that $i R^{E} \geq \varepsilon \omega_{M}$ and $i R^{\mathrm{det}} \geq-C \omega_{M}$. Then there exists $c=c(C, \varepsilon)>0$ such that for all $p \gg 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\square_{p}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup[c p,+\infty) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 5.2 The spectral gap (5.1) holds for the Laplacian $\square_{p}$ in the following situations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)=\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right),\left(E, h^{E}\right)=\left(\mathbb{C},\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right| h_{0}\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\left(M, \omega_{M}\right)=\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right),\left(E, h^{E}\right)=(L, h)$ as in Theorem 1.2.

Indeed, by (4.3) and $i R^{\text {det }}=-2 \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, the hypotheses of Proposition 5.1 clearly hold on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Combining moreover with the condition $(\beta)$ on $\Sigma$, we know that $i R^{L} \geq \varepsilon \omega_{\Sigma}$ and $i R^{\mathrm{det}} \geq-C \omega_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma$, for some $C>0$. We can thus apply Proposition 5.1 to get Corollary 5.2 in both situations.

We assume here, without loss of generality, that the puncture divisor $D$ in $\Sigma$ is reduced to one point $a$. Let $\mathfrak{e}$ be the holomorphic frame of $L$ near $a$ corresponding to the trivialization in the condition $(\alpha)$.

By the assumption $(\alpha),(\beta)$, under our trivialization $\mathfrak{e}$ of $L$ on the coordinate $z$ on $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$ for some $0<r<e^{-1}$, we have the identification of the geometric data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, L, h\right)\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}}=\left.\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set:

- $F$ is the normalized Fourier transform of a smooth cut-off function as in [27, §4.1], namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v) d v\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i v u} f(v) d v \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ a smooth even function such that $f(v)=1$ if $|v| \leq \epsilon / 2$ and $f(v)=0$ if $|v| \geq \epsilon$ for $\epsilon>0$. Thus $F$ is an even function in the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(0)=1$. Let $\widetilde{F}$ be the function satisfying $\widetilde{F}\left(u^{2}\right)=F(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, u \longmapsto \mathbb{1}_{[c p,+\infty)}(|u|) \widetilde{F}(u) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is defined in (5.1); let $K_{p}:=\phi_{p}\left(\square_{p}\right)$ and let $K_{p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the associated kernel; we denote by $f_{p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the function associated to $K_{p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ via the doubled trivialization around $a$ used above; for $x \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$, we set $f_{p, x}$ for the one-variable function $y \mapsto f_{p}(x, y)$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p}(x, y)=f_{p}(x, y) \mathfrak{e}^{p}(x) \otimes\left(\mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)\right)^{*}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)\right)^{*}$ is the metric dual of $\mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)$ with respect to $h^{p}$, that is, $\mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)^{*} \cdot \mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)=$ $\left|\mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)\right|_{h^{p}}^{2}$.

- $\chi$ a cut-off function as in (4.39);
- $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ is a smooth function such that $\rho(z)=\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|$ on $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$.

Proposition 5.3 For any $\ell, m \geq 0, \gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, there exists $C_{\ell, m, \gamma}>0$ such that for any $p>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{-\gamma} \rho(y)^{-\gamma} K_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C_{\ell, m, \gamma} p^{-\ell} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of (2.14).

Proof We proceed as follows. Take $p \gg 1$, and pick a and $b$ two real parameters to be determined later; then by definition of $f_{p}$ and $K_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{b} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}} \chi(x)^{2} \omega_{\Sigma}(x) \int_{y \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(y)^{2 b} \chi(y)^{2}\left|f_{p}(x, y)\right|^{2} \omega_{\Sigma}(y) \\
& =\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}-p} \chi(x)^{2}\left\langle\int_{y \in \mathbb{D}^{*}}\left\langle K_{p}(x, y), \rho(y)^{2 b-p} \chi(y)^{2} f_{p}(x, y) \mathfrak{e}^{p}(y)\right\rangle_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(y), \mathfrak{e}^{p}(x)\right\rangle_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) \\
& =\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(x)^{2 \mathrm{a}-p} \chi(x)^{2}\left\langle K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x e^{p}}\right), \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right\rangle_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}(x) \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\left|\mathfrak{e}^{p}\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}=\rho^{p / 2}$, we have from (5.7),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{b} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{2}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \quad=\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}-\frac{p}{2}} \chi(x)^{2}\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)(x)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now for all $x \in \mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)(x)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}} & \leq \rho(x)^{1 / 2}\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}\right)} \\
& =\rho(x)^{1 / 2}\left\|\rho^{-1}\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}^{2}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}\right)}^{1 / 2} \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{b} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}+\frac{1-p}{2}} \chi(x)^{2}\left\|\rho^{-1}\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}^{2}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}\right)}^{1 / 2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We momentarily set $g(z)=\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}^{2}(z)$, and use the embedding $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{1,3}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right) \longleftrightarrow C^{0}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ (cf. Lemma 2.6(a)), that gives, taking supports into account:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\rho^{-1} g\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}\right)} & \leq c_{0} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}} \rho\left(\left|\rho^{-1} g\right|+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma}\right)^{3}\left(\rho^{-1} g\right)\right|\right) \omega_{\Sigma} \\
& \leq A^{3} c_{0} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}}\left(|g|+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma}\right)^{3} g\right|\right) \omega_{\Sigma} \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

with $A=1+\|d \log \rho\|_{C^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)}$, which is finite by $\log \rho=\log \left(-\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right)=t$ and (4.12). Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& |g|+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{\Sigma}\right)^{3} g\right| \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}^{2}+\cdots+\left|\left(\nabla^{p, \Sigma}\right)^{3} K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right|_{h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}}^{2}\right) . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain from (5.11), (5.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{-1} g\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}\right)} \leq C \| K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{\left.p, \mathfrak{e}^{\mathfrak{e}^{p}}\right)} \|_{L_{p}^{2,3}(h)}^{2}\right. \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Propositions 4.2 and since 5.1 , (5.4) and since $\widetilde{F} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we have that for any fixed $\ell$, there exists $C_{\ell}>0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{p}\left(\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right)\right\|_{L_{p}^{2,3}(h)} \leq C_{\ell} p^{-\ell}\left\|\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (5.10), (5.13), (5.14) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{b} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C_{\ell} p^{-\ell} \int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi(x)^{2} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}+\frac{1-p}{2}}\left\|\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} e^{p}\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) \\
& \leq C_{\ell} p^{-\ell}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} \rho^{2 \mathbf{a}-p+1} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi(x)^{2} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}}\left\|\rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x} \mathfrak{e}^{p}\right\|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{\ell} p^{-\ell}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} \rho^{2 \mathbf{a}-p+1} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{2 b-\frac{p}{2}} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}, \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi(x)^{2} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}} \| \rho^{2 b-p} \chi^{2} f_{p, x \mathfrak{e}^{p} \|_{L_{p}^{2}(h)}^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x)} \quad=\int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi(x)^{2} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) \int_{y \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(y)^{4 b-p} \chi(y)^{4}\left|f_{p}(x, y)\right|^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{x \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi(x)^{2} \rho(x)^{2 \mathbf{a}} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x) \int_{y \in \mathbb{D}^{*}} \rho(y)^{4 b-p} \chi(y)^{2}\left|f_{p}(x, y)\right|^{2} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(y) \\
& =\left\|\rho(x)^{\mathbf{a}} \rho(y)^{2 b-\frac{p}{2}} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} \rho^{2 \mathbf{a}-p+1} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ is finite as soon as $\mathbf{a}<\frac{p}{2}$. Fixing $\mathbf{a}=\frac{p}{2}-\delta, \delta>0$, $\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} \rho^{2 \mathbf{a}-p+1} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} \rho^{1-2 \delta} \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \chi^{2} e^{-2 \delta t} d t d \theta<\infty$ is independent on $p$, and consequently, the previous inequality reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{\frac{p}{2}-\delta} \rho(y)^{b} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)} \leq C_{\ell, \delta} p^{-\ell} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $b \leq \frac{p}{2}$ by using $\rho(y)^{2 b-\frac{p}{2}} \leq \rho(y)^{b}$, and with $C_{\ell, \delta}$ independent of $b$, hence in particular for $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{\frac{p}{2}-\delta} \rho(y)^{\frac{p}{2}-\delta} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)} \leq C_{\ell, \delta} p^{-\ell} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same techniques, we extend this estimate to higher orders, namely for any $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\rho(x) \rho(y))^{\frac{p}{2}-\delta} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L^{2, k}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)} \leq C_{\ell, k, \delta} p^{-\ell} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that by (2.13) and (4.12), $d \log \rho=d t$ is $C^{m}\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ bounded, and the factor $\rho$ in the definition of $\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{wtd}}^{2, k}$, thus (5.18) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\rho(x) \rho(y))^{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{L_{\mathrm{wdd}}^{2, k}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)} \leq C_{\ell, k, \gamma} p^{-\ell} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma=\delta+\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}$.
By Lemma 2.6(b) and (5.19), for every $\ell, m \geq 0, \gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ there exists $C_{\ell, m, \gamma}>0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\rho(x) \rho(y))^{\frac{p}{2}-\gamma} \chi(x) \chi(y) f_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{C^{m}(\Sigma \times \Sigma)} \leq C_{\ell, m, \gamma} p^{-\ell}, \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten in the sense of (2.14) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{-\gamma} \rho(y)^{-\gamma} \chi(x) \chi(y) K_{p}(x, y)\right\|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C_{\ell, m, \gamma} p^{-\ell} . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an estimate is already well-known far from $D_{a}=(\{a\} \times \Sigma)+(\Sigma \times\{a\})$ in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$, where the weights $\rho$ can be omitted, cf. [27, §6.1]. We moreover prove the analogous estimates on

$$
\left(\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \times\left(\Sigma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{r / 3}\right)\right) \cup\left(\left(\Sigma \backslash \mathbb{D}_{r / 3}\right) \times \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}\right)
$$

along the same lines. We thus come to the conclusion that (5.6) holds.
Estimates (5.6) might look a bit disappointing, as these are stated with negative weights; so far, this does not even tell us that $K_{p}(x, y)$ is bounded near the divisor $(\{a\} \times \Sigma)+(\Sigma \times\{a\})$ in $\bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma}$ for the product Hermitian norm, which contrasts with our knowledge that the Bergman kernels of $L^{p}$ do vanish along this divisor.

We see in next part that this rough estimate, together with the vanishing property, suffice however to estimate sharply Bergman kernels on the whole $\Sigma \times \Sigma$.

Remark 5.4 The embedding $L_{\text {wtd }}^{1,3} \longleftrightarrow C^{0}$ does not hold on the whole $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Now Proposition 5.3 still holds on $\mathbb{D}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}^{*}$ near $\{0\}+\{0\}$ and, more generally, far from $\partial \mathbb{D} \times \partial \mathbb{D}$, as functions with supports in $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$ or $\mathbb{D}_{2 r / 3}^{*}$ in $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ can be thought of as functions around the punctures in $\Sigma$, to which Lemma 2.6 applies. More precisely, let $K_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)$ be the kernel of $\phi_{p}\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$ on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ with respect to $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$; then for all $0<r<1$ and all $\ell, m \geq 0$, and $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, there exists $C_{\ell, m, \gamma}=C_{\ell, m, \gamma}(r)>0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{-\gamma} \rho(y)^{-\gamma} \chi(x) \chi(y) K_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)\right\|_{C^{m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}\right)} \leq C_{\ell, m, \gamma} p^{-\ell} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Proofs of the main results

In this section, we will establish the results stated in the Introduction. We first complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.4, then that of Corollary 1.5, and finally give the details needed to establish Theorems 2.5 and 1.7.

Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 will be a consequence of Proposition 5.3. The principal idea is to combine Proposition 5.3 and the holomorphicity of sections associated to Bergman kernels, together with the fact that for $p \geq 2, L^{2}$ holomorphic sections of $\left(L^{p}, h^{p}\right)$ over $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ vanish at $D$, and similarly for the holomorphic sections in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}^{p}\right)$, which vanish at 0 , as already noticed.

Let us fix a point $a \in D$ and work around this point, in coordinates centered at $a$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$, under our identification (5.2) and convention after (3.6), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y) & =\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)  \tag{6.1}\\
B_{p}(x, y) & =\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p} \beta_{p}^{\Sigma}(x, y),
\end{align*}
$$

where, by (3.6), $\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ is a holomorphic function of $x$ and $\bar{y}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi(p-2)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{p-1} x^{\ell} \bar{y}^{\ell} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanishes along $\{x=0\}+\{y=0\}=\{x y=0\} \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. By Remark 3.2, (2.2) and the convention after (3.6), $\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}$ is a holomorphic function of $x$ and $\bar{y}$ vanishing along $\{x=0\}+\{y=0\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{r} \times \mathbb{D}_{r}$, and

Fig. 2 In (6.6), $\tilde{F}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, \cdot)$ depends only on the restriction of $\square_{p}$ to a geodesic ball of radius $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$ around $x$, and vanishes outside this ball (the circles $\{|z|=r / 2\}$ and $\{|z|=r\}$ are at Poincaré distance $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(1-\frac{\log 2}{\log r}\right)$ from each other)


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(B_{p}-B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)(x, y)\right|_{h^{p}}=\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\left(\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right)(x, y)\right| . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, y)-B_{p}(x, y) & =\phi_{p}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, y)=K_{p}(x, y), \\
\widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)(x, y)-B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y) & =\phi_{p}\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)(x, y)=K_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y) . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By the finite propagation speed for the wave operators [27, Theorem D.2.1], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{supp} \widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, \cdot) \subset B\left(x, \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \text { and } \widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, \cdot)  \tag{6.5}\\
& \text { depends only on the restriction of } \square_{p} \text { to } B\left(x, \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $B\left(x, \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ is the geodesic ball with center at $x$ and radius of $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$ for $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$.
Thus from (5.2) and (6.5) (here we fix $\epsilon>0$ such that $\epsilon \leq d_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\left(\partial D_{r}, \partial D_{r / 2}\right)$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}\right)(x, y)=\widetilde{F}\left(\square_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from (6.4) and (6.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}(x, y)-B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)=K_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(x, y)-K_{p}(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by (4.7) and (4.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.||\log | z|^{2}\right|^{p}\right|_{C^{k}}=\left|e^{t p}\right|_{C^{k}} \leq C_{k} p^{k} e^{t p} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13), (2.14), (4.7), (4.12), (4.16), (5.2) and (6.8), we infer that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C>0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-B_{p}\right|_{C^{k}(h p)}(x, y) \leq C p^{k}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y), \\
& \left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y) \leq C p^{k}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-p / 2}\left|B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-B_{p}\right|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x, y) . \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 5.3, (6.7) and (6.9), we get for $x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y) \leq C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{\gamma-p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{\gamma-p / 2} . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our task is thus to refine estimate (6.10) by working directly on $\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}$. We set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}=\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}$ is a holomorphic function of $x$ and $\bar{y}$ vanishing along $\{x y=0\}$, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)=x \bar{y} g_{p}(x, y) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth $g_{p}$, holomorphic in $x$ and $\bar{y}$. Now for $\varepsilon>0$ small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|x|,|y| \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left|g_{p}(x, y)\right|=\sup _{|x|=|y|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left|g_{p}(x, y)\right|=\varepsilon^{-1} \sup _{|x|=|y|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by holomorphicity of $g_{p}$.
From (6.10) and (6.13), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|x|,|y| \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left|g_{p}(x, y)\right| \leq C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell} \varepsilon^{-1}|\log \varepsilon|^{-p+2 \gamma} . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.12), (6.14), for $|x|,|y| \leq e^{-p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| & \leq|x||y| \sup _{|x|,|y| \leq e^{-p}}\left|g_{p}(x, y)\right|  \tag{6.15}\\
& \leq C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell} e^{2 p}(2 p)^{-p+2 \gamma}|x||y| .
\end{align*}
$$

Pick $\alpha \geq 0$. As the function $u \mapsto u\left(-\log \left(u^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}$ is increasing on $\left(0, e^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}\right]$, hence on $\left(0, e^{-p}\right]$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x| \leq e^{-p}(2 p)^{\frac{p}{2}+\alpha}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha} \quad \text { if }|x| \leq e^{-p} . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus convert (6.15) into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \leq 2^{2(\gamma+\alpha)} C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell+2(\gamma+\alpha)}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}(( \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $|x|,|y| \leq e^{-p}$; up to increasing $\ell$ and adjusting the constant, we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \leq C_{\ell, \alpha} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{|x|,|y| \leq e^{-p}\right\}$. Notice that such an estimate holds on $\left\{e^{-p} \leq|x|,|y| \leq r \leq e^{-1}\right\}$ as well, since then $\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|,\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right| \leq 2 p$, and thus (6.10) gives for any $\bar{\beta} \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \leq C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell}(2 p)^{2(\gamma+\beta)}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\beta-p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\beta-p / 2} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude by the case $|x| \leq e^{-p}, e^{-p} \leq|y| \leq r \leq e^{-1}$, fixing $y$, by the holomorphicity of $x$ and (6.10),

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{|x| \leq e^{-p}}\left|\frac{1}{x} \beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| & =\sup _{|x|=e^{-p}}\left|\frac{1}{x} \beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \\
& =e^{p} \sup _{|x|=e^{-p}}\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \\
& \leq e^{p} C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell}(2 p)^{-\frac{p}{2}+\gamma}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}+\gamma} \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \leq e^{p} C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell}(2 p)^{-\frac{p}{2}+\gamma}|x|\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}+\gamma} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{|x| \leq e^{-p}, e^{-p} \leq|y| \leq r \leq e^{-1}\right\}$. Now on this set, $\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{\frac{p}{2}+\gamma} \leq$ $(2 p)^{\alpha+\gamma}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}$ by (6.16) and (6.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right| \leq 2^{2(\alpha+\gamma)} C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell+2(\alpha+\gamma)}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{|x| \leq e^{-p}, e^{-p} \leq|y| \leq r \leq e^{-1}\right\}$. This holds on $\left\{|y| \leq e^{-p}, e^{-p} \leq|x| \leq r \leq\right.$ $\left.e^{-1}\right\}$ as well by symmetry.

We get from (6.18)-(6.22): For all $\ell>0, \alpha \geq 0$, there exists $C_{\ell, \alpha}>0$ such that for $p>1$, on $\mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r / 2}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}-\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right)(x, y)\right| \leq C_{\ell, \alpha} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\frac{p}{2}-\alpha} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.3) and (6.23) we get (1.6) for $k=0$.
Observe that by (4.5), (4.14), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k}>0$ such that on $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|\log | z\right|^{2}\right|_{C^{k}} \leq\left.\left. C_{k}|\log | z\right|^{2}|, \quad| z\right|_{C^{k}} \leq\left.\left. C_{k}|\log | z\right|^{2}\right|^{k}|z| \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.12) and (6.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right|_{C^{k}} \leq C_{k}|x||y| \sum_{k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3} \leq k}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{k_{1}}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{k_{2}}\left|g_{p}(x, y)\right|_{C^{k_{3}}} . \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|g_{p}(x, y)\right|_{C^{j}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \leq j}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{j_{1}}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{j_{2}}|x|^{\min \left(1, j_{1}\right)}|y|^{\min \left(1, j_{2}\right)}\left|\frac{\partial^{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{\partial x^{j_{1}} \partial \bar{y}^{j_{2}}} g_{p}(x, y)\right| . \tag{6.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus from (6.25) and (6.26), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k}>0$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}, \Sigma}(x, y)\right|_{C^{k}} \leq C_{k}|x||y|\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{k}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{k} \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \leq k}\left|\frac{\partial^{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{\partial x^{j_{1}} \partial \bar{y}^{j_{2}}} g_{p}(x, y)\right| . \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can combine the argument for (6.23) and (6.27) to get (1.6) for $k \geq 1$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Corollary 6.1 For any $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon>0$, and $\delta>0$, there exists $C=C(\ell, m, \varepsilon, \delta)>0$ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, and $x, y \in V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N}, d(x, y)>\varepsilon$, with the local coordinate $x_{i}, y_{j}$, in the sense of (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{p}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\delta}\left|\log \left(\left|y_{j}\right|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\delta} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x \in V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N}$, with the local coordinate $x_{i}, y \in \Sigma \backslash\left(V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N}\right)$, and $d(x, y)>\varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{p}\left(x_{i}, y\right)\right|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)}+\left|B_{p}\left(y, x_{i}\right)\right|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\delta} . \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x, y \in \Sigma \backslash\left(V_{1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{N}\right)$, and $d(x, y)>\varepsilon$, then $\left|B_{p}(x, y)\right|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C p^{-\ell}$.
Proof Pick $\varepsilon>0$ (small), and, if needed, replace the $\epsilon$ fixed in Sect. 5 by this new $\varepsilon$. As $d(x, y)>\varepsilon$, by (6.4) and (6.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-B_{p}(x, y)=K_{p}(x, y) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We explain now in detail how to establish (6.28). The argument of (6.9) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|B_{p}\right|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x, y) \leq C p^{k}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{p / 2}\left|\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y), \\
& \quad\left|\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y) \leq C p^{k}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{-p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{-p / 2}\left|B_{p}\right|_{C^{k}\left(h^{p}\right)}(x, y) . \tag{6.31}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 5.3, and (6.30), we get the analogue of (6.10) in the situation of (6.28), that is, for any $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{p}^{\Sigma}\right|_{C^{k}}(x, y) \leq C_{\ell, \gamma} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|x|^{2}\right)\right|^{\gamma-p / 2}\left|\log \left(|y|^{2}\right)\right|^{\gamma-p / 2} . \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the argument of (6.19)-(6.27) and (6.31), we improve then (6.32) to (6.28). The same argument as above works for the situation of (6.29). The last part of Corollary is from (5.6), (6.4) and (6.5).
Proof of Theorem 1.2 This follows immediately by taking $x=y$ in Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Corollary 1.4 By Theorem 1.2, Proposition 3.3 and (3.22), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|x| \leq r \leq e^{-1}}\left|B_{p}(x, x)\right|_{h^{p}}=\frac{p^{3 / 2}}{(2 \pi)^{3 / 2}}+\mathcal{O}(p) \text { as } p \rightarrow+\infty \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.8), (2.3) and (6.33), we obtain the desired conclusion.
We turn now to the proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$, let $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \subset \bar{\Sigma}$ be a finite set and $\Sigma=\bar{\Sigma} \backslash D$.

The Uniformization Theorem, see [18, Theorems IV.5.6, IV.6.3, IV.6.4, IV.8.6], readily implies that conditions (i)-(iv) from the introduction are equivalent, taking into account that $\chi(\Sigma)=2-2 g-N$ and the degree of $L$ equals $-\chi(\Sigma)$ : from [18, Theorem IV.8.6] we see first that (i) and (iii) are equivalent, and combining [18, Theorems IV.6.3, IV.6.4] we know that (iii) implies (ii), since the Riemann surfaces with universal covering the sphere or $\mathbb{C}$, are the sphere, $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{*}$ or the tori. This means that (ii) implies (iii). Finally, by [22, p. 214], (ii) and (iv) are equivalent.
Lemma 6.2 Let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ and $D=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \subset$ $\bar{\Sigma}$ a finite set such that $2 g-2+N>0$. Denote $\Sigma=\bar{\Sigma} \backslash D$ and $L=K_{\bar{\Sigma}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$. There exists a metric $\omega_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma$ and a singular Hermitian metric $h$ on $L$, such that $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the formal square root of $(L, h)$ satisfy the conditions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$.

Proof Since $\chi(\Sigma)=2-2 g-N<0$, the universal covering of $\Sigma$ is $\mathbb{H}$ and $\Sigma$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric $\omega_{\Sigma}$ of constant negative curvature -4, induced by the Poincaré metric $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}=\frac{i d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{4|\operatorname{Im} z|^{2}}$ on $\mathbb{H}$. It is a classical fact that every $a \in D$ has a coordinate neighborhood $\left(\bar{U}_{a}, z\right)$ in $\bar{\Sigma}$ such that in this coordinate $\omega_{\Sigma}$ is exactly given by $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}(z)$ on $U_{a}=\bar{U}_{a} \backslash\{a\}$, see e.g. [8, p. 79, (6.7)].

Note that $\omega_{\Sigma}$ extends to a closed strictly positive $(1,1)$-current $\omega_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ on $\bar{\Sigma}$. Let $h^{K_{\Sigma}}$ be the metric on $K_{\Sigma}$ induced by $\omega_{\Sigma}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|d z|_{h^{K_{\Sigma}}}^{2}=|z|^{2} \log ^{2}\left(|z|^{2}\right) \text { in }\left(\bar{U}_{a}, z\right) . \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the canonical section of $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$. The singular metric $h^{\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)}$ on $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$ is defined by $|\sigma|_{h}^{2} \bar{\Sigma}^{(D)}=1$. The isomorphism

$$
\left.K_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow K_{\Sigma} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)\right|_{\Sigma}=\left.L\right|_{\Sigma}, \quad s \longmapsto s \otimes \sigma
$$

over $\Sigma$ and the metrics $h^{K_{\Sigma}}$ and $h^{\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)}$ induce the metric $h$ on $\left.L\right|_{\Sigma}$. The curvature of the line bundle $\left(\left.L\right|_{\Sigma}, h\right)$ is given by $-2 i \omega_{\Sigma}$. Since $\frac{\sigma}{z}$ is a holomorphic frame of $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$ on $\bar{U}_{a}, d z \otimes \frac{\sigma}{z}$ is a holomorphic frame of $L$ on $\bar{U}_{a}$. Then $\left|d z \otimes \frac{\sigma}{z}\right|_{h}^{2}=\left(\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right)^{2}$, and thus $\left(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the (formal) square root of $(L, h)$ satisfy conditions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ).

Let $\Gamma \cong \pi_{1}(\Sigma)$ be the group of deck transformations of the covering $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Sigma$. Then $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \cong \Sigma$ has finite hyperbolic volume and $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group of the first kind without elliptic elements. We denote by $\pi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ the canonical projection.

The space $\mathcal{M}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$-modular forms of weight $2 p$ is by definition the space of holomorphic functions $f \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbb{H})$ satisfying the functional equation

$$
f(\gamma z)=(c z+d)^{2 p} f(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{6.35}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma
$$

and which extend holomorphically to the cusps of $\Gamma$ (fixed points of the parabolic elements). If $f \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbb{H})$ satisfies (6.35), then $f d z^{\otimes p} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{H}, K_{\mathbb{H}}^{p}\right)$ descends to a holomorphic section $\Phi(f)$ of $H^{0}\left(\Sigma, K_{\Sigma}^{p}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$. By [30, Propositions 3.3, 3.4(b)], $\Phi$ induces an isomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{2 p}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, L^{p}\right)$.

The subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$ consisting of modular forms vanishing at the cusps is called the space of cusp forms (Spitzenformen) of weight $2 p$ of $\Gamma$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$. The space of cusps forms is endowed with the Petersson scalar product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{U} f(z) \overline{g(z)}(2 y)^{2 p} d v_{\mathbb{H}}(z)
$$

where $U$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ and $d v_{\mathbb{H}}=\frac{1}{2} y^{-2} d x \wedge d y$ is the hyperbolic volume form. The Bergman density function of $\left(\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$ is defined by taking any orthonormal basis $\left(f_{j}\right)$ and setting

$$
S_{p}^{\Gamma}(z)=\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}(z)\right|^{2}(2 y)^{2 p}, \quad z \in U
$$

Under the above isomorphism, $\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$ is identified to the space $H^{0}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, L^{p} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)^{-1}\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, K_{\bar{\Sigma}}^{p} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)^{p-1}\right)$ of holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ over $\bar{\Sigma}$ vanishing on $D$.

If we endow $K_{\mathbb{H}}$ with the Hermitian metric induced by the Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{H}$, the scalar product of two elements $u d z^{\otimes p}, v d z^{\otimes p} \in K_{\mathbb{H}, z}^{p}$ is $\left\langle u d z^{\otimes p}, v d z^{\otimes p}\right\rangle=$ $u \bar{v}(2 y)^{2 p}$. Hence, the Petersson scalar product corresponds to the $\boldsymbol{L}^{2}$ scalar product
of pluricanonical forms on $\Sigma$,

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\Sigma}\langle\Phi(f), \Phi(g)\rangle \omega_{\Sigma}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}
$$

The isomorphism $\Phi$ gives thus an isometry (see also [13, Section 6.4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma} \cong H^{0}\left(\bar{\Sigma}, L^{p} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)^{-1}\right) \cong H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, K_{\Sigma}^{p}\right) \cong H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right), \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$ is the space of holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ that are squareintegrable with respect to the volume form $\omega_{\Sigma}$ and the metric $h^{p}$ on $L^{p}$, with $h$ introduced in Lemma 6.2. Moreover, $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, K_{\Sigma}^{p}\right)$ is the space of $L^{2}$-pluricanonical sections with respect to the metric $h^{K_{\Sigma}^{p}}$ and the volume form $\omega_{\Sigma}$, where we denote by $h^{K_{\Sigma}}$ the Hermitian metric induced by $\omega_{\Sigma}$ on $K_{\Sigma}$. We let now $B_{p}^{\Gamma}$ be the Bergman density function of $H_{(2)}^{0}\left(\Sigma, L^{p}\right)$, defined as in (1.4). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}^{\Gamma}(z)=B_{p}^{\Gamma}(\pi(z)), \quad z \in U \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus identify the space of cusp forms $\mathcal{S}_{2 p}^{\Gamma}$ to a subspace of holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ by (6.36) and its Bergman density function $S_{p}^{\Gamma}$ to $B_{p}^{\Gamma}$ by (6.37).

Proof of Corollary 1.5 In view of Lemma 6.2, this follows immediately from Corollary 1.4 applied for the even powers of the square root of $L=K_{\bar{\Sigma}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}}(D)$, and from (6.37). Even if the square root of $L$ is a formal line bundle we can apply Corollary 1.3 to its even powers, i.e., to $L^{p}$, which has the effect of scaling $p$ to $2 p$. This explains the occurrence of $p / \pi$ in the leading term of (1.10) and (1.11), as well as in Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 2.5 Since we have the same Sobolev constants for $\tilde{M} / \Gamma$ and $M$ (cf. [27, Theorem A.1.6, (A.1.15)]), the proof of [15, Proposition 4.1] or of [27, Proposition 4.1.5] shows that for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ (independent of $\Gamma \subset \pi_{1}(M)$ ) such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, x, y \in \tilde{M} / \Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)-B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)\right|_{C^{m}(\tilde{M} / \Gamma)} \leq C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the finite propagation speed for the wave operator, for $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)=\pi_{\Gamma}^{*} K_{p}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}(x), \pi_{\Gamma}(y)\right) . \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.38) and (6.39) we conclude Theorem 2.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.6 For a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ of finite index let $\pi_{\Gamma}: \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be the canonical finite covering. We will add a superscript $\Gamma$ for the various objects living on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. We fix $0<r<e^{-1}$ such that the ends $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{N}$ of $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$ are of the form $\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)$. Now for any finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$, the ends of
$\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ are the connected components $\left\{V_{i}^{\Gamma}\right\}_{i}$ of $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, N$. Moreover, if $V_{i}^{\Gamma}$ is a connected component of $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that the map $\pi_{\Gamma}: V_{i}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow V_{j}$ is given by $\mathbb{D}_{r^{1 / n}}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}: z \mapsto z^{n}(\mathrm{cf}$. [19, Theorem 5.10]). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i, r}^{\Gamma}=V_{i}^{\Gamma} \cap \pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{r^{n}}^{*}\right)\left(=\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}\right) \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}) \backslash \bigcup_{i} V_{i, r / 4}^{\Gamma}$, the Sobolev constants are the same as the ones on $\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}\right) \backslash$ $\bigcup_{j} V_{j, r / 4}$, where $V_{j, r / 4}=\mathbb{D}_{r / 4}^{*}$ under the identification of $V_{j}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$.

As the curvatures on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ are pull-backs of the corresponding curvatures on $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}$, we see from the proof of [27, Theorem 6.1.1] that the spectral gap property, Proposition 5.1, holds uniformly on the set of subgroups $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$, i.e., there exists $c_{\Gamma_{0}}>0$, $p_{0}>0$ such that for all $p \geq p_{0}$, and all subgroups $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\square_{p}^{\Gamma}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup\left[c_{\Gamma_{0}} p,+\infty\right) \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.41) and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we see that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, there exists $C_{\Gamma_{0}, k}>0$ (depending only on $\Gamma_{0}$ and $k$ ) such that for any $p \geq 1$, $x \in(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}) \backslash \bigcup_{i} V_{i, r / 4}^{\Gamma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x)-\frac{1}{\pi} p+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right|_{C^{m}} \leq C_{\Gamma_{0}, k} p^{-k} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now on $V_{i, r}^{\Gamma}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{i, r}^{\Gamma}, \omega_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}}, L, h\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}, \omega_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}, \mathbb{C}, h_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right) \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of Proposition 5.3 and (6.43), by using (6.41) in (5.14), we get that for any $\ell, m \geq 0, \gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, there exists $C_{\ell, m, \gamma}>0$ such that for any $p \geq p_{0}$ and any $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ with finite index, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho(x)^{-\gamma} \rho(y)^{-\gamma} K_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)\right\|_{C^{m}\left(h^{p}\right)} \leq C_{\ell, m, \gamma} p^{-\ell}, \quad \text { for any } x, y \in V_{i, r}^{\Gamma} . \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (6.44) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 we obtain that for any $\ell, m \geq 0$, and every $\delta>0$, there exists $C_{\Gamma_{0}}>0$ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and any $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$ with finite index,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{p}^{\Gamma}-B_{p}^{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right\|_{C^{m}}(z) \leq C_{\Gamma_{0}} p^{-\ell}\left|\log \left(|z|^{2}\right)\right|^{-\delta} \quad \text { for } z \in \cup_{i} V_{i, r}^{\Gamma} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is clear from Proposition 3.3, (3.22), (6.42) and (6.45), that (1.13) holds.
Proof of Theorem 1.7 As in the proof of (6.38), there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ (independent of $\left.\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}\right)$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, x, y \in(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}) \backslash \cup_{i} V_{i, r / 4}^{\Gamma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)-B_{p}^{\Gamma}(x, y)\right|_{C^{m}} \leq C_{l, m} p^{-l} . \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the property of the finite propagation speed of solutions of hyperbolic equations still holds on orbifolds, as shown in [26, §6.6]. Hence (6.46) implies that (6.42) holds for $x \in(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}) \backslash\left(\bigcup_{i} V_{i, r / 4}^{\Gamma} \cup \bigcup_{j} \pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right)\right)$. Moreover, on each end the argument (6.41)-(6.45) goes through, thus we get the uniform estimate (6.45) with the constant $C_{\Gamma_{0}}$ depending only on $\Gamma_{0}$ and independent of $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{0}$.

Now on each component of $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right)$, observe that the stabilizer group $\Gamma_{x_{j}^{\Gamma}}$ of $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$ acts in the normal coordinate around $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ by rotation, with $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$ being the unique fixed point. We denote by $Z$ the (real) normal coordinates around a point $x \in \mathbb{H}$. By [15, (4.114), (5.21), (5.23)] (cf. [27, Theorem 5.4.11], [16, Theorem 0.2]) for the $2 p$-th tensor power of $\left(\left.L\right|_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}}\right)^{1 / 2}=K_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}}^{1 / 2}$, there exists $C_{0}>0$ such that for any $k, l>0$, there exists $N>0, C_{k, l}>0$, depending only on $\Gamma_{0}, k, l$, such that in the normal coordinates around $x_{j}^{\Gamma}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, we have when $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2 p} B_{p}^{\Gamma}(Z, Z)-\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \boldsymbol{b}_{\nu}(Z)(2 p)^{-\nu}\right. \\
& \quad-\left.\sum_{\nu=0}^{2 k}(2 p)^{-\frac{v}{2}} \sum_{1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma_{x_{j}^{\Gamma}}} e^{i \theta_{\gamma} p} \mathcal{K}_{v}(\sqrt{2 p} Z) e^{-p\left(1-e^{i \theta_{\gamma}}\right)|z|^{2}}\right|_{C^{l}}  \tag{6.47}\\
& \quad \leq C_{k, l}\left(p^{-k-1}+p^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}}(1+\sqrt{p}|Z|)^{N} e^{-\sqrt{C_{0} p}|Z|}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{v}(Z)$ are polynomials in the real coordinates $Z$. Note also that $\boldsymbol{b}_{v}$ are given by (2.11). By [15, (4.107), (4.105), (4.117), (5.4)] (or [27, Remark 4.1.26, (4.1.84), (4.1.92)]), we have $\mathcal{K}_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}, \mathcal{K}_{1}=0$. This implies in particular that (1.15) holds on $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(U_{x_{j}}\right)$.

The above arguments yield (1.14), (1.15) and (1.17). To obtain (1.16), notice that by our choice of $q_{0}$, all factors $e^{i \theta_{\gamma} q_{0} p}$ in (6.47) are 1. Thus (1.15) implies (1.16).
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## A Proof of Lemma 3.4

We prove in this appendix the existence of a constant $C$ such that for all $\zeta>0$ and all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(1+p(1-\zeta)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}(\zeta)\right| \leq C \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall the notation from (3.23):

$$
\delta_{p}(\zeta)=e^{p(1-\zeta+\log \zeta)}-e^{-\frac{p}{2}(1-\zeta)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p}{3}(1-\zeta)^{3}\right)
$$

Clearly, (A.1) holds for any fixed $p$, that is: for any $p \geq 1$, there exists $C_{p}$ such that for all $\zeta>0, p\left(1+p(1-\zeta)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}(\zeta)\right| \leq C_{p}$. We thus want to show that the $C_{p}$ can be chosen independent of $p$; this we do arguing by contradiction: we hence assume that there exists a positive sequence $\left(\zeta_{p}\right)_{p \geq 1}$ such that, up to passing to a subsequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} \infty . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to passing to a subsequence, $\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ converges in $[0, \infty]$; we first distinguish the three cases $\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow 0,\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow \infty$, and $\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow \ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

1. $\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow 0$ : here, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \sim p^{2}$, whereas $e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \leq e^{-p}$ for $p$ large, hence

$$
p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

likewise, $e^{-\frac{p}{2}(1-\zeta)^{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{p}{4}}$ for $p$ large, and $\left(1-\frac{p}{3}(1-\zeta)^{3}\right) \sim-\frac{p}{3}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{p}{2}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{3}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This way, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (A.2).
2. $\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ : one has $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \sim p^{2} \zeta_{p}^{2}$ and $e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \leq e^{-\frac{p \zeta_{p}}{2}}$ for $p$ large, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \lesssim p^{2} \zeta_{p}^{2} e^{-\frac{p \zeta_{p}}{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover $e^{-\frac{p}{2}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{p \zeta_{p}}{4}}$ for $p$ large, and $\left(1-\frac{p}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{3}\right) \sim \frac{p}{3} \zeta_{p}^{3}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{p}{2}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{3}\right) \\
& \quad \lesssim \frac{p}{3} \zeta_{p}^{3} e^{-\frac{p \zeta_{p}}{4}}=\frac{1}{3 p^{2}}\left(p \zeta_{p}\right)^{3} e^{-\frac{p \zeta_{p}}{4}} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here again, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$, and (A.2) is contradicted.
3. $\left(\zeta_{p}\right) \rightarrow \ell$ : one must deal here with the dichotomy $\ell \neq 1 / \ell=1$.
(a) $\ell \neq 1: p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \sim(1-\ell)^{2} p^{2}$, and as $\zeta \mapsto 1-\zeta+\log \zeta$ is strictly convex and attains 0 at $\zeta=1, e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \leq e^{-\varepsilon p}$ for $p$ large, with some $\varepsilon>0$, hence

$$
p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \lesssim(1-\ell)^{2} p^{2} e^{-\varepsilon p} \longrightarrow 0
$$

furthermore, $e^{-\frac{p}{2}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{p(1-\ell)^{2}}{4}}$ for $p$ large, and $\left(1-\frac{p}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{3}\right) \sim$ $\frac{p}{3}(1-\ell)^{3}$, hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{p}{2}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}}\left|1-\frac{p}{3}\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{3}\right| \\
& \quad \lesssim \frac{p^{3}}{3}|1-\ell|^{5} e^{-\frac{p(1-\ell)^{2}}{4}} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Once more, this yields $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$, and a contradiction to (A.2).
(b) $\ell=1$ : setting $z_{p}=\zeta_{p}-1 \rightarrow 0$, we must one last time distinguish between three different cases: $\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right| \rightarrow \infty, p z_{p}^{3} \rightarrow \mu=\lambda^{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ and $p z_{p}^{3} \rightarrow 0$ (up to passing to a subsequence).
(i) $\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ : in particular, $p z_{p}^{2}=\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} p^{1 / 3} \rightarrow \infty$. Here $p(1+p(1-$ $\left.\left.\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \sim p^{2} z_{p}^{2}$ and $1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}=-z_{p}+\log \left(1+z_{p}\right) \leq-\frac{z_{p}^{2}}{3}$ for $p$ large, thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{p\left(1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}\right)} \lesssim p^{2} z_{p}^{2} e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{3}}=p^{2} z_{p}^{2} e^{-\frac{\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} p^{1 / 3}}{3}} \\
& \quad \leq p^{2} z_{p}^{2} e^{-\left(\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3}+p^{1 / 3}\right)}=p^{4 / 3} e^{-p^{1 / 3}}\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} e^{-\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality holds as soon as $p^{1 / 3}$ and $\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} \geq 6$. As for the other summand,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\left|1-\frac{p}{3} z_{p}^{3}\right| \\
& \quad \sim\left|p^{3} z_{p}^{5}\right| e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}=p^{4 / 3}\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{5 / 3} e^{-\frac{\left|p z_{2}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} p^{1 / 3}}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq p^{4 / 3}\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{5 / 3} e^{-\left(\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3}+p^{1 / 3}\right)}=p^{4 / 3} e^{-p^{1 / 3}}\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{5 / 3} e^{-\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(the last inequality holds as soon as $p^{1 / 3}$ and $\left|p z_{p}^{3}\right|^{2 / 3} \geq 6$ ). In conclusion, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$, in contradiction with (A.2).
(ii) $p z_{p}^{3} \rightarrow \mu=\lambda^{3} \neq 0$, that is: $z_{p} \sim \lambda p^{-1 / 3}$. First, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \sim$ $p^{2} z_{p}^{2} \sim \lambda^{2} p^{4 / 3}$; moreover $1-\zeta_{p}+\log \zeta_{p}=-z_{p}+\log \left(1+z_{p}\right)=$ $-\frac{z_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{z_{p}^{3}}{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-4 / 3}\right)$, hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)\right| \left.\sim \lambda^{2} p^{4 / 3} e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}} \right\rvert\, e^{p z_{p}^{3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1 / 3}\right) \\
& \left.1+\frac{p z_{p}^{3}}{3} \right\rvert\,  \tag{A.8}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2} p^{4 / 3} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} p^{1 / 3}}{3}}\left|e^{\mu / 3}-1-\frac{\mu}{3}\right| \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction with (A.2).
(iii) $p z_{p}^{3} \rightarrow 0$ : In this very last case, where again $p z_{p}^{4}=p z_{p}^{3} \cdot z_{p} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) & =e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\left(e^{p\left(\frac{z^{3}}{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(z_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}-1-\frac{p}{3} z_{p}^{3}\right) \\
& =e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\left(\left(1+\frac{p z_{p}^{3}}{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2} z_{p}^{6}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(p z_{p}^{4}\right)\right)-1-\frac{p}{3} z_{p}^{3}\right) \\
& =e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2} z_{p}^{6}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(p z_{p}^{4}\right)\right), \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p+p^{2} z_{p}^{2}\right) \delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) & =\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3} z_{p}^{6} e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2} z_{p}^{4} e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{4} z_{p}^{8} e^{-\frac{p z_{p}^{2}}{2}}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

independently of the behavior of $p^{2} z_{p}^{2}$, as $z \mapsto z^{k} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}}, k=2,3,4$, are bounded on $\mathbb{R}$. In other words, $p\left(1+p\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}\right) \delta_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)=\mathcal{O}(1)$, and this final contradiction of (A.2) ends the proof of Lemma 3.4.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ As for the higher-dimensional local reference metric, which has to be taken as a perturbation of the product of the one-dimensional Poincaré cusp metric with some smooth metric on the divisor, its Bergman kernel can surely be properly understood as well, but with other approaches than the explicit description of this paper.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Conversely, one might imagine the parameter $\alpha$ mentioned above (smooth case) go to 0 at speed $1 / p$, possibly together with the approximation of some Poincaré type metric by carefully chosen smooth metrics, to try and understand how the partial Bergman kernels approximate $B_{p}$; for sure, some subtleties will occur along this double limit process, of which the wild transition region observed in Fig. 1 might be an artefact.

